# Spin W ave Analysis of H eisenberg M agnets in Restricted G eom etries

[Lecture N otes in P hysics v. 645, pp. 195-226 (2004)]

 ${\tt N}\, {\rm edko}\; {\tt B}$  . Ivanov  $^1$  and D  ${\rm iptim}\; {\rm an}\; {\rm Sen}^2$ 

- <sup>1</sup> Theoretische Physik II, Universitat Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germany<sup>??</sup> ivanov@physik.uni-augsburg.de
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Theoretical Studies, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India diptiman@cts.iisc.ernet.in

Sum m ary. In the last decade it has been proven that the standard spin-wave theory was able to provide accurate zero-tem perature results for a num ber of low - dimensional H eisenberg spin system s. In this chapter we introduce the m ain ingredients of the spin-wave technique using as a working m odel the two-leg m ixed-spin ferrim agnetic ladder and the D yson {M aleev boson form alism up to second order in the spin-wave interaction. In the rem ainder, we survey typical applications in low - space dimensionality as well as some recent m odi cations of the theory adm itting a quantitative analysis in m agnetically disordered phases. The presented spin-wave results are com pared with available num erical estim ates.

# 1 Introduction

The spin-wave theory is probably one of the most powerful tools ever used in the theory of magnetism. O riginally proposed by Bloch [1, 2] and Holstein and Prim ako [3] as a theory of the ferrom agnetic state, it was later extended for the antiferrom agnetic N eel state by Anderson [4], K ubo [5], and O guchi [6]. D yson's profound analysis of spin-wave interactions [7, 8] dem onstrated that spin waves may be used to obtain asymptotic expansions for the therm odynam ic functions of the H eisenberg ferrom agnet at low tem peratures. D yson's method was generalized by H arris et al. [9] to calculate in a system atic way spin-spin correlations, spin-wave dam ping, and various therm odynam ic properties of antiferrom agnetic insulators.

It should be noticed that the basis of the spin-wave theory (SW T) for antiferrom agnets is much less established than for ferrom agnets. The D yson {M aleev transform ation [10] gives a correspondence between any operator de ned on the H ilbert space of the spin system and an operator on the boson H ilbert space. Evaluating the required averages for the B ose system, we necessarily m ake two approxim ations. F irst, we expand these quantities, by using a perturbation form alism in which the unperturbed H am iltonian is quadratic in boson operators and the perturbation is the rem aining quartic interaction. Second, we neglect the projection operator in the averages, which takes into account the so-called kinem atic interactions by canceling the boson states with m ore than 2S bosons per lattice site, S being the spin quantum num ber of the lattice spin. In the ferrom agnetic case, D yson has argued that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>??</sup> Perm anent address: Institute of Solid State Physics, Bulgarian A cadem y of Sciences, T sarigradsko chausse 72, 1784 So a, Bulgaria

these approximations would lead to results which are asymptotically correct at low temperatures (T) to all orders in T. In the antiferrom agnetic case, the situation is less settled due to the zero-point motion, i.e. quantum spin uctuations in the N eel state. In principle, one may suspect that there are errors in the perturbation theory even at zero T. The same problem appears in the Holstein {Primako formalism  $\beta$ ]. We refer the interested reader to the original papers cited above as well as to the monographs [11, 12, 13] for details concerning this problem. In principle, the spin-wave approach is less elective for low-dimensional quantum spin systems, as quantum spin uctuations typically increase in reduced space dimensions (D) and for small spin quantum numbers S.M oreover, since at nite T therm all uctuations com pletely destroy the magnetic long-range order in 1D and 2D H eisenberg models with isotropic short-range interactions [14], in such cases the conventional SW T com pletely fails.

In view of the mentioned drawbacks of SW T, it seems surprising that for the last decade the standard spin-wave approach has been found to give very accurate description of the zero-tem perature physics of a number of low-dimensional spin models, the best example being the  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  Heisenberg antiferrom agnet on a square lattice [15]. Probably, another good example is the mixed-spin Heisenberg chain describing a large class of recently synthesized quasi-1D molecular magnets [16] (cf. Chap. 4). The following analysis reveals some common features of these examples, the most important being the weakness (in a sense) of spin-wave interactions. Fortunately, in low-space dimensions many numerical techniques { such as the quantum M onte C arb method (Q M C), the exact numerical diagonalization (ED), and the density-matrix renorm alization group method (D M RG) { are more exective, so that the discussed drawbacks of the spin-wave analysis may be partially reduced by a direct combination with numerical methods.

A goal of the present review is to sum marize typical applications and some recent developm ents of the spin-wave approach related to low-dimensional quantum spin system s. The spin-wave technique is presented in the following Sect., using the m ixed-spin Heisenberg ladder as a working model and the Dyson {M aleev boson form alism . Due to the asymptotic character of spin-wave series, the calculation up to second order in the spin-wave interaction is a reasonable approximation for most of the applications at zero T. As far as at this level perturbative corrections can easily be calculated in the fram ework of the Rayleigh {Schrodinger theory, we will not consider in detail perturbation techniques based on m agnon G reen's functions [9, 17]. Typical applications of the spin-wave form alism in low-dimensional spin system s are presented in Sects. 3 and 4. In particular, Sect. 3 involves an analysis of the param eters of the quantum ferrim agnetic phase in mixed-spin quasi-1D m odels, such as the (s1;s2) Heisenberg chain. The SW T results are compared with available DMRG and ED num erical estimates. Section 4 collects basic SW T results concerning 2D H eisenberg antiferrom agnets. Som e recent m odi cations of the SW T { admitting a quantitative analysis in magnetically disordered phases { are presented in Sect. 5. Section 6 contains concluding rem arks.

# 2 Dyson {M aleev Form alism

In this Sect.we describe the form alapparatus of the SW T.W e choose as a working m odel the m ixed-spin H eisenberg ladder (Fig. 1) de ned by the H am iltonian

Spin W ave Analysis of H eisenberg M agnets in Restricted G eom etries 3

where the index n (= 1; ;N) labels the rungs of the ladder, and N is an even integer. The ladder is composed of two types of spins  $(s_n, , n)$  characterized by the spin quantum numbers  $s_1$  and  $s_2$   $(s_1 > s_2)$ :  $s_n^2 = h^2 s_1 (s_1 + 1)$  and  $n^2 = h^2 s_2 (s_2 + 1)$ . In the following text we use the notation  $r_s = g = s_2 > 1$ , and set h = 1 and  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_0$  being the lattice spacing along the ladder.



F ig. 1. M ixed-spin H eisenberg ladder composed of two types of site spins. The arrows show one of the classical groupd states for  $J_2 > 0$ , de ned by the orientation of the ferror agnetic moment  $M = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (s_n + m)$ . The intrachain coupling J = 1.

It is worth noticing that the model (1) is not purely academ ic. For instance, recently published experimental work on bim etallic quasi-1D molecular magnets (cf. Chap. 4) implies that the magnetic properties of these mixed-spin compounds are basically described by the Heisenberg spin model with antiferrom agnetically coupled nearest-neighbor localized spins. The ladder structure in Fig. 1 reproduces, in particular, arrangements of the M n ( $s_1 = \frac{5}{2}$ ) and the Cu ( $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ) magnetic atom s along the a axis in the compounds M nCu (pbaO H) (H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub> (pbaO H = 2{ hydroxy{1,3{propylenebisoxam ato}} [18].

# 2.1 Classical R eference State

The rst step in constructing a spin-wave expansion is to nd the low est-energy classical spin con gurations of the related classical model. As a rule, this is a straightforward task, apart from som e magnetic models with competing interactions which may exhibit complicated non-collinear spin states (see, e.g. [19]). A nother serious problem at this stage may be related to a macroscopic degeneracy of the classical ground state, a typical example being the H eisenberg model on a kagom e lattice (cf. Chap. 3) which exhibits a magnetically disordered ground state. Further analysis of the problem involves quantum uctuations and the so-called order-from -disorder phenomenon [20, 21].

Turning to our model (1), it is easy to see that the required reference state for  $J_2 > 0$  is a ferrin agnetic spin con guration where the  $s_n$  spins are oriented in a given direction, and the  $_n$  spins point in the opposite direction (see Fig. 1). The state is degenerate under arbitrary rotations (as a whole) in the spin space. One may pick up a reference state by introducing a small staggered eld, say, for the  $s_n$  spins. We can actually get more information even in the quantum case, by

using the Lieb [M attis theorem for bipartite lattices [22]. First, the theorem predicts that the quantum ground state belongs to a subspace with the total-spin quantum num ber  $(S_1 \quad S_2)N$ , i.e. for  $J_7 > 0$  the system has a ferrim agnetic ground state characterized by the ferrom agnetic m om ent per site M  $_0 = (s_1 \quad s_2)=2$ . Second, the theorem states that the energies of the ground states E  $(S_T)$  characterized by the total-spin quantum num bers  $S_T = N$  (s  $s_2$ ) are arranged as follows

$$E (S_T + 1) > E (S_T)$$
: (2)

Notice that the classical and quantum ferrim agnetic ground states have one and the same magnetization M<sub>0</sub>, but otherwise they are di erent because the classical ground state is not an eigenstate of the quantum model (1). The quantum ferrim agnetic state is [2N ( $s_1 = g$ ) + 1]-fold degenerate, since the z component of the total spin { being a good quantum number { takes the values N (g = g); N (g = g) + 1; ; N ( $s_2$ ). This quantum magnetic phase may also be characterized by the following sublattice magnetizations

$$m_{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} hs_{n} i m_{B} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} h_{n} i; \qquad (3)$$

where the sym bolh immeans a quantum -m echanical average over the ground state. We shall later see that quantum spin uctuations reduce the classical sublattice magnetizations  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ , but the magnetic long-range order is preserved, i.e.  $m_A$ ;  $m_B \notin 0$ .

In the region  $J_2 < 0$  the situation is di erent, i.e. the lowest-energy spin conguration is the N eel antiferrom agnetic state based on the composite rung spins  $s_1 + s_2$ . Now the Lieb {M attis theorem predicts that the exact quantum ground state is a spin-singlet state, i.e.  $S_T = 0$  and  $M_0 = 0$ . Therefore, it may be generally expected a magnetically disordered phase, t.e.  $m_A$ ;  $m_B = 0$ , as the isotropic H eisenberg model (1) is de ned on a bipartite 1D lattice (see, e.g. [23]). In terms s of the SW T this would mean that the classical antiferrom agnetic state is swept out by quantum uctuations, so that the concept of the spin-wave expansion does not work at all.

# 2.2 Boson H am iltonian

Now we describe the second step in constructing the spin-wave expansion, t.e. the transform ation of (1) to a boson H am iltonian. The most popular boson representation of spin operators has been suggested by Holstein and Prim ako [3]. O ther useful representations have been devised by Schwinger [24], M aleev [10], V illain [25], and G oldhirsch [26, 27].

W e start by dening the Holstein (Primako representation for the spins  $s_n \ (n = 1; :::; N)$  ):

$$s_{n}^{+} = \frac{p}{2s_{1}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{a_{n}^{y} a_{n}}{2s_{1}} a_{n}; s_{n} = \frac{p}{2s_{1}} a_{n}^{y} \frac{1}{1} \frac{a_{n}^{y} a_{n}}{2s_{1}}; s_{n}^{z} = s_{1} a_{n}^{y} a_{n}; \quad (4)$$

where  $s_n = s_n^x \quad s_n^x$  and  $s_1$  is the spin quantum number.  $a_n$  and  $a_n^y$  are annihilation and creation boson operators satisfying the commutation relations Spin W ave Analysis of H eisenberg M agnets in Restricted G eom etries

$$[a_n; a_m^Y] = _{nm}; \quad [a_n; a_m] = [a_n^Y; a_m^Y] = 0:$$
(5)

5

U sing the last equations, it is easy to show that the operators de ned by (4) satisfy the commutation relations for spin operators

$$[\mathbf{s}_{n}^{+};\mathbf{s}_{n}] = 2\mathbf{s}_{n}^{z}; \quad [\mathbf{s}_{n}^{z};\mathbf{s}_{n}] = \mathbf{s}_{n}; \quad (6)$$

and the equation  $s_n^2 = s_1 (s_1 + 1)$ . The operators  $a_n$  and  $a_n^y$  act in the in nitedimensional boson H ilbert space spanned by the orthonorm albasis states

$$jn_1;n_2;:::;n_N ) = \frac{(a_1^{y})^{n_1} (a_2^{y})^{n_2}}{p \frac{p}{n_1 \ln_2 ! ::: n_N !}} j_{N}^{y} h_{a}^{h_{a}} j_{D}^{y};$$
(7)

where  $n_i (= 0;1;:::;1)$  is the occupation number of site i. The reference vacuum state j(0) is defined by the relations  $a_i(0) = 0$  (for 8 i).

It is possible to rationalize the square roots in (4) by the M aleev similarity transform ation

$$a_n 7 ! 1 \frac{a_n^y a_n}{2s_1} a_n ; a_n^y 7 ! a_n^y 1 \frac{a_n^y a_n}{2s_1} : (8)$$

This transform ation is not unitary, but preserves the number operator  $a_n^y a_n$  as well as the commutation relations (5) within the physically relevant H ilbert space (n<sub>i</sub> 2g for 8 i). A pplying the last transform ation to (4), we get the D yson {M aleev boson representation

$$s_n^+ = p \frac{1}{2s_1} (1 \quad a_h^y a_n = 2s_1) a_n; \quad s_n^- = p \frac{1}{2s_1} a_n^y; \quad s_n^z = s_1 \quad a_h^y a_n:$$
 (9)

N ote that the operators  $s_n$  in this representation are not H em itian conjugate in the boson space (7) so that in the general case they will generate non-H em itian H am iltonians. Treatm ent of such H am iltonians requires som e care, but it seems that { at least up to second order in the spin-wave interaction { this does not cause serious problem s. M ore problem atic is the relation between physical and unphysical states. T he latter appear in the exact H olstein {P rim ako representation as well, as any actual calculation requires truncation of the asymptotic square-root series. D yson's m ethod [7] elim inates the unphysical boson states by a projection operator giving zero on these states. In practice, how ever, we are enforced to elim inate this operator. A s already m entioned, this is the basic approxim ation of SW T . A s a whole, the D yson {M alrev form alism has m any advantages if one needs to go beyond the linear spin-wave theory (LSW T) within a perturbation schem e. This is because the interactions between spin waves are better handled so that the unphysical singularities caused by the long-w avelength spin waves cancel out.

To continue, we write a representation similar to (9) for the spins  $_n$ , by using a new set of boson elds ( $b_n$ , n = 1; :::; N):

$${}^{+}_{n} = {}^{p} \overline{2s_{2}} b_{h}^{y} (1 \quad b_{h}^{y} b_{h} = 2s_{2}); \qquad {}_{n} = {}^{p} \overline{2s_{2}} b_{h}; \qquad {}^{z}_{n} = s_{2} + b_{h}^{y} b_{h}:$$
(10)

 $b_h$  and  $b_h^{\gamma}$  satisfy the same commutation relations (5), and are supposed to commute with the set of a bosons. Here the reference state is chosen in the opposite direction, in accord with the classical spin conguration in Fig.1.

U sing (9) and (10), we can nd the boson in age of any function of spin operators. In particular, we are interested in the boson representation of the spin

H am iltonian (1), which we denote by H  $_{\rm B}$ . For the purposes of SW T, it is instructive to express H  $_{\rm B}\,$  in terms of the Fourier transforms  $a_k\,$  and  $b_k\,$  of the boson operators  $a_n\,$  and  $b_n\,$ , by using the unitary Fourier transform ations

$$a_n = \frac{1}{p_{N_k}} X_k^{ikn} a_k; \quad b_n = \frac{1}{p_{N_k}} X_k^{ikn} b_k;$$
 (11)

and the identity

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{X^{N}} e^{i(k - k^{0})n} = k k^{0} :$$

It m ay be veried that this transform ation is canonical, by showing that the new operators  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  obey a set of commutation relations identical to (5). The wave vectors k in the last expressions are dened in the rst Brillouin zone:

$$k = \frac{2}{N}l;$$
  $l = \frac{N}{2} + 1;$   $\frac{N}{2} + 2; \dots; \frac{N}{2};$ 

Notice that the rung spins  $(s_n; n)$  in Fig. 1 compose the n-th magnetic (and lattice) elementary cell: this may be easily observed by interchanging the site spins of every (say) even rung in Fig. 1.

W e leave the Fourier transform ation of H  $_B\,$  as an exercise, and directly present the result in terms of the new operators  $a_k\,$  and  $b_k$ :

$$H_{B} = 2_{0}r_{s}S^{2} + H_{0} + V_{DM}; \qquad (12)$$

where

$$H_{0} = 2S \int_{k}^{A} a_{k}^{y} a_{k} + r_{s} b_{k}^{y} b_{k} + \frac{p_{r_{s}}}{r_{s}} a_{k}^{y} b_{k}^{y} + a_{k} b_{k} ;$$
(13)

$$V_{DM}^{0} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{12} 2_{1} 4a_{3}^{y}a_{2}b_{1}^{y}b_{4} + \frac{p}{r_{s}} + 2_{4}a_{3}^{y}b_{2}^{y}b_{1}^{y}b_{4} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r_{s}} 4a_{3}^{y}a_{2}a_{1}b_{4} : (14)$$

Here  $_{k} = J_{2} = 2 + \cosh (_{0} = J_{2} = 2 + 1)$ ,  $_{12}^{34}$   $(k_{1} + k_{2} - k_{3} - k_{4})$  is the K ronecker function, and we have introduced the abbreviations  $(k_{1};k_{2};k_{3};k_{4})$  (1;2;3;4) for the wave vectors.

In a standard spin-wave expansion,  $1=s_1$  and  $1=s_2$  are treated as sm all parameters, whereas the parameter  $r_s$  m ay be considered as a xed number of order unity. In such a perturbation scheme, it is convenient to set 1=S  $1=s_2$  and use 1=S as a sm all parameter. Thus, the rst term in (12) { the classical ground-state energy { is proportional to  $S^2$ , the LSW T Ham iltonian H\_0 is multiplied by S, and the spin-wave interaction term  $V_{D\,M}^{~0}$  has the order O (1). We shall follow a perturbation scheme where the diagonal term so  $fV_{D\,M}^{~0}$ , i.e. term s proportional to the occupation-number operators  $a_k^y a_k$  and  $b_k^y b_k$ , are treated together with H\_0 as a zeroth-order H am iltonian, whereas the rest of  $V_{D\,M}^{~0}$  is taken as a perturbation [9]. This is a more generic approach because for some reasons the spin-wave interactions m ay be weak even in the extrem e quantum system s with 1=S=2.

Spin W ave A nalysis of H eisenberg M agnets in Restricted G eom etries

#### 2.3 Quasiparticle Representation

In the next step, we diagonalize the quadratic H am iltonian H  $_0$ , by using the Bo-goliubov canonical transformation to quasiparticle boson operators (  $_k$  and  $_k)$  [3]:

$$a_k = u_k ( _k \quad x_k \quad _k^y); \quad b_k = u_k ( _k \quad x_k \quad _k^y); \quad u_k^2 (1 \quad x_k^2) = 1:$$
 (15)

It is a simple exercise to nd the transform ation parameters  $u_k$  and  $x_k$  from the condition which eliminates the o-diagonal terms  $_k$   $_k$  appearing in H  $_0$  after the transform ation (15). The result reads

$$u_{k} = \frac{r}{2 \mathbf{w}_{k}} ; \quad \mathbf{x}_{k} = \frac{k}{1 + \mathbf{w}_{k}} ; \quad (16)$$

7

where

$$\mathbf{u}_{k} = \stackrel{p}{\frac{1}{1}} \frac{2^{k}}{k}; \quad k = \frac{2^{k} \overline{r_{s}}}{r_{s} + 1} \frac{k}{0}; \quad (17)$$

In some applications, the quadratic H am iltonian H $_0$ m ay include additional ferrom agnetic bilinear term s (such as  $a_k^{\rm Y}b_k$ ) so that the actual diagonalization is more involved due to the increased num ber of parameters (16). Some diagonalization techniques for system s with large num ber of boson operators are presented in [11, 28].

A quasiparticle representation of the quartic term s (14) requires more technical work. As mentioned above, it is instructive to pick up the quadratic diagonal term s in  $V_{D\,M}^{0}$  and to treat them together with H<sub>0</sub> as a zeroth-order approximation. A simple way to do this is based on the presentation of  $V_{D\,M}^{0}$  as a sum of normal-ordered products of boson quasiparticle operators. A part from a constant, the resulting expression for  $V_{D\,M}^{0}$  contains diagonal and o -diagonal quadratic operator term s, and normal-ordered quartic operator term s. We have as an exercise this simple but somewhat cum bersome procedure and give the nal result for H<sub>B</sub> expressed in term s of the quasiparticle boson operators  $_{k}$  and  $_{k}$ :

$$H_{B} = E_{0} + H_{D} + V; \quad V = V_{2} + V_{DM}; \quad 1:$$
 (18)

Here E  $_0$  is the ground-state energy of the ferrin agnetic state calculated up to the order O (1) in the standard 1=S expansion:

$$\frac{E_0}{N} = 2_0 r_s S^2 \qquad _0 (1 + r_s) \qquad 1 \qquad \frac{1}{N} \qquad \overset{N}{}_k \qquad S + e_1 + O \qquad \frac{1}{S} \qquad ; \qquad (19)$$

where  $e_1 = 2(c_1^2 + c_2^2)$  J;  $(c_1^2 + c_3^2)$   $(2c_2 + J_2 c_3)c_1(r_s + 1)r_s^{1=2}$  and

$$c_{1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X}{k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_{k}}; \quad c_{2} = \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X}{k} \cos k \frac{k}{\mathbf{r}_{k}}; \quad c_{3} = \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X}{k} \frac{k}{\mathbf{r}_{k}}; \quad (20)$$

 $H_{\rm D}$  is the quadratic Ham iltonian resulting from  $\,H_{\rm 0}$  and the diagonal terms picked up from (14):

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & h & i \\ H_{D} &= 2S & !_{k}^{()} & y_{k} & k + !_{k}^{()} & y_{k} & k \end{array} ;$$
 (21)

where up to 0 (1=S) the dressed dispersions read

$$!_{k}^{(j)} = _{0} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{s} + 1}{2} \mathbf{u}_{k} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{s} - 1}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{g}_{k}}{2S} + 0 \frac{1}{S^{2}}$$
(22)

where  $g_k = (g_{k-k} - d_0) {"}_k^{1=2} = 2$  (r<sub>s</sub> 1) (2g + c<sub>3</sub>J<sub>2</sub>)  $r_s^{1=2} = 2$ ,  $g_k = 2c_1 (r_s + 1) k r_s^{1=2} + 4c_2 \cos k + 2c_3 J_2$ ,  $d_0 = 4c_{1-0} + (r_s + 1) (2c_2 + J_2 c_3) r_s^{1=2}$ .

The functions  $!_k^{(\ ;\ )}$  without O (1=S) corrections will be referred to as bare dispersions.

F inally, the quasiparticle interaction V includes two di erent terms, i.e. the two-boson interaction  $\ensuremath{\mathbf{v}}$ 

$$V_{2} = V_{k}^{+} V_{k}^{+} V_{k}^{+} V_{k} V_{k}$$
(23)

de ned by the vertex functions

$$V_{k} = \frac{d_{0 \ k} \quad q_{k}}{2''_{k}} \quad \frac{r_{s}}{p} \frac{1}{r_{s}} c_{1 \ k};$$
 (24)

and the quartic D yson {M aleev interaction

$$V_{D M} = \frac{J}{2N} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^{(1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^{Y} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^{(2)} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^{Y} \sum_{\substack{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4}}^$$

de ned by the vertex functions  $V_{12;34}^{(i)}$ , i = 1; :::;9. W e have adopted the sym m etric form of vertex functions used in [17]. The explicit form of  $V_{12;34}^{(i)}$  depends on the concrete m odel. For the ladder m odel (1), the vertex functions may be obtained from those of the H eisenberg ferrim agnetic chain [29], using the form all substitution cosk 7 ! cosk +  $J_2$  =2.

In the following we shall treat the spin-wave interaction V as a small perturbation to the diagonal H am iltonian  $E_{\rm 0}$  + H $_{\rm D}$ . To restore the standard 1=S series, one should (i) use bare dispersion functions, and (ii) resume the series in powers of 1=S.

# 3 Spin W ave Analysis of Quasi-1D Ferrim agnets

In this Sect.we analyze the m agnon spectrum and basic param eters of the quantum ferrim agnetic phase of the m odel (1), by using the developed spin-wave form alism and the Rayleigh {Schrodinger perturbation theory up to second order in . The SW T results are compared with available DMRG and ED num erical estim ates.

#### 3.1 Linear Spin W ave Approxim ations

In a standard linear spin-wave approximation we consider only the rst two terms in (12), and discard  $V_{D\ M}^{\circ}$  as a next-order term in 1=S. This corresponds to the rst two terms in the expression for the ground-state energy (19), and to the rst term in the expression for the quasiparticle dispersions (22). As a matter of fact, by using the normal-ordering procedure, we have already got even the next-order term s of the expansions in 1=S for these quantities.

## M agnon Excitation Spectrum

The quadratic H am iltonian H  $_{\rm D}$  de nes two branches of spin-wave excitations ( and magnons) described by the dispersion functions  $\binom{(\ ,\ )}{k}$  in the rst Brillouin zone k (see Fig. 2). The excited states  $\stackrel{\rm v}{_{\rm K}}$  Di ( $\stackrel{\rm v}{_{\rm K}}$  Di) belong to the subspace characterized by the quantum number  $S_{\rm T}^{\rm z} = S_{\rm T}$  1 ( $S_{\rm T}^{\rm z} = S_{\rm T} + 1$ ), where  $S_{\rm T} = (s_1 \ s)N$ . In the long wavelength limit k 1, the energies of magnons  $E_{\rm V}^{(\ )}$  have the Landau {Lifshitz form

$$E_{k}^{()} 2S !_{k}^{()} = \frac{\Re_{s}}{M_{0}}k^{2} + O(k^{4}); \qquad (26)$$

9

where  $\$_s$  is the spin sti ness constant [30]. This form of the G oldstone m odes is typical for H eisenberg ferrom agnets, and rejects the fact that the order parameter, i.e. the ferrom agnetic m om ent, is itself a constant of the m otion.



F ig. 2. M agnon excitation spectrum of the mixed-spin ladder  $(s_1;s_2) = (1;\frac{1}{2})$  for interchain couplings  $J_2 = 0.1$  and  $J_2 = 1$ . The dashed lines display the energy of m agnons  $E_k^{(-)}$  related to the H am iltonian  $H_D$ . The solid lines show the m agnon spectra as obtained from the second-order approximation in V. The energy of m agnons related to (22) is not displayed, as it closely follows the respective solid lines. The symbols indicate ED num erical results. The Figure is taken from [31].

The spin sti ness constant  $\$_s$  as well as M  $_0$  play a basic role in the low-tem perature therm odynam ics [32]. The parameter  $\$_s$  may be obtained from the Landau{Lifshitz relation and (22):

$$\frac{\vartheta_{s}}{2s_{1}s_{2}} = 1 \quad \frac{1}{s} \quad c_{1}\frac{r_{s}+1}{r_{s}} + \frac{c_{2}}{p} + 0 \quad \frac{1}{s^{2}} \quad :$$
(27)

The function  $E_k^{()}$  exhibits an additional minimum at the zone boundary, so that in the vicinity of it reads

$$E_{k}^{()} = {}^{()} + const(k)^{2}$$
: (28)

Here <sup>()</sup> is the excitation gap at the zone boundary. In the limit  $J_2$  ! 0, the excitation gap <sup>()</sup> (/  $J_2$ ) goes to zero. For ferror agnetic couplings  $J_2$  < 0, the k = m ode becomes unstable and produces global instability of the ferrin agnetic phase.

The function  $E_k^{()} = 2S !_k^{()} m$  ay be characterized by the spectral gaps  ${0 \choose 0}$  (at k = 0) and  ${()}$  (at k = ). The expression for  ${0 \choose 0}$  reads

$$\binom{0}{0} = 2_{0} (s_{1} - s_{2}) - 1 - \frac{2c_{2} + c_{3}J_{2}}{2S_{0} - r_{s}} + 0 - \frac{1}{S} :$$
 (29)

For the  $(s_1;s_2) = (1;\frac{1}{2})$  chain  $(J_2 = 0)$ , the last equations give the results  $s_s=2s_1s_2 = 0$ :761 and  $\binom{()}{0} = 1$ :676, to be compared with the results  $s_s=2s_1s_2 = 1$  and  $\binom{()}{0} = 1$  obtained in a standard linear approximation using the H am iltonian H  $_0$  [33, 34]. A comparison with the numerical Q M C result  $\binom{()}{0} = 1$ :759 [35] clearly demonstrates the importance of the 1=S corrections to the dispersion functions (22) in the extrem e quantum limit.

Sum m arizing, it m ay be stated that the linear approximation { based on the quadratic H am iltonian H<sub>D</sub> { gives a good qualitative description of the m agnon excitation spectrum of the m odel (1). The same conclusion is valid for the ground-state energy: The expression (19) has been found to produce an excellent t to the num ericalED results in a large interval up to  $J_2 = 10$  [31].

#### Sublattice M agnetizations

The on-site magnetizations  $m_A = h_n^z i$  and  $m_B = h_n^z i$  are parameters of the quantum ferrim agnetic phase which keep information for the long-range spin correlations. The simple LSW T results  $m_A = s_1$  q and  $m_B = s_2$  q show that quantum spin uctuations reduce the classical on-site magnetizations already at the level of non-interacting spin waves. H<sub>0</sub> produces the same results. The ratio

$$\frac{s_2 m_B}{s_2} = \frac{c_1}{s}$$
(30)

m ay be used as a measure of the zero-point motion in the quantum ground state. Thus, there appears to be a well-de ned sem iclassical limit S ! 1 where H<sub>0</sub> is a su ciently accurate approximation, provided  $c_1=S$  1. In this connection, it seems surprising that the spin-wave series for the  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  square-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnet produces the excellent result  $m_A = 0.3069(2)$  [36] { the recent stochastic-series QMC estimate is 0.3070(3) [37] { in spite of the fact that in this case the parameter  $c_1=S$  0.393 is not small. Even more illuminating is the  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  ferrim agnetic chain: In spite of the large parameter  $c_1=S$  0.610, the second-order SW T gives the precise result  $m_A = 0.79388$  [38] (DM RG estimate is  $m_A = 0.79248$  [39]). It is di cult to explain the accuracy of SW T in terms of the standard 1=S series. However, as will be shown below, the quasiparticle interaction V produces num erically small corrections to the principal zeroth-order approximation.

In the mixed-spin model (1) there appears an important rst-order correction to the sublattice magnetizations which is connected to the quadratic interaction  $V_2$ . Let us go beyond the linear approximation and calculate the O () correction to m<sub>A</sub>. The on-site magnetization m<sub>B</sub> may be obtained from the exact relation



F ig. 3. On-site magnetization (sublattice A) of the  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  ladder as a function of the interchain coupling  $J_2$ . The dashed and dashed-dotted lines display the series results up to rst order in 1=S (bare dispersions) and V (dressed dispersions). The solid line shows the series result up to second order in V. The Lanczos ED results for ladders with N = 12 rungs are denoted by open circles. The Figure is taken from [31].

 $m_A = s_1 \quad s_2 + m_B$  resulting from the conservation law for the ferror agnetic moment. The expression of  $m_A$  in terms of quasiparticle operators reads

$$m_{A} = s_{1} \quad q \quad \frac{1}{2N} \int_{k}^{X} \frac{h_{1}}{n_{k}} h_{k}^{y} + \int_{k}^{y} h_{k}^{z} + \int_{k}^{y} h_{k}^{z} + \int_{k}^{y} h_{k}^{y} + \int_{k}^{y} h_{k}^{z} + \int_{k}^{y} h_{k}^{z}$$

Now we make use of the standard perturbation form ula

$$h\hat{O}i^{(1)} = \frac{X}{\sum_{n \in 0} E_{0} E_{n}} + \frac{X}{\sum_{n \in 0} E_{n}} + \frac{x}{\sum_{n \in 0} E_{n}} + \frac{x}{\sum_{n \in 0} E_{n}}$$
(32)

giving the rst-order correction in V of hôi. Here ô is an arbitrary operator and h imeans a quantum -mechanical average over the exact ground state. The form ula is also valid in the case of non-Hermitian perturbations V. In our case, ô is a quadratic operator, so that the sum in (32) is restricted to the two-boson eigenstates  $j_{k}i = \int_{k}^{y} j_{k}j$  of H<sub>D</sub>, k being a wave vector from the rst Brillouin zone. The energies of these states are  $E_{k} = E_{0} = 2S(!_{k}^{(i)} + !_{k}^{(i)})$ . Finally, using the matrix elements

$$h0 y_2 \dot{n}_k i = V_k^{()}; \quad hn_k y_2 \dot{D} i = V_k^{(+)};$$
 (33)

we get the following result for m  $_{\rm A}$  calculated up to  $% 100\,{\rm st}$  order in V :

$$m_{A} = s_{1} \quad q \quad \frac{1}{4SN} \sum_{k}^{X} \frac{k_{k}}{m_{k}} \frac{V_{k}^{(+)} + V_{k}^{(-)}}{\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k}} + O(2): \quad (34)$$

To nd the standard 1=S correction to m  $_{\rm A}$  , we have to use in (34) the bare dispersion functions.

Figure 3 shows the results for m<sub>A</sub>, as obtained from (34) by using the bare and dressed dispersion functions (22). It is seen that the expansion in 1=S gives a sm all (unexpected) decrease of m<sub>A</sub> in the vicinity of  $J_2 = 0$ , whereas the expansion in V produces a correct qualitative result in this lim it. The indicated problem of the standard 1=S series probably results from enhanced uctuations of the individual chain m agnetizations about the com m on quantization axis. Indeed, at the special point  $J_2 = 0$  the classical ground state acquires an additional degeneracy under independent rotations of the chain ferror agnetic m om ents. Thus, the quartic diagonal interaction { included in H<sub>D</sub> { seem s to stabilize the com m on quantization axis connected to the global ferror agnetic m om ent. W e have an exam ple where the expansion in powers of V gives better results.

#### A ntiferrom agnetic C hain

It is instructive to consider the antiferrom agnetic chain as a special case ( $s_1 = s_2; J_2 = 0$ ) of the m ixed-spin m odel (1). A fler som e algebra, from (19) and (22) we nd the following simplied expressions for the ground-state energy (per site)

$$e_0 = S^2 \frac{h}{1 + \frac{1}{2S}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{-\frac{1}{2}} + 0 \frac{1}{S}$$
 (35)

and the magnon spectrum

$$!_{k}^{(i,j)} = \frac{E_{k}}{2S} = 1 + \frac{1}{2S} = 1 - \frac{2}{1} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2S} - \frac{1}{$$

of the antiferrom agnetic chain. For  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , the standard LSW T gives the result  $e_0 = 0.4317$  which is close to Hulthen's exact result  $\ln 2 + 1 = 4 = 0.443147$  [40]. It is an illum inating agreement, as the theory might have been expected to fail for magnetically disordered states. Notice, however, that the next-order approximation, i.e.  $e_0 = 0.4647$ , does not improve the SW T result. This indicates a poor convergence of the 1=S expansion. We can also check the series for  $S = \frac{3}{2}$ , by using the numerical result  $e_0 = 2.32833(1)$  [41] based on DMRG estimates for nite systems and the nite-size corrections to the energy, as derived from the W ess-Zum ino-W itten theory [42]. The rst two terms in the series (35) for  $S = \frac{3}{2}$  give the result  $e_0 = 2.79507$ . In this case, an inclusion of the next-order term in (35) produces the precise SW T result  $e_0 = 2.82808$ . Thus, already for  $S = \frac{3}{2}$  the spin-wave series shows a good convergence.

Turning to the magnon spectrum (36), we not that for  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  SW T qualitatively reproduces D es C loizeaux and Pearson's exact result for the one-magnon triplet excitation spectrum  $E_k = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{jsin} k j$  [43]. It is interesting that the 1=S correction in (36) in proves the standard LSW T result for the spin-wave velocity (c = 1) to the value c = 1:3634: the exact result is c = =2 1:5708. The magnon spectrum (36) is doubly degenerate and has the relativistic form  $E_k = c k j$  (cj k) near the point k = 0 (k = -), to be compared with the rigorous result where the spin-wave states, being eigenstates of spin 1, are triply degenerate. Long-wavelength spin waves correspond to states where all regions are locally in a N eel ground state but the direction of the sublattice magnetization makes long-wavelength rotations.

U sing (20) and (30), we nd the following expression for the on-site magnetization in the antiferrom agnetic chain Spin W ave A nalysis of H eisenberg M agnets in R estricted G eom etries 13

$$m = S \quad q = S + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2N} \quad \frac{X}{j \sin k j} = 1 :$$
 (37)

W e see that in 1D the quantum correction is divergent at smallwave vectors already in the leading LSW T approximation, no matter how large is S.This indicates that the N eel state is destabilized by quantum uctuations, so that the concept of spinwave expansion fails.

F inally, it is instructive to calculate the long-wavelength behavior of the correlation function  $hs_n = x i.U$  sing the D yson (M aleev representation pand (15), one nds  $hs_n = x i = S^2 + 2Sha_n b_{n+x} i + where has i = (1=2N)_k (cosk=pink) exp(ikx)$ . Thus, in the limit x 1 one obtains

$$hs_{n} \quad _{n+x}i = \quad S^{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{S} \ln x + 0 \quad \frac{1}{S^{2}} \quad i \quad (38)$$

This indicates that in the sem iclassical limit  $S \ ! \ 1$  the antiferrom agnetic chain is ordered at exponentially large scales '  $a_0 \exp(S)$  [44]. Here we have restored the lattice spacing  $a_0$ .

#### 3.2 Spin W ave Interactions

We have already discussed some e ects of the quasiparticle interaction V, by calculating the rst-order correction to the sublattice magnetizations m<sub>A</sub> and m<sub>B</sub>. Notice that O () corrections to the ground-state energy (19) as well as to the dispersion functions (22) do not appear. Indeed, it is easy to see that the corresponding matrix elements h0 jV jDi and hn<sub>k</sub> jV jn<sub>k</sub> i (jn<sub>k</sub>i =  $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ k \end{pmatrix}$  jDi, or  $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ k \end{pmatrix}$  jDi) vanish as a result of the norm all ordering of V. It will be shown below that the O (<sup>2</sup>) corrections lead to further in provement of the spin-wave results. To that end, we consider two examples, i.e. the ground-state energy E<sub>0</sub> and the dispersion function ! $\binom{()}{k}$ . The reader is referred to the original literature for similar calculations concerning the parameters m<sub>A</sub>,  $\aleph_s$  [31], and  $\binom{()}{0}$  [29].

The calculations m ay be performed within the standard perturbation formula

$$E_{i}^{(2)} = \frac{X}{E_{i}} \frac{\text{hify jjihjfy ji}}{E_{i}} \qquad (39)$$

giving the second-order correction in V to the eigenvalue  $E_{\rm i}$  of the eigenstate jii of a non-perturbed H am iltonian. In our case, the zeroth-order H am iltonian is  $E_{\rm 0}$  + H  $_{\rm D}$ , and the perturbation V is given by (12). The sum in (39) runs over the eigenstates of H  $_{\rm D}$ .

#### Second-O rder C orrections to E<sub>0</sub>

W e consider corrections to the vacuum state jii jDi, so that the energy  $E_1~E_0$  is given by (19). There are two types of O ( $^2$ ) corrections to  $E_0$  which are connected with the interactions  $V_2$  and  $V_{D\,M}$ .

First, we proceed with the quadratic interaction  $V_2$ . It is easily seen that only the states jji  $j_k i = {y \atop k} {y \atop k} {y \atop k}$  Di produce non-zero matrix elements in (39). The dominator for these two-boson states reads  $E_0 = E_k = 2S(!_k^{(-)} + !_k^{(-)})$ , where

 $\binom{(, ;)}{k}$  are de ned by (22). U sing the above results and (33), we get the following correction to the ground-state energy (19) com ing from V<sub>2</sub>:

$$E_{0}^{(2)^{0}} = \frac{1}{2S} \frac{X}{k} \frac{V_{k}^{(+)}V_{k}^{(-)}}{I_{k}^{(-)} + I_{k}^{(-)}} :$$
(40)

Next, we consider the D yson {M aleev interaction  $V_{D\,M}$ . Looking at the explicit expression of  $V_{D\,M}$  (25), we nd that only the term with the vertex function  $V_{12;34}^{(7)}$  ( $V_{12;34}^{(8)}$ ) does not annihilate the vacuum state ji (noj). Thus, the sum in (39) runs over the four-boson eigenstates jl234i = (212!)  $^{1=2}$  y y y y y J i. The related m atrix elements read

h1234
$$\mathbf{y}_{\text{D}M}$$
  $\mathbf{\hat{p}i} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{V}_{12;34}^{(7)} \frac{34}{12}$ ; h0 $\mathbf{\hat{y}}_{\text{D}M}$   $\mathbf{\hat{j}}$ 1234 $\mathbf{\hat{i}} = -\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{V}_{43;12}^{(8)} \frac{34}{12}$ ;

U sing these expressions, we  $\,$  nd the follow ing correction to the ground-state energy resulting from  $\,V_{D\,\,M}\,$  :

$$E_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2S} \frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{X}{1_{1}} \frac{34}{1_{2}} \frac{V_{43;12}^{(8)}V_{12;34}^{(7)}}{!_{1}^{(1)} + !_{2}^{(1)} + !_{3}^{(1)} + !_{4}^{(1)}} :$$
(41)

Notice that the second-order correction to  $E_0$  in powers of 1=S is the sum of  $E_0^{(2)^{\circ}}$  and  $E_0^{(2)^{\circ\circ}}$  but calculated with the bare dispersion functions.

# Second-O rder C orrections to $!_{k}^{()}$

Now we are interested in perturbations to the one-m agnon states jii  $j_k i = \frac{y}{k} j_{k-1}^{(2)}$ . The calculations m ay be performed by following the method already used for E<sub>0</sub>. Since we are treating an excited eigenstate, there appear new types of corrections connected to the vertex functions  $V_{12j34}^{(2)}$  and  $V_{12j34}^{(3)}$ . These terms m ay be predicted, e.g. by drawing the diagram s shown in Fig. 4. Notice that the graphical representation of the vertex functions in Fig. 4 is connected to the original literature (see, e.g. [9, 17, 45]) where this diagram technique is explained in detail. We leave these simple calculations as an exercise, and directly present the expression for the second-order corrections to  $!_k^{(1)}$ :

$$I_{k}^{(\ )} = \frac{1}{(2S)^{2}} \frac{V_{k}^{(+)}V_{k}^{(\ )}}{I_{k}^{(\ )} + I_{k}^{(\ )}} \frac{2}{N} \frac{X}{N} \frac{V_{p}^{(+)}V_{kp,pk}^{(2)} + V_{p}^{(-)}V_{kp,pk}^{(3)}}{I_{p}^{(\ )} + I_{p}^{(\ )}} + \frac{2}{N} \frac{X}{I_{p}^{(\ )} + I_{p}^{(\ )}} \frac{V_{p}^{(+)}V_{kp,pk}^{(2)} + V_{p}^{(-)}V_{kp,pk}^{(3)}}{I_{p}^{(\ )} + I_{p}^{(\ )}}$$

$$+ \frac{2}{N} \frac{X}{I_{p}^{2}} \frac{X}{I_{k}^{(+)} + I_{p}^{(-)} + I_{k}^{(-)} + I_{p}^{(-)}}{I_{k}^{(+)} + I_{p}^{(-)} + I_{p}^{(-)} + I_{p}^{(-)}} + \frac{V_{43;2k}^{(3)}V_{k2;34}^{(2)}}{I_{k}^{(+)} + I_{p}^{(-)} + I_{q}^{(-)} + I_{q}^{(-)}}$$

$$: (42)$$

It is interesting to note that the vertex functions  $V_k^{\,(\ )}$ ,  $V_{kppk}^{\,(2)}$ ,  $V_{kppk}^{\,(3)}$ ,  $V_{43;2k}^{\,(3)}$ , and  $V_{43;2k}^{\,(3)}$  vanish at the zone center k = 0  $^1$ , so that the gapless structure of ! $_k^{\,(\ )}$  is preserved separately by each of the second-order corrections in (42). Thus, we have an example demonstrating some of the good features of the Dyson{M aleev form alism .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A nalytical properties of the vertex functions have been studied in [46]



F ig. 4. Second-order self-energy diagram s giving the corrections to the dispersion function  $\binom{()}{k}$ . Solid and dashed lines represent, respectively, the bare propagators for and magnons. The Figure is taken from [29].

#### 3.3 C om parison with N um erical R esults

We have already presented in Figs. 2 and 3 second-order SW T results for the dispersion functions  $\binom{(i)}{k}$  and the on-site magnetization  $m_A$  of the  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  ladder. The comparison shows that the SW T dispersion functions closely follow the ED data in the whole Brillouin zone. For instance, the SW T result for the gap  $\binom{(i)}{0}$  at  $J_2 = 0.1$  di ers by less than 0.5% from the ED estimate. Turning to  $m_A$ , we nd a precision higher than 0.3% in the whole interval 0  $J_2$  3. These are illuminating results, as in the considered system the perturbation parameter 1=S = 2 is large. To understand these results, let us consider, e.g. the series for the spectral gap  $\binom{(i)}{0}$  of the  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  chain [29]:

$$\frac{\binom{0}{0}}{2(s_1 \ s_1)} = 1.6756^{0} + 0.1095^{2} \quad 0.0107^{3} + 0 (4):$$

A lthough 1=S = 2, we see that the quasiparticle interaction V  $\,$  introduces num erically sm all corrections to the zeroth-order approxim ation H  $_D$  .

Table 1. Spin-wave results for the parameters  $e_0 = E_0 = N$ ,  $m_A$ , and  $_0 = {\binom{0}{0}} = 2 (s_1 \quad s_1)$  of di erent  $(s_1; s_2)$  H eisenberg chains calculated, respectively, up to the orders 1=S,  $1=S^2$ , and  $1=S^3$ . The SW T results are compared with available DMRG estimates which are, respectively, denoted by  $e_0$ ,  $m_A$  [39], and  $_0$  [47].

$(s_1; s_2)$	eo	eo	m <sub>A</sub>	m <sub>A</sub>	0	0
$1;\frac{1}{2}$	-1.45432	-1.45408	0.79388	0.79248	1.7744	1.76
$\frac{3}{2};1$	-3.86321	-3.86192	1.14617	1.14427	1.6381	1.63
$\frac{3}{2};\frac{1}{2}$	-1.96699	-1.96727	1.35666	1.35742	1.4217	1.42
$2;\frac{1}{2}$	-2.47414		1.88984		1,2938	1.29

Finally, in Table 1 we have collected SW T results for di erent ferrin agnetic chains. It is interesting to note that even in the extrem e quantum cases  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  and  $(\frac{3}{2};1)$ , deviations from the DMRG estimates are less than 0:03% for the energy and 0.2% for the on-site magnetization. Moreover, it is seen that the increase of  $r_s = s_1 = s_2$  { keeping  $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$  xed { leads to a rapid in provement of the 1=S series. The above results suggest that the H eisenberg ferrin agnetic chains and ladders are exam ples of low-dimensional quantum spin systems where the spin-wave approach is an elective theoretical tool.

# 4 Applications to 2D Heisenberg Antiferrom agnets

In this Sect. we survey recent applications of the spin-wave approach to 2D H eisenberg spin system s, the em phasis being on the ground-state parameters of the squareand triangular-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnets. We shall skip m ost of the technical details, as the discussed spin-wave form alism actually does not depend on the space dimension. As already mentioned, for the last decade SW T has been found to produce suprisingly accurate results for the ground-state parameters of the square-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnet even in the extrem e quantum limit  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ . Below we collect these results and compare them with recent QM C num ericalestimates. As to the triangular antiferrom agnet, it seems to be a rare example of m agnetically flustrated spin system where the spin-wave expansion is e ective. In this case, we also give some technical details concerning the spin-wave expansion, as it includes some new issues resulting from the coplanar arrangement of classical spins.

# 4.1 Square-Lattice A ntiferrom agnet

The square-lattice S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  H eisenberg antiferrom agnet { being a simple and rather generalm odel to describe the undoped copper-oxide materials { has received a great deal of interest for the last decade. Now it is widely accepted that the ground state of the model is characterized by antiferrom agnetic long-rage order. Thus, the role of quantum spin uctuations is restricted to reduction of the sublattice magnetization from its classical value  $\frac{1}{2}$  by about 39%.<sup>2</sup> In a sem inal work by Chakravarty, H alperin, and N elson [48] { using the renorm alization-group approach to study the quantum non-linear model in 2+1 space-time dimension { it has been shown that in the so-called renorm alized classical regime  $k_B T$  s the therm odynam ic properties of the 2D quantum H eisenberg antiferrom agnet are dom inated by magnon excitations, so that the leading and next-to-leading corrections in  $k_B T = s$  are fully controlled by three physical parameters, ie the spin sti ness constant s,<sup>3</sup> the spin-wave velocity c, and the on-site magnetization m, calculated at T = 0 (see also [49]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> C om pare with the reduction of about 42% of the classical on-site m agnetization  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the  $(1;\frac{1}{2})$  ferrin agnetic chain (see Table 1).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  T his quantity, m easuring the response of the system to an in nitesim altwist of the spins around an axis perpendicular to the direction of the broken symmetry, should not be confused with the spin sti ness constant of the ferrom agnetic state  $%_s$  connected to the Landau (Lifshitz relation (26).

M oreover, it has been argued that the discussed universal therm odynam ic properties appear for arbitrary  $k_B T = s$ , provided that 0 < s J and  $k_B T$  J, J being the nearest-neighbor exchange constant [50].

The quantities  $s_{s}$ , and c appear as input parameters in the quantum non-linear model de ned by the Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{s}{2c^2} \left(\frac{\theta n}{\theta t}\right)^2 \left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \left(\frac{\theta n}{\theta x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\theta n}{\theta y}\right)^2 ; \qquad (43)$$

where the vector staggered eld n = n(t;x;y) satis es the non-linear constraint  $n^2 = 1$ . This model may be introduced using arguments based on general grounds: As long as the continuous 0 (3) sym m etry is spontaneously broken, the sym m etry of the problem requires that the interaction of the Goldstone modes, i.e. spin waves, of the system in the long-wavelength lim it be described by this model regardless of the details of the macroscopic Hamiltonian and the value of the spin. For the square-lattice antiferrom agnet, close to k = (0;0) and ( ; ) the m agnon spectrum takes the relativistic form  $s E_k = c_k j$  and j kj.cbeing the spin-wave velocity. If we expand n as  $(1; _1; _2)$ , where the  $_i$  are small compared to unity, then the equations of motion following from (43) show that there are two modes both of which have the dispersion  $E_k = c_k j$  as expected. If we expand the Lagrangian to higher orders in i, we not that there are interactions between the spin waves whose strength is proportional to c=s, which is of order 1=S.W e thus see that all the parameters appearing in (43) can be determined by SW T.Compared to the standard 1=S expansion, the hydrodynam ic approach is more generic in two points, i.e. (i) it is applicable to magnetically disordered phases, and (ii) it may lead to non-perturbative results which are beyond the reach of SW T (see, e.g. [51, 52, 53]).

G round-state parameters of the S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  square-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnet have been studied in great detail using a variety of techniques, including SW T, QMC, and series expansions [15]. An early QMC study by Reger and Young [54] indicated that the SW T gives a good quantitative description of the ground state. Series expansions around the Ising lim it perform ed by Singh [55, 56] found the re-0:18J and c 1:7J, both in good agreem ent with the rst-order SW T sults s [6]. Later on, higher-order calculations dem onstrated that the second-order corrections in 1=S to the parameters s, c and m are sm all { even in the extrem e quantum  $\lim it S = \frac{1}{2}$  { and improve the SW T results. For instance { using both the D yson { Maleev and Holstein (Primako formalisms up to second order in 1=S { Hamer et al calculated the ground-state energy E  $_0$ =N and the sublattice m agnetization m [36]. Both form alism s were shown to give identical results closely approximating previous series estim ates [57]. Di erent scienti c groups have presented consistent second-order SW T results for the spin-wave velocity c [58, 59, 60], the uniform transverse susceptibility  $_{?}$  [59, 61] and the spin stiness constant  $_{s}^{4}$  [59, 61]. In Table 2 we have collected som e of these results, dem onstrating an excellent agreement with recent high-precision numerical estimates [37] obtained by using the stochastic series expansion QMC method for L L lattices with L up to 16.

The accuracy of SW T may be understood in terms of the spin-wave interaction V.Indeed, let us consider the 1=S series for m [36]

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  The reported third-order SW T result for this parameter is 0.1750(1)[61].

T ab le 2. Second-order SW T results for the ground-state energy per site  $e_0 = E_0 = N$ [36], the on-site magnetization m [36, 59], the spin-wave velocity c [59, 60], the uniform transverse susceptibility  $_2$  [59, 61], and the spin stiness constant  $_s$ [59, 61] of the S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  square-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnet. The SW T results are compared to recent stochastic series expansion QMC estimates for L L lattices with L up to 16 [37]. The series risults for  $e_0$ , m and  $_2$  are taken from [62], and those for  $_s$  and c { from [61]. The gures in parentheses show the errors in the last signi cant gure.  $h = a_0 = J = 1$ .

Q uantity	SW T	QMC	Series
0	0.669494 (4)	0.669437 (5)	0.6693(1)
m	0.3069(1)	0.3070(3)	0.307(1)
С	1.66802(3)	1.673(7)	1.655 (12)
?	0.06291(1)	0.0625 (9)	0.0659(10)
s	0.180978	0.175(2)	0.182(5)

$$m = S = 0:1966019 + \frac{0:003464}{(2S)^2} + O = \frac{1}{S^3}$$
 : (44)

For  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , the related series in powers of simply reads  $m = 0.3033981^{0} + 0.003464^{2} + 0$  (<sup>3</sup>), so that the spin-wave interaction V introduces numerically small corrections to the leading approximation. The same conclusion is valid for the other parameters.

# 4.2 Triangular-Lattice Antiferrom agnet

The H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on a triangular lattice with nearest-neighbor exchange interactions is a typical example of strongly frustrated spin m del.<sup>5</sup>

A fler a long period of intensive studies { see, e.g. [64] and references therein { it is now widely accepted that the classical coplanar ground state survives quantum uctuations. This state m ay be represented by the ansatz

$$\frac{s_r}{s} = \hat{z} \cos(q_M r) + \hat{x} \sin(q_M r); \qquad (45)$$

where  $q_M = (\frac{4}{3};0)$  is the wave vector of the magnetic pattern,  $\hat{x}$ ?  $\hat{z}$  are unit coordinate vectors in the spin space, and r runs on the lattice sites. A s usual, the lattice spacing  $a_0$  is set to unity. The classical spins lay in the (x;z) plane, and point in three di erent directions so that the angle  $\frac{2}{3}$  is settled between any pair of spins in the elementary triangle  $(s_a;s_b;s_c)$ .

In performing the 1=S expansion about non-collinear reference states such as (45), one faces some novelties which will be discussed in the remainder of this Sect. One of them concerns the number of boson elds needed to keep track of the whole m agnon spectrum. This is an important practical issue, as higher-order spin-wave expansions involving m ore than two boson elds are, as a rule, technically intractable. In the general case, this number should be equal to the number

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For a recent review on frustrated quantum m agnets, see [63].

of spins in the magnetic elementary cell, so that for the magnetic structure (45) we would need three boson elds. However, in several special cases we can transform the non-collinear magnetic structures into a ferrom agnetic con guration by applying a uniform twist on the coordinate frame. These special systems have the property that their magnon spectrum has no gaps at the boundaries of the reduced magnetic Brillouin zone connected to the magnetic pattern. The triangular-lattice antiferrom agnet full lls this rule, so that we may describe the system by a single boson eld, as in the ferrom agnetic case. In the remainder of this Sect. we shall follow this approach [65].

To that end, let us notate the spin coordinate fram e about the y axis by the angle  $_{rr^0} = q_M$  (r  $^{\circ}$  for any pair of neighboring spins ( $s_r; s_{r^0}$ ), in accord to the reference state (45). In the local reference fram e, the H eisenberg H am iltonian acquires the form

$$H = \sum_{\substack{rr^{0} \\ (r;r^{0})}}^{X} \sum_{rr^{0}}^{h} s_{r}^{x} s_{r^{0}}^{x} + s_{r}^{z} s_{r^{0}}^{z} + \sin_{rr^{0}} s_{r}^{z} s_{r^{0}}^{x} + s_{r}^{y} s_{r^{0}}^{y} + s_{r}^{y} s_{r^{0}}^{y}; \quad (46)$$

where the sum runs over all pairs of nearest-neighbor sites of the triangular lattice. N ext, using the Holstein (Prim ako transform ation (4)<sup>6</sup> and the procedures de-

scribed in Sect. 2, we nd the following boson representation for (46)

$$H_{B} = \frac{3}{2}S^{2}N + 3S \int_{k}^{X} A_{k}a_{k}^{y}a_{k} + \frac{B_{k}}{2}a_{k}^{y}a_{k}^{y} + a_{k}a_{k} + V; \quad (47)$$

 $A_k = 1 + {}_k=2, B_k = {}_3 {}_k=2, and {}_k = \frac{1}{3} \left[ \cos k_x + 2 \cos (k_x=2) \cos (\overline{3}k_y=2) \right]$ . Here and in the rem ainder of this Sect., k takes N values from the rst B rillouin zone of the triangular lattice.

Up to quartic anham onic terms, the expansion of the square root in (46) produces the following spin-wave interaction  $V = V_3 + V_4$ , where

$$V_{3} = i \frac{S}{2} \frac{3}{2^{2} N} X_{(1 + 2)(a_{1}^{y} a_{2}^{y} a_{3} a_{3}^{y} a_{2} a_{1}); \qquad (48)$$

$$V_{4} = \frac{3}{16N} \frac{X \ n}{12;34} a_{1}^{y} a_{2}^{y} a_{3} a_{4} + \frac{(2)}{123} (a_{1}^{y} a_{2}^{y} a_{3}^{y} a_{4} + a_{4}^{y} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}); \quad (49)$$

 $k = \frac{1}{3} [\sin k_x \quad 2\sin (k_x=2)\cos(\frac{p}{3}k_y=2)], \quad {}^{(1)}_{12;34} = 4_{1-3} + 4_{2-3} + _{1+2} + _{3+4},$  and  ${}^{(2)}_{12;3} = 2(_1 + _2 + _3)$ . For simplicity, in the last expressions we have om itted the K ronecker function, and have used the abbreviations for the wave vectors introduced in Sect. 2.2.

A novelty here is the triple boson interaction  $V_3 = 0$  (S<sup>1=2</sup>), which is typical for system s exhibiting non-collinear magnetic patterns. We shall see below that such kind of interactions complicate the calculation of higher-order 1=S corrections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The choice of the transform ation is a matter of convenience, as the nal results { at least to second order in 1=S { are independent of the boson representation.

#### Linear Spin W ave Approxim ation

In a standard LSW T, we discard V and diagonalize the quadratic part of (47) by the Bogoliubov transformation  $a_k = u_k \begin{pmatrix} x & x_k \end{pmatrix}^{y}$ . The parameters  $u_k$  and  $x_k$  are defined by (16) and (17), but in this case  $k = 3 k = \frac{1}{2} 2 + \frac{1}{2} k$ . The diagonalization yields the free-quasiparticle H am iltonian  $H_0 = 3S_k \frac{1}{2} k \frac{y}{k} k$ , where the dispersion function

$$E_k = 3S_k^k = 3S_k^{(1)} (1 + 2_k)$$
(50)

gives the m agnon energies in a LSW T approximation, to be compared with the m agnon spectrum resulting from the approach using three boson edds [66]. It is easy to check that the dispersion function (50) exhibits three zero modes, as it should be since the H am iltonian sym m etry O (3) is completely broken by the m agnetic pattern (45). Two of these modes are at the ordering wave vectors  $\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{q}_1$ , whereas the third zero mode at  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  describes soft uctuations of the total magnetization. Expanding about the zero modes, we not the following expressions for the spin-wave velocities [67]

$$c_{0?}$$
  $c_{q_{M}} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{3-2} S; c_{0k} \quad q_{k=0} = \frac{3^{2}}{2} \frac{3}{2} S:$  (51)

Let us now calculate the on-site magnetization  $m = hs_r^2 i = S \quad ha_r^y a_r i.Using$  the Bogoliubov transformation, we ind for the density of particles  $ha_k^y a_k i = 1=2+1=(2^{"}_k)$ , so that the LSW T result for m reads [66]

$$m = S + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2N} \frac{X}{k} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{k}} = S - 0.2613$$
: (52)

For  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ , the LSW T result is m = 0.2387. Since the reported leading 1=S correction to m is small and positive<sup>7</sup>, there is a clear disagreement with the recent QMC estimate m = 0.20 (6) [69].

## Spin W ave Interactions

Here we consider as an example the calculation of 1=S corrections to the m agnon spectrum (50). There are two di erent types of corrections related to the spin-wave interactions  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  in (48). Turning to  $V_4$ , notice that we have already learned (Sect. 2.3) that the required correction may be obtained by expressing  $V_4$  as a sum of normal products of quasiparticle operators: the diagonal quadratic term s give the required 1=S correction to the spectrum. However, in several cases we are not interested in the quasiparticle representation of  $V_4$ . Then, it is possible to follow another way by decoupling the quartic operator products in  $V_4$ . A ctually, this procedure takes into account the so-called one-loop diagram s, and m ay be perform ed within a form al substitution of the operator products, such as  $a_1^y a_2^y a_3 a_4$ , by the follow ing sum over all the non-zero pair boson correlators

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> W e are aware of two such calculations reporting, how ever, som ew hat di erent corrections, i.e. 0:0055=S [68] and 0:00135=S [65].

Spin W ave A nalysis of H eisenberg M agnets in R estricted G eom etries 21

$$a_{1}^{y}a_{2}^{y}a_{3}a_{4} 7 ! \qquad ha_{1}^{y}a_{2}^{y}ia_{3}a_{4} + a_{1}^{y}a_{2}^{y}ha_{3}a_{4}i \quad ha_{1}^{y}a_{2}^{y}iha_{3}a_{4}i :$$
(53)

As suggested by the quadratic form in (47), there are two types of boson correlators, i.e.  $h_1^y a_2 i$  and  $h_1 a_2 i = h_1^y a_2^y i$ , contributing in (53). The constant term s in (53) give rst-order corrections to the ground state energy, whereas the quadratic operator products renorm alize the coe cients A  $_k$  and B  $_k$  in (47). Thus, the interaction V<sub>4</sub> renorm alizes the bare dispersion function  $!_k$  to

$$!_{k} = \stackrel{P}{A_{k}^{2} \quad B_{k}^{2}}; \qquad (54)$$

where the new coe cients  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  can be expressed in the form <sup>8</sup>

$$A_k = A_k + \frac{a_1}{2S} + \frac{a_2}{2S}$$
;  $B_k = B_k + \frac{b_1}{2S} + \frac{b_2}{2S}$ :

An analysis of (54) indicates that the renorm alized spectrum still preserves the zero mode at k = 0, but at the same time acquires non-physical gaps at k =

 $q_i$ . The reason for such kind of behavior of the SW T is connected with the fact that we have om itted the 1=S corrections resulting from V<sub>3</sub>. Indeed, the spin-wave interaction V<sub>3</sub> has the order O (S<sup>1=2</sup>), so that a simple power counting indicates that 1=S corrections to !<sub>k</sub> appear in the second-order of the perturbation theory in V<sub>3</sub>. We shall skip the details of this calculation, as it m ay be performed entirely in the fram ework of them ethod presented in Sect. 2. N am ely, one should express V<sub>3</sub> in terms of quasiparticle operators, and then apply the general perturbation form ula (32) for the interaction V<sub>3</sub>, by using the dressed dispersions (54). As a matter of fact, as we are interested in corrections up to 1=S, we can use the bare dispersion function (50). The nal result of this calculation shows that the 1=S correction resulting from V<sub>3</sub> exactly vanishes the gap (produced by V<sub>4</sub>), so that the structure ofm agnon spectrum (50) { containing three zero m odes { is preserved in the leading rst-order approxim ation [70]. B ased on the renorm alized dispersion, the follow ing expressions for the spin-wave velocities (51) have been reported [65]:

$$c_k = c_{0k} \quad 1 \quad \frac{0.115}{2S}$$
;  $c_2 = c_{02} \quad 1 + \frac{0.083}{2S}$ :

Notice that the 1=S corrections dim inish the ratio  $c_k = c_2$  from the LSW T result 1:41 to the value 1:16. These expressions indicate that the leading corrections to the m agnon spectrum are num erically sm all even in the case  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ . G ood convergence has been found also for the 1=S series of the magnetic susceptibilities  $_2$  and  $_k$  [71, 72] which appear as parameters of the magnetic susceptibility tensor [73]

Here  $\hat{y}$  is a unit vector directed perpendicular to the basal (x;z) plane of the planar m agnetic structure.

Sum m arizing, the available SW T results point towards a good convergence of the perturbative spin-wave series in the triangular-lattice H eisenberg antiferrom agnet. This is remarkable, as the spin-wave expansion m ight have been expected to fail for strongly frustrated m agnetic system s.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For brevity, here we om it the expressions for the constants  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_1$ , and  $b_2$  [65].

#### 5 M odi ed Spin W ave Theories

Here we consider some modi cations of the standard spin-wave theory allowing for an analysis of magnetically disordered phases. These may appear either as a result of quantum uctuations { a classical example being the spin-S Heisenberg antiferrom agnetic chain discussed in Sect. 3.1 { or due to therm al uctuations, as in 1D and 2D Heisenberg magnets with short-range isotropic interactions [14]. For the antiferrom agnetic chain, we have indicated that the failure of SW T arises already in the linear spin-wave approximation as a divergency in the boson-occupation num bers  $n_i = h_i^y a_i i = 1$  implying  $h_i^z i = 1$ . In nite number of spin waves also appears at T > 0, when the T = 0 magnetic phases of low-dimensional Heisenberg system s do not survive therm al uctuations. A ctually, the occupation num bers  $n_i$  should not exceed 2S { as dictated by the spin algebra { and the magnetization should be zero, as required by the SW T based on ad hoc constraints imposing xed num ber of bosons.

The rst generalized spin-wave theory of this kind has been form ulated by Takahashi to study the low-T therm odynam ics of 1D and 2D H eisenberg ferrom agnets [74, 75]. Takahashi's idea was to supplement the standard SW T of H eisenberg ferrom agnets with the constraint in posing zero ferrom agnetic m on ent at T > 0:

$$M = \underset{n=1}{\overset{X^{N}}{hs_{n}^{z}i=SN}} \begin{array}{c} X \\ ha_{k}^{y}a_{k}i=0: \end{array}$$
(55)

D epending on the context, in the rem ainder of this Sect.hA im eans the expectation value of the operator A at T = 0 or T > 0.Q uite suprisingly, it was found an excellent agreem ent with the Bethe-ansatz low-tem perature expansions of the free energy and m agnetic susceptibility for the S =  $\frac{1}{2}$  H eisenberg ferrom agnetic chain. Sim ilar extensions of SW T have been suggested for H eisenberg antiferrom agnets both at T = 0 [76, 77] and at T > 0 [78, 79], by using the same constraint equation (55) but for the sublattice m agnetization. Below we discuss some applications of the m odi ed SW T to low-dimensional H eisenberg antiferrom agnets both at T = 0 and at nite temperatures.

#### 5.1 Square-Lattice Antiferrom agnet at F in ite T

U sing the D yson {M aleev transform ations (9) and (10), the boson H am iltonian  $H_B^{'}$  of the square-lattice antiferrom agnet reads

$$H_{B}^{0} = \frac{N}{2}JzS^{2} + \frac{X}{A_{k}}(a_{k}^{y}a_{k} + b_{k}^{y}b_{k}) + B_{k}(a_{k}^{y}b_{k}^{y} + a_{k}b_{k}) + V_{DM}^{0}; \quad (56)$$

whereas the constraint equation for the total sublattice magnetization takes the form  $\chi$ 

$$ha_{k}^{y}a_{k} + b_{k}^{y}b_{k}i = SN$$
 : (57)

The wave vector k runs in the small (magnetic) Brillouin zone  $j_{kx}$   $k_{y} j$  containing N =2 points.  $A_{k} = JSz_{k}$ ,  $B_{k} = JSz_{k}$ ,  $k = \frac{1}{2} (\cos k_{x} + \cos k_{y})$ , and z = 4 is the lattice coordination number.

In essence, the constraint equation (57) introduces an elective cut-o for unphysical states [80]. To see this, let us consider the  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  system. A coording to (57), the average number of, say, the magnons is N =4, whereas the total number of one-magnon states in the magnetic B rillouin zone is N =2. Thus, after introducing the constraint (57), the elective number of allowed states in the boson H ilbert space is

$$\frac{(N=2)!}{(N=4)!(N=4)!}^{2} \frac{4}{N} \frac{2^{N}}{N};$$

so that with logarithm ic accuracy the correct dimension  $2^{\text{N}}\,$  is restored.

To implement the constraint equation in the theory, we introduce, as usual, a chemical potential for the boson elds, i.e. instead of  $H_B^{\circ}$  we consider the Hamiltonian X

$$H_{B} = H_{B}^{0} \qquad (a_{k}^{y} a_{k} + b_{k}^{y} b_{k}); \qquad (58)$$

where is xed by the constraint equation (57). Notice that the introduction of a chem ical potential simply renormalizes the coeccient  $A_k$ !  $A_k$  so that we can apply the form alism from Sect. 2 without any changes.

U sing the B ogoliubov transform ation (15) with the parameter  $_{k} = JzS _{k} = (JzS)$ , one nds the following quasiparticle representation of H <sub>B</sub> (see, e.g. [17])

$$H_{B} = E_{0} + H_{D} + V_{DM} ; \qquad (59)$$

where E  $_{\rm 0}$  is the ground-state energy calculated up to  $\,$  rst-order of the perturbation theory in 1=S :

$$E_{0} = \frac{N}{2}zJS^{2} + \frac{r}{2S}^{2}; r = 1 - \frac{2}{N}N + \frac{r}{1 - \frac{2}{k}}; (60)$$

As we know from Sect. 2.3, the free-quasiparticle Ham iltonian

$$H_{D} = \sum_{k}^{X} E_{k} \left( \frac{y}{k} + \frac{y}{k} \right)$$
(61)

includes the diagonal quadratic terms resulting from  $V_{D\ M}^{^0}$ , so that the magnon energies E  $_k$  are calculated up to  $\,$  rst-order corrections in 1=S:

$$E_{k} = JzS \quad 1 + \frac{r}{2S} \quad P = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{k}};$$
 (62)

Here the factor r=2S is 0 guchi's correction to the m agnon spectrum [6].

W e want to treat the spin-wave interaction up to storder in the 1=S perturbation theory, so that the D yson{M aleev interaction  $V_{D~M}$  will be discarder. It is important to notice that here the o -diagonal quadratic interaction  $V_2$  does not appear, as dictated by the sublattice interchange symmetry. This means that the lowest-order corrections to the sublattice m agnetization m have the order O (S<sup>2</sup>), see the series (44), so that the constraint equation (57) calculated in a LSW T approximation can be safety used at this level.

Turning to the m agnon spectrum (62), we see that the chem ical potential introduces a spectral gap so that close to the zone center the excitation spectrum acquires the relativistic form

$$E_{k} = \frac{p}{2} + c^{2}k^{2}; \quad c = \frac{JzS}{p} + \frac{r}{2}; \quad (63)$$

where =  $2c(=JzS)^{1=2}$  and c is the spin-wave velocity calculated up to rst order in 1=S.U sing the standard expression for free bosons  $n_k = h \frac{y}{k} i = h \frac{y}{k} i = [exp(E_k=k_BT) 1]^1$ , the constraint equation (57) takes the form

$$S + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{k} \frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{k}}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{E_k}{k_B T} :$$
(64)

At low T, the main contributions in the last sum come from small wave vectors so that, using (63), the gap equation (64) yields

$$= \frac{C}{2} = 2T \operatorname{arcsinh} \frac{1}{2} \exp \frac{2 \operatorname{s}}{k_{\rm B} T} : \qquad (65)$$

Here  $_{\rm S}$  is the T = 0 spin stiness constant calculated up to rst order in 1=S, and is the spin correlation length. This result exactly reproduces the saddle-point equation in the 1=N expansion of the O (N) nonlinear model in 2 + 1 space-time dimensions (see, e.g. [B1]). It is well known that (65) describes three di erent regimes, i.e. (i) the renormalized classical, (ii) the quantum critical, and (iii) the quantum disordered regimes [53].

As an example, we consider the renorm alized classical regime de ned by the condition  $k_B\,T_{\rm s}$ . In this case, the last equation yields the following result for the correlation length

$$\frac{c}{T} \exp \frac{2}{k_{B}} \frac{s}{T} :$$
 (66)

This coincides with the one-loop approximation of the 2 + 1 nonlinear model [48]. As is well known, at a two-loop level the T dependence in the pre-exponential factor disappears, whereas the exponent argument does not change.

F inally, let us calculate the leading tem perature correction to the internal energy U = hH  $_{\rm B}$  i. The expression for U reads

$$U = E_{0} + \sum_{k}^{K} E_{k} \operatorname{coth} \frac{E_{k}}{k_{B} T} 1 :$$
 (67)

Using (63), after som e algebra one nds the following result:

$$U = E_0 + \frac{2}{c^2} T^3 :$$
 (68)

Here (x) is the R iem ann zeta function. The above tem perature correction describes the contribution from two zero m odes, i.e. k = (0;0) and k = (; ), and reproduces the expected universal behavior known from the 2 + 1 nonlinear m odel and the chiral perturbation theory [49, 82].

#### 5.2 Applications to Finite-Size System s

The modied SW T can also be applied to nite-size systems [76, 77]. This opens an opportunity directly to compare SW T results with nite-size numerical data.

As is known, the standard SW T is not applicable to nite systems due to divergences related to the G oldstone zero m odes. A ctually, the divergency com es from the Bogoliubov transform ation (15) which is not de ned for these m odes.

Turning to the example from Sect. 5.1, notice that in the in nite system the chemical potential goes to zero as  $T \ ! \ 0.At T = 0$  the constraint equation takes the form v

$$S + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{2}{N^{\frac{p}{1}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{0}} \quad \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{k + 0} \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{k}} = 0 :$$
(69)

Here we have selected the contribution from the two zero modes at k = (0;0) having  $S^{z} = 1$ .

A coording to (69), on a nite lattice the parameter  $_0 = JzS = (JzS)$  ) is less than unity, so that the divergences associated with the zero modes disappear. The constraint (69) takes into account the fact that in nite systems there are no spontaneously broken continuous symmetries.

To nd the staggered magnetization m appearing in the therm odynamic lim it of the 2D system, we calculate the antiferrom agnetic structure factor S (; ) for large N : V

$$m^{2}(N) = \frac{2}{N}S(;) = \frac{4}{(1-\frac{2}{0})N^{2}} + \frac{1}{N^{2}}\frac{X}{\sum_{k \in 0}^{k} \frac{1+\frac{2}{k}}{1-\frac{2}{k}}};$$
 (70)

where we have again selected the contribution from the zero modes.

In the large-N limit, the last sum transforms into an integral which is /  $\ln N$ , so that the main contribution comes from the rst term in (70). Thus, we nd the relation

$$m^{2} = \lim_{N \stackrel{!}{!} 1} \frac{4}{(1 \quad {}^{2}_{0})N^{2}} :$$
 (71)

 $p = \frac{E \text{ quation}}{2(1 - \frac{2}{0})}$ . (69) induces a gap in the magnon spectrum which is defined by = c = 2(1 - \frac{2}{0}). Using (71) and the notations from Sect. 5.1, we following result for the magnon excitation gap in the large-N limit

$$= \frac{c^2}{sL^2}:$$
(72)

 $L = N^{1=2}$  is the linear size in a square geometry. The last expression reproduces the result for obtained by other methods [83, 84, 49].

Finally, let us return to the Heisenberg antiferrom agnetic chain discussed in Sec. 3.1, this time using the modi ed SW T [79]. We have seen that in 1D the expression for the staggered magnetization (37) contained an infrared divergency indicating that the magnetic order is destabilized by quantum uctuations. U sing the concept of the modi ed theory, we can resolve the problem by replacing (37) with the constraint equation

$$S + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{p + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{0} \cos^2 k}} = \frac{K(0)}{1 + \frac{2}{0} \cos^2 k}$$
(73)

where K ( $_0$ ) is the complete elliptic integral of the st kind.

Since K ( $_0$ ) =2, the gap equation (73) has a solution for arbitrary S.However, the constraint introduces an excitation gap, so that the discussed theory m akes sense only for integer S.To nd the gap, we may use for small (1  $_0^2$ )<sup>1=2</sup> the asym ptotic result K ( $_0$ ) = ln 4 (1  $_0^2$ )<sup>1=2</sup>, so that the excitation gap reads

Here c is the spin-wave velocity of the antiferrom agnetic chain (36). The obtained gap has the asymptotic form  $S \exp(S)$ , to be compared with Haldane's result  $S^2 \exp(S)$  obtained from the -m odelm apping [85,86]. It is remarkable that the simplem odi ed SW T is capble to reproduce the asymptotic expression for the Haldane gap.

# 6 Concluding Remarks

We have surveyed the spin-wave technique and its typical applications to H eisenberg m agnetic systems in restricted geom etries. In most of the cases the SW T results were compared with the available num ericalestim ates. As a result, the system atic large-S technique has been found to give very accurate description of the zero-tem perature parameters and m agnon excitation spectra of a number of low-dimensional quantum spin models, such as the H eisenberg antiferrom agnet on square and triangular lattices and various quasi-one-dimensional mixed-spin H eisenberg system s exhibiting ferrim agnetic ground states. P resented analysis of the asymptotic series up to second order in the parameter 1=S in plies that in these systems the spin-wave interaction introduces num erically sm all corrections to the principal approxim ation, even in the extrem e quantum lim it S =  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Thus, indicated e ectiveness of the spin-wave technique { as applied to m agnetic system s with sm all spin quantum num bers and in restricted geom etries { m ay be attributed to the observed weakness of spin-wave interactions.

The authors thank J.R ichter and U.Schollwock for their collaborations in this eld, and S.Sachdev, A W. Sandvik, and Z.W eihong for the perm ission to use their results. This work was supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft.

## References

- 1. F.Bloch: Z. Physik 61, 206 (1930)
- 2. F.Bloch: Z. Physik 74, 295 (1932)
- 3. T. Holstein and H. Primako : Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940)
- 4. P.W .Anderson: Phys. Rev. 86, 694 (1952)
- 5. R.Kubo: Phys. Rev. 87, 568 (1952)
- 6. T.Oguchi: Phys. Rev. 117, 117 (1960)
- 7. F.D yson: Phys. Rev. 102, 1217 (1956)
- 8. F.Dyson: Phys. Rev. 102, 1230 (1956)
- 9. A B. Harris, D. Kumar, B. I. Halperin, and P.C. Hohenberg: Phys. Rev. B 3, 961 (1971)
- 10. S.V.M aleev: Sov. Phys. JETP 6, 776 (1958)
- 11. S.V. Tyablikov: M ethods in the quantum theory of magnetism (P lenum P ress, New York 1967)
- 12. A J. Akhiezer, V G. Baryakhtar, and S.V. Peletminskii: Spin waves (John W iley & Sons, New York 1968)
- 13. D C. M attis: The Theory of Magnetism I: Statics and Dynamics (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1981)

Spin W ave A nalysis of H eisenberg M agnets in R estricted G eom etries 27

- 14. N D .M erm in and H .W agner: Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1133 (1966)
- 15. E.M anousakis: Rev.M od. Phys. 63, 1 (1991)
- 16. N B. Ivanov and U. Schollwock: Q uantum H eisenberg ferrin agnetic chains. In: C ontem porary P roblems in C ondensed M atter P hysics, ed by S.J. V laev, L M. G aggero Sager (N ova Science, N ew York 2001) pp. 145(181)
- 17. C M . Canali and S M . G irvin: Phys. Rev. 45, 7127 (1992)
- 18. O.Kahn, Y.Pei and Y.Joumaux: M olecular Inorganic M agnetic M aterials. In: Inorganic M aterials, ed by D W .Bruce, D.O'Hare (John W iley & Sons, New York 1992)
- 19. M J.P lum er, A.C aille, A.M ailhot, and H.T.D iep:CriticalProperties of Frustrated Vector Spin M odels. In:M agnetic System s with C om peting Interactions, ed by H.T.D iep (W orld Scienti c, Singapure 1994) pp.1{50
- 20. E F. Shender: Sov. Phys. JETP 56, 178 (1982)
- 21. C L.Henley: Phys.Rev.Lett. 62, 2056 (1989)
- 22. E.H. Lieb and D.C. Mattis: J.M ath. Phys. 3, 749 (1962)
- 23. A.Auerbach: Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism (Springer, New York Berlin 1998)
- 24. J. Schwinger: Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum (Academic Press, New York 1965)
- 25. J.V illain: J.Phys. (Paris) 35, 27 (1974)
- 26. I.Goldhirsch, E.Levich, and V.Yachot: Phys. Rev. B 19, 4780 (1979)
- 27. I.Goldhirsch: Phys. Rev. A 13, 453 (1980)
- 28. J.H.P.Colpa: Physica A 93, 327 (1978)
- 29. N.B. Ivanov: Phys. Rev. B 62, 3271 (2000)
- 30. B.I. Halperin and P.C. Hohenberg: Phys. Rev. 188, 898 (1969)
- 31. N.B. Ivanov and J.Richter: Phys. Rev. B 63, 1444296 (2001)
- 32. N.Read and S.Sachdev: Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 3509 (1995)
- 33. S.K. Pati, S.Ram asesha and D. Sen: Phys. Rev. B 55, 8894 (1997)
- 34. S. Brehm er, H.-J. M ikeska, and S. Yam am oto: J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 9, 3921 (1997)
- 35. S.Yam am oto, S.Brehm er, and H.-J.M ikeska: Phys. Rev. B 57, 13610 (1998)
- 36. C J. Ham er, Z.W eihong, and P.A mdt: Phys. Rev. B 46, 6276 (1992)
- 37. A W .Sandvik: Phys. Rev. B 56, 11678 (1997)
- 38. N.B. Ivanov: Phys. Rev. B 57, R14024 (1998)
- 39. S.K. Pati, S.R am asesha and D. Sen: J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 9, 8707 (1997)
- 40. L.Hulthen: Ark. Met. Astron. Fysik A 26, Na. 11 (1938)
- 41. K. Hallberg, X Q G. W ang, P. Horsch, and A. Moreo: Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4955 (1996)
- 42. I.A eck, D.G epner, H.J.Schulz, and T.Zim an: J.Phys. A 22, 511 (1989)
- 43. J.Des Cloizeaux and J.J.Pearson: Phys.Rev. 128, 2131 (1962)
- 44. I.A eck: J.Phys.: Condens. M atter 1, 3047 (1989)
- 45. G.Baym and A.M. Sessler: Phys. Rev. 131, 2345 (1963)
- 46. P.Kopietz: Phys. Rev. B 41, 9228 (1990)
- 47. T.Ono, T.N ishim ura, M.K atsum ura, T.M orita, and M.Sugim oto: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 2576 (1997)
- 48. S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin, and D. R. Nelson: Phys. Rev. B 39, 9228 (1990)
- 49. P.Hasenfratz and F.Niederm ayer: Z.Phys.B 92, 91 (1993)
- 50. A.V. Chubukov, S. Sachdev, and J. Ye: Phys. Rev. B 49, 11919 (1994)

- 28 Nedko B. Ivanov and Diptim an Sen
- 51. I.A eck: Field theory methods and quantum critical phenomena. In: Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena, ed by E. Brezin, J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1989) pp. 563{640
- 52. E. Fradkin: Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City 1991)
- 53. S. Sachdev: Q antum Phase Transitions (C ambridge Univ. Press, New York 1999)
- 54. JD.Reger and A.P.Young: Phys.Rev.B 37, 5978 (1988)
- 55. R R P. Singh: Phys. Rev. B 39, 9760 (1989)
- 56. R R P. Singh and D A . Huse: Phys. Rev. B 40, 7247 (1989)
- 57. Z.W eihong, J.O itm aa, and C.J. Ham er: Phys. Rev. B 43, 8321 (1991)
- 58. C M . Canali, S M . G irvin, and M . W allin: Phys. Rev. B 45, 10131 (1992)
- 59. J. Igarashi: Phys. Rev. B 46, 10763 (1992)
- 60. Z.W eihong and C J. H am er: Phys. Rev. B 47, 7961 (1993)
- 61. C J. Hamer, Z. W eihong, and J. O itm aa: Phys. Rev. B 50, 6877 (1994)
- 62. W H. Zheng, J.O itm aa, and C J. Ham er: Phys. Rev. B 43, 8321 (1991
- 63. C.Lhuillier and G.M isguich: Frustrated quantum m agnets. In: Lecture N otes in Physics 595, H igh m agnetic elds, ed by C.Berthier, LP.Levy, G.M artinez (Springer, Berlin 2001) pp.161{190
- 64. B.Bernu, P.Lechem inant, C.Lhuillier, and L.Pierre: Phys.Rev.B 50, 10048 (1994)
- 65. A.V. Chubukov, S.Sachdev, and T.Senthil: J.Phys.Condens.M atter 6, 8891 (1994)
- 66. Th. Jolicoeur and J.C. Le Guillou: Phys. Rev. B 40, 2727 (1989)
- 67. T.Dom bre and N.Read: Phys.Rev.B 39, 6797 (1989)
- 68. S.J.M iyake: J.Phys.Soc. Jpn. 61, 983 (1992)
- 69. L. Capriotti, A E. Trum per, and S. Sorella: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3899 (1999)
- 70. A.V. Chubukov: Phys. Rev. B 44, 5362 (1991)
- 71. A V. Chubukov and D. J. Golosov: J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 3, 69 (1991)
- 72. A E. Trum per, L. Capriotti, and S. Sorella: Phys. Rev. B 61, 11529 (2000)
- 73. A F. Andreev and V. I. Marchenko: Sov. Phys. U sp. 23, 21 (1980)
- 74. M. Takahashi: Prog. Theor. Phys. 87, 233 (1986)
- 75. M. Takahashi: Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 168 (1987)
- 76. JE.Hirsch and S.Tang: Phys. Rev. B 40, 4769 (1989)
- 77. Q F. Zhong and S. Sorella: Europhys. Lett. 21, 629 (1993)
- 78. M . Takahashi: Phys. Rev. B 40, 2494 (1989)
- 79. D P.A rovas and A.Auerbach: Phys. Rev. B 38, 316 (1988)
- 80. A.V. Dotsenko and O.P. Sushkov: Phys. Rev. B 50, 13821 (1994)
- 81. A M. Tsvelik: Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995)
- 82. P.Hasenfratz, M.M. aggiore, and F.N. iederm ayer: Phys.Lett. B 245, 522 (1990)
- 83. H. Neuberger and T. Zim an: Phys. Rev. B 39, 2608 (1989)
- 84. D.S.Fisher: Phys. Rev. B 39, 11783 (1989)
- 85. F D M . Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1153 (1983)
- 86. F D M .Haldane: Phys. Lett. A 93, 464 (1983)