

# Bifermionic Superfluidity in Toroidal Optical Lattices

K.G. Petrosyan\*

*Department of Theoretical Physics  
Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan 375036, Armenia*

March 23, 2022

## Abstract

We consider a gas of neutral fermions trapped in a specific optical trap that provides a tight confinement of a Fermi gas in a torus with a potential periodic along the azimuthal direction. The effective model is interacting fermions moving in a periodic potential along the ring. We show that the model demonstrates a *novel type of superfluidity* different from the BCS mechanism. This pure 1D quantum gas in a ring can also form crystalline structures with both ferro- and antiferro-magnetic type orders. Possibilities of realization of quantum crystals and their application for quantum computing are discussed.

*Introduction.* After the realization of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped alkali gases [1] and recent achievements in producing degenerate Fermi gases [2] there is a growing interest in fundamental properties of these quantum objects and their applications. Pure samples of fermions in magnetic and optical traps provide with a unique possibility to test a number of quantum statistical physics effects. That also advances towards realizations of new quantum devices such as quantum computers [3] and intense fermionic beam generators [4, 5]. Especial focus since recently has been on ultracold quantum gases confined in optical lattices. Successful experiments with bosons [6] and production of a Fermi gas of atoms in an optical lattice [7] inspired several theoretical works related to cold atoms trapped in optical lattices [8]. An atomic Bose-Fermi mixture in an optical lattice was considered in [9]. Exciting issues of possible control of interatomic interaction in optical lattices were addressed in [10]. Among experimental goals in this area most challenging have been getting a two-component Fermi gas in the BCS state [11] and the recently realized creation of ultracold molecules from a Fermi gas of atoms [12]. A possibility of a superfluid phase transition in a single-component polarized Fermi gas of dipolar molecules was studied in [13]. Further elaboration

---

\*pkaren@yerphi.am

of the direction will certainly shed more light on problems of quantum physics of *composite* particles. Formation of crystalline structures from the trapped quantum gases is yet another important direction linking the mesoscopic physics of ultracold atoms with condensed matter physics [8]. Recently a new technique was suggested for preparation of atomic crystals in an optical lattice [14]. The proposed scheme would make it possible to directly engineer states with a specific number of atoms per site in optical lattices thus realizing atomic crystals. In the present paper we demonstrate a novel type of superfluidity different from the BCS mechanism and consider a possibility of obtaining atomic crystals from samples of neutral fermions trapped in a specific optical trap. We suggest to use an optical trap which consists of two *co*-propagating lasers beams with a Laguerre-type transverse profile. The chosen profile guarantees the Fermi gas to be trapped in an effectively 1D ring. In addition to that the presence of two laser beams creates a potential periodic along the ring's azimuthal direction. So far we have a 1D two species neutral Fermi gas trapped in a ring which experiences a periodic potential. The system can be also seen as a two-species Fermi gas sample trapped in a 1D cyclic optical lattice.

*Toroidal Optical Trap.* Let us consider the optical potential created by two *co*-propagating focused laser fields which have the same Gaussian-Laguerre transverse profile, but with *opposite* circulations for the azimuthal phase  $\phi$  (with topological charges  $\pm m$ ), *i.e.*

$$\hat{E}_{\pm} = \hat{e}E_0 \left( \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}w} \right)^{|m|} e^{\pm im\phi} L_p^{|m|} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{2w^2} \right) e^{-\rho^2/4w^2} e^{ikz} e^{i\omega_L t}, \quad (1)$$

where  $w$  is the width of the Gaussian prefactor for the amplitudes, and  $\rho$  is the radial coordinate in the transverse direction. Both fields are assumed to have the same polarization vector  $\hat{e}$ , thus driving the same atomic transitions. The trapping potential established from these two fields takes the following simple form

$$V_{\Omega}(\vec{r}) \propto |\hat{E}_+ + \hat{E}_-|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \frac{\Omega^2(\rho)}{\Delta} (1 + \cos [2m\phi]), \quad (2)$$

with now the effective detuning  $\Delta = \omega_L - \omega_0$  is the laser detuning from an electronic transition at  $\omega_0$ . We have defined the Rabi frequency,

$$\hbar\Omega(\rho) \equiv 2E_0(\hat{e} \cdot \vec{d}) \left( \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}w} \right)^{|m|} L_p^{|m|} \left( \frac{\rho^2}{2w^2} \right) e^{-\rho^2/4w^2} \quad (3)$$

with  $\vec{d}$  the electronic dipole of the far off resonant transition. We have conveniently chosen the light field to be propagating in the  $z$ -direction. In a realistic setup, one may want to use an intersecting light sheet to confine the axial motion in the  $z$ -direction, such that an effective two dimensional motion results. Additional optical potential terms can be introduced to confine the system into a torus shaped one-dimensional motion along the ring. Yet another scheme to produce a toroidal trap was considered in [15].

*Neutral Fermi Gas confined in a ring.* Let us consider a gas of fermions confined in a trap which interact with each other by two-body collisions (*s*-wave scattering). The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow\downarrow} \int d^3\vec{r} \left( \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \nabla^2 - \mu_{\sigma} + V_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) + V_{\Omega}(\vec{r}) \right] \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) + \frac{g}{2} \psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \psi_{-\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \psi_{-\sigma}(\vec{r}) \psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r}) \right) \quad (4)$$

Here  $\psi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\vec{r})$  and  $\psi_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$  are the creation and annihilation field operators for the two fermionic species ( $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ ).  $V_{\sigma}(\vec{r})$  are the trapping potentials for the two species,  $V_{\Omega}(\vec{r})$  is the optical potential created by the above introduced two *co*-propagating laser fields with Gaussian-Laguerre transverse profiles,  $\mu_{\sigma}$  the chemical potentials,  $M$  the mass of the particles and the interaction parameter  $g = \frac{4\pi a_s \hbar^2}{M}$  with  $a_s$  the scattering length. Following a standard procedure [8] we get the Hubbard Hamiltonian

$$H = -\mu \sum_{m\sigma} c_{m\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{m\sigma} + \sum_{m \neq m', \sigma} \left( v_{mm'} c_{m\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{m\sigma} c_{m'\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{m'\sigma} - t_{mm'} c_m^{\dagger} c_{m'} \right) \quad (5)$$

where the  $v_{mm'}$  and  $t_{mm'}$  determine atomic two-body interactions and intersite hopping, respectively. We then reduce the Hubbard model to the anisotropic Heisenberg model [16, 17]. Let us now introduce fermionic pairs formed by "spin-up" and "spin-down" particles via new  $b_m$  operators

$$b_m \equiv c_{m\uparrow} c_{m\downarrow} \quad (6)$$

The Hamiltonian turns out to become

$$H = -\mu \sum_m b_m^{\dagger} b_m + \sum_{m \neq m'} \left( v_{mm'} b_m^{\dagger} b_m b_{m'}^{\dagger} b_{m'} - t_{mm'} b_m^{\dagger} b_{m'} \right) \quad (7)$$

Then using pseudo-spin representation for the  $b_m$  operators

$$\begin{aligned} b_m^{\dagger} &= S_m^x - iS_m^y \\ b_m &= S_m^x + iS_m^y \\ b_m^{\dagger} b_m &= \frac{1}{2} - S_m^z \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

we arrive at the following anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$H = \mu \sum_m S_m^z + \sum_{m \neq m'} [v_{mm'} S_m^z S_{m'}^z - t_{mm'} (S_m^x S_{m'}^x + S_m^y S_{m'}^y)] \quad (9)$$

*Bifermionic condensates and formation of ferro- and antiferro-magnetic type crystalline structures.* The anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian was thoroughly

studied in [17]. Let us define  $n_b = \langle b_m^\dagger b_m \rangle$  for bifermions, and  $n_a$  for initially loaded atoms via

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_m \langle S_m^z \rangle = \frac{1 - n_a}{2} \quad (10)$$

We take into account only nearest-neighbor interactions having  $v_{m,m+1} = v$  and  $t_{m,m+1} = t$ . The model then possesses two solutions. The first one is a uniform "ferromagnetic" state with the number of bifermions  $n_b = n_a/2$  uniformly distributed along the ring and with the ground energy  $E = -\frac{1}{4}N [t + (t + v)(1 - n_a)^2]$ . The second one is the "anti-ferromagnetic" structure with the following solutions for the number of bifermions for the two sublattices

$$\begin{aligned} n_b^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n_a + \left[ 1 + (1 - n_a)^2 - 2v(1 - n_a) / \sqrt{v^2 - t^2} \right] \right) \\ n_b^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n_a - \left[ 1 + (1 - n_a)^2 - 2v(1 - n_a) / \sqrt{v^2 - t^2} \right] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and the ground state energy  $E = -\frac{1}{4}Nv$ . From the above equations it follows that the antiferromagnetic structure forms only for high enough atomic densities [17]

$$n_a \geq n_c = 1 - [(v - t) / (v + t)]^{1/2} \quad (12)$$

Let us consider the number of bifermions vs the number of loaded fermions. One clearly sees that the ground state of the system is either uniform crystalline ordered phase or an antiferromagnetic type structure with two sublattices containing unequal number of biparticles  $n_b^{(1)} \neq n_b^{(2)}$ . The phase diagram of the model anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian was studied in detail in [18]. Their results show existence of four different phases. Three of them below a critical temperature and two phases above the temperature. Our calculation confirmed the critical temperature obtained in [17] that is

$$T_c = \frac{(1 - n_a) t}{\ln [(2 - n_a) / n_a]} \quad (13)$$

The critical temperature dependence on the number of loaded particles is discussed in details in [17].

*Discussion.* The presence of two bifermionic condensate phases as well as one non-condensate phase below the critical temperature clearly indicates the qualitative difference between the obtained superfluidity and a BCS type model. The model considered in the present paper demonstrates existence of two different non-condensate phases above the critical temperature that also shows a drastic difference of the considered system from the conventional ones. Another important property of the considered model is the obtained possibility to form both ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic type crystalline structures. They would present quantum crystals which can have clusters formed by the model pseudospins representing the

bifermions. It would be very interesting to consider a possibility of using this system for quantum computation via the pseudospin clusters in the manner presented in [19]. Toroidal bifermionic condensates may also attract an interest of the BEC community who investigated superfluid toroidal currents of ultracold bosons [20].

*Acknowledgements.* The author is grateful to N.S. Ananikian and K.V. Kheruntsyan for many valuable discussions. Different parts of this work were discussed with E. Cornell, V. Gritsenko, A. Ishkhanyan, V. Ohanyan, M. Roger, and A. Shames, whom I thank.

## References

- [1] M.H. Anderson, J.R. Ensher, M.R. Matthews, C.E. Wieman, and E.A. Cornell, *Science* **269**, 198 (1995); K.B. Davis, M.-O. Mewes, M.R. Andrews, N.J. van Druten, D.S. Durfee, D.M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 3969 (1995); C.C. Bradley, C.A. Sackett, J.J. Tollett, and R.G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 1687 (1995); *ibid* **79**, 1170 (1997).
- [2] B. DeMarco and D.S. Jin, *Science* **285**, 1703 (1999); B. DeMarco, J.L. Bohn, J.P. Burke, Jr., M. Holland, and D.S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4208 (1999); B. DeMarco et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5409 (2001); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 040405 (2002); A.G. Truscott et al, *Science* **291**, 2570 (2001); T. Loftus et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 173201 (2002); K.M. O'Hara et al, *Science* **298**, 2179 (2002); *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 041401 (2002); S.R. Granade, M.E. Gehm, K.M. O'Hara, and J.E. Thomas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 120405 (2002); C.A. Regal and D.S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 230404 (2003).
- [3] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000).
- [4] K.G. Petrosyan, *JETP Lett.* **70**, 11 (1999).
- [5] S. Potting, M. Cramer, W. Zhang, and P. Meystre, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 063620 (2002).
- [6] M. Greiner et al, *Nature* **415**, 39 (2002); M. Greiner et al, *Nature* **419**, 51 (2002); O. Mandel et al, *Nature* **425**, 937 (2003).
- [7] G. Modugno et al, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 011601(R) (2003).
- [8] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J.I. Cirac, C. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3108 (1998); P. Torma and D. Jaksch, *Acta Physica Slovaca*, **49**, 605 (1999); W. Hofstetter et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 220407 (2002); E. Jane et al, *Phys. Rev.* **65**, 050302 (2002); B. Paredes et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 150402 (2003); B. Damski et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 110401 (2003).

- [9] M. Lewenstein, L. Santos, M. Baranov, and H. Fehrmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 050401 (2004).
- [10] L.-M. Duan, E. Demler, and M.D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090402 (2003).
- [11] H.T.C. Stoof, M. Houbiers, C.A. Sackett, and R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 10 (1996); M. Houbiers, R. Ferwerda, H.T.C. Stoof, W.I. McAlexander, C.A. Sackett, R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 4864 (1997); M. Houbiers, H.T.C. Stoof, W.I. McAlexander, R.G. Hulet, Phys. Rev. A **57**, R1497 (1998); M. Houbiers and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 1556 (1999); M.A. Baranov, Yu. Kagan, M.Yu. Kagan, JETP Lett. **64**, 301 (1996); M.A. Baranov and D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A **58**, R801 (1998); M.A. Baranov and D.S. Petrov, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 041601 (2000); H. Heiselberg et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2418 (2000); H. Heiselberg and B. Mottelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 190401 (2002); H. Heiselberg, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 053616 (2003); R.A. Barankov, L.S. Levitov, and B.Z. Spivak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 160401 (2004).
- [12] C.A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J.L. Bohn, and D.S. Jin, Nature **424**, 47 (2003); C.A. Regal et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 040403 (2004); J. Kinast et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 150402 (2004); M.W. Zwierlein et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 120403 (2004).
- [13] M.A. Baranov, M.S. Mar'enko, V.D. Rychkov and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 013606 (2002).
- [14] P. Rabl, A. J. Daley, P.O. Fedichev, J.I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 110403 (2003).
- [15] E.M. Wright, J. Arlt, and K. Dholakia, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 013608 (2000).
- [16] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1900 (1958).
- [17] A.S. Alexandrov and A.B. Krebs, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **162**, 1 (1992) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **35**, 345 (1992)].
- [18] S. Robaszkiewicz, R. Micnas, and K.A. Chao, Phys. Rev. B **23**, 1447 (1981); *ibid.* **24**, 1579; K. Kubo and S. Takada, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **52**, 2108 (1983).
- [19] F. Meier, J. Levy, and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 047901 (2003); Phys. Rev. B **68**, 134417 (2003); M. Borhani and D. Loss, quant-ph/0410145.
- [20] K.G. Petrosyan and L. You, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 639 (1999); E. Nugent, D. McPeake, and J.F. McCann, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 063606 (2003), and refs. therein.