

Comment on \Multiple Bosonic Mode Coupling in the Electron Self-Energy of $(\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x)\text{CuO}_4$ "

Recently Zhou et al reported photoemission data from underdoped $(\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x)\text{CuO}_4$ revealing "new structure" in the single-particle self-energy (!) [1]. Four "new structures" at energies of (40{46} meV, (58{63} meV, (23{29} meV and (75{85} meV, were identified in the realpart of (!) and attributed to coupling of electrons to four phonon modes. The maximum entropy method was used to fit the measured $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ and the coupling function 2F was extracted. Here, we argue that the features in $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ interpreted by Zhou et al [1] as the "new structure" could not be detected with the experimental parameters used in [1]. We show that the measured $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ displays more "structure" than physically possible and the "new structure" should therefore be interpreted as statistical noise or other experimental error.

Our strategy is to check whether such "new structure" may be observed, even in principle, in an experiment with the experimental resolution ϵ_{exp} comparable to the splitting between neighboring peaks or shoulders ("structures") in the $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$. As a first step, we took 2F from [1] and reproduced the calculated self-energy, as shown in Fig 1c). This self-energy was directly compared to the experimental $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ in [1], clearly demonstrating that no experimental broadening was considered in the fitting procedure. However, the claimed energy resolution in [1] ranges from 12 to 20 meV [2] at which the effects on the measured $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ are quite significant and, as we show below, essentially forbid the observation of "new structure" suggested in [1].

The measured $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ is extracted from momentum distribution curves (MDC) and is affected by the experimental resolution in a non-trivial way. To see the effects of finite energy resolution on the MDC derived self-energy, we have simulated the photoemission intensity by using the self-energy and the dispersion relation from [1]. A constant term of 50 meV (roughly one half of the experimental value) is added to the $\text{Im}(\Sigma)$. The resulting simulation is shown in Fig. 1a. Now, the "experimental" spectrum would be smeared in energy and momentum by finite energy and momentum resolution. In the following we completely neglect the momentum broadening and only convolve the simulated spectrum in energy. The result for $\epsilon_{\text{exp}} = 12$ meV is shown in Fig 1b, while the corresponding MDC deduced $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ is shown in Fig 1c) by the thin solid line. If measured with $\epsilon_{\text{exp}} = 18$ meV, the $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ would look as the dashed line in Fig 1c). It is obvious that the "new structure", present in the unbroadened spectrum, disappears completely, even at $\epsilon_{\text{exp}} = 12$ meV [3]. Therefore, its "presence" in the data signals some experimental error, most likely statistical noise. The finite energy resolution also introduces a shift in the apparent k_F (an offset in $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ at $\epsilon = 0$), altering the magnitude




FIG. 1: (a) The unbroadened spectral intensity at $T = 20$ K simulated by using self-energy and bare dispersion from [1]. (b) Spectrum from (a), convoluted in energy by $\epsilon_{\text{exp}} = 12$ meV. (c) The experimental (symbols) and calculated $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ from [1] (thick solid line). Also shown are the MDC extracted $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ from simulated spectra when broadened with 12 meV (shown in (b)) (thin solid line) and 18 meV (dashed line) of the experimental energy resolution.

and the shape of the measured $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$. Depending on temperature and on details of quasiparticle dispersions, Fermi velocities may be significantly overestimated. At low temperature ($kT \ll \epsilon_{\text{exp}}$) the effect is most pronounced and near $\epsilon = 0$ it is roughly proportional to $\epsilon_{\text{exp}} = v_F$. In the opposite limit, ($kT \gg \epsilon_{\text{exp}}$) these effects disappear. In the present case, however, the effect near k_F is 15-25% of the maximum value of $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ and should be easily detected. Even the shape and magnitude of the broad structure in $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ is significantly altered. However, Zhou et al have missed and/or ignored these effects completely. Instead, they claim that much "new structure, unobservable under the cited experimental conditions, is real. In conclusion, we showed that such structure can not be real and is probably noise related.

T. Valla

Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY 11973-5000

[1] X. J. Zhou et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 117001 (2005).

[2] Fig. 1b) in [1] suggests that the actual energy resolution was ~ 22 meV in that case.

[3] The simulated $\text{Re}(\Sigma)$ has only one broad maximum at 55 meV, while its second derivative obviously has more structure. The structure in the second derivative of the simulated curve can not be a valid criterion for the exist-

tence of multiple modes, especially in the case where the simulated curve differs so much from the data.