W igner crystallization in N a_3 C u_2 O $_4$ and N a_8 C u_5 O $_{10}$ chain compounds

P. Horsch, M. Son, M. Mayr, and M. Jansen¹

¹M ax-P lanck-Institut fur Festkorperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germ any (D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

We report the synthesis of novel edge-sharing chain system s N a_3 C u_2 O $_4$ and N a_6 C u_5 O $_{10}$, which form insulating states with commensurate charge order. We identify these systems as one-dimensional Wigner lattices, where the charge order is determined by long-range C oulomb interaction and the number of holes in the d-shellof C u. Our interpretation is supported by X-ray structure data as well as by an analysis of magnetic susceptibility and specic heat data. Remarkably, due to large second neighbor C u-C u hopping, these systems allow for a distinction between the (classical) Wigner lattice and the $4k_F$ charge-density wave of quantum mechanical origin.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w,71.28.+d,75.10.Pq

The role of strong electron correlations and the concom itant appearance of spatially modulated charge structures constitutes a central issue in current solid state physics [1]. The most prominent example are charge stripes in high-T $_{\rm c}$ superconductors, which have been discovered rst as static modulations in the CuO $_2$ planes of La $_{1.6}$ $_{\rm x}$ N do $_{1.4}$ Sr CuO $_4$ [2]. The high-T $_{\rm c}$ enigma has at the same time stimulated the orishing eld of low-dimensional cuprates composed of Cu-O chains and ladders [3] with the hope to gain new insights overlooked so far in the layered cuprates. One important aspect is the role of long-range Coulomb interaction in strongly correlated systems, which gives rise to metal/insulator stripe structures in organic charge-transfer compounds [4].

Following a recently discovered new route in the synthesis of alkalioxom etallates [5], we were able to synthe size several members of a new class of quasi-1D cuprates Na_{1+x}CuO₂. These intrinsically doped edgesharing chain systems provide a unique opportunity to study the condensation of charge order (CO) at high tem perature and the form ation of spatially modulated Heisenberg spin systems at low temperature. Edgesharing chains are also building blocks of the intensively studied Sr₁₄ xCaxCu₂₄O₄₁ system; due to exchange of electrons with ladders, however, the degree of doping is di cult to determ ine [6]. Here, we argue that these doped chains can be understood as realizations of onedim ensional W igner lattices (W L) [7], as introduced by Hubbard [8] in the late 70's in connection with TCNQ charge transfer salts. He suggested that the distribution of electrons is controlled by the C oulom b interaction rather than by the kinetic energy (band width), such that they form a generalized W igner lattice on the underlying TCNQ chain structure. This view suggests a strikingly dierent nature of charge excitations, namely as dom ain walls with fractional charge rather than particlehole excitations as in common metals and sem iconductors. Hubbard's proposal, however, can be challenged on the grounds that the resulting periodicity of charge modulation can alternatively be explained by a 4k_F charge density wave (CDW) [9, 10, 11] arising from short-range

interactions alone and an instability of the Ferm i surface, where $k_{\rm F}\,$ denotes the Ferm i m om entum .

The edge-sharing arrangement of CuO $_4$ squares meets the W L criterion of small band width in an optimal way due to the almost 90° Cu-O-Cu bonds (Fig.1). Unexpected complexity is added because, apart from a small nearest-neighbor hopping matrix element t_1 , there is also a second neighbor hopping t_2 , which is larger as a consequence of the structure. While this unusual feature does not a ect the classical W L order imposed by the C oulom b interaction, it changes the Ferm i surface topology, and thereby allows to distinguish the W L from the CDW on the basis of the modulation period.

These systems provide a $\,$ rst example where an unambiguous distinction between the generalized W L and a Ferm i surface related $4k_F$ CDW is possible. We also show that for these edge-sharing compounds even the magnetic and therm odynam ic properties can only be explained by invoking a W L ground state emerging from the truly long-ranged C oulom b interaction.

Sam ples were prepared by the azide/nitrate route [5]. As a source for the alkalim et al component, mixtures of the respective alkali azides and nitrates (or nitrites) are used instead of the alkalioxides. Conveniently, besides the metals' ratio, also the oxygen content and thus the degree and kind of doping of the desired product can be e ectively controlled using the weighed portions of the starting materials. Following this procedure the title com pounds $Na_3Cu_2O_4$ (x = 1=2) and $Na_8Cu_5O_{10}$ (x = 3=5) have been prepared as m icrocrystalline, pure phases in gram -am ounts. The new oxocuprates (II/III) belong to the compositional series N a_{1+x} C uO $_2$, with the end m em bers N aC uO $_2$ [12] and the stillelusive N a_2 C uO $_2$. The most prominent structural feature, common to all representatives known thus far, is a one-dimensional polyanion CuO 2 constituted of CuO 4-square units sharing edges in trans-position. These anionic entities are embedded by sodium ions which achieve coordination num bers of 4-6 with Na-O bond lengths ranging from 227 to 279 pm. The geometric data as determined by single crystal structural analyses give clear evidence for a




FIG. 1: Structure of edge-sharing copper-oxygen chains along b-direction in N $_{3}$ C $_{2}$ O $_{4}$ (a) and N $_{8}$ C $_{5}$ O $_{10}$ (b), where C $_{2}$ and C $_{3}$ are m arked by green and red circles, and O $_{3}$ (N $_{4}$) by large blue (grey) circles, respectively. (c) V iew on the a c plane of N a $_{3}$ C $_{12}$ O $_{4}$. For convenience we introduce a cartesian system a $_{5}$ b $_{1}$ b; c $_{5}$ in addition to crystallographic coordinates.

CO at the Cu sites (Fig. 1). Based on the Cu to 0 bond lengths, one can unambiguously distinguish ${\rm Cu}^{3+}$ and ${\rm Cu}^{2+}$ sites. The way of linking the primary structural units together with the variations of the copper to oxygen distances inevitably leads to deviations of the O-Cu-O angles from the ideal 90° . As monitored by dierential scanning calorim etry measurements CO disappears above the W L melting temperature $T_m=455$ and 540 K for Na $_3$ Cu $_2$ O $_4$ and Na $_8$ Cu $_5$ O $_{10}$, respectively. DC and AC conductivity measurements show also a clear transition from an Arrhenius behaviour below T_m to an almost temperature independent conductivity regime above T_m .

For a theoretical analysis one has to recognize that ${\rm C\,u^{2^+}}$ is in a ${\rm d^9}$ -digand hole (${\rm d^9L_h}$) state, also known as Zhang-Rice singlet state [13]. In contrast to high-T c cuprates the edge-sharing geometry (Fig.1(a,b)) leads to strongly reduced hopping matrix elements. This sets the stage for the long-range C oulomb force as dominant interaction

where the on-site interaction U suppresses charge uctuations involving C u $^{1+}$ (d 10) con gurations. Here we associate the d $^{9}L_{\rm h}$ (d 9 ,d 10) ionization state w ith 0 (1,2) electrons, respectively, and n $_{\rm i}$; (= "; #) counts the number of electrons with spin , while n $_{\rm i}$ = n $_{\rm i}$," + n $_{\rm i}$,#. The C oulomb interaction V $_{\rm l}$ in general is screened by the polarization of neighbouring chains as well as by core electrons [8]. Here we assume a generic C oulomb law V $_{\rm l}$ = $\frac{\rm V}{\rm l}$, l = 1;2;::::[14]. C rucial for the following is that the interaction is long ranged and convex, i.e., V $_{\rm l}^{00}$ = V $_{\rm l}$ $_{\rm l}$ 2V $_{\rm l}$ + V $_{\rm l}$ > 0.




FIG. 2: (color online) W igner charge order resulting from C oulom b repulsion and associated m odulated H eisenberg spin structure for x=1=2;3=5;5=8 and 3=4 doping (a-d). The spin-1/2 of C u^{2+} (arrows) is responsible form agnetism; C u^{3+} (circles) is nonm agnetic. The spin arrangement is that expected for ferrom agnetic exchange J_1 and antiferrom agnetic J_2 , where the two excitations d^9 d 9 \$ d 9 Lh d 10 contributing to J_2 are indicated by dashed arrows in (b). The charge unit cells (shaded) contain 2, 5, 8, 4 sites, respectively. The structures (a) and (b) are realized in Na $_3$ C u $_2$ O $_4$ and Na $_8$ C u $_5$ O $_{10}$, respectively, and the dashed circles in (a) and (b) indicate charge excitations / V in these structures. (d) Typicalm odulation for charge stripes in cuprates at doping 1/8.

For commensurate doping concentration x = m = nthe interaction V_1 selects a particular CO pattern [8]. This pattern is immediately obvious for lling fractions x = 1=2 and 3/4 (Fig. 2(a,d)) which involve an equidistant arrangement of the Cu3+ sites (red circles in Fig. 2). For a general ratio x = m = n this leads to complex structures with unit cell size n (in units of the Cu-Cu distance $b^0 = 1$). In case of x = 3=5 we encounter in Fig. 2 (b) the charge order observed for Na₈Cu₅O₁₀. Charge localization, however, is not perfect in W igner insulators as electrons still undergo virtual transitions to neighboring sites (Fig. 2 (a ,b)) in order to retain partially their kinetic energy. The energy of the lowest excitations and the impact of kinetic energy depend strongly on x, e.g., the energy of the excitation in Fig. 2 (a) relative to the ground state Fig. 2 (a) is V_2^{00} while the excitation for x=3=5 in Fig. 2 (b) is V_5^{00} , about an order ofm agnitude sm aller. To investigate the role of kinetic energy we explore the dynam ics of electrons starting from the 1D $Hubbard-Wigner model H_{HW} = H_{Coul} + H_{Kin}$ [8], where

$$H_{K in} = X \qquad t_{1}(c_{i+1;}^{+} c_{i;} + c_{i;}^{+} c_{i+1;}) \qquad (2)$$

describes the hopping of an electron with spin . Due to the almost 90° Cu-O-Cu angle the hopping t_1 between nearest neighbor Cu sites results mainly from direct d d exchange, while t_2 originates from hopping via a Cu-O-O-Cu path [15] (Fig. 1), leading to the remarkable fact t_2 j > t_1 j. We adopt here as typical values t_1 63




FIG .3: (color online) (a) Static charge structure factor S_c (q) calculated for interacting spinless ferm ions and $t_1=0.04V$, $t_2=0.06V$ at x=0.6 indicates the instability towards W L ordering with modulation $q_w=1.2$ (solid line as guide to the eye). Inset shows the temperature dependence of S_c (q_w). (b) C om parison of electron dispersions for a system with nearest neighbor hopping t_1 (= 1) only (dashed line) and for $t_1=1$, $t_2=1.5$ (solid line) with the Fermienergy indicated by a horizontal line. (c) While the charge susceptibility 0 < 0 < 0 (q) for noninteracting spinless fermions in the t_1 model shows a logarithm is divergence at $2k_{\rm SF}=q_w$, the singularities of the t_1+t_2 model are at different momenta.

m eV , t_2 94 m eV , derived from ab-initio band structure calculations for the C u^{2+} edge-sharing reference system L½C uO $_2$ [16]. These values are indeed m uch sm aller than our estimates for U 3:8 eV and V 1:5 eV based on optical data for L½C uO $_2$ [15].

U sing exact diagonalization we have calculated the static structure factor S_c(q) for chains up to 25 sites. The peaks of $S_c(q)$ at $q_w = 12$ (and at 0:8 = 2 $-q_v$) are characteristic for a W L m odulation at x = 3=5 (Fig. 3(a)). Since the magnetic energy scale is much smaller than the C oulom b interaction V , the charge structure can be determined by disregarding spin degrees of freedom, namely in terms of spinless ferm ions (SF). The SF-CDW arises as an instability due to low energy scattering between the two Fermi points at k_{SF} which lead to a singularity in the charge susceptibility ${0 \atop c}$ (q) at $q = 2k_{SF} (= 4k_F)$. Subsequent inclusion of interactions is expected to change the character of a singularity, yet not the momentum at which the singularity occurs. This explains the origin of the 4k_F CDW instability in interacting 1D system s and, as $4k_F = 2 m = n$, the coincidence with the modulation period n of the W L.

The equivalence of W L and CDW periodicity disappears when $t_2 > t_1$ (= 0:38 at x = 0:6); then there are four instead of two Ferm ipoints leading to new singularities in $^0_{\rm c}$ (q) (Fig 3 (b,c)) and to a shift of the original singularity away from $4k_{\rm F}=q_{\rm W}$. In this case the standard tools ofm any body theory such as bosonization [11] suggest a change of the modulation period. This, how—

ever, is not reflected in the experiment and is also not observed in our numerical results for $S_c(q)$ (Fig. 3(a)). In fact, the singularity remains at q_w up to a value t_2-4t_1 , a striking manifestation that the structure is robust and controlled by the long range Coulomb interaction [17]. The calculated $S_c(q)$ shows besides the main peak at q_w a weak higher harmonic feature at $q=2q_w-2$, consistent with the relative intensities of structural reflects calculated from the experimentally determined Cu ion positions (vertical bars in Fig. 3(a)). Further results (inset Fig.3(a)) reveal that W L correlations persist up to temperatures k_B T 0.05V, consistent with experiment.

The magnetic susceptibility (T) was measured in the temperature range from 5~K to 350~K using a SQUID magnetom eter. The data (Fig. 4~(a)) revealstrikingly different temperature dependences for the two compounds:

(T) for N a $_3$ C u $_2$ O $_4$ displays som e similarity with a nearest neighbor H eisenberg antiferrom agnetic chain [18], whereas the N a $_8$ C u $_5$ O $_{10}$ data show continuous increase down to low temperature until its maximum near 25 K is reached. B oth systems reveal antiferrom agnetic correlations, yet magnetic order is observed only for N a $_8$ C u $_5$ O $_{10}$ at $T_N=23.5$ K . For a calculation of (T) we assume that the spins remain xed at their positions R $_1$ as given by the structural analysis and by H $_{H~W}$ (Fig.2). This leads to a generalized H eisenberg model

$$H_{H \text{ eis}} = \frac{1}{2} X_{i;j} J(R_i R_j) S_i S_j;$$
 (3)

where the exchange constants depend on the distance R; R; jbetween the spins and on the direction (paralled to the chains or perpendicular). For x = 0.5 only the exchange constants J_2 ; J_4 ; ...: along the chains contribute, while for $x = 0:6 J_1; J_2; J_3;$ are relevant. Apart from the modulated spin pattern, superexchange [19] in W L's shows further novel features, namely uctuations (a) of spin positions and (b) of antiferrom agnetic exchange in- $4t_1^2 = (U + (V))$ due to the low energy charge uctuations. The energy shifts $\,$ (V) due to V $_{1}$ depend on the W L structure, and are in general dierent for left (right) scattering processes (see Fig. 2 (b)). The exchange constants may be estimated from the parameters speci ed above. The largest coupling is J_2 100 200 K resulting from superexchange via a Cu-O-O-Cu path. Because of the alm ost 90° Cu-O-Cu bond angle (13) J_1 exchange is smaller. Yet in the case of J_1 there are additional exchange contributions, which have to be taken into account. The most important of these involve 0 p⁴ con gurations with H und interaction on oxygen [20], such that the total J_1 m ay become negative.

We have used nite temperature diagonalization (FTD) [21] to calculate (T) for Na₃Cu₂O₄ studying chains up to N = 48 sites (24 spins). Good agreement with experiment was achieved with J_2 = 172, J_4 = 17 K and 1.97 for the g-factor. The small antiferrom agnetic interchain coupling J^0 = 34 K (Fig. 4 (b)) is frustrating as




FIG. 4: (color online) (a) Tem perature dependence of susceptibility (T) for Na $_3$ Cu $_2$ O $_4$ and =3 for Na $_8$ Cu $_5$ O $_{10}$. Multiplication by 1=3 in the latter case provides a comparison per spin. Theoretical results calculated by FTD are indicated by lines (exchange constants are given in the text). Sketch of interchain interactions used in the calculation of (T): For Na $_3$ Cu $_2$ O $_4$ (b) the magnetic exchange J 0 is frustrated due to triangular coordination, while it is not frustrated for Na $_8$ Cu $_5$ O $_{10}$ both along a 0 -direction (c) and c 0 -direction (d).

the correlations on b^0 chains are antiferrom agnetic. The interaction J^0 has been taken into account in a meaneld approach [18] (T) = $_s$ (T) = (1+ z^0 j J^0 j $_s$ (T)), where $_s$ (T) is the result for a single chain, while z^0 counts the number of spins on neighboring chains (z^0 = 4). The frustrated geometry implies a spin gap $_s$ [22]. For the present parameter set we obtain $_s$ 4 K, consistent with our analysis of the specic heat data. A thorough analysis of the Curie contribution, using specic heat and susceptibility data independently, reveals that there are about 1% impurities per Cu ion present in both Na₃Cu₂O₄ and Na₈Cu₅O₁₀ [23].

In the case of N a_8 C u_5 O $_{10}$ the correlations along the b-chains turn out to be of ferrim agnetic nature. A fullFTD calculation of a double chain was necessary to account for the AF interchain exchange J^0 along c^0 -direction (Fig. 4 (d)), while the coupling of chains along a^0 -direction (Fig. 4 (c)) was treated within mean-eld. This implies ferrim agnetic a^0 -b 0 planes, which are antiferrom agnetically coupled along c^0 -direction. A satisfactory description was found for $J_1 = 22~{\rm K}$, $J_2 = 113~{\rm K}$, $J^0 = 21~{\rm K}$ and g = 2.0. The ferrom agnetic interaction J_1 is the origin of the strong enhancement of (T) in the x = 0.6 system. The reduced value of J_2 can be explained by low-energy charge uctuations (Fig. 2 (b)), which involve a uctuation of spin positions, i.e., $J_2^{\rm eff}$, (1 p)J_2 + pJ_1 and a larger probability p than for x = 1=2.

The strong variation of (T) in N a_8 C u_5 O $_{10}$ should be interpreted as a crossover between two regimes. While

at high temperature (T) is controlled by the individual spins, at low temperatures only elective spins 1/2, formed by three spins which are coupled by the largest interaction J_2 , are relevant (Fig. 2 (b)). The composite nature of the elective spins and their internal energy level structure becomes relevant in the intermediate temperature region. The interaction between these elective spins is renormalized to $J_1^{\rm eff} = \frac{4}{9} \left[J_1 - J_3 + \frac{1}{4} J_5 \right]$. Thus, the peculiar low-T behavior of (T) is controlled by an interplay of the small $J_1^{\rm eff}$ between elective spins, resulting from W L order, and the interchain interactions.

Finally, the new compounds provide a unique opportunity to study the competition between two entirely different states, the classical W L dictated by the long-range Coulomb interaction and the CDW of quantum mechanical origin, i.e., resulting from a Ferm is urface instability. These materials highlight the importance of long-range Coulomb interaction in strongly correlated systems, | and provide a one-dimensional test ground for the study of charge stripe form ation.

W e thank E.Brucher and G.Siegle for the susceptibility and specic heat measurements and L.Capogna, B. Keimer, W.Metzner and A.M.Oles for discussions.

- [1] J.O renstein and A.J.M illis, Science 288, 468 (2000).
- [2] J.M. Tranquada et al., Nature (London) 375, 561 (1995);ibid 429, 534 (2004).
- [3] E.D agotto and T.M.R ice, Science 271, 618 (1996).
- [4] R. Kum ai, Y. Okim oto, and Y. Tokura, Science 284, 1645 (1999).
- [5] D. Trinschek and M. Jansen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 38, 133 (1999).
- [6] T.O safune et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1980 (1997).
- [7] E.W igner, Phys. Rev. 46, 1002 (1934).
- [8] J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. B 17, 494 (1978).
- [9] J. Solyom, Adv. Phys. 28, 201 (1979).
- [10] George Gruner, Density Waves in Solids, (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1994).
- [11] J. Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. 57, 977 (1994).
- [12] K. Hesterm ann and R. Hoppe, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 367, 261 (1969).
- [13] F.C. Zhang and T.M.Rice, Phys.Rev.B 37, R3759
 (1988).
- [14] In the case of periodic boundary conditions we de ne $V_1 = m$ ax [V=1;V=(N 1)], where 1 < 1 < N .
- [15] Y .M izuno et al., Phys.Rev.B 57, 5326 (1998).
- [16] R.W eht and W E.Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2502 (1998).
- [17] For small hopping $S_{\text{\tiny C}}(q)$ is peaked at q_w irrespective of the signs of t_1 and $t_2\,!$
- [18] D.C. Johnston et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 9558 (2000).
- [19] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 115, 2 (1959).
- [20] S. Tomow et al, Phys. Rev. B 60, 10206 (1999).
- [21] J. Jaklic and P. Prelovsek, Adv. Phys. 49, 1 (2000).
- [22] S.R.W hite and I.A eck, Phys. Rev. B 54, 9862 (1996).
- [23] M.Mayretal, in preparation.