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#### Abstract

We describe a method to calculate the electrical force acting on a sphere in a suspension of dielectric spheres in a host w ith a di erent dielectric constant, under the assum ption that a spatially uniform electric eld is applied. Them ethod uses a spectral representation for the totalelectrostatic energy of the com posite. The force is expressed as a certain gradient of this energy, which can be expressed in a closed analytic form rather than evaluated as a num ericalderivative. Them ethod is applicable even when both the spheres and the host have frequency-dependent dielectric functions and nonzero conductivities, provided the system is in the quasistatic regim e. In principle, it includes all m ultipolar contributions to the force, and it can be used to calculate multi-body as well as pairw ise forces. W e also present several num erical exam ples, including host uids w th nite conductivities. The force betw een spheres approaches the dipole-dipole lim it, as expected, at large separations, but departs drastically from that lim it when the spheres are nearly in contact. $T$ he force $m$ ay also change sign as a function of frequency when the host is a slightly conducting uid.


[^0]
## I. INTRODUCTION

An electroneological (ER) uid is a m aterialw hose viscosity changes substantially w ith the application of an electric eld [1]. Generally, such uids are suspensions of spherical inclusions of dielectric constant in in host uid of a di erent dielectric constant $h$. The viscosity is believed to change because the spheres aoquire electric $m$ om ents (dipole and higher) when an electric eld is applied, then $m$ ove under the in uence of the electrical forces betw een these induced $m$ om ents. These forces typically cause the spheres to line up in long chains parallel to the applied eld, thereby increasing the viscosity of the suspension. T he viscosity relaxes to its usual value when the eld is tumed o, and the chain-like structure disappears.

ER uids have potential applications as variable viscosity uids in autom obile deviges 2], vibration control[3], and elsew here. Furtherm ore, their operating principle is also relevant to other $m$ aterials, such as $m$ agnetorneological ( $M R$ ) uids[4]. These are suspensions of $m$ agnetically perm eable spheres in a uid of di erent perm eability, whose viscosity can be controlled by an applied $m$ agnetic eld.

To obtain a quantitative theory of ER (and MR) uids, one needs to understand the electric- eld-induced force am ong the spheres. At low sphere concentrations and large intersphere separations, this force is just that between two interacting electric dipoles whose $m$ agnitude is that of a single sphere in an extemalelectric eld. B ut at sm aller separations, the force deviates from the dipole-dipole form . B esides this electrostatic interaction betw een the spheres, there are other forces acting on the spheres, including a viscous frictional force from the host uid, and a hard-sphere force when the two dielectric spheres com e in contact. In the present paper, we w ill be concemed only $w$ th the electrostatic force.

A num ber of existing theories go beyond the dipole-dipole approxim ation in calculating electrostatic forces in ER uids[5, 6, 7, $8,6,10,11,12,13]$, and several experim ents have been carried out which are relevant to foroes in the non-dipole regim e (see, e. g., Refs. [14, 15]). K lingenberg et al. [5] have inconporated both m ultipole and multiłoody e ects into the sphere-sphere interactions, using a perturbation analysis. C hen et al. [6] have described a m ultipole expansion for the forces acting on one sphere in a chain of spheres in a uid of di erent dielectric constant, and nd a strong departure from the dipolar lim it when the particles are closer than about one diam eter. D avis [] ] has calculated the electrostatic forces
between dielectric spheres in a host uid directly, using a nite elem ent approach to solve Laplace's equation for a chain of particles in a host dielectric. In a m ore recent work [8], he has used an integralequation approach to calculate the interparticle forces in ER uids, including e ects due to tim e-dependent application of an extemal eld, and nonlinear uid conductivity. A nite-elem ent approach has also been used by Tao et al. [g] to solve Laplace's equation and obtain the electrostatic interactions betw een particles in a chain of dielectric spheres in a host uid; they found, as in Ref. [], that the dipole-dipole approxim ation is reasonably accurate for large separations or $m$ oderate dielectric $m$ ism atches, but fails in closely spaced particles and large $m$ ism atches. $C$ lercx and Bossis [13] have gone beyond the approxim ation of dipolar interactions to include multipolar and many-body interactions, expressed in term s of the induced multipole $m$ om ents on each sphere; they also obtain an expression for the forces in term s of these induced multipole $m$ om ents.

A s discussed further below, the electrical force acting on a sphere in an electrorheological uid is basically the gradient of the total electrostatic energy of that uid w ith respect to the position of the sphere. This total electrostatic energy can, in tum, be expressed in term s of the e ective dielectric tensor of the suspension, a quantity which has been studied since the tim e of M axwell. Indeed, num erous authors have calculated this tensor in a wide variety of geom etries, going well beyond the regim e of purely dipolar interactions. For exam ple, Je rey [16] has calculated the total energy of two spheres in a suspension as a function of their separation and the dielectric $m$ ism atch. From this total energy, the force can be obtained num erically as the derivative of this energy with respect to separation. Recently, the pairw ise foroes betw een spheres of di erent sizes have been calculated using the so-called dipole-induced-dipole approxim ation, and even approxim ately including the e ects of other spheres [17]. O nœe again, the forces were obtained explicitly by num erically di erentiating the totalelectrostatic energy w ith respect to particle coordinates. M cP hedran and M CK enzie[18], and Suen et al. 19], and many others, have calculated the total energy of spheres arranged in a periodic structure. In principle, forces could also be extracted from this calculation by taking num erical derivatives, provided that the distortions of the structure leave it periodic. The energy of a non-periodic suspension of $m$ any spheres has been studied by Gerardy and A usloos[20] and by Fu et al. 21], in both cases including large num bers of m ultipoles. O nce again, forces can be extracted, in principle, from these calculations by taking num erical derivatives of the com puted total energies $w$ ith respect to
sphere coordinates.
Several authors have included the e ects of nite conductivity on forces in electrorneological uids, and have also considered how such forces depend on frequency. D avis 22] has analyzed polarization forces and related e ects of conductivity in ER uids. Tang et al. [10, 11] have calculated the attractive force between spherical dielectric particles in a conducting m . K husid and A crivos [12] have considered electric- eld-induced aggregation in ER uids, including interfacial polarization of the particles, the conductivities of both the particles and the host uid, and dynam ics arising from dielectric relaxation. C laro and R o jas[23] have calculated the frequency-dependent interaction energy ofpolarizable particles in the presence of an applied laser eld w ithin the dipole approxim ation; they considered prim arily optical frequencies rather than the low frequenciesm ore characteristic ofER uids. M a et al. [24] have considered several frequency-dependent properties ofER system $S$, starting from a well-know n spectral representation $[25,26,27,28,29,30]$ for the dielectric function of a two-com ponent com posite $m$ edium. Finally, H uang B1] has carried out a calculation of the force acting in electromeological solids under the application of a non-uniform electric eld, and considering both nite frequency and nite conductivity e ects.

A com $m$ on feature of $m$ ost of the above approaches is that they involve rst calculating the totalelectrostatic energy of the suspensions, then obtaining the forces by num erically differentiating this energy with respect to a particle coordinate. This num erical di erentiation is cum bersom e and can be inaccurate. Ref. [13] does give an expression for the forae, but in term s of im plicitly de ned m ultipoles. In this paper, by contrast, we describe a m ethod for calculating these forces explicitly, w ithout num erical di erentiation. This approach is com putationally $m$ uch $m$ ore accurate than num erically di erentiating the energy. W hile our new m ethod $m$ ay appear to be $m$ erely a com putationaladvance, its additionalaccuracy and exibility should $m$ ake it $w$ idely applicable.

Speci cally, our approach allow s one to calculate the electric- eld-induced force betw een two dielectric spheres in a host of a di erent dielectric constant, at any separation. It is applicable, in principle, to spheres of unequal sizes, to particles of shape other than spheres, to suspensions in which either the particle or the host or both have nonzero conductivities, and to system s whose constituents have frequency-dependent com plex dielectric functions. It can also be used to calculate the electrostatic force on one particle which is part of a $m$ any-particle system, and thus is not lim ited to two-body interaction. It should thus be
useful in quite general circum stances including，in particular，non－dilute suspensions．
O ur approach starts，as do previous calculations，w ith a m ethod for calculating the total electrostatic energy of a suspension of（tw o or m ore）spheres in a host $m$ aterial of di erent dielectric constant．W e choose to express this totalenergy in term s of certain pole spectrum arising from the quasistatic resonances of the $m$ ulti－sphere system［25，26，27，28，29，30］． This representation has previously been used to calculate the frequency－dependent shear m odulus，static yield stress，and structures of certain ER system s［24，32，33，34］．T he force on a given sphere in a multi－sphere system involves a gradient of this energy with respect to the position of that sphere．But rather than evaluating this derivative num erically，as in previous work［24］，we express this derivative in closed analytical form in term s of the pole spectrum and certain $m$ atrix elem ents involving the resonances．This expression is readily evaluated sim ply by diagonalizing a certain matrix，all of whose com ponents are readily com puted．

O ur approach has form al sim ilarities to the well－known H ellm ann Feynm an expression for forces in quantum $m$ echanical system $s$［35］．In the quantum $m$ echanical case，the force is expressed as the negative gradient of system energy with respect to an ionic position．This energy is the expectation value of the Ham iltonian in the ground state．A coording to the H ellm ann Feynm an theorem，the gradient operator can be m oved inside the m atrix elem ent， thereby elim inating the need to take num erical derivatives．T he $H$ elm ann Feynm an force expression is the basis for $m$ any highly successful $m$ olecular dynam ics studies in quantum system s（see，e．g．，Ref．［36］）．In the present classical case，the total energy can also be expressed as a certain matrix elem ent of an operator，and thus，just as in the quantum problem，the force is the gradient of that expectation value．In this paper，we shall show that，again as in the quantum case，the gradient operator can bem oved to w thin the $m$ atrix elem ent，and the need to take a num ericalderivative is elim inated．T his sim pli cation allow s， in principle，the calculation of forces in very com plicated geom etries，even though，in the present paper we shall give only relatively sim ple num erical ilhustrations involving foroes betw een two spherical particles．

The rem ainder of this paper is organized as follow s．In Section $⿴ 囗 十 几$ ，we present the for－ $m$ alism necessary to calculate the foroes in a system of two or $m$ ore dielectric spheres in a host m edium，w ithout taking a num erical derivative．In Section we give several num er－ ical exam ples of these forces，at both zero and nite frequencies，for a two－sphere system．

Section IV presents a concluding discussion and suggestions for future work.

## II. FORMALISM

Let us assum e that we have a com posite consisting of spherical inclusions of isotropic dielectric constant $i$ in a host of isotropic dielectric constant $h$, both of whidh may be com plex and frequency-dependent. W e w ill assume that a spatially uniform electric eld $\operatorname{Re}\left[E_{0} e^{i!t}\right]$ is applied in an arbitrary direction (we take $E_{0}$ real). W e also assum e that the system is in the "quasistatic regim e." In this regim e, the product $k \quad 1$, where $k$ is the w ave vector and is a characteristic length scale describing the spatial variation of (x;!). U nder these conditions, the local electric eld $E(x ;!)=r$, where is the electrostatic potential. Finally, we assum e that the $\mathrm{R}^{\text {th }}$ spherical inclusion is centered at R , and has radius $a_{R}$. The approach whidh we use autom atically includes all local eld e ects.

Since our force expressions di er slightly at zero and nite frequencies, wew ill rst present the form alism at $!=0$, and then generalize the results to nite ! .

## A. Zero Frequency

If the position of the spheres is xed, the totalelectrostatic energy $m$ ay be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{V}{8}_{i=1 j=1}^{X^{3} X^{3}} \quad e ; i j E_{0 ; i} E_{0 ; j} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the system volum $e$, eij is a com ponent of the $m$ acroscopic e ective dielectric tensor, and $E_{0 ; i}$ is a com ponent of the applied electric eld. Eq. (1) is, in fact, a possible de nition of e;ij (!) [28]. To produce this applied eld, we require that $(x)=E_{0} \quad x$ at the boundary $S$ of the system, which is assum ed to be a closed surface enclosing $V$. In w riting eq. (1), we allow for the possibility that the spheres in the com posite are arranged in such a way that the com posite is anisotropic even though its com ponents are not.

For an isotropic com posite, em ay be written in term $s$ of a certain pole spectrum of the com posite as $25,26,27,28,29,30]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{e}{h}={ }^{X} \frac{B}{s \quad s} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{1}{1 \quad{ }_{i}={ }_{h}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S$ is a pole, and B is the corresponding residue. The poles $s$ are con ned to the interval $0 \quad s<1$. For an anisotropic com posite, this form $m$ ay be generalized to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ij } \frac{e_{; i j}}{h}=X^{X} \frac{B_{; i j}}{S} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{i j}$ is a K ronecker delta function and $B_{\text {;ij }}$ is a matrix of residues. This form is general, applicable to any two-com ponent com posite $m$ aterial which is $m$ ade up of isotropic constituents, but is not necessarily isotropic $m$ acroscopically. A $s$ in the isotropic case, the poles are con ned to the interval $0 \mathrm{~s}<1$.

The poles s are the eigenvalues of a certain $H$ erm itian operator, and the residues B are determ ined by the eigenvectors of that operator. is de ned in term $s$ of its operation on an arbitrary function (r) by the relation
where the integration runs over the total volum e $v_{\text {tot }}$ of all the inclusions of ${ }_{i}$. As in $R$ ef. [37], we introduce a \bra-ket" notation for two potential functions to denote their inner product,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j i{ }_{v_{t o t}} d^{3} r r \quad(r) \quad r \quad(r): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

P hysically, the eigenvalues correspond to the frequencies of the natural electrostatic m odes of the com posites, at which charge can oscillate w ithout any applied eld, and the corresponding eigenvectors describe the electric elds of those m odes.

It is convenient to express in term $s$ of its $m$ atrix elem ents betw een the norm alized eigenstates of isolated spheres. In this basis, and using eqs. (5) and (6), it is found that has the follow ing $m$ atrix elem ents:
where $s$, and $Q_{R ~ m ; R} 0_{0} 0$ will be given further below. Inside the sphere centered at $R$, $R \mathrm{~m}(r)$ is equal to an eigenfunction or resonance state of that isolated sphere, while outside that sphere $R \mathrm{~m}(r)=0$. The angular dependence of $R \mathrm{~m}(r)$ is given by the spherical har$m$ onic $Y_{m}($; ) , which has an order $m m u l t i p o l e m$ om ent ofelectric polarization. H ow ever, the eigenvalue of this state depends only on ':

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{R} \mathrm{~m}=s_{v}=\frac{`}{2^{\prime}+1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $Q_{R} m ; R_{m} 0_{m}$ o represents the $m$ atrix elem ent of between two states of two di erent, non-overlapping spheres (i.e., $\mathcal{R}^{0} R \mathrm{j}>\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{R}} 0$ ) and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left({ }^{\prime}+{ }^{0}+m \quad m^{0}\right)!}{\left[(`+m)!(` \quad m)!\left({ }^{0}+\mathrm{m}^{0}\right)!\left({ }^{0} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{0}\right)!\right]^{1=2}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R^{0}{ }^{R}$ and $R^{0}{ }_{R}$ are polar and azim uthal angles of the vector $R^{0}$, and the functions $P{ }_{0_{+}}{ }^{0}$, ${ }^{m}$ are the associated Legendre polynom ials.

If we denote the eigenfunctions of by $(r)$, then $s$ and $(r)$ satisfy the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=s \quad(r): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since is a Hem itian operator, the eigenvalues s are real, and the corresponding eigenfunctions are orthogonal and can be chosen to be orthonorm al. A gain, it is convenient to represent them using a bra-ket notation. In this notation, the eigenfunctions are denoted $j$ i and the orthonom ality condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j^{0}=\quad ; 0 \text { : } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvalues $s$ are the poles of eq. (2) or eq. (4) .
The corresponding residues B ;ij $m$ ay be expressed in the sam e bra-ket notation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{; i j}=\frac{V_{\text {tot }}}{V} h i j \text { ih } \quad \mathrm{j} i \quad M^{i} M^{j} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them atrix elem ent $M{ }^{i}=$ hij $i$ is basically the com ponent of the electric dipole $m$ om ent of the eigenfunction $j$ i in the $i^{\text {th }} C$ artesian direction.

It is convenient to expand both the eigenfiunctions $j i$ and the states $\ddot{\mu}(i=x, y, z)$, in term $s$ of the single-sphere eigenfiunctions $R m(r) m$ entioned above. In bra-ket notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i={\underset{R m}{x} A_{R ~ m} R \text { mi: }}^{x} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion coe cients satisfy the norm alization condition ${ }^{P}{ }_{R} m_{m} \lambda_{R} m \rho=1$, where the indices $`=1 ; 2 ;:::$ and $m=\quad$; +1 ;:::;+ ` respectively. Sim ilarly, the states 7 ji m ay be expanded as
where $i=x, y, z$. If the $z$ axis is chosen as the polar axis for the spherical ham onics, then the $M_{R}{ }^{i}{ }_{m}$ take the form [26]

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{R}{ }_{R}{ }_{m}={\frac{V_{R}}{2 V_{\text {tot }}}}^{1=2}(m ; 1+m ; 1) \quad{ }_{m 1} ; \\
& M_{R}^{Y}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}=\quad i{\frac{V_{R}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{tot}}}}^{1=2}(\mathrm{~m} ; 1 \quad \mathrm{~m} ; 1) \quad ; 1 ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the m atrix elem ents $M^{i}$ are given explicitly by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{x}=\sum_{R}^{X} \quad V_{R}^{2 V}{ }^{1=2}\left(A_{R 11}+A_{R 11}\right) ; \\
& M^{\mathrm{V}}={\underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{X}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}}{ }^{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{1=2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R} 11} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{R} 111}\right) ; \\
& M^{z}=\begin{array}{l}
X \\
R
\end{array} \frac{V_{R}}{V} \quad A_{R 10}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, the residues of the th eigenfunction are basically the square of the electric dipole $m$ om ent of that $m$ ode in the $x, y$, or $z$ direction.

C om bining these results, we can re-express the m atrix elem ents (4) of the dielectric tensor in bra-ket notation rst as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ij } \frac{e_{i j i j}}{h}=\frac{v_{\text {tot }} X}{V} \frac{\text { hij ih }{ }^{\text {ji } i}}{s} \mathrm{~s} \text {; } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the explicit form sof hij $i$ and $h$ jij are given by eqs. (16) .
W e now use the above form alism to obtain an expression for the force on a dielectric sphere centered at R in a suspension consisting of an arbitrary assem bly of spheres. First, we rew rite eq. (17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ij } \quad \frac{e_{i j i j}}{h}=\frac{V_{t o t}}{V} h i j G(s) \text { j̈ji; } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s) \quad x \quad \frac{j \text { ih } j}{s i s}=(s I \quad)^{1} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a $G$ reen's function for this problem, $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix, and the $m$ atrix elem ents of are given by eq. (7). If the applied electric eld is $E_{0}$, the total energy takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\frac{V}{8}{ }_{h} E_{0} \quad E \quad{\frac{V_{\text {tot } h}}{8}}^{X} E_{i j} E_{0 ; i} h i J G(s) \ddot{j i E}_{0 ; j}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now w rite the $k^{\text {th }}$ com ponent of the force on the sphere at $R$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}=+\frac{@ W}{@ \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $R_{k}$ denotes the $k^{\text {th }}$ com ponent of $R$, and the subscript denotes that the derivative is taken with the potential xed on the boundaries. The positive sign, though seem ingly counterintuitive, is actually correct here because the system is held at xed potentialon the boundaries [38]. U sing eq. [20), the derivative in eq. (21) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{@ W}{@ R_{k}}}^{!}={\frac{V_{t o t h}}{8}}^{x} E_{0 ; i} E_{0 ; j} h i j \frac{@}{@ R_{k}} G(s) \quad \ddot{j} i: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative can be brought inside the bras and kets because these bras and kets do not depend on $R_{k}$.

The derivative of $G(s)$ appearing in eq. (22) can be evaluated straightforw ardly. Let us assum e that the operator depends on some scalar param eter (e. g., $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ). Then, if we introduce the operator $U=@=@$, we can calculate the partial derivative @G (s; )=@ as follow S :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ G(s ;)}{@}=\lim _{@!0_{h}}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{fsI} \quad \text { ( ) U@ } \mathrm{g}^{1} \quad \text { fsI } \quad()^{1} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{i}}=@ \\
& =\lim _{@!0} \mathrm{fI} \quad(\mathrm{sI} \quad())^{1} \mathrm{U} @ g^{1}(\mathrm{sI} \quad())^{1} \quad \mathrm{fsI} \quad() \mathrm{g}^{1^{i}}=@
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =G(s ;) U G(s ;): \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can now use the above identity to calculate the force as given in eqs. (21) and (22). $T$ he result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{R_{k}}={\frac{V_{\text {tot } h}}{8}}_{i \quad{ }_{j}^{x} E_{0 ; i} E_{0 ; j} h i j G(s ;) U_{R_{k}} G(s ;) \text { j} i ; i ; ~}^{i} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\frac{@}{@ \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the representation (19) for $G(s ;)$ and taking the eigenvalue $s$ and the eigenstate $j$ i to refer to the operator $\left(R_{k}\right)$ ], we can rew rite eq. (24) as

Eq. (26) is our central form al result.

A s noted earlier, eq. (22) bears a resem blance to the $H$ ellm ann Feynm an theorem in quantum mechanics[35]: in both cases, the derivative of an operator $w$ ith respect to $a$ param eter appears inside a $m$ atrix elem ent. But there is a signi cant di erence between the two. In the H ellm ann Feynm an case, the ket whid plays the role of $\ddot{i}$ is is eigenstate of an operator, which is the actual Ham iltonian of the system. A though the ket in that case depends on , the derivative can still be moved inside the bra and ket because the eigenstates are orthonom alized. H ere, by contrast, the states $\ddot{\mu} i$ and $\bar{j} i$ are not eigenstates of the operator , but they do not depend on ; so the derivative can still be m oved inside the $m$ atrix elem ent. This sim pli cation allow sforoes to be com puted w thout carrying out num erical derivatives.

Eq. (26) $m$ ay appear to be rather di cult to apply in practioe. But in fact it is com putationally quite tractable. B asically, there are two $m$ atrioes which are needed as inputs: $G$ and $U_{R_{k}}=@=@ R_{k} \cdot G$ is diagonal in the sam e basis as. All the $m$ atrix elem ents of are explicitly known in the $R$ m basis [cf. eq. 7)]. Likew ise, the $m$ atrix elem ents of $@=@ R_{k}$ can be obtained from purely by elem entary calculus. Thus, in order to com pute the force com ponent $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}$, one rst nds the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of (and hence of G ), then com putes the $m$ atrix $G U_{R_{k}} G$ in the basis in which is diagonal, and nally the $m$ atrix elem ents hijG $U_{R_{k}} G$ jij, from which the force can be com puted for any direction of the applied eld $\mathrm{E}_{0}$. Since the diagonalization can be done with standard com puter padkages, the whole procedure is well de ned and straightforw ard. Furtherm ore, once has been diagonalized, the sam e basis can be used to com pute the forces for any value of the variable $s=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & i=\end{array}\right)^{1}$.

To illustrate how this form alism can actually be used to com pute the force explicitly, we will consider just a suspension of tw o spheres, the two spheres being located at ( $0 ; 0 ; 0$ ) and $\left(0 ; 0 ; R_{0}\right)$. The totalenergy is given by eq. (1) . $W$ e consider two con gurations for the electric eld: $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\left(0 ; 0 ; \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)$ (we call this the $\backslash$ parallel con guration") and $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{0} ; 0 ; 0\right)$ (\perpendicular con guration"). In both cases, the com ponent of the force on the sphere at $R_{0}$ along the axis joining the two spheres can be calculated using eq. (26) .

To com pute the force explicitly in this exam ple, we have to consider how the operator changes $w$ ith the separation $R_{0}$ of the spheres, so that we can com pute the $m$ atrix elem ents of $U_{R_{0}}$. A coording to eqs. (7) and (9), the diagonalm atrix elem ents of are independent of $R_{0}$, while each of the o -diagonalm atrix elem ents, according to eq. 9) , is proportionalto an
integer pow er of $1=R^{0} \quad R j \quad 1=P_{0}$. Hence, $U_{R_{0}} \quad @=@ R_{0}$ is easily calculated in a closed form. For the case of two spheres, it is straightforw ard to calculate this derivative. T he eigenstates $j$ i, as wellas s, are already known if the originaleigenvalue problem involving ( $R_{0}$ ) has been solved. The kets $\ddot{i}$ i are given by eq. (15). Therefore, it is straightforw ard to calculate the quantity $h \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{R}_{0}} \mathrm{j}$ i and hence the force, using eq. (26) .

## B. F in ite Frequencies

The results of the previous subsection are readily generalized to nite frequencies. In this case, the total electrostatic energy will be a sinusoidally varying function of tim e. The quantities of experim ental interest $w i l l$ be the tim e-averaged electrostatic energy $W$ av and tim e-averaged forces. $\mathrm{W}_{\text {av }}$ is given by the generalization of eq. (1), w ith an extra factor of $1=2$ to take into account tim e-averaging, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{a v}=\frac{V}{16} R e^{4} \mathrm{X}^{3} X^{3} X_{j=1}^{2} e ; i j(!) E_{0 ; i} E_{0 ; j}^{5}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the applied eld is assum ed to be $\mathrm{E}_{0} \operatorname{Cos}(!\mathrm{t})=\operatorname{Re}\left[\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t}}\right]$, e;ij$(!)$ is a com ponent of the com plex frequency-dependent $m$ acroscopic e ective dielectric tensor, and $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ is a real vector. A ll the rem aining equations in Sec. InA continue to be valid up to eq. (21), which is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{av} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}=+\frac{@ \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{av}}}{\varrho \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is held xed. The generalization of eq. (26) is

Expression (29) can be evaluated just as at! = 0, and thus the tim e-averaged force at nite frequency can also be com puted explicitly.
III. NUMERICALRESULTS

W e have applied the above form alism to two spheres of dielectric constant $i$ in a host of dielectric constant $h$. In $m$ ost cases, we assum $e$ that the spheres have the sam e radius. W e choose a coordinate system such that the two spheres are located at the origin and at $R=R \hat{z}$, and we consider two con gurations for the applied electric eld, $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{0} \hat{z}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{0} \hat{\mathrm{x}}$, as shown in F ig. .

O nce the elem ents of the and $U_{R} m$ atrices are know $n$, the calculation of the interparticle force reduces to an eigenvalue problem. To carry out the various required $m$ atrix and vector operations, we used GNU Scienti c Library (G SL) routines[39] and C ++ complex class library. In the parallelcon guration, we calculated all the elem ents in the and $U_{R} m$ atriges up to 'max $=80$; it is easy to include such a large cuto because only $m=0$ needs to be considered for this geom etry, the polar and azim uthalangles of $R$ equaling zero. D espite the large cuto , m ost of the contributions to these $m$ atriges cam efrom ' $<10$. B ased on this inform ation, we set $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}=10$ for the and $U_{R} m$ atriges in the perpendicular geom etry. Even $w$ ith this cuto, the $m$ atrices involved in this calculation are large since $m$ can be nonzero in the perpendicular case: the dim ension of the matrix for ' 10 is $2^{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{10}\left(2_{1}^{\prime}+1\right)=240$.

The $m$ atrix forboth cases consists of four square blocks. T he tw o diagonalsquare blocks have diagonal elem ents $s,=\left(2^{\prime}+1\right)$ w th allo diagonal elem ents vanishing. T he other two (o -diagonal) square blocks have elem ents $Q 0 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{R}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{o}$. For the $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{m}$ atrix, the diagonal square blocks have all zero elem ents, and the elem ents of the two o -diagonal blocks are equal to $@ Q Q_{0} m r^{\circ}{ }_{m}=@ R$. O nce we have calculated all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the $m$ atrix, we can compute the $M, B$, and hence the force on the sphere from $h j J_{R} j i$, using eq. (26) or (29).

As a rst example, we have considered $i=10^{5}, h=1$. The choige for $i$ approxim ates the value ${ }_{i}=1$ corresponding to tw o m etallic spheres at zero frequency in an insulating host w ith unit dielectric constant. In Fig. 2, we show the m agnitude of the calculated radial com ponent of the force acting on the sphere at R, as a function of the sphere separation, for both parallel and perpendicular con gurations. A though not apparent from the plot, this com ponent of the force is attractive (i. e., negative) in the parallel con guration, repulsive in the perpendicular con guration. W e have arbitrarily chosen sphere radii of a $=3: 15 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}$ and a eld strength of $\mathrm{E}_{0}=25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{mm}$ as in recent experim ents carried out in Ref . (15] (for di erent $m$ aterials). H ow ever, the forces are easily scaled w ith both eld strength and sphere radii: for xed $i$ and $h$ the appropriate scaling relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{12}^{? ; \mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{a}^{6} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{? ~ ; \mathrm{k}}\left({ }_{\mathrm{i}} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{a}\right) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\text {? }}$ and $f_{k}$ are functions of $i$, $h$ and the ratio $R=a$.
It is of interest to com pare these plots $w$ ith the sam e forces as calculated in the dipoledipole approxim ation. For two parallel dipoles $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, located at the origin and at
$R=R \hat{z}$, the $z$ com ponent of the foroe acting on the sphere at $R$ has the well-know $n$ form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{12}^{\mathrm{dip} ; k}(\mathrm{R})=3{\frac{\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{4}}}^{\mathrm{h}} \quad 3\left(\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{1} \quad \hat{z}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{i} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the $m$ agnitudes of the two dipole $m$ om ents, and $p_{1}$ is a unit vector parallel to $p_{1}\left(\operatorname{or~}_{2}\right)$. For the present case, if the spheres are $w$ ell separated and have equal radii, the dipole $m$ om ents can be calculated as if each is an isolated sphere in a uniform extemal electric eld $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=p_{2}=a^{3} E_{0} \frac{i}{i+2}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the cases in which the unit vector $\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{0}$ is penpendicular and parallel to $\hat{z}$, the radial com ponent of the force reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{12}^{\text {dip;? }}=\frac{1}{2} F_{12}^{\text {dip; }}=3 a^{6} E_{0}^{2} \frac{i}{i}+2_{1^{2}}^{R^{4}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

These values of $\mathrm{F}_{12}^{\text {dip; }}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{12}^{\text {dip; }}$ shown in F ig. 2 agree very well w ith those calculated from eq. (33) (taking $i=1$ ) at large separation ( $R \quad$ a) but depart strongly at sm all separation ( $\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{R} & 2 \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{a}) \text {. Just as in the exact calculation, the radial com ponent of the force in }\end{array}$ the dipole-dipole lim it is repulsive in the perpendicular case and attractive in the parallel case. H ow ever, the ratio of the tw o foroes in the parallel and perpendicular con gurations at sm all separation has a m agnitude greater than 50 for $R=0: 632 \mathrm{~cm}=2 \mathrm{a}+0: 002 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is much larger than the factor of 2 expected from the dipole-dipole approxim ation. Further exam ples of this ratio are given in T able I for various separations.

In $F$ igs. 3 and 4, we test the ect ofdi erent inclusion dielectric constants, by calculating the force betw een tw o identical spheres, each of radius a and dielectric constant ir in a host ofdielectric constant $h=1 . W$ eplot the radialcom ponent of this force, forboth the parallel and penpendicular con gurations, as a function of $i$, for two di erent separations between the spheres: $R=2 a+0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $R=2 \mathrm{a}+10: 00 \mathrm{~mm}$, where we again use $\mathrm{a}=3: 15 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}$. In the second case, the foroes are very close to the dipole-dipole predictions. In the rst case, the forøes exhibit a large departure from the predictions of the dipole-dipole interaction, and this departure becom es greater as $i$ deviates $m$ ore and $m$ ore from unity.

N ext, we consider an exam ple in which the dielectric functions ofboth the inclusion and the host depend on frequency. Speci cally, we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=i 0+i \frac{4}{!} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h 0+i \frac{4}{n} \text { ! } ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the im aginary unit, io and ho are the dielectric constants of the inclusion and the host, and ${ }_{i}$ and ${ }_{h}$ are their conductivities, assum ed frequency-independent. The tim eaveraged foroes are now calculated from the generalization of eq. (26) to nite frequencies, nam ely, eq. (29) .

W e have chosen to use param eters given by $R$ ef. [15], in a recent experim ental study. These are listed in Table II. H ow ever, as discussed further below, it is possible that the experim entally $m$ easured foroes include e ects beyond the purely electrostatic interactions included in our m odel (such as spatially dependent conductivities of the host uid). Therefore, our num erical results should again be considered as m odel calculations, not necessarily applicable to the speci $c$ experim ents of Ref. [15]. In all cases, we assum e that the two spherical inclusions are identical, w ith a dielectric constant and conductivity characteristic of $\operatorname{SrT} \mathrm{i}_{3}$. For the host uid, we have considered the various $m$ aterials used in the $m$ easurem ents ofR ef. [15]. (In practioe, the nonzero conductivity of SrT io ${ }_{3}$ has negligible e ect on force; we have checked this by recalculating the foroes w ith the conductivity set equal to zero, and obtained the sam e results.)

In Fig. 5 (a) and (b), we show the radial com ponent of the calculated tim e-averaged force on a sphere of SrT i0 $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ at R in the parallel and perpendicular geom etries, for the host $m$ aterials of silicone oil and castor oil. In both cases, we assume spheres of radius a $=$ 3:15 m m, intersphere spacing $=0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}$ and applied electric eld $\mathrm{E}_{0}=25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$, as in Ref. [15]. The m agnitude of force decreases $w$ ith increasing frequency, but rapidly converges to a constant value in both cases. The sign of the force is negative in (a), corresponding to an attractive force, and positive (repulsive) in (b).

If these were strictly dipole-dipole foroes, the tim e-averaged force on the sphere at R would be given by the generalization of eq. (33) to com plex dielectric functions and ${ }_{h} \in 1$, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{av} ; i 2}^{\text {dip; }}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{av} ; 12}^{\text {dip } ; \mathrm{k}}=\frac{3}{2 \mathrm{R}^{4}} \mathrm{a}^{6} \mathrm{E}_{0}^{2} \operatorname{Re}{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{h}}}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{h}}^{\text {\# }} \text { : } \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in particular, the $m$ agnitude of the force in the parallel case would be tw ice as large as that in the perpendicular case, as in our previous exam ples. H ow ever, in Fig.[5, this force ratio is about 50. This di erence occurs, as in F ig. 2, because of the very sm all separation
( $=0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), which corresponds to a very short-ranged interaction. In the long range lim it (R a), our calculated $m$ agnitude ratio agrees well $w$ ith the dipole-dipole prediction, as discussed further below. This short-distance deviation from dipole-dipole foroes is sim ilar to that seen in F igs. (2) [4.

Fig. 5 also show s that there is a substantial di erence betw een the forces for silicone oil and castor oil hosts. This di erence is due alm ost entirely to the di erence in the static dielectric constants of these two hosts: the e ect of the nite conductivity disappears by about 10 Hz in both cases, whereas the di erence betw een the forces persists to m uch higher frequencies.

The calculated tim e-averaged force between spheres of $\mathrm{SrT} \mathrm{in}_{3}$ in a silioone oil host is plotted versus separation in F ig. 6 at a frequency of 50 Hz . In order to see the e ects of a nite host conductivity, we include this conductivity in Figs.6 (a) and 6 (b) but not in 6 (c) or 6 (d). W e also set the conductivity of the sphere equal to zero in (c) and (d). C learly, the host conductivity has very little in uence on the foroes at this frequency. For com parison, we also show the forces as calculated in the dipole-dipole approxim ation. As can be seen, there is very little di erence betw een the two except for $\mathrm{R}<1: 5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Even at such $s m$ allspacings, the deviation from the dipole-dipole force is m uch larger for the parallel than the penpendicular con guration. At a spacing of $0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}$, the calculated ratio of force $m$ agnitudes in the parallel and perpendicular con gurations exceeds a factor of 100 .

At su ciently high host conductivity, ourm odel predicts that the force between spheres changes sign as a function of frequency. This trend is shown in $F$ ig. 7 for a separation of $=0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}$ between spheres. The host m aterials used here are ethyl benzoate, ethyl salicylate, and $m$ ethyl salicylate, all of which have $m$ uch greater conductivities than silicone oil. The sign change is due $m$ ainly to the greater conductivities, not the di erences in static dielectric constants. To check this point, we recalculated the points of F ig. 7 assum ing the sam e value of the realpart of the dielectric constant for all three hostm aterials; we found that the tim e-averaged forces changed sign at the sam e frequencies as in Fig.7. M athem atically, the origin of the sign change is, of course, the dependence of the variable s in eqs. (3) and (29) on the host conductivity.

The tim e-averaged force for this separation ranges from about $+1: 5$ to $1: 5$ dynes for the parallel case, depending on the frequency, and from about $+0: 5$ to $3: 0$ dynes for the perpendicular case. At high frequencies, the foroe approaches 1:0 dyne for the parallel case,
whatever the host uid is, and approaches a much sm aller magnitude in the perpendicular case. The ratio of these forces di ers greatly from the predictions of the dipole-dipole interaction, as expected for such a sm all separation. At very low frequencies, how ever, the force ratio appears to approach the dipole-dipole prediction.

Fig. 8 show s the frequency dependence of the tim e-averaged force betw een tw o spheres of SrT $\mathrm{iO}_{3}$ for silicone oil and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ hosts. B oth the spacings between the two spheres and the electric eld $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ are larger than those for F ig. [5; they are given in the legends ofeach F igure. W e chose these values for the param eters because they are used in the m easurem ents of Ref. [15]. E vidently, the force between the two spheres is stronger when the two spheres are im mersed in a liquid host than in a gas, all the other param eters of the foroes being held constant. This behavior can be understood even in the dipole-dipole lim it: it is due to the dependence of the force on $h$ as in eq. (36). A lso, the low-frequency forces in $F$ ig. 8 (a) and (b) and especially (c) and (d) depend m ore weakly on frequency than those in Fig.5. A nother point is that, even though the intersphere spacing has been increased to 0:10 and $0: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ in these calculations, the calculated foroes are still far from the dipole-dipole lim it. Speci cally, the ratio of the force $m$ agnitudes in the parallel and perpendicular geom etries greatly exceeds the factor of tw o expected in the dipole-dipole lim it. H ow ever, this ratio is sm aller than that of F ig. 5 , presum ably because the intersphere separations are larger than in that $F$ igure.

## IV . D ISC U SSIO N

The present work perm its calculation of electrical foroes in ER uids in a concise closed form, which perm its inclusion ofallm ultipoles and allm any-body forces in a sim ple way. In our approach, the forces do not need to be calculated as num erical derivatives; instead, we give explicit analytical expressions for these derivatives, in term s of a pole spectrum which characterizes the $m$ icrogeom etry of the $m$ aterial. The explicit form for the derivatives is som ew hat rem iniscent of the $H$ ellm ann Feynm an description of quantum $m$ echanical foroes in electronic structure theory, but di ers from it in the im portant respects.

O ne striking feature of the present form alism is that it allows for the calculation of frequency-dependent forces in a simple closed form. A though such forces have been discussed in previous work [10, 11, 22, 24], the present approach is relatively sim ple and m ore
general, and places both zero and nite frequency forces with in the sam eform alism. In our num erical work, we nd that these foroes can even change sign as a function of frequency. Such frequency-dependence is, of course, also present in the long-range (dipole-dipole) lim it treated by others in the previous work, but it is even $m$ ore apparent in the present study.

A theough in the present work calculations have been carried out explicitly for two-body interaction, they can readily be extended to three-body (orm ulti-body) foroes. The general equation (26) or (29) can be used to nd the force on a sphere, no $m$ atter how $m$ any particles are contained in the suspension. Indeed, such multi-body forces are very likely to play im portant roles in dense suspensions, where they could possibly lead to "bond-angle-dependent" foroes analogous to angle dependent interatom ic elastic forces in liquid and solid sem iconductors. Likew ise, the calculations could be readily extended to m ore com plex particles (e. g., hollow spherical shells), and to non-spherical particles, provided that the requisite pole spectra and $m$ atrix elem ents can be calculated. A lso, although we have restricted our calculations in this paper to the radial com ponent of the interparticle forces, other com ponents can be straightforw ardly com puted. F inally, the present form alism can be im m ediately extended to the im portant case ofm agnetorheological uids. For such uids, eq. (26) or (29) for the force would continue to be valid, provided that $i_{i}$ and ${ }_{h}$ are replaced by $i$ and $h$.

O ur calculated frequency-dependent foroes, obtained using param eters quoted for $\mathrm{SrT}^{\text {iO }}{ }_{3}$ spheres in a conducting host, $m$ ay appear to disagree w ith those obtained in Ref. [15] at close spacing. O ne possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the host uid does not exhibit its usual bulk conductivity when two highly polarizable spheres are placed in it in close proxim ity. Instead, there could well be non-linear screening e ects of the D ebyeH uckel type[40], which would $m$ ean that the picture of a tw o-com ponent com posite is sim ply not appropriate in this regim e. In support of this hypothesis, we note that the reported experim ental forces are still frequency-dependent at high frequencies, while the com plex dielectric functions ofboth host and sphere should be nearly frequency-independent in this regin e , leading to a frequency-independent force in this range.

The present $m$ ethod could readily be com bined with standard $m$ olecular dynam ics approaches to com pute dynam icalproperties of electrorheological (orm agnetorheological) uids. Speci cally, one could carry out m olecular dynam ics (M D) calculations, follow ing the approach of several authors[5, 41, 42, 43, 44]. In such approaches, the force on a given
sphere is typically expressed as the sum of a hard-sphere repulsion, a viscous force, and an electrostatic force. The rst two of these forces would be the sam e as in the previous M D studies, but the third would be calculated using the present $m$ ethod, rather than the dipole-dipole force generally used in m ost other MD studies. It would be of great interest to see how such quantities as viscous relaxation tim ewould be a ected by using our forces in these calculations. In addition to such calculations, one could study m inim um-energy con gurations of dielectric suspensions in an applied electric eld, based on the forces calculated using the $m$ ethods outlined here. $M$ any such studies can already be found in the literature (see, e. g., Ref. [45] or [46]). It w ould be of interest to extend the present approach to calculating $m$ inim um-energy con gurations including non-dipolar forces, as outlined in the present work.
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| $R(\mathrm{~m})$ | Force ratio | $(\mathrm{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 a$)=(2 \mathrm{a})$ |  |  |
| 0.630 | 602.3 | 0.0000 |
| 0.631 | 88.5 | 0.0016 |
| 0.632 | 52.5 | 0.0032 |
| 0.633 | 38.8 | 0.0048 |
| 0.634 | 31.5 | 0.0063 |
| 0.635 | 26.8 | 0.0079 |
| 0.636 | 23.5 | 0.0095 |
| 0.637 | 21.1 | 0.0111 |
| 0.638 | 19.2 | 0.0127 |
| 0.639 | 17.7 | 0.0143 |
| 0.640 | 16.5 | 0.0159 |

TABLE I. The ratios of the $m$ agnitudes of the forces between two identical spheres in the parallel and perpendicular con gurations, calculated at several sm all separations and assum ing $i=10^{5}, \mathrm{~h}=1$, $\mathrm{a}=$ $3: 15 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{E}_{0}=25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{mm}$, and $!=0$. The force is attractive in the parallel con guration, repulsive in the perpendicular con guration.

| M aterial | D ielectric constant | C onductivity |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SrT io ${ }_{3}$ | 249.0 | 2.0 | $10^{8}$ |
| Silicone oil | 2.54 | 1.0 | $10^{13}$ |
| C astor oil | 4.20 | 1.0 | $10^{13}$ |
| E thylbenzoate | 5.45 | 5.0 | $10^{8}$ |
| E thyl salicylate | 8.65 | 1.0 | $10^{7}$ |
| M ethyl salicylate | 9.46 | 6.0 | $10^{7}$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{2}$ gas | 1.00058 | 0 |  |

TA B LE II. P aram eters for the calculations show $n$ in $F$ igs. 5. 8 . The colum ns denote the $m$ aterial, the real part of its dielectric constant, and its conductivity (in $S / m$ ). A llexcept for SrT i0 3 are used as host m aterials in the suspensions.

(a)

(b)

F IG . 1: G eom etry considered in m ost of our calculations: T wo identical spheres of radius a are located at the origin and at $z=R$, and are contained in a host $m$ aterial. is the surface-to-surface distance betw een the two spheres. The com plex dielectric function of the spheres is $i(!)$ and that of the host $m$ aterial is $h$ (!). A spatially uniform electric eld is applied in the $z$ direction in (a) and in the $x$ direction in (b).

## F IG URES



F IG . 2: M agnitude of the radial com ponent of the force at zero frequency betw een two identical spheres of radius a, w th $i=10^{5}, h=1$, plotted as a function of sphere separation, for electric eld parallel to axis betw een spheres $\left(E_{0}=0\right)$, and eld perpendicular to that axis $\left(E_{0}==2\right)$. $N$ ote the logarithm ic scale on the verticalaxis. In both cases, we assum e sphere radii of $3: 15 \mathrm{~mm}$, and an electric eld of strength $25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$, as in $\mathrm{Ref}$. . 15]. The force in the parallel eld case is negative (attractive) while that in the penpendicular eld case is positive (repulsive). In this and the follow ing tw o plots, the force is calculated at zero frequency.


F IG . 3: The radial com ponent of the force at zero frequency betw een tw o identical spheres of dielectric constant i, radius a $=3: 15 \mathrm{~mm}$, in a host of dielectric constant $h=1$, at an intersphere spacing (surface-to-surface separation) of 0:01 m m, plotted as a function of i, for (a) electric eld parallel to the axis betw een spheres, and (b) eld perpendicular to that axis. W e assum e an electric eld ofstrength $25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$. N egative and positive forces denote attractive and repulsive forces, respectively.


FIG. 4: Sam e as Fig. [3, but for an intersphere spacing $=10: 00 \mathrm{~mm}$.


F IG . 5: The radialcom ponent of the tim e-averaged force betw een tw o identical spheres of SrT in $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, plotted as a function of frequency for the host $m$ aterials of silicone oil and castor oil, respectively. For both cases we use $=0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{a}=3: 15 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $\mathrm{E}_{0}=25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$. The electric eld is parallel to the line connecting the spheres in (a) and perpendicular to that line in (b). A negative value denotes an attractive force.


F IG . 6: (a) and (b): M agnitude of the radial com ponent of the tim e-averaged force betw een tw o identical spheres of $\operatorname{SrT} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, divided by $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{2}$. A lso plotted is the corresponding quantity in the dipole-dipole approxi$m$ ation. B oth are plotted on logarithm ic scale as a function of separation $R$ for a host $m$ aterial of silicone oil and a xed frequency of 50 Hz . T he spheres have radii $3: 15 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}$. T he electric eld is parallel to the line betw een the two spheres in (a) and perpendicular to that line in (b). (c) and (d): Sam e as (a) and (b) except that the conductivities of the spheres and the host are set equal to zero in these calculations. $T$ he forces are attractive in (a) and (c), repulsive in (b) and (d).


FIG.7: The radialcom ponent of the tim e-averaged force betw een tw o identicalspheres of $\operatorname{SrI}$ in 3 separated by $R$, plotted as a function of frequency for the host $m$ aterials of ethyl benzoate, ethyl salicylate, and $m$ ethyl salicy late, respectively. T he electric eld is parallelto the line connecting the two spheres in (a) and perpendicular to that line in (b). In all cases, $=0: 01 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{a}=3: 15 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $\mathrm{E}_{0}=25: 2 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{mm}$.

 by $R$, plotted as a function of frequency for host $m$ aterials consisting of silicone oil [(a) and (b)] and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ [(c) and (d)], with gap spacings $=0: 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $=0: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$. The applied electric eld is $\mathrm{E}_{0}=71: 3 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{a}=3: 15 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}$ for all the cases. The electric eld is parallel to the line betw een two spheres in (a) and (c) and perpendicular to that line in (b) and (d).
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