# Basel II for Physicists: A D iscussion Paper

Enrico Scalas<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>D ipartim ento di Scienze e Tecnologie Avanzate, Universita del Piem onte O rientale, Corso Borsalino 54, I{15100 A lessandria, Italy

#### A bstract

On June 26th, 2004, Central bank governors and the heads of bank supervisory authorities in the G roup of Ten (G 10) countries issued a press release and endorsed the publication of International Convergence of Capital M easurement and Capital Standards: a Revised Framework, the new capital adequacy framework commonly known as Basel II. A coording to Jean C laude Trichet, Chairm an of the G 10 group of central bank governors and heads of bank supervisory authorities and P resident of the European Central Bank: \Basel II embraces a comprehensive approach to risk management and bank supervision. It will enhance banks' safety and soundness, strengthen the stability of the nancial system as a whole, and improve the

nancial sector's ability to serve as a source for sustainable growth for the broader economy." The negotial process is likely to lead to the adoption of the new rules within 2007. In 1996, after the Am endment to the capital accord to incorporate market risks, a new wave of physicists entered risk management o ces of large banks, that had to develop internal models of market risk. Which will be the challenges and opportunities for physicists in the nancial sector in the years to com e? This paper is a rst modest contribution for starting a debate within the E conophysics community.

Key words: nance, statistical nance JEL: G 21;G 28 Corresponding author: Enrico Scalas (scalas@unipmn.it), url:www.econophysics.org

#### 1 Introduction

On June 26th, 2004, Central bank governors and the heads of bank supervisory authorities in the Group of Ten (G10) countries issued a press release and endorsed the publication of International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: a Revised Framework [1], the new capital adequacy framework commonly known as Basel II. The negotial process is likely to lead to the adoption of the new rules within 2007. In 1996, after the Am endment to the capital accord to incorporate market risks, a new wave of physicists was included in risk management o cess of large banks, that had to develop internal models of market risk. W hat challenges and opportunities will there be for physicists working in the nancial sector in the years to come? This paper is a rst modest contribution to a start a debate within the E conophysics community. It is divided into three parts. In section 2, the reader will nd a short description of Basel II pillars. Section 3 will be devoted to analyse some of the possible subjects on which physicists could provide new and interesting insights. Finally, in section 4, prelim inary conclusions for the E uropean research and educational system s will be drawn.

## 2 The Basel II fram ework

In order to quickly present the fram ework, it is useful to make reference to the press release of June 26th 2004 [2]. The text taken from the press release, will be included within double brakets hh ... ii. The press release does not address critical and controversial issues, som e of which will be mentioned below.

### 2.1 Som e history

hh The 1988 Basel Capital A coord set out the st internationally accepted de nition of, and a minimum measure for, bank capital. The Basel Committee designed the 1988 A coord as a simple standard so that it could be applied to many banks in many jurisdictions. It requires banks to divide their exposures up into broad classes recting similar types of borrowers. Exposures to the same kind of borrower such as all exposures to corporate borrowers are subject to the same capital requirement, regardless of potential di erences in the creditworthiness and risk that each individual borrower might pose.

W hile the 1988 A coord was applied initially only to internationally active banks in the G 10 countries, it quickly became acknow ledged as a benchm ark m easure of a bank's solvency and is believed to have been adopted in som e form by m ore than 100 countries. The C om m ittee supplem ented the 1988 A coord's original focus on credit risk with requirem ents for exposures to m arket risk in 1996. ii

The G 10 group ism ade up of the following eleven countries: Belgium, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States.

The BaselComm ittee was established in 1974 after the default of the Germ an Bank Bankhaus Herstatt, with the purpose of making banking regulations more e ective.

The cause of Herstatt's failure was its speculation in the foreign exchange markets. A fler the collapse of the Bretton W oods system, in 1973, the management of the bank seriously underestimated the risk of free oating currencies.

A coording to ref. [3] \in September 1973, Herstatt became over-indebted as the bank su ered losses four times higher than the size of its own capital. The losses resulted from an unanticipated appreciation of the dollar. For some time, Herstatt had speculated on a depreciation of the dollar. Only late in 1973 did the foreign exchange department change its strategy. The strategy of the bank to speculate on the appreciation of the dollar worked until m id-January 1974, but then the direction of the dollar movem ent changed again. The m istrust of other banks aggravated Herstatt's problem s.

In M arch 1974, a special audit authorised by the Federal Banking Supervisory O ce (BAK red) discovered that H erstatt's open exchange positions am ounted to DM 2 billion, eighty times the bank's limit of DM 25 m illion. The foreign exchange risk was thus three times as large as the am ount of its capital [4]. The special audit prompted the management of the bank to close its open foreign exchange positions. When the severity of the situation became obvious, the failure of the bank could not be avoided.

In June 1974, H erstatt's losses on its foreign exchange operations am ounted to D M 470 m illion. O n 26 June 1974, BAK red w ithdrew H erstatt's licence to conduct banking activities. It becam e obvious that the bank's assets, am ounting to D M 1 billion, were more than o set by its D M 2.2 billion liabilities."

In international Finance, Herstatt risk refers to risk arising from the time delivery lag between two currencies. However, this risk was not the cause of the Herstatt crisis.

## 2.2 Scope of application

W ithout entering into too m any details, according to ref. [1], page 7, the Fram ework will be applied on a consolidated basis to internationally active banks, as the best m eans to preserve the integrity of capital in banks with subsidiaries by eliminating double gearing. It is necessary to remark that the scope of application can still be object of future negotiation. In 2003, the European C om m ission was eager to extend the proposal to the whole banking system, whereas the United States would have preferred to limit its scope only to internationally active banks. M oreover, some countries, such as India and

China, declared that they do not wish to join the agreem ent [5]

2.3 The three pillars

The Basel II fram ework rests on three pillars:

m inim um capital requirem ents; supervisory review; m arket discipline.

## 2.3.1 Minimum capital requirements

E sentially, the agreement de nes rules under which banks will be able to com – pute the minimum capital requirements needed to perform risky operations. A coording to the st pillar, three categories of risk are de ned:

m arket risk; credit risk; operational risk.

M arket risk and credit risk were already part of the 1988 agreem ent and the evaluation of market risk was already updated in 1996, with the possibility for banks of developing internal models. Therefore, the main innovations in [1] concern the evaluation of credit risk and of operational risk. O perational risk is de ned as the the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. This de nition includes legal risk, but excludes strategic and reputational risk.

hh First, Basel II improves the capital fram ework's sensitivity to the risk of credit losses generally by requiring higher levels of capital for those borrowers thought to present higher levels of credit risk, and vice versa. Three options are available to allow banks and supervisors to choose an approach that seem s m ost appropriate for the sophistication of a bank's activities and internal controls.

Under the standardised approach to credit risk, banks that engage in less complex forms of lending and credit underwriting and that have simpler control structures may use external measures of credit risk to assess the credit quality of their borrowers for regulatory capital purposes.

Banks that engage in m ore sophisticated risk-taking and that have developed advanced risk m easurem ent system s m ay, with the approval of their supervisors, select from one of two internal ratings-based (IRB) approaches to credit risk. Under an IRB approach, banks rely partly on their own m easures of a borrowers' credit risk to determ ine their capital requirements, subject to strict data, validation, and operational requirements.

Second, the new Fram ework establishes an explicit capital charge for a bank's exposures to the risk of losses caused by failures in system s, processes, or sta or that are caused by external events, such as natural disasters. Sim ilar to the range of options provided for assessing exposures to credit risk, banks w ill choose one of three approaches for m easuring their exposures to operational risk that they and their supervisors agree re ects the quality and sophistication of their internal controls over this particular risk area.

By aligning capital charges m one closely to a bank's own m easures of its exposures to credit and operational risk, the Basel II Fram ework encourages banks to re ne those m easures. It also provides explicit incentives in the form of lower capital requirements for banks to adopt m one comprehensive and accurate m easures of risk as well as m one elective processes for controlling their exposures to risk. ii

# 2.3.2 Supervisory review

As mentioned above, the degree of so stication necessary for the evaluation of risks is agreed upon by banks together with supervisory reviewers in order to ensure that bank management exercises sound judgement and that has set aside adequate capital to face these risks. This is the second pillar of the agreement.

hh Supervisors will evaluate the activities and risk proles of individual banks to determ ine whether those organisations should hold higher levels of capital than the minimum requirements in Pillar 1 would specify and to see whether there is any need for remedial actions.

The Committee expects that, when supervisors engage banks in a dialogue about their internal processes for measuring and managing their risks, they willhelp to create implicit incentives for organisations to develop sound control structures and to improve those processes. ii

# 2.3.3 Market discipline

hh P illar 3 leverages the ability of market discipline to motivate prudent management by enhancing the degree of transparency in banks' public reporting. It sets out the public disclosures that banks must make that lend greater insight into the adequacy of their capitalisation.

The Committee believes that, when marketplace participants have a su cient

understanding of a bank's activities and the controls it has in place to m anage its exposures, they are better able to distinguish between banking organisations so that they can reward those that m anage their risks prudently and penalise those that do not. ii

### 3 Basel II and physicists

There are already reports from the Finance industry, that one of the major problems in implementing Basel II requirements will be lack or shortage of skills and resources. Research commissioned by 0 racle found that the two biggest stumbling blocks for companies were a lack of access to relevant data (cited by 26 per cent of respondents) and a lack of skills (22 per cent) [6]. Therefore, it is likely that the adoption of the Basel II agreement will foster both new jobs and new elds of research for physicists working on complex systems and Econophysics. As mentioned above, after 1996, a new wave of physicists was hired by banks to work in risk management o ces [7] and a limited but signi cant number of new small enterprises led by physicists and active in the nancial sector was born. Since then, a large amount of research e orts in Econophysics have been devoted to some aspects of market risk, including work on derivatives. However, in comparison to the pre-1996 situation, now :

there are several research groups of physicists all over the world working on problem s inspired by F inance and E conom ics [8];

some of these groups have joined larger collaboration at the national and international level. The European COST P10 Action Physics of risk [9] is a good example of this trend;

there is a number of journals devoted to the applications of Physics to Finance and Economics;

m any universities have started courses on E conophysics.

Therefore, new developments are envisaged in the analysis of both credit risk and operational risk. Ref. [1] devotes more than 100 pages to the evaluation of credit risk, moreover in the nancial community there is an explosion of interest for credit risk and credit derivatives [10], whereas the author of this paper has found less than 20 preprints on credit risk in the Econophysics repository www.unifr.ch/econophysics.However, the approaches commonly used in Econophysics could be useful also for the analysis of credit risk. To illustrate this point, let us consider a recent working paper by Hui Chen, a PhD student in the Finance Program of the G raduate School of Business, University of Chicago [11]. As an instrum ent to price bonds and loans using the standard present value approach, Chen suggests the use of hom ogeneus continuous-tim e M arkov chains for the tim e evolution of credit-risk ratings. Standard & Poor's, Moody's and other rating companies use a discrete set of credit risk assignments using labels such as AAA, Aaa, and similar. They also publish one year transition probability matrices on which various commercial credit risk valuation programs are based. Most of these programs, such as C reditM etrics, C reditR isk+, C reditPortfolioV iew, use credit rating migration models based on discrete time M arkov chains. In Chen's approach, a random variable in continuous-time, x (t), describes the present rating for a particular credit instrument and can assume any of a nite set of values (e.g. 8 values for S& P or M oody's ratings). The time evolution of x (t) is based on the following conditional probability rule:

$$P [x (s + t) = jjx (s) = i; (x (u) : 0 \quad u < s)] = P [x (s + t) = jjx (s) = i] = P_{ij} (t):$$
(1)

In this way, one assumes that the embedded discrete-time process is a discrete-time M arkov chain. The time between state changes is a random variable with a memory-less distribution. The only continuous-time memory-less distribution is the exponential distribution. Therefore, the time between state changes in a continuous-time M arkov chain is exponentially distributed. If we call  $_i$  the inverse of the average waiting-time for transitions from state i and we denote by q<sub>ij</sub> the one-step transition probabilities of the embedded discrete M arkov chain, we have the following relations:

$$P_{ij}(t) = {}_{i}q_{ij} t; j \in i$$
(2)

$$P_{ii}(t) = 1_{i}t;$$
 (3)

The meaning of the st equation is that the probability of the transition i! j in the time t is the rate of such a transition, conditional on the initial state x(0) = i and multiplied by t. The second equation means that the probability of no transition taking place is 1 m inus the probability that a transition takes place. Now, the Chapman-Kolm ogorov equation:

$$P_{ij}(t+t) = \sum_{k}^{X} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(t); \qquad (4)$$

together with equations (2) and (3) yield in the limit t! 0:

$$\frac{dP_{ij}(t)}{dt} = {}_{i}P_{ij}(t) + {}^{X}_{k\notin i} {}_{i}q_{ik}P_{kj}(t);$$
(5)

a set of equations known as Kolm opporov backward equations. Chen goes on to give a simple recipe to derive one cients in the Kolm opporov backward equations based on the one year transition matrices mentioned above.

The above example is only one possibility among many to apply stochastic methods familiar to physicists in the elds of credit and operational risk.

4 Prelim inary conclusions

The prelim inary conclusions of this paper are:

the Basel II agreement is likely to open new possibilites for physicists in the Finance industry;

from the educational point of view, E conophysics courses should address issues related also to credit risk and operational risk and not only to m arket risk;

as for research, a focus on applied risk m anagement including work on credit and operational risks is likely to receive more attention from the nancial community;

in principle, physicists are well equipped to face the new challenges and opportunities determ ined by the Basel II agreem ent.

Finalnote

The author welcom es com m ents, suggestions and criticism . He can be reached at scalas@unipmn.it.

References

- [1]BaselComm ittee on Banking Supervision, InternationalConvergence ofCapital M easurem ent and CapitalStandards: a Revised Framework, 2004.The report can be downloaded from http://www.bis.org/publ/bcbs107.htm.
- [2] The full text of the press release is available from : http://www.bis.org/press/p040626.htm.
- [3] Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, Bank Failures in Mature Economies, Working Paper n. 13, April 2004.
- [4] R.Blei, Frueherkennung von Bankenkrisen, dargestellt am Beispielder Herstatt Bank, mim eo, Berlin, 1984.
- [5] Il Sole 24 O re, Basilea 2. Guida pratica ai nuovi criteri per l'accesso al credito, June 2004.
- [6] J. M orthem an, Skills shortage creates Basel II com pliance fears, vnunet.com 9 August 2004.
- [7] In July 2003, in Italy, a meeting took place on physicists in Finance. A survey showed that at least 100 physicists were working for nancial

institutions. The proceedings of the meeting (mainly in Italian), are available from : http://lagash.dft.unipa.it/talks.html.

- [8] The main web sites devoted to this reserve eld are: http://www.econophysics.org and http://www.unifr.ch/econophysics.
- [9] The web page of the COST P10 Action is: http://gisc.uc3m.es/COST-P10/index.html.
- [10] The reader interested in credit derivatives could consult V inod K othari's portal: http://www.credit-deriv.com/.
- [11] H. Chen, A Continuous Time Compound Credit Rating Migration Model for Bond and Loan Valuations, mimeo.