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#### Abstract

W e consider the collision of a dark soliton with an obstacle in a quasi-one- dim ensional Bose condensate. $W$ e show that in $m$ any respects the soliton behaves as an e ective classical particle of $m$ ass tw ige the $m$ ass of a bare particle, evolving in an e ective potentialwhidh is a convolution of the actual potential describing the obstacle. R adiative e ects beyond this approxim ation are also taken into account. T he em ilted waves are shown to form tw o counterpropagating wave packets, both $m$ oving at the speed of sound. W e determ ine, at leading order, the total am ount of radiation em itted during the collision and com pute the acceleration of the soliton due to the collisional process. It is found that the radiative process is quenched when the velocity of the soliton reaches the velocity of sound in the system .
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## 1 Introduction

O ne of the $m$ any interesting aspects of the physics of Bose Einstein condensation of ultracold atom ic vapors is to open opportunities of studying $m$ esoscopiclike phenom ena in new types of setups. The advances in the production and propagation ofB ose $£$ instein condensates in $m$ ore and $m$ ore elaborate w aveguides ( $m$ agnetic or optical, $m$ icrofabricated or not $\left.\prod_{1}^{1} 1\right]$ ) opens up the prospect of studying a rich variety of quantum transport phenom ena for these intrinsically phase-coherent, nite-sized system $s$. In particular it has been possible to study quantum interference e ects [2], B loch oscillations and Landau-Zener tunneling [ỉd], Josephson junctions [4] , and super uidity

P ushing further the analogy in transport properties ofm esoscopic system sand B ose-condensed vapors, one notices that, whereas in m esoscopic physics interaction e ects are often di cult to understand, in B ose E instein condensates they are $m$ ore easily accessible to theoretical description and have the advantage of covering a w ide range of regim es, ranging from alm ost noninteracting atom lasers to strongly correlated system s. A long this line, the existence ofnonlinearity in the wave equation, resulting in the existence ofbright [ī] a dark [i] solitons, appears as a natural $\{$ and rather sim ply understood \{ consequence of interaction on transport phenom ena of quasi-one-dim ensional B ose-condensed system s.

In the present work we address the problem of transport of a dark soliton in a quasi-onedim ensionalB ose $E$ instein condensate. M ore precisely, we consider a guided Bose E instein condensate and theoretically study the propagation of a dark soliton encountering an obstacle on its way. In the appropriate lim it [see Eq. (ili) below ] the system is described by a one-dim ensional nonlinear Schrodinger equation. This equation adm its bright and dark solitonic solutions, depending on the sign of the interparticle interaction. The obstacle is m odeled via an extemal potential, and this could correspond to di erent physical realizations, such as a heavy im purity, a (red orblue) detuned laser beam crossing the atom ic beam, a bend, a tw ist, or a constriction in the shape of the guide.

A soliton under the in uence of a perturbation (here, the obstacle) sees its shape and velocity
 tw o phenom ena are not easily treated on the sam e theoretical footing. The evolution of the soliton's param eters is typically studied within the adiabatic approxim ation (see Ref. [9] and references therein), whereas radiative e ects are not so easily described, because their in uence on the soliton's param eters only appears at second order in perturbation theory (see the discussion in Section '4.4'). H ow ever, it has been possible to treat both phenom ena concom itantly in the case ofbright solitons [ until recently radiative e ects have been treated $m$ ainly num erically

In the present paper we study the dynam ics of a dark soliton via perturbation theory. This $m$ ethod, based on the theory of linear partial di erentialequations, has been established in the case of the nonlinear Schrodinger equation w ith repulsive interaction in Refs. $\overline{[13} \overline{3}, \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$ (see also the earlier attem pt $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \bar{S}_{1} 1\right]$ ). A though our rst interest lies in the physics of guided Bose E instein condensates, the $m$ ethod em ployed and the results displayed also apply to optical waveguides described by a one-dim ensional (1D ) nonlinear defocussing Schrodinger equation.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Section we present the basic ingredients of the model and the resulting equation goveming the tim e evolution of the condensate wave function. In the fram ew ork of perturbation theory we then derive the equations determ ining the dynam ics of the
 one can devise a quite successfiul approxim ation that we denote as le ective potential theory," $w$ here the soliton is assim ilated to a classical particle of $m$ ass tw ige the $m$ ass of a bare particle, evolving in an e ective potential (Section 4.1 . The agreem ent of this approxim ation w the the results of the adiabatic approxim ation is veri ed even for a ne quantity such as the position shift induced on the tra jectory of the soliton by the obstacle (Section ${ }_{1}^{4}-2$ Section 1 which form two counterpropagating wavepackets mooving at the speed of sound. In the lim it of large soliton's velocity we furtherm ore obtain in Section i4. an analytical expression for the total am ount ofradiation em itted by the soliton during the collision. In addition we show that (w ithin our leading-order evaluation) a soliton reaching the velocity of sound does not radiate, and we propose a physical interpretation for this phenom enon. Finally we present our conclusions in Section Som e technical points are given in the A ppendixes. In Appendix A' we recall the $m$ ain properties of the spectrum of the operator goveming the wave dynam ics of the system around the solitonic solution. In "Appendix B' we brie y present the Lagrangian approach for deriving the dynam ics of the param eters of a dark soliton. In Appendix $\bar{A} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}^{\prime}$ we show how to com pute som e integrals involved in the evaluation of the total am ount of radiation em itted by the soliton.

## 2 The m odel

W e consider a condensate con ned in a guide of axis $z$ and denote by $n(z ; t)$ the 1D density of the system. The condensate is form ed by atom $s$ of $m$ ass $m$ which interact via a two-body potential characterized by its 3D swave scattering length $a_{s c}$. W e consider the case of a repulsive e ective interaction-i.e., $a_{s c}>0$. The condensate is con ned in the transverse direction by an harm onic potential of pulsation !? . The transverse con nem ent is characterized by the harm onic oscillator length $a_{?}=(h=m!?)^{1=2}$.
$W$ ith $n_{1 D}$ denoting a typical order of $m$ agnitude of $n(z ; t)$, we restrict ourselves to a density range such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{sc}}=\mathrm{a}_{?}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{n}_{1 \mathrm{D}} \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{sc}} \quad 1: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This regim e has been called $\backslash 1 \mathrm{D} m$ ean eld" in Ref . $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{G}}\right]$. In this range the $w$ ave function of the condensate can be factorized in a transverse and longinudinal part $[\overline{2} \overline{1},\{\overline{2} \overline{2}]$. T he transverse $w$ ave function is $G$ aussian (this is ensured by the condition $n_{1 D} a_{s c} \quad 1$ ) and the longitudinal one, denoted by $(z ; t)[s u c h$ that $n(z ; t)=j(z ; t) \jmath]$, satis es an e ective 1D G ross $P$ itaevskiiequation


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} z z+\frac{n}{U}(z)+2 h!? a_{s c} j f^{o}=i h t: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In E q. (̄̄) , U (z) represents the e ect of the obstacle. W e restrict ourselves to the case oflocalized obstacle such that $\lim z!\quad U(z)=0$. Hence, we can consider that the stationary solutions of

Eq.(2̄) have at in nity an asym ptotic density unpertunbed by the obstacle. Besides, considering solutions w thout current at in nity, we im pose the follow ing form to the the stationary solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { sta }(z ; t)=f(z) \exp [i \quad t=h] ; \quad w \text { th } \lim _{1} f(z)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{1}} \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{1}$ is the 1D density far from the obstacle and $=2 h!? a_{s c} n_{1}$ the chem ical potential [ $\left.{ }^{[ } \overline{0} 0\right]$.
W e note here that in Eq. (1ָ11) we have discarded very low densities in order to prevent the system from getting in the TonksG irardeau regim e where the m ean-eld picture im plicit in Eq. (2,)
 that the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii schem e is valid-i.e., that the system can be described by a collective order param eter -only if the intenparticle distance (of order $\mathrm{n}_{1}{ }^{1}$ ) is m uch sm aller than the $m$ inim um distance over which can signi cantly vary [ is the healing length, de ned by
$\left.=h=(m \quad)^{1=2}=a_{?}=\left(2 a_{s c} n_{1}\right)^{1=2}\right]$. The condition $n_{1}^{1} \quad$ then imposes us to consider the regim e $n_{1} a_{s c} \quad\left(a_{s c}=a_{?}\right)^{2}$ to which, from Eq. (11), we restrict our study. If one considers, for instance, ${ }^{87} \mathrm{R}$ b or ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a atom s in a guide w ith a transverse con nem ent characterized by ! ? $=2 \quad 500$ Hz , the ratio $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{sc}}=\mathrm{a}$ ? is roughly of order $10^{2}$ and the restriction ( $\overline{1} 1$ ) still allow s the density to vary over four orders of m agnitude.

In all the follow ing we use dim ensionless quantities: the energies are expressed in units of , the lengths in units of, and the time in units of $h=$. is also rescaled by a factor $n_{1}{ }^{1=2}$; this corresponds to expressing the linear density in units of the density at in nity, $n_{1}$. W e keep the sam e notation $z, t, U(z)$, and $(z ; t)$ for the rescaled quantities. Equation $(\bar{Z})$ now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} z{ }^{n}+\frac{n}{U(z)}+j f^{o}=i_{t}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{1})$, the stationary solutions of Eq. $(\underline{4})$ are of type $f(z) \exp [i t], f$ being real, and a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} f_{z z}+\quad \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{z})+\mathrm{f}^{2} \quad 1 \mathrm{O}=0 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the asym ptotic condition $\lim _{z!} \quad 1 \quad f(z)=1$.
$T$ hem ethod we w illexpose is quite general and applies to a broad range of potentials $U(z)$, but for concreteness we will often display the explicit solutions of the problem in the case of a pointlike obstacle, where $U(z)=(z) ;>0(<0)$ corresponds to a repulsive (attractive) obstacle. For such an obstacle, the solution of E q. (딘) is

$$
f(z)=\begin{array}{ll}
\tanh (\dot{k} j+a) & \text { if }  \tag{6}\\
\operatorname{coth}(\dot{k} j+a) & \text { if }
\end{array} \quad \quad \text { ith } \quad a=\frac{1}{2} \sinh ^{1} \frac{2}{j} j \quad:
$$

In section 4 we will concentrate on perturbative aspects of the problem and consider the case of a weak potential U (z). For a pointlike obstacle, this corresponds to the lim it j $j 1$. In this


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})^{\prime} 1 \quad \frac{-}{2} \operatorname{expf} 2 \dot{\bar{z}} \dot{g}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case, one can design a sim ple treatm ent $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{9}, \bar{\prime} \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ valid for any weak potential $U(z)$ leading after linearization of Eq . ( $\overline{\text { S }}$ ) to the perturbative result $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})=1+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{w}$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \quad \frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z} d y U(y) \operatorname{expf} \quad 2 \dot{z} \quad y \dot{j} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 an other way: denoting by $\hat{U}(q)=R_{R} d z U(z) \exp (i q z)$ the Fourier transform of $U(z)$, one $m$ ay equivalently express f de ned in Eq..$\left(\begin{array}{l}(\underline{8})\end{array}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})^{\prime} \quad 2_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \frac{\mathrm{dq}}{2} \frac{\hat{U}(\mathrm{q})}{4+\mathrm{q}^{2}} \text { expfiqzg : } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he stationary solutions of the problem being de ned, let us now tum to the $m$ ain subject of the present work and consider the case of tim e-dependent solutions corresponding to a dark soliton propagating in the system. The soliton will appear as a distortion of the stationary background, and it is here very natural to follow the approach of Frantzeskakis et al. $\overline{[1]} \overline{1}]$ w ho w rite the wave function of the system as a product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=(z ; t) f(z) \exp (\quad i t): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(z ; t)$ in Eq. $(\overline{1} \bar{q})$ accounts for the deform ation of the stationary background $f(z) \exp (i t)$ caused by the m otion of a soliton in the system. From Eq. ( $\underset{\sim}{4})$ we see that the unknown eld ( $z ; \mathrm{t}$ ) is a solution of the follow ing equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{zz} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}^{\frac{2}{2}} \mathrm{l}^{0}=R[] ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R[]=\quad z \frac{f_{z}}{f}+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
f^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
j  \tag{12}\\
j
\end{array} \quad 1\right):
$$

Far from the obstacle, $f(z)=1$ and thus R [ ] = 0. In this case, the m otion of a dark soliton in the system is described by the usual solitonic solution of the defocussing nonlinear Schrodinger equation $\left.{ }^{3} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=(z \quad V t \quad b ; \quad) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ;)=(x ;)+i V ; \text { with }(x ;)=\cos \tanh (x \cos ) \text { and } \sin =V: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations ( $(\overline{1}-\overline{3})$ and $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ describe a dark soliton consisting in a density trough located at position $V t+b$ at timet. The phase change across the soliton is 2 . The choice of the param eter
in $[0 ; 2]$ corresponds to a soliton moving from left to right w ith a velocity $\mathrm{V}=\sin 2[0 ; 1]$. $N$ ote that a dark soliton has a velocity always lower than unity (which, in our rescaled units, is the velocity of sound $\left.\left[\underline{3}_{\underline{3}} \overline{4}^{\prime}\right]\right)$. W hen $=0$, the soliton is standing and its $m$ inim um density is zero;
it is referred to as a black soliton. W hen $\in 0$ one speaks of a gray soliton. $W$ e display in $F$ ig. 1 the density pro le and the phase of the wave function (z;t) [see Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ] describing a soliton incident with velocity $\mathrm{V}=0: 4$ on a repulsive point-like obstacle characterized by $=0: 5$.



Figure 1: U pper plot: density pro le of a dark soliton incidentw ith velocity $\mathrm{V}=0: 4$ on a point-like repulsive obstacle $U(z)=$
(z) (w th $=0: 5$ ). The arrow represents the direction of propagation of the soliton. Lower plot: phase of the wave function $(z ; t=0)$ describing the system. A cross the soliton the phase of the wave function changes from to (w ith $\mathrm{V}=\sin )$.

## 3 P erturbation theory

In the follow ing we will set up the basis for a system atic perturbative expansion, and for properly identifying the orders of perturbation at which the expansion is done, it is custom ary to introduce an arti cialm ultiplicative param eter in the potentialof the obstacle (otherw ise of arbitrary form ). W e will see in the present section (and justify on physical grounds in the next one) that for an obstacle characterized by $U(z)$, the condition of $s m$ all perturbation reads $V^{2} \quad U$. Since the soliton velocity is alw ays low er than unity (which is the speed of sound in our dim ensionless units), this condition im plies U 1; ie., Eqs. ${ }^{\prime}(\underset{\sim}{(p)}, \overline{9})$ hold.

At initial tim es the soliton is unperturbed and described as in the previous section by $\quad(z ; t)=$ ( $\left.\mathrm{z} \mathrm{Vt;} \mathrm{o)} \mathrm{[ } \mathrm{is} \mathrm{de} \mathrm{ned} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Eq}. \mathrm{( } \mathrm{t}_{2}^{2}\right)_{1}$ ]; ie., one considers a soliton incident from left in nity with velocity $\mathrm{V}=\sin 0$. The $m$ ore im portant e ect of the obstacle on the soliton is a m odi cation of its shape; i.e., the param eters characterizing the soliton will.becom e tim e dependent in the vicinity of the obstacle. Perturbation at next order describe the em ission of radiations. O ne thus looks for solutions of Eq. (İili) of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=\operatorname{sol}(z ; z(t) ; \quad(t))+\quad(z ; t) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { soi }(z ; z(t) ; \quad(t))=(z \quad z(t) ; \quad(t)) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes a soliton which is characterized by the two param eters $z(t)$ (decribing the center of the soliton) and ( $t$ ) (describing the phase shift accross the soliton). describes additional radiative com ponents:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=1(z ; t)+{ }^{2} 2(z ; t)+:::: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations $\left[\overline{1} \overline{I_{1}}\right)-\left(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{T_{1}}\right)$ form the grounds of a secular perturbation theory w here the tim e dependence of the param eters of the soliton perm its to avoid the grow of secular perturbation in (see, e.g., the discussion in $R$ ef. ${ }_{[8]}^{-1 /])}$.
 than as functions of $z$. To this end, we de ne $x=z \quad z(t)$ and choose to work $w$ ith $x$ and $t$ as independent param eters rather than $z$ and $t$. $T$ his corresponds to the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{z} ; @_{t} \quad!\quad @_{\mathrm{x}} ; @_{\mathrm{t}} \quad \mathrm{z} @_{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, in order to take into account the slow tim e dependence of the param eters of the soliton, it is custom ary to introduce multiple tim e scales: $t_{n}={ }^{n} t(n 2 N)$. A tim e-dependent function could, for instance, depend on $t$ via $t_{1}$, indicating a weak tim e dependence ( $a t_{2}$ dependence being related to an even weaker tim e dependence and a $t_{0}$ dependence to a \norm al" tim e dependence). $G$ enerically, tim e-dependent quantities w ill be considered as functions of all the $t_{n}$ 's, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t}=\varrho_{t_{0}}+@_{1}+{ }^{2} \varrho_{t_{2}}+:::: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing we willm ake an expansion at order and it will su ce to consider only the fast time $t_{0}$ and the nst slow time $t_{1}$. The soliton's param eters and $z$ are considered as functions
( $t_{1}$ ) and $z\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right)$ [3] $\left.\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.
Putting everything together, we see that, at order , Eqs. $\overline{(1-15)-(17)}$ (1) read explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; t)=\left(x ; \quad\left(t_{1}\right)\right)+\quad{ }_{1}\left(x ; t_{0} ; t_{1}\right) ; \quad w \text { th } x=z \quad z\left(t_{\theta} ; t_{1}\right): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is now rew ritten taking the transform ations ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1})$ and $(\overline{1} \overline{\underline{G}} \overline{1})$ into account, w ith an expansion at order. To this end, we have to take into account that R [ ] de ned in Eq. (1 12 ) is a sm all quantity and can be written at rst order in as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R[]^{\prime} \quad @(x ;) @_{z} f(z)+2(x ;) f(z) \quad R(x ; z) ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=x+z\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right)$ and $f(z)$ is de ned as in Eqs. (\%) and (9) , with an extra multiplicative factor in $U$ which has been written explicitly in the de nition of $R$ on the right-hand-side (RHS) of Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$.

W e are now ready to expand Eq.(1) in successive orders in . The leading order reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} x x+i z_{t_{0}} x+\left(j j^{2} \quad 1\right)=0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

im plying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{t}_{0}}=\sin \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence $z$ can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=t_{0} \sin +z\left(t_{1}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z\left(t_{1}\right)$ is a still unknow $n$ function $[\underline{3} \overline{3}]$. At next order in one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \varrho_{t_{0}} 1=\frac{1}{2} Q_{x}^{2}+i \sin Q_{x}+2 j j^{2} \quad 11_{1}+{ }_{1}+R \quad i_{t_{1}}+i z_{t_{1}} x: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2, $\overline{2}$ ) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i @_{t_{0}} j_{1} i=H j_{1} i+3 R i+i z_{t_{1}} j!e^{i} \frac{t_{1}}{\cos } j e^{i} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{1} i=\left(1 ;{ }_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \vec{R} i=(R ; R)^{T}, 3$ is the third Paulim atrige, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\quad \frac{1}{2} @_{x}^{2}+i \sin @_{x}+2 j j^{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} @_{x}^{2}+i \sin Q_{x} \quad 2 j \nmid l+1 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ is not diagonalizable, but can be put in a Jordan form in a m anner sim ilar to what has been done for the attractive nonlinear Schrodinger equation [3] $\overline{1} 1]$. Its eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are presented in 'A ppendix $\bar{A} \bar{A} \overline{1}$. In particular, $j!e^{i}$ and $j e^{i}$ appearing in Eq. $(\overline{2} \bar{G})$ belong to the generalized null space of H; they verify $H j!e^{i}=0$ and $H j e^{i}=\cos ^{2} j!e^{i}$. As well as its null space, $H$ has two continuous branches of excitations which we denote by its \phonon spectrum ."


It is physically intuitive that $j_{1} i$ corresponding to the radiated part should be expanded over the phonon part of the spectrum of H :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1 i}={ }^{X Z_{1}^{Z}} \operatorname{dqC}_{q}\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right) j_{q}^{i}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $m$ ore technical argum ent for lim iting the expansion $[\overline{2} \overline{1}, \overline{1})$ to the phonon com ponents of the spectrum of $H$ is the follow ing: onem ight think that a greater generality could be achieved by allow ing $j{ }_{1} i$ to have also com ponents on $j!e^{i}$ and $j e^{i}$, for instance. H ow ever, exactly as in the case of the bright soliton [1]i], these com ponents can (and should) be im posed to rem ain zero for avoiding the appearance of secular term $s$ in the evolution of the soliton's param eters.

### 3.1 Evolution of the param eters of the soliton

 equations of evolution of the param eters of the soliton:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 t_{1} \cos ^{2}=h!R i=2 R e \quad d x{ }_{x} R(x ; x+z) \\
& =\frac{2}{-} \operatorname{Re} \quad{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \mathrm{dzR} \quad\left[{ }_{\text {sol }}\right] @_{\mathrm{z}} \text { sol } \quad \text {; } \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and
 $T$ he sam e equations are obtained via adiabatic approxim ation whidh is a sim pler variationnal ap-

evident in the case of Eq. $\left[\overline{2} \bar{g}_{1}\right)$ which is the slow tim e analogous to Eq. $\left(\bar{B} \bar{B}_{-1} \overline{9}_{1}\left(\operatorname{since}-=t_{1}\right)\right.$. In a sim ilar way, the prescription $\left(\overline{1}_{1} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$ indicates that $z=z_{t_{0}}+z_{1}$; com bining Eqs. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{U}_{1}\right)$, one sees that the equations of evolution of $z$ obtained in the present section correspond to the m ultipletim e expansion of Eq. ( $\bar{B} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$. A s a side result of th is exact correspondance of the tim e evolution of the soliton's param eters, we obtain here that, as in the adiabatic approach, $\sin \left[\left(t_{1}!1\right)\right]=V$
 when $t_{1}!+1$ to the one de ned in Eq. (4,

A technical rem ark is in order here. O ne can notioe that in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{5} \overline{1})$ we did not consider the $m$ ost general variational form for the solitonic com ponent of the wave function. W e could have let its global phase depend on tim e, for instance, and this would have given in Eq.( $\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) a contribution along j! 。i ( $j!$ 。i is de ned in Appendix Āil). Sim ilarly, a m ore general variational ansatz could also have been used in "Appendix BI. The im portant point is that if the solton's param eters are chosen w ithin the sam e variational space, their tim e evolution is described \{ in the adiabatic and perturbative approach \{ by the sam e equations. B esides, the radiative term 1 having in all cases to be restricted to the phonon part of the spectrum, its tim e evolution is not (at least in the lim it $V^{2} \quad U$; see below ) a ected by the speci c choice of variational param eters used for describing the soliton.

### 3.2 R adiated part

$T$ he tim e evolution of the radiative com ponent $j_{1} i$ is obtained in a m anner sim ilar to what is done for the soliton's param eters. P ro jecting Eq. ( $\overline{2} \bar{G})$ onto the phonon eigenfunctions of $H$ by applying $h_{q} j 3$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{t}_{0}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}+\mathrm{h} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Ri} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{q} \quad \sin +\frac{r}{\frac{q^{2}}{4}+1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

 account that the eigenfunctions $j_{q}$ i depend on $t$ only through the slow time $t_{1}$ (via sin ). The sam e holds for " ${ }_{q}$ and $N_{q}$. Thus, writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right)=D_{q}\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right) \operatorname{expf} \quad i_{q} t_{0} g ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has, at the sam e order of approxim ation as Eq. ([1]ī1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}_{0}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{1}{i \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{q}}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{Ri}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

 is to solve this equation taking into account that $t_{1}=t$ and that and $z$ have the tim e dependence speci ed by E qs. (
$t_{1}$ as independent variables. In this case, the $t_{1}$ dependence of and $z w$ ill not $m$ atter and the to dependence of z w ill be speci ed by Eq. (2 $\overline{4} \overline{4})$. A ccording to this second $m$ ethod one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}\left(t_{0} ; t_{1}\right)={\frac{1}{i N_{q}}}^{Z} t_{1} d t_{0}^{0} e^{i "_{q}} t_{0}^{0} h_{q} R i+D_{q}\left(t_{1}\right) ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{q}$ is an unknown function of $t_{1}$ ferifying $D_{q}\left(t_{1}!\quad 1\right)=0$ ] which could be determ ined by pushing the perturbative expansion to next order in. In the follow ing we w ill sim ply neglect th is term. $T$ his is legitim ate in the lim it where all the $t_{1}$-dependent term $s$ are nearly constant-i.e., to the lim it where the param eters of the soliton are very weakly a ected by the obstacle. W e w ill see in the next section that this lim it is reached when $U \quad V^{2}$.

O fm ost interest to us is the total am ount of radiation em itted by the soliton. For the deter$m$ ination of this quantity we need the explicit expression of Eq. (3) at large tim es. In the lim it $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}!+1$, Eq. (3is) (w thout the $\Gamma_{q}$ term) reads explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}(+1)=\frac{1}{i N_{q}}{\underset{R}{ }{ }^{2}}_{d x d t_{0}^{0}} e^{i^{\prime \prime}}{ }_{q}^{t_{0}^{0}} u_{q}^{h}(x) R\left(x ; x+z\left(t_{0}^{0} ;+1\right)\right)+v_{q}(x) R\left(x ; z\left(t_{0}^{0} ;+1\right)\right) \text {; } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $u_{q}(x)$ and $v_{q}(x)$ are the explicit com ponents of $j q i d e ~ n e d ~ i n ~ A p p e n d i x ~ A ́ ~$
 value. In particular, $\sin \left(t_{1}!+1\right)=\sin 0=V$, and according to Eq. (2 $\overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) one has here $z\left(t_{0}^{0} ;+1\right)=V t_{0}^{0}+z(+1)$. The integration along $t_{0}^{0}$ in Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{-})$ can be com puted easily using the expression $\left[\overline{2}_{1}^{1}\right)$ for $R$ and Eq. (9, $\left.\overline{1}\right)$ for $f$, leading to

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{q}(+1)=\frac{4}{\frac{Z}{Z} N_{q}} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{U}}\left(\frac{(q)}{V}\right)}{4+\left(\frac{\text { "q }}{V}\right)^{2}} e^{i{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }_{q} z(+1)=V} \\
& { }_{R}^{Z} d x e^{i{ }^{i \prime}{ }_{q} x=V} @_{x} \quad u_{q} \quad(x) \quad(x) \quad \frac{i^{\prime \prime}}{2 V}+v_{q} \quad(x) \quad(x) \quad \frac{i^{\prime \prime}}{2 V} \quad \text { : } \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

A long but straightforw ard com putation gives the nal result

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}(+1)=\frac{1}{16 V^{3}} p \frac{q}{1+q^{2}=4} \frac{\hat{U} \quad\left({ }_{q}=V\right) e^{i{ }^{i}{ }_{q} z(+1)=V}}{\sinh \frac{q}{\frac{q}{2 V} \frac{1+q^{2}=4}{1 V^{2}}}}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form ula the term $z(+1)$ can be obtained through the num erical determ ination of $z$ ( $t$ ). W e indicate in section
 contributes to ( $2 \bar{d}$ ) to the total wave function $w$ ith a contribution of order $\left(\hat{U}=V^{2}\right)^{p} \hat{1} V^{2}$. A ccording to the approxim ation schem e de ned in the beginning of the present section we have $V^{2} \quad U . S i n c e \hat{U}$ and $U$ are of sam e order of $m$ agnitude, the radiated part is, as expected, a sm all quantity.

## 4 A nalysis of the results

 the dynam ics of the system w thin our approach. The separation betw een the slow and fast tim es we used up to now in order to identify which tim e derivatives w ere negligible is no longer necessary, and we w ill henceforth only em ploy the actual tim et. W e w illalso drop the m ultiplicative factor in front of the perturbing potential $U(z)$ and of $1(x ; t)$. In the tw o follow ing subsections we study the evolution of the param eters of the soliton and in the tw o last ones we analyse the radiated part.

### 4.1 E ective potential approxim ation

 to work w ith the equivalent equations ( $\left.\mathbb{B} \mathrm{B}_{1} \overline{1} \overline{0}\right)$. In order to get insight into the details of the dynam ics of the soliton, one should solve these equations num erically for a particular obstacle. $T$ his is done in section ${ }^{-1} 2$, where we study the behavior of a solton incident on a delta scatterer. But before going to this point, it is interesting to study som e lim iting cases. In particular, the dynam ics of the variationnal solution (1-1]) can be m ore easily understood in the lim it of a very dark soliton (alm ost back). To this end, let us multiply Eq. (B-9) by $z$ and add it to Eq. (Bion) m ultiplied by - . T his gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z+1 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $\lim$ it of a weak potential, we have to keep in $m$ ind that $R$ is a sm all quantity (of order of $U$ ). It is then legitim ate at rst order to replace on the RHS of ( $\overline{3} \bar{q}_{1}$ ) $z$ by sin and to drop the term -. O ne thus obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=\frac{3}{4} \cos ^{2} \quad{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \mathrm{dz} \frac{@_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{f}}{\cosh ^{4}[\cos \quad(\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z})]}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

A further simpli cation of the equations is obtained in the lim it of very dark soliton, when


$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z=\frac{d U_{e}}{d z} \quad \text { where } \quad U_{e}(z)=\frac{3}{2}^{Z}{ }_{1} d z \frac{f(z)}{\cosh ^{4}(z \quad z)}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z+1} d z \frac{U(z)}{\cosh ^{2}(z \quad z)} \text { : } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we furthem ore consider a potential $U(z)$ which slow ly depends on $z$ (over a length scale m uch larger than unity $\overline{3} \bar{Z}]$ ]), then $U(z)$ in the convolution of the RHS of Eq. ( $4 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) does not appreciably vary over the distance where the term $\cosh ^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & z\end{array}\right)$ is notioeable. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{e}(z)^{\prime} \frac{U(z)}{2}{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d z \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}(z \quad z)}=U(z): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

 varying potential) the soliton can be considered as an e ective classical particle of $m$ ass 2 (i.e., tw ice the $m$ ass of a bare particle) of position $z$ (the position of the center of the density trough) evolving in a potential $U(z)$. If we relax the hypothesis of slow ly varying potential, the soliton
can still be considered as a particle of $m$ ass 2, but it now evolves in an e ective potential $U_{e}$ ( $z$ ) de ned in Eq.( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ as a convolution of the real potential $U(z)$. The fact that the e ective $m$ ass of the soliton is tw ige the one of a bare particle has already been obtained in $R$ efs. $[1], 1]$ P revious studiesm ainly focused on slow ly varying extemalpotentials and, as a result, the existence of an e ective potential $U_{e}$ \{ di erent from $U$ \{ had not been notioed so far, except in R ef. [1] where this result has already been obtained in the special case of a scatterer. In the follow ing, we denote the approxim ation corresponding to Eq. (4픅) as the e ective potential approxim ation: the soliton is considered as an e ective classical particle of $m$ ass 2 , position $z, m$ oving in the potential $U_{e} \quad(z)$.

### 4.2 N um erical check

Let us now study in detail a particular exam ple. W e consider a soliton incident on a pointlike obstacle-i.e., a scatterer characterized by $U(x)=(x)$. In this case, the static background $f(z)$ is given by E q ( $\overline{(1)})$ and Eqs. (B-9) and (B10) read

$$
\begin{align*}
- & =\operatorname{sgn}() \cos ^{2}  \tag{43}\\
& \frac{\cos ^{3}+1}{2} 0_{0}^{Z} d z \quad 1 \quad \frac{d z}{\sinh (2 z+2 a)} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{4} X} \quad \frac{1}{\cosh ^{4} Y} \\
& =\frac{\tanh X}{\cosh ^{4} X} \frac{\tanh Y}{\cosh ^{4} Y} ;
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin \quad Z & =\operatorname{sgn}() \sin \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d z}{\sinh (2 z+2 a)} \frac{X \cosh ^{2} X+\tanh X}{\cosh ^{2} X}+\frac{Y \cosh ^{2} Y+\tanh Y}{\cosh ^{2} Y} \\
& +\frac{\sin \cos ^{Z}{ }^{2}{ }_{0}^{+1} d z 1 \quad f^{2}(z) \quad \frac{1 \quad X \tanh X}{\cosh ^{4} X}+\frac{1 \quad Y \tanh Y}{\cosh ^{4} Y}:}{} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

$X$ and $Y$ in Eqs. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-3}$ ) and (4-4 $)$ are notations for $(z \quad z)$ cos and $(z+z)$ cos respectively, and the expressions of function $f$ and of param eter a are given in Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{1})$. Solving Eqs. ( $\overline{4} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ and ( $\mathbf{4}_{1} \overline{4}$ ) num erically, we obtain the tim e evolution of the param eters of the soliton. Weplot in F igs. 2 and 3 the behavior of $z$ as a function of $t$ for di erent in itial velocities $V$. Figure 2 corresponds to a repulsive interaction w ith $=+1$ and F ig. 3 to an attractive one w ith $=1$. T he initial
 and $z(t=0)=\sin [(t=0)]=V$. Several curves are draw $n$, corresponding to several values of $V$. In the repulsive case ( $F$ ig. 2), three initial velocities have been chosen: $V=0: 9,0.707$, and 0.4. T he value $\mathrm{V}=0: 9$ corresponds to a fast soliton which is weakly perturbed by the barrier, the value $V_{p}=0: 4$ corresponds to a re ected solton, and the value $V=0: 707$ is just below the value $V=\overline{=2}$ which, according to the e ective potential approxim ation ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, is the separatrix betw een transm ission and re exion (corresponding to $\mathrm{V}^{2}=\mathrm{m}$ ax $f U_{e}(z) g==2$ ). In the attractive case ( $F$ ig. 3) the curves are drawn in the cases $V=0: 707,0.4$ and 0.3 . In both gures, the solid lines correspond to the exact num erical solution of Eqs . ( $\overline{4} \overline{\overline{1}})$ and ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and the dashed lines to the result of the e ective potential approxim ation.

W e rst rem ark that the case of a scatterer we consider here is the w orst possible for the e ective potential approxim ation and that this approxim ation is certainly m ore at ease w ith sm oother
potentials. H ow ever, it is interesting to note that the e ective potential approxim ation, which could be thought as oversim pli ed, is often very good. T he worst agreem ent occurs in the case of repulsive obstacle, near the separatrix (which is estim ated by the e ective potential approxim ation to occur in the case of $F$ ig. 2 at $V=1=\overline{2}$ ). Aswew ill see below ( $F$ ig. 4), the e ective potential approxim ation does not exactly predict the location of this separatrix whereas, in th is region, the trajectories are strongly a ected by sm all changes of the in itial velocity V . T his is the reason for the bad agreem ent of the result of the approxim ate $m$ ethod $w$ ith the ones given by the num erical
 potential approxim ation is generically valid, even in the case where the soliton is far from being very dark: even the lim it V ! 1 is very accurately described by this approxim ation on $F$ igs. 2 and 3.


FIG.2. $z(t)$ for solitons of initial velocity V , incident on a repulsive obstacle $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x})=$ ( x ) w th $=+1$. The solid lines correspond to the num erical solution of Eqs. (4-3) and ( $\overline{4} \overline{4}$ ) $)$ and the dashed lines to the e ective potential approxim ation ([4]ī1).


FIG . 3.: Same as Fig. 2 for solitons incident on an attractive obstacle $U(x)=$ (x) w th $=1$. T he dashed lines corresponding to the e ective potential approxim ation are hardly distinguishable from the solid lines which corresp ond to the num ericalsolution of


In order to investigate $m$ ore precisely the lim it of large initial velocities $V$ and to assess the validity of the e ective potential approxim ation, let us now establish the form of Eqs . (B) and $(\bar{B} \overline{1} \overline{0})$ in the case of a w eakly perturbed soliton. From the e ective potential approxim ation, one infers that the soliton is weakly perturbed by the obstacle w hen its initialenergy is large com pared to the extemal potential $U_{e}$ - i.e., in the regim $e V^{2} \quad U$ (since $U_{e}$ and $U$ are typically of sam $e$ order of $m$ agnitude). This is con $m$ ed by the num erical results presented on $F$ igs. 2 and 3: the tra jectory of the soliton is less $m$ odi ed for large $V$. In the extrem e lim it $V^{2} \quad U$ one $m$ ay write
$(t)=0+$
( t ) and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{V} \mathrm{t}+$
( t ), with
0 and - V. has the meaning of a shift
in position: it is the di erence betw een the position of the center of the soliton in presence of the obstacle w ith the value it would have in absence of the obstacle. $T$ he perturbative versions of $E$ qs.
$\bar{B} \overline{9})$ and $(\bar{B} \overline{1} \overline{0})$ read

$$
4-\cos ^{2} 0=2 R e_{1}^{z+1} d z R\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z & V t ; 0)] & z(z \quad V t ; 0) \tag{45}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and

$$
4 \cos ^{2} 0\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\cos 0 & -
\end{array}\right]=2 R e_{1}^{Z+1} d z R \quad\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z & V t ; 0 \tag{46}
\end{array}\right] \quad\binom{z}{V t ; 0}:\right.
$$

$>$ From these equations it is a sim ple $m$ atter to com pute analytically the asym ptotic expressions of the soliton param eter. O ne obtains $\{$ as expected $\{(+1)=0$, and the asym ptotic shift in position is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+1)=\hat{U}(0) \frac{1+2 \mathrm{~V}^{2}}{6 \mathrm{~V}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{U}(0)={ }_{R}^{R} d x U(x)$. Equation (4-1) for ( +1 ) is an approxim ation (valid in the regim e $V^{2} \quad U$ ) of the exact result

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+1)=\lim _{t!+1} f z(t) \quad V \operatorname{tg}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $z(+1)$. In the case of a scatterer, the exact value ( 14 of Eqs. ( $\overline{4} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ and $[4 \overline{4} \overline{4})$. The result is displayed in F ig. 4 (thick solid curves) and com pared w ith the approxim ate expression ( $\overline{4}_{4} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) (thin solid curves) and w ith the result of the e ective potential approxim ation (dashed curves).


FIG.4. (+1) as a function of the initial velocity $V$ of a soliton incident on a peak $U(x)=(x)$. The upper curves correspond to the case $=0: 5$, the lower ones to the case $=0: 5$. The thick solid lines are the exact result ( $\overline{4} \overline{8} \overline{8}$ ) obtained form the num erical integration of Eqs. (4T3) and (444). The dashed curves are the result ( $\overline{4} \overline{9}$ ) of the effective potential approxim ation and the thin solid curves are the approxim ate result (4n-1) $_{1}^{-7}$ ).

In the case of the e ective potential approxim ation, the value of the shift (+1) can be com puted either via the num erical solution of the equation of $m$ otion (4]ini') or via the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+1)={\underset{1}{Z+1} d x \quad 1 \quad p \frac{1}{1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V}^{2}}_{l}^{l} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$>$ From this expression, one sees that in the $\lim$ it $V^{2} \quad U_{e} \quad U$, the e ective potential approxim ation yields a result $(+1)^{\prime} \quad \frac{1}{2} \hat{U}(0)=V^{2}$. Hence, in this lim it, the shift com puted via the e ective potential approxim ation at $\mathrm{V}=1$ is correct [since it agrees w ith the result (4-17) at $\mathrm{V}=1]$. $T$ his is surprising, because the e ective potential approxim ation is expected to be accurate only for very dark solitons. H ow ever, one can also notioe that detailed agreem ent w th the exact result (48) is $m$ issed since, in the lim it $V^{2} \quad U$, the asym ptotic evaluation [ $\left.\overline{4} \overline{-} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ of ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{B}_{1}\right)$ does not exactly $m$ atch the one of ( $4-1$ ). Yet one sees from $F$ ig. 4 that the shift com puted via the ective potential approxim ation is in surprisingly good agreem ent w ith the exact value, even for fast solitons. In particular, in the case of an attractive potential, the exact evaluation of (+1) and its approxim ation (49)", are hardly distinguishable.

### 4.3 B ackw ard-and forw ard-em itted w ave packets

At this point it is interesting to study in $m$ ore detail the structure of the phonon part of the wave function-ie., of $1(x ; t)$. $>$ From Eqs. (2 $\overline{2}$ ) and (AA $)$ one can separate 1 into two parts: $1=\stackrel{+}{1}+1$ w ith

$$
{ }_{1}(x ; t)=\operatorname{dqC}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{x}):
$$

$>$ From the explicit expressions $(\overline{3} \overline{2}),(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ and $\left[\bar{A} \bar{A}_{1} \mathcal{S}_{1}\right)$ one sees that ${ }_{1}^{+}\left({ }_{1}\right)$ describes waves propagating tow ard the positive (negative) $x$.

W e are interested in studying the outcom e of the collision-i.e., in obtaining an analytical evaluation of Eq $(\underset{(50}{0})$ ) when $t!+1$. To this end, one uses the fact that at large time one has $C_{q}(t) / \operatorname{expf} i_{q} t+z(+1)=V \mathrm{lg}$. Hence, instead of working $w$ ith the variable $t$, it is convenient here to de ne $=t+z(+1)=V$ and to write Eq. $\overline{(5)} \overline{\underline{q}})$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(x ; t)=\operatorname{dqG}_{R}^{\operatorname{dq}}(q ; x) \operatorname{expfi}[q(x+V \quad) \quad F(q)] g ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(q)=q\left(q^{2}=4+1\right)^{1=2}$ and $G(q ; x)=\left[q=2+" q_{q}=q+i(x)\right]^{2} D_{q}(+1) \operatorname{expfi}{ }_{q} z(+1)=V g$. In the appropriate lim it (to be de ned soon), one can evaluate this expression through a saddle phase estim ate. In this lim it, the rapidly oscillating phase in Eq. $\left.{ }^{[5]} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}\right)$ is stationary at point $q$ which are solutions of $x+V=F^{0}(q)$. O ne has

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}=\frac{1}{2}^{h} X^{2} \quad 4+X^{p}{x^{2}+8}^{i} ; \text { with } x=V+\frac{x}{-}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can easily verify that $q$ goesto zero $w$ hen $V+\underline{x}=$ and that $q^{2}$ ispositive only if $(V+\underline{x})>1$.
 saddles are well separated-ie., in the regim e $\mathrm{x} \quad(1 \mathrm{~V})$ for $=+$ and $\mathrm{x} \quad(1+\mathrm{V})$ for
$=$. If this condition is fiul lled, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}(x ; t) & , G(q ; x) \frac{2}{F^{\infty}(q) j} e^{i[q(x+v)} F^{S(q) \quad=4]} \\
& \left.+G(q ; x) \frac{2}{F^{\infty}(q) j} e^{i[q(x+v)} \quad F(q) \quad=4\right] \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

 $(\overline{5} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ ．The curves are draw n at $=60[\overline{[40}]$ for a soliton w ith incident velocity $\mathrm{V}=0: 5$ ．The obstacle is here taken to be a delta scatterer（x）． 1 being proportional to through the expression
 depend on ．

O ne sees in $F$ igure 5 that the sem iclassical approxim ation（ $\overline{5} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ is excellent in all its expected dom ain of validity and diverges at $\mathrm{x}=(1 \mathrm{~V})=30$（for $=+$ ）and $\mathrm{x}=(1+\mathrm{V})=90$ （for $=$ ）$\left.{ }_{[1-1}^{[1]}\right]$ ．H ence，these points can be considered as representative of the region w here the contribution of ${ }_{1}^{+}$and ${ }_{1}$ to the total wave function is m ore im portant．R oughly speaking，the present approach indicates that，long after the collision，${ }_{1}(x ; t)$ ism axim um around $x=(V)$（ W e recall that when using $x$（instead of $z$ ）as position coordinate，the soliton is，at all tim es，located around $x=0 . H$ ence，going back to the $z$ coordinate，we have a clear picture of the process at large tim es：the soliton propagates at velocity $V$（the sam e as its initial velocity）after having em itted phononswhich form tw o wave packets，one propagating in the forw ard direction $w$ th group velocity 1 （ie．，the sound velocity）and the other one propagating backw ards with group velocity 1．T he sam e conclusion seem $s$ to be reached in the num erical sim ulations of $P$ arker et al．$\left[\underline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime} n 2 \overline{2}\right]$ ．


Fig．5．Ref ${ }_{1}(x ; t) g$ as a function of $x$ for $=60$ for a soliton of initial velo－ city $\mathrm{V}=0: 5$ incident on a scatterer
（ $x$ ）．T he thick line represents the re－ sult（ 5 （ d ）and the thin line its sem iclas－ sical approxim ation（ $5 \overline{3}$ ）．For legibility we have separated the region where ${ }_{1}$ is nonzero（around $x=90$ ）from the one where ${ }_{1}^{+}$is non zero（around $x=30$ ）． $N$ ote that in the expected dom ain of va－ lidity of Eq．（5̄⿹\zh26工力）（x 30 and $x \quad$ 90） one can hardly distinguish the thidk line from its sem iclassical approxim ation．

## 4．4 R adiated energy

A quantity of im portance for characterizing the system is the total energy radiated by the solton．
 conserves the energy $E$ de ned as：

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\left[^{\prime}\right]={\underset{R}{Z} d z \quad \frac{1}{2} j_{z} \jmath^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\jmath} \jmath^{\jmath} \quad 1\right)^{2}+U(z) j \jmath^{\jmath}: ~}_{\text {J }}\right. \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to have an expression of the energy in term sof the eld which, when $=$, m atches the usual expression ( $\bar{B} 3$ 3) of the energy of the soliton, we rather work w ith the quantity E [ ] = $E[f] \quad E[f] . E[]$ is of course a conserved quantity, and we are interested in its expression far before ( $t$ ! 1 ) and far after ( $t!+1$ ) the collision $w$ th the obstacle. W e note here that $f(z) 1$ and $U(z)$ are non zero only when $z$ is close to to origin, whereas, in the same region, $(z ; t) \quad 1$ is zero when $t$ ! 1 . A fter a change of variable from $z$ to $x=z \quad z(t)$, the previous rem ark allow $S$ one to obtain the simpli ed expression for E (only valid when t ! 1 ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[j=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{R}^{Z} d x \quad j x j^{2}+\left(j \frac{\jmath}{j} \quad 1\right)^{2}:\right. \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the decom position ( $\left(\overline{1} \bar{S}_{\mathbf{S}}\right)$, keeping the low est orders in , and taking into account the fact that, when $t$ ! $1, j \jmath^{1}$ is zero in the regions where is notioeable, one obtains
 The second integral on the RHS of Eq. (1) corresponds to the energy of the radiated part and is denoted by $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ in the follow ing.

W e are now facing a di culty: we perform ed a com putation at order and at this order we have $(+1)=(1)$ since the equations for the param eters of the soliton are the sam e as the one obtained in the adiabatic approxim ation (see the discussion at the end ofêppendix Bí). A ccordingly, $E_{\text {rad }}$ in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{\bar{G}}\right)$ being of order ${ }^{2}$ should be neglected. H ence, at order nothing has occurred for the soliton's energy: this quantity is not modi ed by the collision w ith the obstacle and the radiated energy should be neglected. Thus, it seem sthat our rst-order approach is unable to predict the am ount of energy lost by the soliton during the collision w th the obstacle.

H ow ever, as already rem arked in the study of the scattering of bright solitons [ $\left.4^{2} 2\right]$, one can circum vent this di culty and extract som e second-order inform ation from our results. The procedure is the follow ing: when pushing the com putations at order ${ }^{2}$, the $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ estim ate of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ is still given by the second term on the RHS of ( 5 흔) with $=1$, which we know from our rst-order approadh. At second order, since $E_{\text {rad }}$ is non zero, the soliton's energy has been $m$ odi ed by the collision and energy conservation now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{3} \cos ^{3}[(1)]=E=\frac{4}{3} \cos ^{3}[(+1)]+E_{\mathrm{rad}}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation $\left(5 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ allow s us to determ ine the change in the soliton's param eter. W riting $(1)=0$ $(\mathrm{w}$ th $\sin 0=\mathrm{V})$ and $(+1)=0+$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{E_{\mathrm{rad}}}{4 \cos ^{2} 0 \sin 0}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\mathrm{V}+\cos 0$. Thus, we can determ ine how the collision has a ected the soliton's shape and velocity by com puting $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ (replacing by ${ }_{1}$ ). Thisw illbe done in the rem aining of this section.

O $n$ the basis of the analysis in term $s$ of forw ard-and backw ard-em litted wave packet $m$ ade in Section 'corresp onding to energy radiated backw ards and the second one to forw ard-radiated energy, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{rad}}=\lim _{t!+1} \frac{1}{2}{\underset{R}{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dx}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\jmath}+\quad+2^{0} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

A long com putation which is sum $m$ arized in "Appendix C' yields the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {rad }}=16 \int_{0}^{Z+1} \operatorname{dq} D_{q}(+1) J^{2}\left(Z_{q}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{q^{2}}{4}+1: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $D_{q}(+1)$ is given by Eq.(3̄ $\left.\bar{q}\right)$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}+1}{16 \mathrm{~V}^{6}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{dq}} \frac{\mathrm{q}^{2}\left("_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{2}{\underset{p}{\hat{J}}\left("_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{V}\right) \hat{\jmath}}_{\sinh ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{p}} \frac{1+\mathrm{q}^{2}=4}{1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~V}^{2}}}}{:} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior at low and high velocity of $E_{\text {rad }}$ de ned in Eq.(6]ill) is the follow ing

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {rad }} \quad \frac{Z}{16 V} \quad \frac{q^{4} j \hat{J}(q) \hat{J}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{q}{2}} d q \text { when } V!0 \text {; } \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{rad}} \quad \frac{4}{15}\left(1 \quad V^{2}\right)^{5=2} f \hat{J}(0) \hat{J} \quad ; \quad E_{\mathrm{rad}}^{+} \quad \frac{2}{35}\left(1 \quad V^{2}\right)^{9=2} f \hat{J}(0) \hat{\jmath} ; \quad \text { when } \quad V \quad 1: \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne sees from Eq. ( $\overline{6} \bar{Z})$ that our approach predicts an unphysical divergence of the radiated energy at low incident soliton velocity. On the contrary, num erical com putations indicate that a soliton
 result of a rst-order expansion only valid in the $\lim \operatorname{ti} V^{2} \quad U$ and is unable to tackle the regim e of very low incident velocities. M ore interestingly, in the high-velocity regim e \{ where the rst-order perturbation theory is valid \{ we see from Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{3}$ ) that the leading-order estim ate of the total am ount of radiation (forw ard or backw ard em itted) van ishes.

In order to $x$ the ideas, we plot in $F$ ig. 6 the value of $E_{\text {rad }}$ as a function of the initial soliton velocity $V$. The obstacle is here taken to be a delta scatterer $U(z)=\quad(z)$. In this case $\hat{U}(\mathrm{q})=$.


FIG 6. E nergy $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ radiated in the forward $(=+)$ and backward $(=)$ directions by a solition of initial velocity $V$ incident on a scatterer. The solid lines represent the result ( $\overline{6} \overline{1} 1$ ) and the dashed line the approxim ation ( $\overline{6} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ which reads here $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{rad}}, \quad 2=(15 \mathrm{~V})$. The inset displays a blow up of the gure at high velocity. In the inset, the dashed curves are the asym ptotic results ( $\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3})$.
$F$ igure 6 show $s$ that $m$ ost of the energy is radiated backw ards (this $w$ as already im plicit in $F$ ig. 5) and con m s that, at leading order in $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}^{2}$, a soliton does not radiate in the lim it V ! 1 . Besides, not only the absolute value of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ goes to zero, but also the relative am ount of energy radiated $E_{\text {rad }}=E$ vanishes [as ( $1 \quad \mathrm{~V}^{2}$ )]. Very sim ilar results are obtained for an obstacle interacting w ith the beam through a niterange potential (for instance, a G aussian). This absence of radiation of a fast soliton can be explained intuitively as follow $s$ : whatever the sign of the potentialdescribing the obstacle, the soliton loses energy under the form of radiated phonons. A ccordingly it gets less dark [ > 0 in Eq. $\left.{ }^{\prime}\left(\frac{5}{5} p\right)\right]$ and is accelerated. This increased velocity after a loss of energy is a typical feature of dark solitons which are som etim es referred to as e ective particles having a negative kinetic $m$ ass which decreases $w$ ith increasing energy [43]. H ow ever, our results show that, since the soliton velocity cannot exceed the speed of sound, a soliton whose velocity is close to this upper lim it cannot be further accelerated and the radiative process is suppressed.

## 5 C onclusion

In this paper we have presented a study of the dynam ics of a dark soliton experiencing a collision w ith a nite-size potential in a quasi-1D condensate. W e determ ined the evolution of the soliton's param eters and also included radiative e ects $w$ ithin secular perturbation theory.

A rst output of the present work is what we called the \e ective potential theory": in many instances the soliton can be described as an e ective classical particle ofm ass 2 (tw ice the $m$ ass of a bare particle) evolving in an e ective potential $U_{e}$ [de ned in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ ]. This approxim ation is rigorously valid in the case of a slow soliton incident on a weak potential, but its actual regim e of validity appears to be quite broad.

The e ective potential theory is an approxim ation where \{ as in all adiabatic approaches \{ radiative e ects are neglected. Perturbation theory allows one to get a deeper insight into the collisional process and to determ ine the am ount of radiated energy at leading order in $U=V^{2}$. We show that the radiated waves form two counterpropagating phonon wave packets, and we predict that the radiative process is suppressed in the lim it of a soliton m oving $w$ ith a velocity close to the velocity of sound. This result should be checked num erically; work in this direction is in progress.

W hereas adiabatic theory predicts that the soliton's shape and velocity are the sam e far before and far after the collision w ith the obstacle, it is an im portant feature of the perturbative approach of being able to determ ine nite asym ptotic m odi cations of the soliton's param eters due to the collision. W e com puted [in E q. ( $\overline{5} \overline{-1}$ ) ] ] the m odi cation of the soliton's param eters at leading order in $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}^{2}$. T he qualitative picture of the collisional process draw $n$ from our approach is the follow ing: the soliton radiates energy, gets less dark, and is accelerated. Since the velocity of a dark soliton cannot exceed the velocity of sound in the system, 斗 is natural that this velocity appears as a threshold for em ission of radiations. R oughly speaking, a soliton with a velocity close to the velocity of sound cannot radiate [as seen from Eqs. [6]in)] since its velocity cannot further increase.
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## A ppendix A

In this appendix we present the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the $\mathrm{H} a \mathrm{~m}$ iltonian H de ned in Eq. (2 $\overline{2}_{1}$ ) . H is not diagonalizable because, as we w ill see below, its null space and the one of $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ are not identical. If we denote by its \generalized null space" [ $\left.\left.\overline{3}_{1}^{2}\right]_{1}\right]$ the union of these tw o null spaces, one can easily verify that it is spanned by the four vectors $j!\circ i, j!e^{i}, j$ oi, and $j$ ei de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j!\circ i=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
= & +i \sin \\
= & +i \sin
\end{array} \quad ; j \circ i=\begin{array}{ll}
x & x+ \\
x & x+
\end{array} ;
\end{aligned}
$$


 from Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\bar{A}^{-1}$ ) that $j!e^{i}$ and $j e^{i}$ are, respectively, linked to variations of the center of the soliton and of the param eter (i.e., to the phase change across the soliton) : this is the reason why the
 sim ilarly show that $j!\circ i$ is linked to m odulations of the globalphase of the soliton and that $j$ ois linked to variations of the background density at in nity.

The rem ainder of the spectrum of is what we call the \phonon spectrum." It has tw o branches which we denote $\backslash+$ " and $\backslash$." The corresponding eigenvectors and eigenvalues are denoted $j_{q} i$ and ${ }_{q}$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} j_{q} i="_{q} j_{q} i: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expression of the eigenvalues is given in the $m$ ain text $\mathbb{E} q$. ( 3 Ziñ $)$ ]. It can be sim ply
 and looking for the eigenvectors under the form of plane waves, $\operatorname{expfiqxg}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ (where $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{q}}$
and $V_{q}$ are constants), yields the result ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$. T his is the reason w hy we denote these excitations as phonons. A better denom ination should be $\backslash B$ ogoliubov excitations" because, far from the soliton, their form and dispersion relation correspond indeed to the elem entary excitations of a constant background m oving with velocity $V$.

The exact expression (valid for all x 2 R ) of the eigenvectors is given by the squared Jost


$$
!_{2}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{expfiqxg} \frac{\mathrm{q}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{q}}+i \\
& \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{x})=\operatorname{expfiqxg} \frac{\mathrm{q}}{2} \frac{"_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{q}}+i{ }_{2}: \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he natural inner product of two kets is $h \quad j j \quad i$, where 3 is the third Pauli matrice. The eigenvectors have the follow ing norm alization:
w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{q}=16 \quad \mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{q}^{2}}{4}+1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Mq}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{q}} \quad 2 \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $m$ ain text we also use the follow ing orthogonality relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h!e j 3 j!e^{i}=h \text { ej } 3 j \text { ei=h! } e^{j} 3 j q i=h e j 3 j q i=0 ; h!e j 3 j e i=4 \cos \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

## A ppendix B

In this appendix we brie y present the Lagrangian approach for dark soliton of K ivshar and


In absence of the perturbation $R$ [ ], Eq. (1111) can be derived from the follow ing Lagrangian density:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[; \quad]=\frac{i}{2}(\quad t \quad t)\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{j j^{2}}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} j z \stackrel{j}{j} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(j \frac{j}{j} \quad 1\right)^{2}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccordingly, the energy and $m$ om entum are de ned by

The Lagrangian density $(\bar{B} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is not a priori the $m$ ost natural one leading to Eq. $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}\right)$, but for the asym ptotic boundary condition we are working with (j j! 1 when $z$ ! 1 ), it yields a nite value of the energy and, besides, the energy and $m$ om entum are now, for a eld of the form ( $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{V}$ t) (in particular, in the case ofa soliton), related by the relation $E=V \quad P$, indicating that the background contribution has been rem oved and allow ing one to treat the soliton as a classical
 its energy and $m$ om entum de ned in Eq. (B2) have the follow ing expressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{4}{3} \cos ^{3} \quad ; \quad P=\quad 2 \quad \sin (2): \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Follow ing K ivshar and K rolikow ski [1] $\overline{1}_{1}^{-1}$, one can obtain adiabatic equations of m otion for the soliton's param eters in the follow ing way. Let us consider a variational approxim ation of the type of Eq. ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{-1} \overline{1}_{1}$; ; the eld of the soliton is param etrized w ith tim e dependent quantities $q_{1}(t), \ldots, q_{n}(t)$ and has no other tim e dependence: sol $(z ; t)=\left(z ; q_{1}(t) ;:: ; q_{n}(t)\right)$. O ne rst de nes the Lagrangian for the $q_{i}$ 's as being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}\left(q_{1} ; q_{1} ;:: ; q_{n} ; q_{n}\right)={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \mathrm{dzL}\left[\text { sol }^{+} ;{ }_{\text {sol }}\right]: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the quantities $\varrho_{q_{i}} L$ and $\varrho_{q_{i}} L$ are com puted via

$$
@_{q_{i}} L={ }_{1}^{Z} d z @_{q_{i}} @ L+@_{q_{i}} z_{z} @_{z} L+@_{q_{i}} t @_{t} L+\text { c.c. }
$$

and

$$
@_{q_{i}} L={ }_{1}^{Z+1} d z @_{q_{i}} t @_{t} L+c . c .
$$

where c.c. stands for com plex con jugate. C onsidering that is solution of Eq. (1픅) (including the perturbative term $R$ [ ]), sim ple $m$ anipulations allow one to obtain Lagrange-like equations for the $q_{i}$ 's:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
@_{q_{i}} L & \frac{d}{d t}\left(@_{q_{i}} L\right)
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{gathered}
\quad \mathrm{Z}+1 \\
\end{gathered}
$$

In the particular case where $\operatorname{sol}(z ; t)=(z \quad z(t) ;(t))$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(; ; ; z ; z)=z[\quad 2 \quad \sin (2 \quad)] \frac{4}{3} \cos ^{3} \quad ; \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equations of $m$ otion ${ }^{(B)}$

$$
4-\cos ^{2}=2 \operatorname{Re} \quad \begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}+1 \\
& d z R \quad[\operatorname{sol}] @_{\mathrm{z}} \text { sol } \tag{B9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
4 \cos ^{2} \quad(\sin \quad z)=2 \operatorname{Re} \quad{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d z R \quad[\text { sol }] @ \quad \text { sol } \quad:
$$

W e note here a general feature, alw ays valid in the fram ew ork of the adiabatic approxim ation: w ith equation (4) conserving energy, one can show that the soliton's energy de ned in Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{\prime}$ ) has the sam e value far before and far after the collision w th the obstacle (the dem onstration is essentially the sam e as the one given in Section ${ }^{-4}-4.4$ where, in addition, the consequences of soliton's radiation \{ neglected in the present adiabatic approxim ation \{ are taken into account). A s a result, one obtains
 the soliton's shape and velocity $m$ ay change during the collision, but they eventually regain their in itial values. This is intim ately connected to the neglecting of radiative e ects in the adiabatic approxim ation.

## A ppendix C

In this appendix we brie y indicate how to obtain expression ( $\left.6 \overline{0} \overline{0}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ for the radiated energy starting
 how to treat all the term s in the integrand of $(\underline{5} \overline{\underline{9}})$, for brievily we focus on one of the contributions to the expression ( $\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{~T}}$ ) for $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x j j^{2} j \quad \jmath={ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x \quad 1 \quad \frac{\cos ^{2}}{\cosh ^{2}(x \cos )} \quad j_{1}(x ; t) f: \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e recall that we are interested of the evaluation of this term at large tim es. Expressing 1 through Eq. $\left(\overline{5} \overline{0} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$, one can show that the term in cosh ${ }^{2}$ in the integrand on the RHS of Eq. ( $\bar{C}$ dropped because it gives a contribution which decreases algebraically at $t$ ! +1 (this can be checked by a stationary phase evaluation of the integrals over the $m$ om enta). It thus rem ains to evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{1}{Z+1} d x j_{1} J^{2}={\underset{1}{Z}+1}_{d q}^{Z_{1}} d p{ }_{1}^{Z} d x \quad C_{q} C_{p} \quad\left(u_{q} u_{p} \quad Y_{q} \quad v_{p}\right)+C_{q} C_{p} v_{q} v_{p} \quad: \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. $[\bar{C}-2 \overline{-1})$ we have added and substracted the contribution $v_{q} v_{p}$ in order to $m$ ake use of the norm alization ( $\overline{4}-\overline{4})$. For the evaluation of the last part of the integrand on the RHS of Eq. (C the explicit expressions $\left.\left[\bar{A}_{-}^{-}\right]^{3}\right)$ of $u_{q}(x)$ and $v_{q}(x)$ are to be used. In the course of this com putation, an argum ent of stationary phase show $s$ that only the $x$-independent term $s w$ ith $p=q$ give a nite contribution at $t!+1$. These term s w ill contribute as $2 \quad(p \quad q)$ after the integration over $x$. A ltogether one obtains the expression
 the integral since $\mathbb{C}_{q} \xrightarrow{?}$ is even) and explicitly com puting the other contributions, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x j_{1}(x ; t) j_{t!+1}^{\prime}, \quad \int_{0}^{Z+1} d q\left(q^{2}+2\right) \frac{"_{q}}{q} D_{q}(+1) \jmath^{2}: \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he others contributions to Eq. $\left(\overline{5} \bar{g}_{1}\right)$ can be com puted sim ilarly. O ne obtains
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d x(1)^{2}, \quad 16{ }_{0}^{Z!+1} d q \frac{"_{q}}{q} p_{q}(+1){ }^{2}: \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

G athering all these contributions yields the nal result (fō్l).
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