



Criticality of the random-site Ising model: Metropolis, Swendsen-Wang and Wolff Monte Carlo algorithms

D. Ivaneyko¹, J. Thytskyi², B. Berche³, Yu. Holovatch^{2,4,1}

¹ Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine

² Institute for Condensed Matter Physics, National Acad. Sci. of Ukraine, 79011 Lviv, Ukraine

³ Laboratoire de Physique des Matériaux, Université Henri Poincaré 1, 54506 Vandœuvre les Nancy Cedex, France

⁴ Institut für Theoretische Physik, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, 4040 Linz, Austria

January 15, 2022

We apply numerical simulations to study the criticality of the 3D Ising model with random-site quenched dilution. The emphasis is given to the issues not being discussed in detail before. In particular, we attempt a comparison of different Monte Carlo techniques, discussing regions of their applicability and advantages/disadvantages depending on the aim of a particular simulation set. Moreover, besides evaluation of the critical indices we estimate the universal ratio β/ν for the magnetic susceptibility critical amplitudes. Our estimate $\beta/\nu = 1.67 \pm 0.15$ is in a good agreement with the recent MC analysis of the random-bond Ising model giving further support that both random-site and random-bond dilutions lead to the same universality class.

PACS: 61.43.Bn, 64.60.Fr, 75.10.Hk

1. Introduction

Since its introduction in the early 1920-ies the Ising model serves as a paradigm to study criticality of interacting many-particle systems where the single particle can be in two possible states. Such a general problem statement supported in the 1960-ies by the universality and scaling hypothesis led to the present situation, when the Ising model is used to explain criticality and scaling in such different fields as ferromagnetism and binary mixtures from the one side or networks and text series from the other side. Subsequently, the random-site Ising model is of primary importance to understand the influence of the structural disorder on criticality. And this explains a lot of theoretical, experimental and computational efforts invested so

far to shed light on the phenomena happening there.

In particular, the three-dimensional (3D) Ising model subject to a weak dilution by non-magnetic impurities changes its universality class [1]. Already this phenomena called for its explanation and verification. We address the reader to recent reviews [2, 3] where theoretical, experimental and computational data is collected and compared. In our study, we use the Monte Carlo (MC) approach and address the comparison of three different simulation techniques analysing critical behaviour of the 3D quenched diluted Ising model. Some of the outcome is obvious, but we think it is instructive to perform such simulations now, when certain MC tools are sometimes discarded for not obvious reasons. Moreover, a part of our simulations concerns the critical amplitude ratios of the 3D random-site Ising model – a question which was not intensively analysed so far, and where a disagreement between the MC and theoretical predictions exists.

It is our big pleasure and honour to contribute this paper to the Festschrift devoted to Reinhard Folk's 60th birthday: his contribution to the theory of phase transitions in general and to the problem we consider here is hard to be overestimated.

2. Simulation details and results

The Hamiltonian of the random-site Ising model has the following form

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} c_i c_j S_i S_j; \quad (1)$$

where $\sum_{\langle ij \rangle}$ denotes the summation over the nearest neighbour sites of 3D simple cubic lattice, J is the interaction constant, $c_i = 1$ if the i -th site is occupied by spin and $c_i = 0$ otherwise, the Ising spins S_i take two values ± 1 . Occupied sites ($c_i = 1$) are considered to be randomly distributed and quenched in a fixed configuration. For every observable, discussed below, first the Boltzmann average with respect to the spin subsystem is performed for the fixed site configuration, subsequently the averaging over different disorder realisations (configurational average) is performed. We will use the following notations, the number of all sites is $N = L^3$ and the number of sites carrying a spin is N_p . The concentration of spins is defined therefore as $p = N_p/N$.

Several separate sets of simulations are performed in this study with the aim to investigate different characteristics of the model. In all the simulations the concentration of spins was taken fixed and equal to $p = 0.85$. For the 3D random site Ising model, at spin concentration $p = 0.8$ the correction-to-scaling terms appear to be particularly small [4]. Therefore, for the concentration chosen we do not take these terms into account in our further analysis. The simulations were performed on a set of following lattice sizes $L = 10; 12; 16; 24; 32; 48; 64; 96$ with periodic boundary conditions. The other details, particularly the number of disorder realisations, the simulation lengths and the algorithms employed have been chosen depending on the aim of particular simulation set.

The aim of the first set of simulations is to estimate the critical temperature of the model at different L . Due to the finite-size scaling theory [5], the finite system of linear size L will demonstrate an evidence of a critical behaviour at a certain temperature $T_c(L)$ which differs from the critical temperature of the infinite system $T_c(\infty)$ [6]

$$T_c(L) = T_c(\infty) + aL^{-1/\nu} + \dots; \quad (2)$$

where the correction-to-scaling terms have been omitted. To find $T_c(L)$ the following procedure was followed. The initial configuration was prepared by scattering diluted sites randomly on the lattice and the original orientations of spins were chosen randomly. First, the runs on the smallest lattice size $L = 10$ were performed on 10^2 disorder realisations during $5 \cdot 10^6$ MC sweeps using Metropolis algorithm [7]. The preliminary estimate for the critical temperature was taken from the mean-field approximation:

$$T_c^{MF} = p_c^{pure}; \quad (3)$$

where T_c^{pure} is the critical temperature of the pure 3D Ising model [6]. During the simulations the histograms for the potential energy $\langle E \rangle$ and for the absolute value of the order parameter

$$M = \frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^N c_i S_i \quad (4)$$

were built and then, using the histogram reweighting technique [8], the temperature region around T_c^{MF} was explored. For a given disorder realization, the susceptibility was evaluated according to the fluctuation relation

$$\chi = K N_p (\overline{M^2} - \overline{M}^2); \quad (5)$$

where $\overline{}$ denotes Boltzmann averaging and $K = J = J/k_B T$ is the dimensionless coupling. The temperatures where χ has a maximal value were then averaged over all disorder realisations (hereafter, such configurational averaging will be denoted by $\overline{}$) and used as the working estimate for the critical temperature $T_{sim}(L)$ for $L = 10$. This temperature was also used as the preliminary estimate for the critical temperature for the next lattice size $L = 12$. Again, for $L = 12$ we performed short runs on 10^2 disorder realisations during $5 \cdot 10^6$ MC sweeps. This provides us with the working estimate for the critical temperature for $L = 12$, $T_{sim}(12)$. The process is continued until all the lattice sizes are processed. The temperatures $T_{sim}(L)$ obtained in this way have been used for the final simulations of 10^3 disorder realisations from which all the main results are driven. It is to note here that one has different possibilities to define the critical temperature of a finite-size system. Along with the definition used in this study, one can define $T_c(L)$ as the temperature where the configurational average of χ has its maximum. However the same value of T_c is expected in the thermodynamic limit and the same scaling behaviour holds when approaching this limit.

The large clusters of correlated spins exist in the vicinity of the critical point, that in turn leads to the effect of critical slowing down [9, 10]. As the result, the relaxation time of the system increases dramatically. One can estimate the typical

relaxation time during the simulation by observing the (configurationally dependent) autocorrelation function [11] for the potential energy (or, alternatively, for some other characteristic of the system)

$$C(t) = \frac{\langle E(t_0)E(t_0+t) \rangle - \langle E(t_0) \rangle \langle E(t_0) \rangle}{\langle E(t_0) \rangle^2 - \langle E(t_0) \rangle^2}; \quad (6)$$

where $E(t)$ is the instant value for the energy at some effective time t (in MC simulations t is measured in MC sweeps) and t_0 is some time origin. The $C(t)$ is averaged over different time origins in a course of simulations. At times large enough $C(t)$ decays exponentially according to Debye law

$$C(t) = \exp(-t/\tau); \quad (7)$$

more details can be found elsewhere [12]. In (7) τ has a meaning of the characteristic autocorrelation time for a given disorder realisation. Besides the influence on critical dynamics (which is beyond the scope of this study), the critical slowing down has also some practical implications. Firstly, the estimate of τ is vital for determination of the required length of the simulation. Only the configurations separated by a number of MC sweeps of order τ can be considered as statistically independent, and the required length of the simulation run should be measured in τ scale but not in MC sweeps [12]. Secondly, the critical slowing down leads in Metropolis (or other local dynamics) algorithms to a dramatic increase of τ . This is, of course, due to a high energy penalty required to flip a single spin (or pair of spins) in a cluster of uniformly oriented neighbours. To overcome this problem, a number of cluster algorithms with non-local dynamics have been suggested, with the Swendsen-Wang [13] and Wolff [14] ones being most commonly used.

The second set of our simulations is targeting upon the detailed comparison of autocorrelation times and of the efficiency of different MC algorithms at a number of lattice sizes L for the model under consideration. To this end we performed the simulations of 10-15 disorder realizations for each L at the temperatures $T_{\text{sim}}(L)$. We used three different algorithms, the Metropolis, Swendsen-Wang and Wolff ones. The simulation length was fixed to 10^5 for all cases. For each given disorder realisation the value of τ has been evaluated according to (7). These values were averaged then over all disorder realisations. The results for the average autocorrelation time τ_E are presented in tab. 1. The relaxation times are given in MC sweeps, where one sweep corresponds to refreshing of each spin. This means, that for the Wolff algorithm we followed the standard procedure of the autocorrelation time normalisation taking into account the average cluster size [14]. One can see the different rate of pseudodynamics for all three algorithms used and the autocorrelation time τ_E can be seen as a measure of the minimal number of MC sweeps separating two adjacent uncorrelated configurations. However, all three algorithms differ significantly on a time spent for one MC sweep and we will be more interested in the time required to generate the next uncorrelated configuration. This will reflect the true speed of each algorithm. The latter can be estimated from an inverse time t_{trial} spent on N_{trial}

M C sweeps and taking into account that only $N_{\text{trial}} = t_E$ of these are uncorrelated

$$= \frac{N_{\text{trial}}}{t_{\text{trial}} t_E} : \quad (8)$$

L	10	12	16	24	32	48	64	96
t_E , Metropolis	5.79	7.87	12.9	26.5	44.9	93.1	160	336
t_E , Swendsen-Wang	3.55	3.98	4.69	5.54	6.10	7.50	8.55	10.7
t_E , Wolff	1.18	1.24	1.31	1.46	1.54	1.71	1.81	1.98

Table 1. The energy autocorrelation time t_E for different lattice sizes L measured in M C sweeps (see the text for details).

To this end we performed short trial simulations for 10 disorder realisations each of which was well equilibrated, with $N_{\text{trial}} = 10^3$. The simulations were performed for all lattice sizes $L = 10 \dots 96$ and using all three M C algorithms. The results are presented in Fig. 1. One can conclude the following. Both cluster algorithms have




Figure 1. Log-log plot for relative speed measured as on inverse time spent on generation of one uncorrelated configuration for lattice sizes $L = 10 \dots 96$ and three different M C algorithms

significantly higher real speed than the Metropolis one for all lattice sizes. Concerning the larger lattices examined both cluster algorithms demonstrate comparable speed with the Wolff one being slightly faster for $L < 80$. At $L = 80$ the speed of both cluster algorithms equals, and for the larger lattice sizes the Swendsen-Wang algorithm looks more preferable (however, we have not done any simulations beyond $L = 96$ to check this). According to these findings we can conclude that for the model and lattice sizes examined in this study the Wolff algorithm is the most preferable one and therefore it was used to obtain all the main results in this study. We also should note that our realisation of the Metropolis algorithm does not use

any special tricks to speed-up the simulation of the Ising model (energy maps, multi-spin coding, etc.). Therefore, our estimate of a true speed of Metropolis algorithm is subject to the particular code being used.

The third set of our simulations aimed on the evaluation of the critical indices and the extrapolation of the critical temperature $T_c(L)$ for the infinite system. Along with the existing MC estimates of the critical indices of diluted 3D Ising model (see e.g. Refs. [2, 3] for reviews) for the sake of consistency we performed our independent evaluation of these quantities. To this end the MC simulations of 10^3 disorder realisations were performed for lattice sizes $L = 10; 12; 16; 24; 32; 48; 64; 96$ using Wol algorithm. The simulation length was chosen equal to $250 \tau_E$ for the equilibration and $10^3 \tau_E$ for the production runs. These numbers were chosen to balance the errors originated from the limited number of disorder realisations N_{dis} and the finite length N_{MC} of the simulations, both terms appearing in the total error estimate for some quantity A [12]

$$A = \frac{\overline{h_A^2}}{N_{dis}} + (1 + 2 \tau_A) \frac{\overline{h_A^2}}{N_{dis} N_{MC}} \quad ; \quad (9)$$

where

$$\overline{h_A^2} = \overline{h_A^2} \quad \tau_A = \overline{h_A^2} \quad (10)$$

is the standard deviation of the set of N_{dis} averages h_A calculated within each disorder realisations, and

$$\tau_A = \overline{h_A^2} \quad (11)$$

is the standard deviation of the whole set of $N_{dis} N_{MC}$ data for the quantity A . The τ_A in Eq.(9) is the integrated autocorrelation time τ_A^{int} [12] which, in general differs from the exponential one τ_A^{exp} introduced in (7) in the case of the energy. However, for most of realistic models $\tau_A^{int} \approx \tau_A^{exp}$ [12] so that the use of τ_A^{exp} only which we employ in this study is reasonable.

In evaluation of the critical indices we followed the standard finite-size scaling scheme [6]. According to it, the Binder's cumulant

$$U = 1 - \frac{\overline{hM^4}}{3\overline{hM^2}^2} \quad (12)$$

can be evaluated as a function of a coupling K for each disorder configuration and the maximum value for the slope of this function varies with the system size as $L^{1/\nu}$ anywhere in the critical region. In the terms of temperature derivative one has

$$\frac{dU}{dK} \bigg|_{max} = T^2 \frac{dU}{dT} \bigg|_{max} a L^{1/\nu} \quad ; \quad (13)$$

where the histogram reweighting technique was used to evaluate the cumulant U in the neighbourhood of $T_c(L)$ and the numerical derivation was employed. Here and thereafter the observables (e. g. (13)–(15)) are averaged over disorder realisation.

The inverse values for β can be found by the linear interpolation of the log-log plot for the values $\frac{dU}{dK}|_{\max}$ vs L (see, g. 2). The same finite size scaling behaviour is valid for the number of logarithmic derivatives for the powers of the magnetisation [6]. These can be used as additional estimates for the critical index β , and we evaluated two of them, namely

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dK} \ln M^2}{\frac{dU}{dK}|_{\max}} = \frac{T^2 \frac{d}{dT} \ln M^2}{T^2 \frac{dU}{dT}|_{\max}}; \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\frac{d}{dK} \ln M^2}{\frac{dU}{dK}|_{\max}} = \frac{T^2 \frac{d}{dT} \ln M^2}{T^2 \frac{dU}{dT}|_{\max}}; \quad (15)$$

The log-log plots for these derivatives are shown in g. 2, too.




Figure 2. Log-log plots for the maximum values of the configurationally averaged derivatives of Binder cumulant (13) (discs), $\ln M^2$ (14) (triangles) and $\ln M^2$ (15) (diamonds)

First of all we would like to stress that all the data points presented in this figure interpolate extremely well by the appropriate linear dependencies. This can be seen as an other proof that the number of disorder realisations and the simulation length that have been used are reasonable. The linear interpolation for the curves presented in g. 2 leads to the values presented in tab. 2.

The average of all the three results for the index β shown in tab. 2 yields

$$\beta = 0.662 \pm 0.002 \quad (16)$$

Obtained value for β distinctly differs from the theoretical estimate of the corresponding exponent of the pure 3D Ising model: $\beta = 0.6304(13)$ [19]. However it is less than the asymptotic value of β for the random 3D Ising model as obtained by the field-theoretical RG approach $\beta = 0.678(10)$ [20] and by the MC simulations $\beta = 0.6837(53)$ [4], $\beta = 0.683(3)$ [18]. This is an evidence of the fact that for the spin concentrations and lattice sizes chosen here the system still crosses over to the asymptotic regime. Note, that close estimates for β were found at similar system

interpolation of	$1=$			
$\frac{dU}{dK} \big _{m_{ax}}$	1.507	0.008	0.664	0.004
$\frac{d}{dK} \ln M_i \big _{m_{ax}}$	1.512	0.001	0.661	0.001
$\frac{d}{dK} \ln M_i^2 \big _{m_{ax}}$	1.514	0.001	0.660	0.001

Table 2. The values for the critical index obtained via linear interpolation of the log-log data for the slope of Binder's cumulant and logarithmic derivatives of M_i and M_i^2

parameters (and neglecting correction-to-scaling terms) in the MC simulation of the random site 3D Ising model: $p = 0.9$; $L = 64 \dots 128$; $\nu = 0.6644(15)$ [4]; as well as of the random bond 3D Ising model: $p_{bonds} = 0.7$; $\nu = 0.660(10)$ [16].

The standard finite-size scaling concepts have been also employed for the evaluation of the other critical indices, particularly these for the susceptibility and for the magnetisation. Due to this scheme [6] for finite size system of dimension L in the critical region one has

$$\overline{\chi}_{m_{ax}} L^\nu = \overline{M_i} B L^{-\beta}; \quad (17)$$

where χ and B are the critical amplitudes and the correction-to-scaling terms have been omitted. In (17) $\overline{\chi}_{m_{ax}}$ is the averaged maximum value for the susceptibility that is achieved at some temperature and $\overline{M_i}$ is the averaged value of the magnetisation at the same temperature. We employed the following scheme. For each disorder realisation the temperature T was found where χ achieves its maximal value $\chi_{m_{ax}}$. Then the magnetisation M_i is evaluated at the same T . Afterwards, the values of $\chi_{m_{ax}}$ and M_i have been averaged over all disorder realisations to be used for fitting the relations (17). The results of fittings are presented in Figs. 3,4 in a form of the log-log plots for the $\overline{\chi}_{m_{ax}}$ and for M_i vs L , respectively.

One should note near perfect linear fits achieved in both cases, leading to the following results for ν and β

$$\nu = 1.986 \pm 0.001; \quad (18)$$

$$\beta = 0.509 \pm 0.001; \quad (19)$$

$$\nu = 1.314 \pm 0.004; \quad (20)$$

$$\beta = 0.337 \pm 0.001; \quad (21)$$

For the reasons explained above, taking exponent ν as an example, the values (18)–(21) slightly differ from their asymptotic counterparts $\nu = 0.3546(28)$; $\nu = 1.342(10)$ [4]. They are in a good agreement with the exponents of the 3D Ising model with random bond dilution: $\nu = 0.515(5)$; $\nu = 1.97(2)$ [16] giving one more proof that both models belong to the same universality class.

With the value for the critical index ν being evaluated in (16) one can obtain the estimate for the critical temperature for the infinite system $T_c(1)$. It is related




Figure 3. Log-log plot for the maximum value of the susceptibility $\overline{\chi_{max}}$ vs the linear size of the system L in the critical region




Figure 4. Log-log plot for the magnetization $\overline{\langle M \rangle}$ vs the linear size of the system L in the critical region

to the critical temperatures of the finite system of linear dimension L , $T_c(L)$, via the relation (2). The values for $T_c(L)$ were estimated during the same set of simulations that have been used for the evaluation of critical indices. For each disorder realisation we found the sets of temperatures $T_c^i(L)$ where the maximum values for the susceptibility (for the $i = 1$ case) and for the derivatives (13)–(15) (the cases $i = 2; 3; 4$, respectively) are achieved. The data sets $T_c^i(L)$ should be plotted then vs the scaled system size L^{-1} and, ideally, for all i should extrapolate at $L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ to the same value of $T_c(1)$. The results are shown in Fig. 5 where we used the data for all the lattice sizes from $L = 10$ to $L = 96$. As the result of the extrapolation procedure we obtain

$$T_c^i(1) = \begin{matrix} 8 \\ \sim \\ 3:756931 & 0:000058; & j_{hax} \\ 3:756783 & 0:000243; & dU/dK \sim j_{hax} \\ \sim \\ 3:756481 & 0:000086; & d \ln M^i / dK \sim j_{hax} \\ 3:756543 & 0:000083; & d \ln M^2 / dK \sim j_{hax} \end{matrix} \quad (22)$$




Figure 5. The linear fits for the critical temperatures $T_c^i(L)$ vs the scaled system size $L^{-1/\nu}$ for the lattice sizes from $L = 10$ to $L = 96$, the index i corresponds to the maximum values for different quantities, see notations in the figure

One should note very good convergence of the extrapolated values for $T_c^i(1)$ found by examining of different quantities and given in Eq.(22). The final estimate for the critical temperature of the infinite system $T_c(1)$ can be found as an average on all four numbers in Eq.(22)

$$T_c(1) = 3.7566845 \pm 0.0001175; \quad \nu_c = T_c^{-1}(1) = 0.2661922 \pm 0.0000083; \quad (23)$$

As it is clear already from simple mean-field arguments (see Eq. (3)) our estimate for T_c made for the spin concentration $p = 0.85$ should lay in between corresponding data for $p = 0.8$ and $p = 0.9$. Indeed, the most recent estimates read: $\nu_c(p = 0.8) = 0.2857368$ (52); $\nu_c(p = 0.9) = 0.2492880$ (35) [4], $\nu_c(p = 0.8) = 0.2857447$ (24) [18].

In this study we have calculated the universal ratio $\chi^+ = \chi(T_c)$ for the magnetic susceptibility in the critical region

$$\chi = \begin{cases} \chi^+ t^\nu; & T > T_c \\ \chi^- t^{-\nu}; & T < T_c \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

The singularity of the susceptibility (24) is observed for the infinite system only, at finite system size L it is rounded-off with the finite maximum value. At each given system size L there will be only a finite temperature interval where the susceptibility curve overlaps with that for the infinite system. As T approaches the $T_c(1)$ the finite-size curve deviates from the infinite one. As the result, each estimate for the critical amplitudes made at different system sizes will be valid in certain temperature range. The other complication for the disordered systems is the presence of some distribution of curves obtained for different disorder realisations (the example for the system size $L = 48$ is presented in fig. 6). We employed the following method for the evaluation of critical amplitudes χ^+ and χ^- . The critical temperature for the infinite system $T_c(1)$ was taken as the central one and then the grid of temperatures




Figure 6. The example of the distribution for the susceptibility vs temperature curves for different disorder realisations for the system size $L = 48$

around it was considered. The gridness was chosen to be even in scaled temperature units $L^{1/\nu}t$, where $t = K_c(1 - T/T_c(1))$, $K = \frac{(T - T_c(1))}{T T_c(1)}$. At each grid temperature the separate simulation of 10^2 disorder realisations was performed of the length 10^3 and the value for the susceptibility was evaluated. One could, in principle, use the histogram reweighting technique to evaluate the intermediate temperatures as well, but this will require the study of histogram validity. In this calculation we opted for more straightforward approach using the separate simulation runs and haven't employed histogram reweighting.

The values for the critical amplitudes Γ^+ and Γ^- can be obtained by plotting the scaled susceptibility $\chi L^{1/\nu}t$. The data are presented in Fig. 7 and for the evaluation of the ratio Γ^+/Γ^- the data points with $L^{1/\nu}t < 1$ have been ignored, since they are in the FSS regime. This gives the following value for the amplitudes ratio




Figure 7. The values for the critical amplitudes for the susceptibility Γ^+ and Γ^- at $T > T_c(1)$ and $T < T_c(1)$, respectively

$$\frac{\Gamma^+}{\Gamma^-} = 1.67 \pm 0.15 \quad (25)$$

It compares very well with the recent result $\chi^+ = \chi^- = 1.62 \pm 0.1$ [16] obtained by MC simulations of bond-diluted Ising model at bond dilution $p_{\text{bond}} = 0.7$. The theoretical prediction obtained by the field-theoretical renormalization group calculations in three-loop approximation reads: $\chi^+ = \chi^- = 3.05(32)$ [17]. As a reason of the discrepancy between estimates (25), [16] and [17] one can mention the shortness and bad convergence of the series for $\chi^+ = \chi^-$, as recognized already in [17]. However further analysis is required to get a definite answer. In any case, both theoretical and MC estimates of the critical amplitudes ratio certainly differ from those of the pure 3D Ising model: $\chi^+ = \chi^- = 4.70 \pm 4.95$ (see [21] and references therein).

3. Conclusions and outlook

In this study we performed computer simulations of the 3D Ising model with random site dilution in critical region. Despite the number of simulational studies of this model being already performed, some aspects of its critical behaviour and simulational details are still awaiting for more detailed analysis. One of these to mention is the applicability and the effectiveness of different Monte Carlo algorithms, the topic being studied previously for the pure models mainly. However in the case of disordered models the impurities may have a profound effect on system clusterisation which, in turn, is exploited in cluster methods.

We examined the most commonly used Metropolis, Swendsen-Wang and Wolff algorithms and evaluated both the energy autocorrelation times and the respective speed of algorithms in generating statistically independent configurations. We found that for the linear lattice sizes up to $L = 100$ the Wolff algorithm is more preferable to use and hence it was applied in our study.

We used the workstations cluster of the ICM P currently equipped by the Athlon MP {2200+ processors. The typical simulation times range from 0.1 seconds per 1000 MC sweeps for the smallest lattice size $L = 10$ (Wolff algorithm) to about 953 seconds for the largest lattice size $L = 96$ (Metropolis algorithm) per one disorder realisation.

Following the standard ideas of the finite size scaling, the critical indices have been calculated. In this way we complemented the existing results. Based on the fact that the correction-to-scaling terms appear to be particularly small [4] in the region of spin concentration $p = 0.8$, we have performed the simulation for the concentration $p = 0.85$ only. Obtained values for the critical exponents (16), (20), (21) slightly differ from their asymptotic values as obtained by the field-theoretical RG approach [20] and by recent MC simulations [4], [18]. This is the consequence of the fact that for the spin concentrations and lattice sizes chosen here the system still crosses over to the asymptotic regime.

Contrary to the critical indices, the values of critical amplitude ratios received so far less attention. In our study, we get an estimate for the magnetic susceptibility critical amplitude ratio $\chi^+ = \chi^- = 1.67 \pm 0.15$. This value is in a good agreement with the recent MC analysis of the random-bond Ising model [16] giving further support that both random-site and random-bond dilutions lead to the same universality

- 20. Pelissetto A ., Vicari E . Phys. Rev. B , 2000, vol. 62, p. 6393.
- 21. Caselle M ., Hasenbusch M . J. Phys. A , 1997, vol. 30, p. 4963.