## Magnetism in Exact Exchange Density Functional Theory

S. Shama, J.K. Dewhurst, S.A. Sagmeister, and C. Ambrosch-Draxl Institut fur Physik, Karl-Franzens-Universitat Graz, Universitatsplatz 5, A-8010 Graz, Austria.

C. Persson

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

S. Shallcross

D epartm ent of P hysics and M easurem ent Technology, U niversity of Linkoping, SE-581 83 Linkoping, Sweden.

L. Nordstrom Department of Physics, Uppsala University, Box 530, 751 21 Uppsala, Sweden. (Dated: February 20, 2022)

The magnetic properties of the interm etallic compound FeA lare investigated using exact exchange density functional theory. This is implemented within a state of the art all-electron full potential method. We nd that FeA lis magnetic with a moment of 0.70  $_{\rm B}$ , close to the LSDA result of 0.69  $_{\rm B}$ . A comparison with the non-magnetic density of states with experimental negative binding energy result shows a much better agreement than any previous calculations. We attribute this to the ne details of the exchange eld, in particular its asymmetry, which is captured very well with the orbital dependent exchange potential.

PACS num bers: 75.10.-b, 71.10.-w

## IN T R O D U C T IO N

The interm etallic compound FeAl presents an interesting system where one has both a complex interplay between structure and magnetism, and a possible failure of the Local Spin D ensity Approximation (LSDA) to adequately account for the electronic structure [1, 2, 3]. D isentangling these two strands poses a severe challenge for theory. The controversy surrounding this material turns on the issue of whether completely ordered FeAl is a magnetic or non-magnetic interm etallic, and whether this question is at all relevant to the experimental picture.

Experimentally, FeAl obeys an extended Curie-Weiss law  $( _{0})^{1} = C(T)$  down to low temperatures, the signature of a paramagnet with nite (local) moments. Mossbauer studies [3] show that it is only the antisite Fe atoms (Fe atom s on the Al sublattice) which contribute to this behaviour, the Fe sublattice being non-magnetic. The rather weak ordering in FeAlm eans both that a range of defects are therm odynamically stable, and that any crystal of FeAlw ill have some concentration of these defects. Thus it appears that the question of whether com pletely ordered FeAl is magnetic or not cannot be answered by experiment.

Nevertheless, the question of the magnetic state of completely ordered FeAl has attracted a lot of attention [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. This is based on the speculation that it should be non-magnetic [4], and the fact that LSDA calculations nd it to be magnetic with a moment of around 0.69  $_{\rm B}$ . The foundation of this speculation appears to be simply that since the magnetism is carried by defects, taking these defects out will have behind the non-magnetic FeAl sublattice, hence non-magnetic FeAl. However, this excludes that an Fe antisite-Fe sublattice interaction may be responsible form aking the Fe sublattice tice non-magnetic.

The rst attempt to go beyond the LSDA was undertaken by Mohn et. al who employed the LDA+U method. The usefulness of this approach was suggested by the fact that both the  $e_g$  and  $t_{2g}$  bands are rather at, and hence an improved scheme for the correlation is needed. A lthough these authors found a non-m agnetic solution, a more recent LDA+U calculation [1] disagrees with this result and nds, again, FeAl to be m agnetic. The latter work instead suggested that the m agnetism may be suppressed by critical spin uctuations, as is seen for example in the interm etallic compound N  $i_3$ G a [7]. The dynamical version of LDA+U, the dynamical mean eld theory (DMFT)[1], does include some spin-uctuations and using this it was found that FeAl is non-m agnetic.

In complete contrast to these approaches Sm imov et. al [2] instead attempted a more realistic modeling of the experimental defect structure within the LSDA. To achieve this they employed the Coherent Potential Approximation (CPA), which allows for the treatment of both structural and magnetic disorder. W ith an Fe antisite concentration sim ilar to that deduced experim entally they found that a spin disordered local moment (DLM) solution is then energetically favoured. C nucially, in this state the Fe sublattice is non-m agnetic, with the m agnetism carried only by the antisite atom s, exactly as seen in experiment.

A lthough it is clear that the com plex defect structure m ust be taken into account in order to m odel correctly the experim ental reality, one can note that it is not clear that the m agnetism of FeAl is correctly described by the DLM state. Evidence for this comes from the fact that Fe clusters in FeAl order with a non-collinear structure. Thus the Fe antisite-Fe sublattice interaction is not ferrom agnetic, and hence the DLM state should be lower in energy. This does not, how ever, rule out the possibility that some com plex non-collinear structure is even lower in energy, and that in this state the Fe lattice is again m agnetic.

In this situation, one m ight wish for a more experim entally rigorous comparison than simply whether FeA l is non-m agnetic or not. Unfortunately, and perhaps surprisingly, there is a lack of experimental spectroscopic data for this system. To the best of our know ledge, only one experimental article on this aspect of FeA l is to be found in the literature [5].

In this work we deploy the EXact eX change (EXX) method, im plemented within an all-electron fullpotential code EXITING [8], to study both the magnetism and spectroscopic properties of FeA 1.

## METHOD OF CALCULATION

Recently we have developed EXX equations suitable for non-collinear magnets [9]. W ithin this form alism the exchange term is treated exactly within K ohn-Sham density functional theory (KS-DFT). The resulting exchange potential is self-interaction free and depends explicitly on the KS orbitals, which are two component Pauli spinors. This form alism leads to coupled integral equations for the exchange potential and magnetic eld. In order to avoid an expensive set of xed spin m om ent calculations this method is needed even for the study of collinear systems [9]. In the present work we use an all electron full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave (FP-LAPW ) method for the self-consistent EXX calculations. In this scheme, the unit cell is divided into non overlapping mu n-tin spheres around the atom ic nuclei and a remaining interstitial region. The corresponding wave functions are expressed as linear com binations of atom ic like functions inside the spheres and plane waves in the interstitial region. The calculations used a  $RK_{max} = 7$ cuto criterion for the number of plane waves in the basis. The wave function is expanded up to l = 10 and the potentials up to l = 6. All calculations are done for the experim ental lattice param eter of 5.496 au. W e have

TABLE I: M agnetic m om ent of FeA lin Bohrm agneton. The FP  $\pm XX$  and FP  $\pm SDA$  are our results. The LDA + U results from Refs. 4 and 1 are for U = 5eV. The DMFT results are from Ref. 1 and use U = 2eV.

Expt. F	P-EXX	FP-LSDA	LDA+U[4]	LDA+U[1]	DMFT
?	0.70	0.69	0	0.70	0

used a mesh of 64 k-points in the inveducible part of the Brillouin zone.

## R E SU LT S

The EXX calculations nd a magnetic ground state with a moment of 0.70  $_{\rm B}$  , very close to the LSDA value  $0.69_{\rm B}$ . It would be interesting at this point to compare the density of states (DOS) for FeAlwith the experiments. A comparison between the magnetic DOS and the experim ental negative binding energy results of Shabanova et. al will not be meaningful, since, as has been discussed above, the Fe sublattice is non-m agnetic in experim ent. However, since only 2% -3% of the Fe atom s in the FeAlsample will actually be high moment antisite defect atom s, their contribution to the binding energy signal will be quite sm all. Hence a rather good approximation to model the experimental results will be to sim ply make the comparison with non-magnetic FeAl. In principle, of course, one would wish to include the com plex defect structure within the EXX approach, how ever, since this requires either very large supercells or the use of the CPA, this is not feasible at present.

In the lower panel of Fig. 1 we show the results of an EXX calculation of the DOS.A most remarkable feature can immediately be seen which is the shift downwards of the non-bonding  $t_{2q}$  states by alm ost 1.5 eV . This is quite di erent from the results obtained using the LDA + U [4] and the DMFT [1] m ethods. Like the LDA + U m ethod we see a broadening of the d-band, in contrast to the DMFT density of states, where the d-band is narrowed by the mass renormalization e ects. This spread of results by di erent m ethods m akes a com parison w ith experiments most interesting. In the upper panel of Fig. 1 we show the LDA and EXX DOS, as well as that reported in Refs. 4 and 1. Note that for ease of com parison we have convolved our DOS with a Gaussian of width 0.5 eV.C learly, the peak of the experim entalDOS and that given by EXX are in much better agreem ent than any other method. A further interesting feature of the EXX DOS is a pronounced anti-bonding eq peak at around 4 eV, a feature that should be detectable in inverse photoem ission experim ents.

This enhanced splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  m anifolds over LSDA values is the result of the asymmetry in the EXX potential. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2 where the EXX





FIG.1: Total density of states for FeA L The LDA results are shown in red, EXX results with only l = 0 part of potential in blue, and full potential EXX in black. The  $t_{2g}$  (gray) and  $e_g$ (brown) manifolds are shown with solid shading for EXX and hatched shading for LDA.At the top the green curve is the experim ental negative binding energy from Ref. [5] alongside the theoretical G aussian convolved DOS. The cyan curve is the data extracted from Ref. 4 and orange from Ref. 1.

exchange potential (black) along with the LDA exchange potential (red) are displayed. A qualitative di erence between the two can be seen in that the EXX potential is strongly asymmetric around the Fe atom s. Looking at the potential along an AlFeFe path, indicated by the arrows in Fig. 2, one notes structures rejecting the existence of atom ic shells. These features are a consequence of the natural orbital dependence of the EXX potential, but are completely absent in the LDA potential which is constructed from only local know ledge of the density. D ips in the EXX potential will mean an elective attractive force which will act to cause localization, the pronounced dip in the FeFe direction, for example, is most likely responsible for the sharp  $e_{\alpha}$  peak in the EXX DOS.

The importance of this asymmetry in the EXX potentialm ay be gauged by retaining only the spherical part in the mun-tin spheres during a self-consistent calculation. The resulting EXX potential (blue line, Fig. 2 then lacks the asymmetry of the full EXX potential, and the resulting DOS is much closer to that of the LDA, and in disagreement with experiment.

This agreem ent of the EXX DOS with experiment can be considered som ewhat surprising in that previous EXX calculations have not found this to be so for the transition metals Fe, Co, and Ni. Here a quite striking disagreement with experiment, as well as the LSDA, was noted [10]. A lthough these calculations used the atom ic sphere approximation, as well as an inaccurate form ulation of EXX form agnetism, our results are qualitatively FIG.2: Upper in age shows contour plots of the EXX (black) and LDA (red) exchange potential in the [110] plane over two unit cells with contour spacing 3.6 eV. In the lower graph, the same potentials are plotted along the line indicated in the upper graph, with the blue curve corresponding to the l= 0EXX.An o set is added to the EXX potentials to bring them into coincidence with the LDA potential.



sim ilar[9, 11]. One nds the splitting of the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  states for the partially occupied spin down channel is far to large, with the occupied spin up channelbeing pulled up to 3 eV below the Fermi level. One can speculate upon the reason for the good agreement between EXX and experimentalDOS found in this work. Since one of the main e ects of the m issing correlation will be simply to screen the exchange eld, one can note that the presence of Al atoms in this material leads to an elective screening by the delocalized sp Al states. The validity of this proposal will be investigated in a future work on screened EXX.

In conclusion, we nd that FeA lprefers to be ferrom agnetic in both EXX and LSDA calculations. However, the non-m agnetic EXX DOS is in very good agreem ent with experiment, while the non-m agnetic LSDA DOS is not. Thus are calculations are in agreement with the suggestion of Sm imovet al. that the non-magnetic state of the Fe sublattice results from the complex defect structure. However, it is also clear that the LSDA exchange and correlation is not su cient to reproduce (or understand) all the properties of this interesting system.

E lectronic address: sangeeta.sharm a@ uni-graz.at

- [1] A.G. Petukhov, I.I.M azin, L.Chioncel, and A.I.Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. B 67, 153106 (2003).
- [2] A.V.Sminov, W.A.Shelton, and D.D.Johnson, Phys. Rev.B 71,064408 (2005).
- [3] J.Bogner, W. Steiner, M. Reissner, P. Mohn, P. Blaha,
  K. Schwarz, R. Krachler, H. Ipser, and B. Sepiol, Phys.
  Rev. B 58, 14922 (1998).

- [4] P. Mohn, C. Persson, P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Novak, and H. Eschrig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 196401 (2001).
- [5] I.N. Shabanova, V.I.K orm ilets, and N.S. Terebova, J. Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenom ena 114, 609 (2001).
- [6] D.A. Papaconstantopoulos and K.B. Hathaway, J.Appl. Phys. 87, 5872 (2000).
- [7] A.Aguayo, I.I.M azin, and D.J.Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 147201 (2004).
- [8] J. K. Dewhurst, S. Sharma, and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, EXCIING code developed under the Research and Training Network EXCIING funded by the EU, contract No. HPRN-CT-2002-00317 (2004), URL http://www.exciting.physics.at.
- [9] S.Shamma et al, cond-m at/0510800 (2005).
- [10] T.Kotaniand H.Akai, Physica B 237, 332 (1997).
- [11] S. Sharm a et al. , preprint (2005).