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#### Abstract

M otivated by recent experim ental achievem ent in the work with Bose E instein condensates ( $\operatorname{BECs}$ ), we consider bright $m$ atter-w ave solitons, in the presence of a parabolic $m$ agnetic trap and a spatially periodic optical lattice ( $O L$ ), in the attractive BEC.W e exam ine pinned states of the soliton and their stability by $m$ eans of perturbation theory. The analyticalpredictions are found to be in good agreem ent with num erical sim ulations. W e then explore possibilities to use a tim em odulated O L as a m eans of stopping and trapping a moving soliton, and of transferring an initially stationary soliton to a prescribed position by a moving OL.W e also study the em ission of radiation from the soliton $m$ oving across the com bined $m$ agnetic trap and $O L . W e$ nd that the soliton $m$ oves freely (w ithout radiation) across a weak lattice, but su ers strong loss for stronger O Ls.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The recent progress in experim ental and theoretical studies of B ose E instein condensates ( BEC s) $\left[\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right]$ has led to an increase of interest in $m$ atter-w ave ( $M$ W _) solitons. O ne-dim ensional (1D ) dark [ $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{[ }\right]$and bright [ $[\underline{[1]}]$ solitons have been observed in experim ents $w$ ith repulsive and attractive B E C s, respectively. Very recently, bright solitons of the gap type, predicted in repulsive condensates [4] $\left[_{i}^{\prime}\right]$, have been created in the experim ent [ dictions conœeming a possibility of the existence of stable
 low -dim ensional $\left.\bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ optical lattice ( L ) have also $\overline{\mathrm{b}}$ ) oen reported. The OL is created as a standing-w ave interference pattem betw een mutually coherent laser beam s


The study of the M W solitons, apart from being a fundam entally interesting topic, $m$ ay have im portant applications. In particular, a soliton $m$ ay be transferred and $m$ anipulated sim ilarly to what has been recently dem onstrated, experim entally and theoretically, for_BECs in $m$ agnetic waveguides [14] and atom chips [15]. $M$ ore generally, the sim ilarity betw een bosonic M W S and light waves suggests that num erous results known for optical solitons [16], along $w$ th the possibility of $m$ anipulation of atom ic states by $m$ eans of resonant electro$m$ agnetic w aves goveming transitions betw een di erent states), $m$ ay have im pact on the rapidly evolving eld of quantum atom optics (see, e.g., Ref. $\left.[1]_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$.

A context where the dynam ics of $M$ wolitons is particularly interesting is that of BECs trapped in a periodic potentialinduced by the above-m entioned O Ls. T he possibility to control the OL has led to the realization of $m$ any interesting phenom ena, including $B$ loch oscillations $[1], \overline{1}, \overline{1}]$ of an additional linear extemal potential), and classical
 large am ount of theoretical w ork has been already done for nonlinear M W strapped in O Ls (see Refs. [2] recent review s).

The ob jective of this work is to system atically study the statics and dynam ics of one-dim ensional (1D ) bright M W solitons con ned in the com bination of the parabolic $m$ agnetic trap (MT) and OL. A dditionally, we exam ine the possibility to control the $m$ otion of the soliton by $m$ eans of a tim e-dependent OL potential (the latter is available for the experim ent). In particular, we w ill show that, in the case w hen the O L period is com parable to the characteristic spatial width of the soliton, it is possible to: (a) snare and im mobilize an originally $m$ oving soliton in a local potential well, by adiabatically sw itching the O L on, and (b) grasp and drag an initially stationary soliton by a slow ly m oving O L, delivering it to a desired location. N ote that bright M W solitons may travel long distances in the real experim ent, up to severalm illim eters [ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1]\end{array}\right]$, and are truly robust ob jects, being them selves coherent condensates. Thus, the $m$ anipulation of bright M W solitons is a very relevant issue for the physics of BECs.

T he paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. II, we introduce the $m$ odeland present analyticalresults. In Sec.'ITIT, we num erically investigate static and dynam ical properties of the solitons, and study possibilities to $m$ anipulate them as outlined above. T he results of the w ork are sum m arized in Sec . 'IIV1.

## II. THE M ODELAND ITSANALYTICAL CONSIDERATION

The G ross $P$ itaevskii equation ( $G$ PE), which govems the evolution of the single-atom $w$ ave function in the
$m$ ean- eld approxim ation, takes its fundam ental form in the 3D case. A num ber of works analyze its reduction to an e ective 1D equation in the case of strongly elon-
 derivation in $R$ ef. [23] assum ed that the potentialenergy is $m$ uch larger than the transverse kinetic energy. A general conclusion is that the e ective equation reduces to the straightforw ard 1D version of the GPE. In the nor$m$ alized form, it reads [ $\left[_{2}^{2} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t}=\frac{1}{2} u_{x x}+g j u \rho u+v(x) u ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x ; t)$ is the 1D m ean- eld wave function (although a di erent version of the 1D GPE, w th a nonpolynom ial nonlinearity, is known too [ [4] . T he com bination of the M T and OL potential corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} x^{2}+V_{0} \sin ^{2}(k x): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1})$, $x$ is $m$ easured in units of the uid healing length $=\sim={ }^{p} \overline{n_{0} g_{1 D} m}$, where $n_{0}$ is the peak density and $g_{1 D} \quad g_{3 D}=\left(2 l_{?}^{2}\right)$ is the e ective 1D interaction strength, obtained upon integrating the 3D interaction strength $g_{3 D}=4 \sim^{2} a=m$ in the transverse directions ( $a$ is phe scattering length, $m$ the atom ic $m$ ass, and $l_{?}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\sim=\mathrm{m}!?}$ is the transverse harm onic oscillator length, w ith ! ? being the transverse-con nem ent frequency). Additionally, $t$ is $m$ easured in units of $=C$ (where $c=\overline{n_{0} g_{1 D}=m}$ is the Bogoliubov speed of sound), the atom ic density is rescaled by the peak density $n_{0}$, and energy is $m$ easured in units of the chem ical potential of the system $=g_{1 D} n_{0}$. A ccordingly, the dim ensionless param eter $\quad \sim!x=g_{1 D} n_{0}(!x$ is the conning frequency in the axial direction) determ ines the $m$ agnetic trap strength, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the OL strength, while k is the w avenum ber of the OL; the latter, can be controlled by varying the angle between the counter-propagating laser beam s , so that $\quad 2=\mathrm{k}=($ laser $=2) \sin (=2) \quad 25^{2} 1$. $F$ inally, $g=1$ is the renorm alized nonlinear coe cient, which is positive (negative) for a repulsive (attractive) condensate. A s we are interested in the ordinary bright M W solitons, which exist in case of attraction, we will $\mathrm{xg}=1$.
W ithout the extemal potential $\left(=V_{0}=0\right)$, Eq. [1] supports bright soliton solutions of the form

$$
u_{s}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{sech}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x_{0} \tag{3}
\end{array}\right)\right] \exp \frac{1}{2} i^{2} t ;
$$

where is the soliton's am plitude, and $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ is the coordinate of its center. $M$ oving solitons can be generated from the zero-velocity one by a G alilean boost.

In the presence of the extemalpotential, the rst issue is to identify stationary positions for the soliton. This issue can be addressed, using an e ective potential for the soliton's central coordinate (see, e.g., Refs. [-] and $\left.\left[{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right]\right)$, which is de ned by the integral

$$
V_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)=\int_{1}^{Z+1} V(x) u_{s}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x_{0}
\end{array}\right) f^{2} d x:
$$

Stationary positions of the soliton_correspond to local extrem a of the e ective potential (4, ). This well-known heuristic result can be rigorously substantiated by $m$ eans of the Lyapunov-Schm idt theory applied to the underlying nonlinearSchrodinger equation [2d]. Thee ective potential corresponding to the extemalpotential $(\overline{1})$, acting on the stationary soliton $\overline{\overline{3}})$, can be easily evaluated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}\right)={ }^{2} \mathrm{x}_{0}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{0} \mathrm{k} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{x}_{0}\right) \operatorname{csch} \quad \underline{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that, depending on values of the param eters, this potentialm ay have a single extrem um at $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0$, orm ultiple ones.

The stability of the soliton resting at a stationary position can also be analyzed in term sof the e ective potential $(\underline{\overline{1}} \mathbf{1})$ : the position is stable if it corresponds to a potentialm inim um. This well-known result can be rigorously derived using the theory of Ref. [2p 1
 particular, the curvature of the potential at the stationary position determ ines the key linearization eigenvalue , that $m$ ay cause an instability, bifurcating through the origin of the corresponding_spectralplane (this feature is revealed by the heuristic [26] and rigorous [301] analysis) . $T$ he eigenvalue is easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\quad{ }^{1=2} V_{e}^{\infty}\left(x_{0}\right) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

con $m$ ing that $m$ inim a and $m$ axim a of the ective potential (4) give rise, respectively, to stable ( ${ }^{2}<0$ ) and unstable ( ${ }^{2}>0$ ) equilibria.

W e note in passing (this will be im portant in what follows) that the m inim a of the e ective potential (4, (4) di er from the $m$ inim a of the extemal potential $V(\bar{x})$ trapping the atom $s$. For instance, for $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}, \mathrm{~V}_{0}=$ $0: 25$ and $=0: 1$, the rst three $m$ in im a of $V(x)$ (apart from the one at $x=0$ ) are located at the points $x=$ $3: 0789 ; 6: 1587 ; 9: 2356$, while the $m$ in $\dot{m}$ a of $V_{e}$ are found at $x_{0}=3: 0166 ; 6: 0247 ; 9: 0089$.

W e now tum to num erical results, aim ing to exam ine the validity of the theoretical predictions, as well as to perform dynam ical experim ents using the OL to guide the soliton $m$ otion.

## III. NUMERICALRESULTS

A. Stability of the solitons

W e begin the num ericalpart by exam ining the steadystate soliton solutions and their stability in the context of Eq. (11). Such solutions are sought for in the form $u(x ; t)=\exp (i t) w(x)$, which results in the stationary equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=(1=2) \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{xx}}+\mathrm{w}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{w}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To exam ine the linear stability of the solitons, we take a perturbed solution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=e^{i t^{h}} w+a(x) e^{i!t}+b(x) e^{i!t^{i}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and! are an in nitesim alam plitude and (generally speaking, com plex) eigenfrequency of the perturbation, and linearize Eq. (11).

Equations ( $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{1})$, w th $\mathrm{g}=1$ and arbitrary co$e$ cients $\mathrm{V}_{0}$; and k , possess a scaling invariance, which allows us to $\mathrm{x}=1$ (hence $=\overline{2}$ ). It should be noted that, in the absence of the M T ( = 0), the soliton's frequency should be chosen so that it belongs to a bandgap in the spectrum of the linearized Eq. (11) w ith the periodic potential $(\underline{Z})$, to avoid resonance w ith linear B loch waves. H ow ever, the M T potential w th nite m akes th is condition irrelevant. In principle, it m ight be interesting to investigate how the increase of from zero gradually lifts the condition of the resonance avoidance, but this $m$ ore form al issue is left beyond the scope of the present work.

To estim ate actualphysicalquantities corresponding to the above norm alized values of the param eters, we consider a cigar-shaped ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$ condensate containing $\mathrm{N}{ }^{\prime} 10^{3}$ atom s in a trap w ith $!_{\mathrm{x}}=2 \quad 25 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $!$ ? $=70!_{\mathrm{x}}$. Then, for a 1D peak density $n_{0}=10^{8} \mathrm{~m}^{1}$, the param eter in Eq. (2) ${ }^{2}$ ) assum es the value $=0: 1$, while the tim e and space units correspond to $0: 3 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}$ and 1:64 m, respectively. These units rem ain valid for other values of , as one $m$ ay vary ! ? and change ! x accordingly; in this case,_other quantities, such as $N$, also change.
$F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{11}, 1$ sum $m$ arizes our num erical ndings for the stability problem. A s expected, the (zeroth-well) solution for the soliton pinned at $x_{0}=0$ exists and it is stable for all values of the potential's param eters. W e have typically chosen to $\mathrm{x}=0: 1$ and $\mathrm{k}=1$ (i.e., $=2$ ) and vary $\mathrm{V}_{0}$; however, it has been checked that the results presented below adequately represent the phenom enology for other values of (;k) as well.

The next ( rst-well) solution, corresponding to the potentialm inim um closest to $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0$, exists for values of the M T strength $V_{0}$ sm aller than a criticalone $\mathrm{V}_{0}{ }^{(\mathrm{cr})}$. W ithin the accuracy of $0: 0025$, w e have found its num erical value to be $V_{0}{ }^{\text {(cr) }}$ Hum $=0: 045$, in very good agreem ent $w$ ith the prediction follow ing from the analytical approxim ation (SI) for the e ective potential, which show s that the corresponding potentialm in im um disappears, $m$ erging $w$ ith a maxim um, at $V_{0}{ }^{(\mathrm{cr})}$ inal $0: 048$. The corresponding pinned-soliton solution is indeed stable prior to its disappearance, in agreem ent $w$ ith the analytical prediction based on Eq. (Gi).

Sim ilarly, the subsequent (second-well) solution, associated $w$ th the next potential $m$ inim um (if it exists), is found to disappear (for the sam e param eters) at $\mathrm{V}_{0}{ }^{\text {(cr) }}$ Hum $=0: 1 \quad 0: 0025$, while the analytical ap-
 sim ilar result was obtained for the third-w ell solution: $\mathrm{V}_{0}{ }^{\text {(Cr) }}$ jum $=0: 1425 \quad 0: 0025$, and $\mathrm{V}_{0}{ }^{(\mathrm{Cr})}$ Inal $0: 176$.


FIG. 1: For each of the three sets of the pictures, the left panel shows the continuation of the soliton branch to values near $\mathrm{V}_{0}{ }^{(\mathrm{Cr})}$, at which it disappears (for soliton solutions trapped at di erent wells). The right panel show s the solution at the initial and nalpoints of the continuation (and the corresponding potentials). The left panels show the norm of the soliton solution (proportional to the num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate), $P={ }_{1}^{R_{+1}} j(x){ }^{f} d x$, and its squared width, $W=P{ }^{1}{ }^{R}+1 \quad x^{2} j u(x) f d x$, as a function of the $O L$ strength $V_{0}$. The top set of the panels pertains to the zeroth-w ell solution (the soliton pinned in the centralpotentialw ell) ; the solution in the right panel is show $n$ by the solid line for $V_{0}=0: 25$, and by the dash-dotted line for $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0$. The corresponding potential is show $n$ by the dotted line for $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 25$, and by the dashed line for $V_{0}=0$. Sim ilarly, in the m iddle set, the solid line (and the dashed one for the potential) correspond to $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0.25$, and the dashed-dotted line, together w ith the dotted one for the potential, correspond to $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 06$ for the rst-well solution nnotice that this branch term inates at $\mathrm{V}_{0} \quad 0: 045 \mathrm{~J}$. Finally, in the bottom set of the panels, the solid line (and the dashed one for the potential) again correspond to $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 25$, while the dashed-dotted line (and the dotted one for the potential) correspond to $V_{0}=0: 15$ for the third-w ell solution th is branch term inates at $\left.\mathrm{V}_{0} \quad 0: 1425\right]$.

It is quite natural that the discrepancy betw een the theoretical and the num erical results increases for the higher-w ell solutions, given that the num erically exact pro le of the pinned soliton gets $m$ ore distorted under the action of the M T . N otice, for exam ple, the di erence in the am plitude betw een the soliton in the top paneland in the one in the bottom panel in $F$ ig. 1 illustrates this e ect.
B. Soliton dynam ics and $m$ an ipulations

H aving addressed the existence and stability of the solitons, we now proceed to study their possible dynam ical $m$ anipulation by $m$ eans of the $O L$. $F$ irst, we exam ine the possibility to trap a soliton using the secondary minim a in the OL potential. In particular, it is well known that, in the absence of the OL, the soliton in the $m$ agnetic trap, when displaced from the center, $x_{0}=0$, executes harm onic oscillations w th the frequency , as a consequence of the Ehrenfest theorem (alias the K ohn's theorem [3]3], which states that the $m$ otion of the center of m ass of a cloud ofparticles trapped in a parabolic potential decouples from the intemal excitations). This result can also be obtained using the variationalapproxim ation [ $\left.{ }^{2} \overline{4}_{1}^{1}\right]$ and, $m$ ore generally, is one of the results obtained from the $m$ om ent equations for the condensate in the parabolic potential [3]-극.


FIG.2: (color online) An exam ple of snaring the originally m oving soliton using the optical lattice. T he left panel show s the $m$ otion of the soliton's center of $m$ ass. The dashed line show s the situation $w$ ithout the OL (but in the presence of the $m$ agnetic trap). Ifwe tum on the O L potential, as the soliton arrives at the tuming point of its tra jectory, it gets captured by the secondary $m$ inim um of the fill potential, created in a vicinity of this point. The right panel shows the sam e, but through the space-tim e contour plots of the local density, $j u(x ; t) \jmath^{f}$.

A new issue is whether one can capture the soliton perform ing such oscillations by tuming on the OL. Focusing, as previously, on the $m$ ost relevant case $w$ hen the w idth of the soliton is com parable to the O L w avelength, we display an example of the capture in $F$ ig. 'in. The dashed and solid lines show, respectively, the ham onic oscillations in the absence of the O L , and a num ericalexperim ent, where, at the $m$ om ent $w$ hen the soliton arrives at the tuming point (it is $x=3$ for this case, i.e., the third potentialm inim um ), we abruptly tum on the OL, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x ; t)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} V_{0} \quad 1+\tanh \frac{t \quad t_{0}}{\sin ^{2}(k x): ~} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere to and are constants controlling, respectively, the sw itch-on tim e and duration of the process; in the sim ulations, we use $t_{0}=31: 7$ and $=0: 1 . \mathrm{W}$ e clearly observe that, contrary to the large-am plitude oscillations of the soliton taking place w hen the O L is absent, the soliton is


F IG ._3: (color online) $P$ anels have the sam e m eaning as in Fig. show's the soliton's center of $m$ ass by the solid line and the $m$ otion of the $O L$ 's center by the dashed line. T he potential $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}=0)$ is sketched by the dash-dotted line to illustrate the structure and location of the potential wells. T he right panel again shows the space-tim e contour plot of $j u(x ; t) \jmath^{2}$. $T$ he top_set of the panels is generated w ith $t_{0}=50$ and $=5$ in Eq. (9, $\mathbf{l}_{1}$ ). The second set pertains to $t_{0}=100$ and $=10$ (both have $V_{0}=0: 25$ ) . The situation for a shallower well, w ith $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 17$, is show n in the third and fourth panels. In all cases, $x_{\text {in } i}=0$ and $x_{n}=3$.
now fully captured (for very long tim es) by the potential $m$ in im um new ly generated by the optical trap.

Instead of being a m eans to snare for $m$ oving soliton, the O L m ay also be used as a m eans ofm oving the soliton in a prescribed way, i.e., as a \robotic arm " depositing the soliton at a desired location (see, e.g. $[$ [3] ] ]). This possibility is dem onstrated (w ith varying levels of success) in $F$ ig. 'הַ'. The top two sets of gures are perform ed for a strong OL ( $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 25$ ), while the bottom tw o are used for a weaker OL potential ( w th $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 17$ ). In all the cases the potentialused is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} x^{2}+V_{0} \sin ^{2}(k(x \quad y(t))) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the position of the OL is translated according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=x_{\text {in } i}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\text {in } i}\right) 1+\tanh \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{0}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $x_{\text {ini }}$ and $x_{n}$ are, respectively, the initial and nal (target) positions of the soliton. In the case under consideration, $x_{\text {in } i}=0$ and $x_{n}=3$, i.e., the aim is to transport the M W soliton from the central well to the third one, on the right of the center. In the top set of the panels $w$ ith $t_{0}=50$ and $=5$, we observe what happens if the $m$ otion of the potential center is not su ciently slow to adiabatically transport the soliton to its nalposition. In particular, the soliton gets trapped in the second well, w ithout being able to reach its destination. H ow ever, we observe that this di culty can be overcom e, if the transport is applied with a su cient degree of adiabaticity; see, e.g., the middle panel with $t_{0}=100$ and $=10$, which succeeds in delivering the soliton at the desired position. N otice that the nal position of the center of the $O L$ is at $y=3$, which is di erent from the center of the third well of the e ective potential, around which the soliton will oscillate, upon arrival. The theoretical prediction that was presented above (for $V_{0}=0: 25$ ) for this well is $x_{0}=9: 0089$, while in the sim ulations the soliton oscillates around 9:04 in very good agreem ent with the theory. The two low er sets of the panels are $m$ eant to illustrate that adiabaticity is not the single condition guaranteeing the e cient transport. T he num erical experim ents are perform ed for a shallow er potential w here the relevant well (to which the soliton is to be delivered) is near the threshold of its existence. A s a result, neither in the case w ith $=5$ (the third set of panels), nor in the one with $=10$, is the O L successfulin transporting the solition at the desired position.

A sim ilar num erical experim ent in the absence of the $m$ agnetic trap is show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\bar{A} \bar{I} 1$. The top panels display the successfultransfer of the soliton by the $O L$ of a form sim ilar to that in Eq. (g), w ith $=0$ and $V_{0}=0: 25$, for $\mathrm{t}_{0}=100$ and $=10 . \mathrm{N}$ otioe that, in the present case, the nalpositions of the OL's center and of the soliton coincide [as the atom ic potential and the e ective potential for the soliton have the sam e set of $m$ inim $a$ in this case, cf. Eq. $\left.{ }_{[-1}^{[ }\right)$]. H ow ever, once again, the sam e experim ent, if not perform ed with a su cient degree of adiabaticity (as in the bottom panel of Fig. 1
$=5)$, is not successful in depositing the soliton at the prescribed location. Instead, in this case the soliton continues to $m$ ove along the OL, em itting radiation $w$ aves and decreasing its am plitude.

To better illustrate the em ission of radiation and its dependence on the depth ofthe OL (since it is known that the em ission is absent in the parabolic potentialw ithout the O L ingredient), we have also perform ed the follow ing num ericalexperim ent. $W$ e took the potentialof the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} x^{2}+V_{0} \sin ^{2}(k x)+(t) x ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 4: (color online) The sam e as the previous gure, but w ith $=0$ (i.e., in the absence of the m agnetic trap). For $\mathrm{t}_{0}=100$ and $=10$ (top panels) the soliton is delivered to its nal location of $x_{n}=3$. H ow ever, the sam $e$ is not true for $t_{0}=50$ and $=5$ in the bottom panel, where the soliton fails to stop but rather continues its $m$ otion, losing $m$ ore and $m$ ore of its power through em ission of radiation.


F IG . 5: M otion of the soliton induced by the linear ram p in Eq. (13) w ith $t_{1}=100$ and $t_{2}=120$. $T$ he top panel show $s$ the case $w$ th strong radiation loss in a deep $O L\left(V_{0}=0.25\right)$; notice apparent friction in the otion of the soliton's center of m ass in the top right panel, and the corresponding loss of the soliton's norm in the panelbelow it. On the contrary, in the case of the shallow $O L, w$ ith $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 07$ (the bottom panel), the $m$ oving soliton does not generate any visible radiation. In both cases, $=1$ was used.
w ith
$(t)=0: 1 \frac{1}{2} \tanh \frac{t}{} \quad \tanh \frac{t}{} \quad:(13)$
In Eq. (13) ${ }^{-1} t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are, respectively, the initialand nal $m$ om ent oftim $e$, betw een which the linear ram $p$ is applied to accelerate the soliton to a nite propagation speed. W e display tw o such num erical sim ulations in $F$ ig. ${ }^{15} 1$.' T he
rst is perform ed in a deep $O L$, w ith $V_{0}=0.25$, taking initially the soliton in the third well ( $t_{1}=100$ and $t_{2}=$ 120 were used). The second sim ulation w as perform ed in a shallow OL, w ith $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0: 07$, the soliton being in itially taken in the rstwell (the only one existing at such values of the param eters). T he top panels clearly show that the em ission of radiation leads to the gradual decay of the soliton's am plitude. On the contrary, when the OL is weaker (in the bottom panels), the soliton $m$ oves through it practically w thout radiation loss.

## IV . CONCLUSION

W e have exam ined a num ber of static and dynam ic features of bright $m$ atterw ave ( $M W$ ) solitons in the presence of the $m$ agnetic trap and optical lattice ( OL ). W e used the perturbation theory to predict the existence and stability of the M W solitons trapped in the com bined potential. A sequence of saddle-node bifurcations of the e ective potential, which lead to consecutive disappearance of the higherwell solitonic bound states w ith the decrease of the OL strength was predicted, through the disappearance of the potential wells in the e ective potential.

H aving identi ed the stability characteristics of the dif-
ferent wells analytically, and veri ed it num erically, we then explored a possibility to use the OL as a tool to $m$ anipulate the soliton. $W$ e were able to stop the soliton at a prescribed location by tuming on the OL, in an appropriate fashion. W e have also found the adiabaticity condition necessary to secure the transfer of the soliton by a $m$ oving $O L$ (w ith and $w$ thout the $m$ agnetic trap). Finally, we have show $n$ the absence of any visible em ission of radiation from the soliton $m$ oving across a weak O L ; how ever, the soliton loses a large fraction of its norm, m oving through a stronger lattice.

G iven the recent prediction of solitons and vortices in multi-dim ensional O L potentials [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ (for recent experi$m$ ental work on a sim ilar topic in nonlinear optics, see Refs. [3] ticular interest to im plem ent sim ilar dragging and $m$ anipulation of solitons in higher dim ensions. T he consideration of this case is currently in progress.
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