V J.Yukalov

Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Freie Universitat Berlin, Amim allee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany

and

Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

Abstract

A general theorem is rigorously proved for the case, when an observable is a sum of linearly independent terms: The dispersion of a global observable is normal if and only if all partial dispersions of its terms are normal, and it is anomalous if and only if at least one of the partial dispersions is anomalous. This theorem, in particular, rules out the possibility that in a stable system with Bose-E instein condensate some uctuations of either condensed or noncondensed particles could be anomalous. The conclusion is valid for arbitrary system s, whether uniform or nonuniform, interacting weakly or strongly. The origin of ctitious uctuation anomalies, arising in some calculations, is elucidated.

05.70.-a, 05.30.Jp, 03.75.-b, 67.40.-w

The problem of uctuations of observable quantities is among the most in portant questions in statistical mechanics, being related to the fundamentals of the latter. A great revival, in recent years, of interest to this problem is caused by intensive experimental and theoretical studies of Bose-E instein condensation in dilute atom ic gases (see e.g. reviews [1 { 3]). The fact that the ideal uniform Bose gas possesses anom alously large number-of-particle

uctuations has been known long ago [4,5], which has not been of much surprise, since such an ideal gas is an unrealistic and unstable system. However, as has been recently suggested in many papers, similar anom alous uctuations could appear in real interacting Bose systems. A number of recent publications has addressed the problem of uctuations in Bose gas, proclaim ing controversial statements of either the existence or absence of anom alous

uctuations (see discussion in review [6]). So that the issue has not been nally resolved. In the present paper, the problem of uctuations is considered from the general point of view, independent of particular models or calculational methods. A general theorem is rigorously proved, from which it follows that there are no anom alous uctuations in any stable equilibrium systems.

It is worth stressing that no phase transitions are considered in this paper. As can be easily inferred from any textbook on them odynam ics or statistical mechanics, the points of phase transitions are, by de nition, the points of instability. A phase transition occurs exactly because one phase becomes unstable and has to change to another stable phase. It is well known that at the points of second-order phase transitions — uctuations do become a anom alous, yielding divergent susceptibilities, as it should be at the points of instability. A fler a phase transition has occurred, the system, as is also well known, become es stable and susceptibilities go nite. However, in many papers on Bose systems, the claims are made that uctuations remain anom alous far below the condensation point, in the whole region of the Bose-condensed system. As is shown below, these claims are incorrect, since such a system with anom alous uctuations possesses a divergent com pressibility, thus, being unstable.

Observable quantities are represented by Hermitian operators from the algebra of observables. Let \hat{A} be an operator from this algebra. Fluctuations of the related observable quantity are quantited by the dispersion

$$^{2}(\hat{A}) < \hat{A}^{2} > < \hat{A} > ^{2};$$
 (1)

where < :::> in plies equilibrium statistical averaging. The dispersion itself can be treated as an observable quantity, which is the average of an operator $(\hat{A} < \hat{A} >)^2$, since each dispersion is directly linked to a measurable quantity. For instance, the dispersion for the num ber-of-particle operator \hat{N} de nes the isotherm al compressibility

$$_{\mathrm{T}} \qquad \frac{1}{\mathrm{V}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{@V}}{\mathrm{@P}} \Big|_{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{2 \, (N)}{\mathrm{N} \, k_{\mathrm{B}} \, \mathrm{T}}; \qquad (2)$$

in which P is pressure, N = V is density, $N = \langle \hat{N} \rangle$, V is volume, and T temperature. The dispersion $2 \langle \hat{N} \rangle$ is also connected with the sound velocity s through the equation

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{m} = \frac{0}{m} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{N k_{B} T}{m^{2} (N)};$$
 (3)

where m is particle mass, P pressure, and with the central value

$$S(0) = k_B T_T = \frac{k_B T}{m s^2} = \frac{{}^2 (N)}{N}$$
 (4)

of the structural factor

$$S(k) = 1 + [g(r) \ 1]e^{ik r} dr;$$

7

where g(r) is the pair correlation function. The uctuations of the Hamiltonian \hat{H} characterize the speci c heat

$$C_{V} \qquad \frac{1}{N} \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} \int_{V} = \frac{2 (\hat{H})}{N k_{B} T^{2}}; \qquad (5)$$

where $E < \hat{H} > is internal energy.$ In magnetic systems, with the Zeeman interaction ${}_{0}^{P}{}_{i}B =$ the longitudinal susceptibility

$$\frac{1}{N} \quad \frac{@M}{@B} = \frac{{}^{2} (M)}{N k_{B} T}$$
(6)

is related to the uctuations of the magnetization M $< \hat{M} >$, where $\hat{M} = 0^{P_{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}$.

All relations (2) to (6) are exact and hold true for any equilibrium system. The stability conditions for such systems require that at any nite temperature, except the points of phase transitions, quantities (2) to (6) be positive and nite for all N, including the therm odynamic limit, when N ! 1. At the phase transition points, these quantities can of course be divergent, since, as is well known, the phase transition points are the points of instability. Sum marizing this, we may write the general form of the necessary stability condition as

$$0 < \frac{2}{N} (A) < 1$$
; (7)

which must hold for any stable equilibrium systems at nite temperature and for all N, including the lim it N ! 1. The value ${}^2(\hat{A}) = N$ can become zero only at T = 0. Condition (7) is nothing but a representation of the well known fact that the susceptibilities in stable systems are positive and nite.

The stability condition (7) shows that the dispersion ${}^{2}(\hat{A})$ has to be of order N . When ${}^{2}(\hat{A})$ N, one says that the dispersion is norm aland the uctuations of an observable \hat{A} are norm al, since then the stability condition (7) is preserved. But when ${}^{2}(\hat{A})$ N, with > 1, then such a dispersion is called anom alous and the uctuations of \hat{A} are anom alous, since then ${}^{2}(\hat{A})$ =N N 1 ! 1 as N ! 1, hence the stability condition (7) becomes broken. A system with anom alous uctuations is unstable. For example, an ideal uniform Bose gas, with ${}^{2}(\hat{N})$ N², is unstable [4{6].

Thus, in any stable equilibrium system, the uctuations of global observables must be norm al, $^{2}(A)$ N. The situation becomes more involved, if the operator of an observable is represented by a sum

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i}^{X} \hat{A}_{i}$$
(8)

of Herm itian terms $\hat{A_i}$, and one is interested in the uctuations of the latter. Then the intriguing question is: Could some partial dispersions ${}^2(\hat{A_i})$ be anom abus, while the total dispersion ${}^2(\hat{A_i})$ remaining normal? Exactly such a situation concerns the system s with Bose condensate. Then the total number of particles $N = N_0 + N_1$ is a sum of the numbers of condensed, N_0 , and noncondensed, N_1 , particles. We know that for a stable system ${}^2(\hat{N_0})$ or ${}^2(\hat{N_1})$, or both would be anom abus, as is claim ed by many authors?

Considering the sum (8), it is meaningful to keep in mind a nontrivial case, when all \hat{A}_i are linearly independent. In the opposite case of linearly dependent terms, one could simply express one of them through the others and reduce the number of terms in sum (8). The consideration also trivilizes if some of \hat{A}_i are c-numbers, since then 2 (c) = 0.

The dispersion of operator (8) reads as

$${}^{2}(\hat{A}) = {}^{X} {}^{2}(\hat{A}_{i}) + {}^{X} {}^{X} {}^{\text{ov}}(\hat{A}_{i};\hat{A}_{j});$$
(9)

where the covariance

$$\operatorname{cov}(\hat{A}_{i};\hat{A}_{j}) \quad \frac{1}{2} < \hat{A}_{i}\hat{A}_{j} + \hat{A}_{j}\hat{A}_{i} > \qquad < \hat{A}_{i} > < \hat{A}_{j} >$$

is introduced. The latter is symmetric, $\cos(\hat{A}_i; \hat{A}_j) = \cos(\hat{A}_j; \hat{A}_i)$. The dispersions are, by de nition, non-negative, but the covariances can be positive as well as negative. One might think that an anomalous partial dispersion ${}^2(\hat{A}_i)$ could be compensated by some covariances, so that the total dispersion ${}^2(\hat{A})$ would remain normal. This is just the way of thinking when one nds an anomalous dispersion of condensed, ${}^2(\hat{N}_0)$, or noncondensed,

 ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}})$, particles, presuming that the system as a whole could remain stable, with the norm altotal dispersion ${}^{2}(\hat{N})$. However, the following theorem rules out such hopes.

Theorem . The total dispersion (9) of an operator (8), composed of linearly independent Herm it ian operators, is anom alous if and only if at least one of the partial dispersions is anom alous, with the power of the total dispersion de ned by that of its largest partial dispersion. Conversely, the total dispersion is norm al if and only if all partial dispersions ${}^2(\hat{A_i})$ are norm al.

Proof. First of all, we notice that it is su cient to prove the theorem for the sum of two operators, for which

$${}^{2}(\hat{A}_{i} + \hat{A}_{j}) = {}^{2}(\hat{A}_{i}) + {}^{2}(\hat{A}_{j}) + 2 \operatorname{cov}(\hat{A}_{i}; \hat{A}_{j}); \qquad (10)$$

where $i \notin j$. This is because any sum of term smore than two can always be represented as a sum of two new terms. Also, we assume that both operators in Eq. (10) are really operators but not c-numbers, since if at least one of them, say $\hat{A_j} = c$, is a c-number, then Eq. (10) reduces to a simple equality $\hat{A_i} + c = \hat{A_i}$ of positive (or sem ipositive) quantities, both of which simultaneously are either norm alor anom alous.

Introduce the notation

$$ij \quad \operatorname{cov} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{i}; \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{j}): \tag{11}$$

As is evident, $_{ii} = {}^{2}(\hat{A_{i}}) = {}^{ji}$. The set of elements $_{ij}$ forms the covariance matrix $[_{ij}]$, which is a symmetric matrix. For a set of arbitrary real-valued numbers, x_{i} , with i = 1;2;:::;n, where n is an integer, one has

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{*} \hat{A_{i}} < \hat{A_{i}} > x_{i} > = \sum_{ij}^{*} x_{i} x_{j} = 0:$$
(12)

The right-hand side of equality (12) is a sem ipositive quadratic form. From the theory of quadratic form s [7] one knows that a quadratic form is sem ipositive if and only if all principal m inors of its core cient m atrix are non-negative. Thus, the sequential principal m inors of the covariance m atrix [$_{ij}$], with $i; j = 1; 2; \ldots; n$, are all non-negative. In particular, $_{ii jj ij} ij ji 0$. This, owing to the symmetry $_{ij} = _{ji}$, transforms to the inequality $_{ij}^{2} ij ji$. Then the correlation core cient

possesses the property $\frac{2}{11}$ 1.

The equality $2_{ij}^2 = 1$ holds true if and only if \hat{A}_i and \hat{A}_j are linearly dependent. The su cient condition is straightforward, since if $\hat{A}_j = a + b\hat{A}_i$, where a and b are any real numbers, then $a_{ij} = b_{ii}$ and $a_{jj} = b^2_{ii}$, hence $a_{ij} = b_{jj}$ from where $a_{ij}^2 = 1$. To prove the necessary condition, assume that $a_{ij}^2 = 1$. This implies that $a_{ij} = 1$. Consider the dispersion

$${}^{2} \frac{\hat{A}_{i}}{p - \frac{1}{11}} \frac{\hat{A}_{j}}{p - \frac{1}{11}} = 2(1 - \frac{1}{11}) = 0$$
:

The value $i_{ij} = 1$ is possible then and only then, when

$${}^{2} \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathbf{A}_{i}} \\ p \\ \hline \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathbf{A}_{j}} \\ p \\ \hline \vdots \\ jj \end{array}^{!} = 0:$$

The dispersion can be zero if and only if

$$\frac{\hat{A}_{i}}{p - \frac{1}{1}} \qquad \frac{\hat{A}_{j}}{p - \frac{1}{1}} = \text{const};$$

that is, the operators $\hat{A_i}$ and $\hat{A_j}$ are linearly dependent. Similarly, the value $_{ij} = 1$ is possible if and only if

$$\frac{\hat{A}_{i}}{p - \frac{1}{1}} + \frac{\hat{A}_{j}}{p - \frac{1}{1}} = \text{const};$$

which again means the linear dependence of the operators $\hat{A_i}$ and $\hat{A_j}$. As far as these operators are assumed to be linearly independent, one has $2_{ij}^2 < 1$. The latter inequality is equivalent to $2_{ij}^2 < 2_{ii}^2$, which, in agreement with notation (11), gives

$$jov(\hat{A}_{i};\hat{A}_{j})^{2} < {}^{2}(\hat{A}_{i}) {}^{2}(\hat{A}_{j}) :$$
 (14)

The main relation (10) can be written as

$$^{2}(\hat{A}_{i} + \hat{A}_{j}) = _{ii} + _{jj} + 2 _{ij} \frac{p}{_{ii} _{jj}};$$
 (15)

where, as is shown above, j ij < 1. A ltogether there can occur no m ore than four following cases. First, when both partial dispersions $_{ii} = {}^2(\hat{A}_i)$ and $_{jj} = {}^2(\hat{A}_j)$ are normal, so that ii N and ii N. Then from Eq. (15) it is evident that the total dispersion $^{2}(\hat{A_{i}} + \hat{A_{i}})$ N is also normal. Second, one of the partial dispersions, say ii N, is norm al, but another is anom alous, jj N, with > 1. From Eq. (15), because of $(1 +)=2 < , one has ^{2} (\hat{A}_{i} + \hat{A}_{j})$ N, so that the total dispersion is anom alous, having the same power as _{jj}. Third, both partial dispersions are anom alous, _{ji} N ¹ and N 2 , with di event powers, say $1 < _{1} < _{2}$. From Eq. (15), taking into account that ίi (1 + 2)=2 < 2, we get $(\hat{A}_{i} + \hat{A}_{j}) = N^{2}$, that is, the total dispersion is also anom alous, with the same power $_2$ as the largest partial dispersion $_{jj}$. Fourth, both partial dispersions are anom alous, $_{ii} = c_i^2 N$ and $_{jj} = c_j^2 N$, where $c_i > 0$ and $c_j > 0$, with the same power . Then Eq. (15) yields

$$^{2}(\hat{A}_{i} + \hat{A}_{j}) = c_{ij}N$$

with

$$C_{ij} = (C_i \quad q)^2 + 2C_iC_j(1 + ij) > 0$$

which is strictly positive in view of the inequality $j_{ij}j < 1$. Hence, the total dispersion is anom abus, with the same power as both partial dispersions. A fter listing all admissible cases, we see that the total dispersion ${}^2(\hat{A_i} + \hat{A_j})$ is anom abus if and only if at least one of the partial dispersions is anom abus, with the power of N of the total dispersion being equal to the largest power of partial dispersions. O ppositely, the total dispersion is norm al if and only if all partial dispersions are norm al. This concludes the proof of the theorem .

As an example, let us consider a Bose system with Bose-Einstein condensate, whose total number-of-particle operator $\hat{N} = \hat{N_0} + \hat{N_1}$ consists of two terms, corresponding to condensed, $\hat{N_0}$, and noncondensed, $\hat{N_1}$, particles. Since for a stable system the dispersion ${}^2(\hat{N_0})$ is normal, then from the above theorem it follows that both dispersions ${}^2(\hat{N_0})$ as well as ${}^2(\hat{N_1})$ must be normal. No anomalous uctuations can exist in a stable system, neither for condensed nor for noncondensed particles. This concerns any type of stable system s, either uniform or nonuniform. And this result does not depend on the method of calculations, provided the latter are correct.

How then could one explain the appearance of num erous papers claim ing the existence of anom alous uctuations in Bose-condensed system s in the whole region far below the critical point? If these anom alous uctuations would really exist, then the compressibility would be divergent everywhere below the critical point. A system, whose compressibility is divergent everywhere in its region of existence, as is known from any textbook on statistical mechanics, is unstable. Such anom alous uctuations are usually obtained as follows. One considers a low-tem perature dilute Bose gas, at T $_{\rm C}$, when the Bogolubov theory [8] is applicable. In the frame of this theory, one calculates the dispersion 2 ($\hat{N_1}$) of noncondensed particles, where $\hat{N_1} = {\mathop {}^{\rm P}}_{\rm k60} a_{\rm k}^{\rm y} a_{\rm k}$. To $nd < \hat{N_1}^2 >$, one needs to work out the four-operator expression $< a_{\rm k}^{\rm y} a_{\rm k} a_{\rm q}^{\rm q} a_{\rm q} >$, or after employing the Bogolubov canonical transform ation $a_{\rm k} = u_{\rm k} b_{\rm k} + v_{\rm k} b_{\rm k}^{\rm y}$, to consider $< b_{\rm k}^{\rm y} b_{\rm k} b_{\rm q}^{\rm y} b_{\rm q} >$. Such four-operator expressions are treated by invoking the W ick decoupling. Then one $\end{tabular}$ not state the dispersion 2 ($\hat{N_1}$) diverges as N $\mathop {}^{\rm R} d{\rm k}={\rm k}^2$.

D iscretizing the phonon spectrum, one gets ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}})$ N⁴⁼³. Another way [6] could be by limiting the integration by the minimal $k_{min} = 1=L$, where L N¹⁼³ is the system length. Then again ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}})$ N⁴⁼³. In any case, one obtains anom about uctuations of noncondensed particles. This result holds true for both canonical and grand canonical ensembles, which is a direct consequence of the Bogolubov theory [8]. But the anom about behaviour of ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}})$, according to the theorem proved above, im mediately leads to the same anom about behaviour of the total dispersion ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}})$, which would in ply the instability of the system, since then compressibility (2) and structural factor (4) become divergent. As far as the system is assumed to be stable, there should be something wrong in such calculations.

The drawback of these calculations is in the following. One of the basic points of the B ogolubov theory is in om itting in the H am iltonian all terms of orders higher than two with respect to the operators a_k of noncondensed particles. This is a second-order theory with respect to a_k . It means that the same procedure of keeping only the terms of second order, but ignoring all higher-order terms, must be done in calculating any physical quantities. In considering $< \hat{N}_1^2 >$, one meets the fourth-order terms with respect to a_k . Such fourth-order terms are not de ned in the B ogolubov theory. The calculation of the fourth-order products in the second-order theory is not self-consistent. This inconsistency leads to incorrect results.

A correct calculations of 2 (\hat{N}) in the fram e of the Bogolubov theory can be done in the following way [9]. Writing down the pair correlation function, one should retain there only the term s not higher than of the second order with respect to a_k , om itting all higher-order term s. Then for a uniform system one gets

$$g(\mathbf{r}) = 1 + \frac{2}{2}^{Z} < a_{k}^{y}a_{k} > + < a_{k}a_{k} > e^{ik r} \frac{dk}{(2^{3})}$$
:

This gives us the structural factor S (0) = $k_B T = m c^2$, c $\begin{pmatrix} q \\ (=m) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $_0 = \begin{pmatrix} R \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (r)dr, where (r) is an interaction potential. Because of the exact relation $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N S (0)$, we not the dispersion $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N k_B T = m c^2$, which is, of course, normal, as it should be for a stable system. And since the total dispersion $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is normal, the theorem tells us that both the partial dispersions, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is a stable system of the partial dispersion, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is normal, the theorem tells us that both the partial dispersions, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is a stable system of the partial dispersion $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is normal, the theorem tells us that both the partial dispersions, $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is normal, the theorem tells us that both the partial dispersion $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$, which is normal, the higher-order term s, one would again get the anom about total dispersion $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = N m c^2$.

It is easy to show that the same type of ctitious anom alous uctuations appear for arbitrary system s, if one uses the second-order approximation for the Hamiltonian but intends to calculate fourth-order expressions. This is immediately evident from the analysis of susceptibilities for arbitrary system s with continuous symmetry, as is done by Patashinsky and Pokrovsky [10] (Chapter IV), when the system Ham iltonian is restricted to hydrodynam ic approximation. Following Ref. [10], one may consider an operator $\hat{A} = \hat{A}(\prime)$ being a functionalofa eld'. Let this operator be represented as a sum $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_0 + \hat{A}_1$, in which the rst term is quadratic in the eld ', so that $\hat{A_0}$ \prime^+ \prime , while the second term depends on the eld uctuations ' $a\dot{B_1}$ '''. Keeping in the Ham iltonian only the second-order eld uctuations is equivalent to the hydrodynam ic approximation. The dispersion $^{2}(A)$ Ν is proportional to a longitudinal susceptibility . The latter is given by the integral C (r)dr over the correlation function C (r) = q(r) 1, where q(r) is a pair correlation function. Calculating ${}^{2}(A)$, one meets the fourth-order term $< \prime^{+} \prime^{-} \prime^{+} \prime^{-} > .$ If this is treated by invoking the W ick decoupling and the quadratic hydrodynam ic Ham iltonian, one gets

C (r) $1=r^{2(d\,2)}$ for any dimensionality d > 2. Consequently, R C (r)dr N ${}^{(d\,2)=3}$ for 2 < d < 4, and the dispersion 2 (Å) N N ${}^{(d+1)=3}$. For d = 3, this results in the anom alous dispersion 2 (Å) N ${}^{4=3}$. If this would be correct, this would mean, according to the necessary stability condition (7), that the system is unstable. That is, there could not exist any stable system s with continuous symmetry, such as magnetic systems or liquid helium. O f course, we know that such system s perfectly exist, but the above contradiction has arisen solely due to an inconsistent calculational procedure, when the fourth-order term $< {}'^{+}$ ' '' ' was treated in the fram e of the hydrodynam ic approximation, which is a second-order theory with respect to '.

Concluding, there are no anom abus uctuations of any physical quantities in arbitrary stable equilibrium systems. Fictitious anom abus uctuations in realistic systems far outside any points of phase transitions m ight appear only due to draw backs in a calculational procedure. The absence of anom abus uctuations follows from the general theorem, rigorously proved in this paper.

It is important to stress that not only the anom abus uctuations as such signify the occurrence of instability, though they are the explicit signals of the latter. But also one should not forget that the dispersions for the operators of observables are directly related to the corresponding susceptibilities, as in Eqs. (2) to (6). It is the wrong behaviour of these susceptibilities, which manifests the instability.

Thus, the anom alous number-of-particle uctuations are characterized by the anom alous dispersion 2 (N[^]). The latter is connected, through the general and exact relation (2), with the isotherm aloom pressibility $_{T}$. The anom alous dispersion 2 (N[^]) implies the divergence of this compressibility. From the de nition of the compressibility $_{T}$ (1=V) (@V=@P), it is obvious that its divergence means the following: An in nitesimally small positive uctuation of pressure abruptly squeezes the system volume to a point. Respectively, an in nitesimally small negative uctuation of pressure suddenly expands the system volume to in nity. It is more than evident that a system which is unstable with respect to in nitesimally small uctuations of pressure, immediately collapsing or blowing up, has to be term ed unstable.

A cknow ledgem ent

I am very thankful to E P.Yukalova for many discussions of mathematical and other problem s. I am grateful to the G erm an Research Foundation for the M ercator Professorship.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.W. Courteille, V.S. Bagnato, and V.J. Yukalov, Laser Phys. 11 (2001) 659.
- [2] K. Bongs and K. Sengstock, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67 (2004) 907.
- [3] J.O. Andersen, Rev. M od. Phys. 76 (2004) 599.
- [4] R M.Zi, G E.Uhlenbeck, and M.Kac, Phys. Rep. 32 (1977) 169.
- [5] D. ter Haar, Lectures on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics, Pergamon, Oxford, 1977.
- [6] V.J.Yukabv, Laser Phys. Lett. 1 (2004) 435.
- [7] W .Scharlau, Quadratic and Herm itian Forms, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [8] N.N. Bogolubov, J. Phys. (Moscow) 11 (1947) 23.
- [9] V.J.Yukabv, Laser Phys. Lett. 2 (2005) 156.
- [10] A Z. Patashinsky and V L. Pokrovsky, Fluctuational Theory of Phase Transitions, Nauka, Moscow, 1982.