

Deconstruction of the Kondo Effect near the AFM-Quantum Critical Point

H. Maebashi,¹ K. Miyake,² and C. M. Varma³¹Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan²Department of Physical Science, Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-8531, Japan³Department of Physics, University of California, Riverside, California 92521

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The problem of a spin-1/2 magnetic impurity near an antiferromagnetic transition of the host lattice is shown to transform to a multichannel problem. A variety of fixed points is discovered asymptotically near the AFM-critical point. Among these is a new variety of stable fixed point of a multichannel Kondo problem which does not require channel isotropy. At this point Kondo screening disappears but coupling to spin-fluctuations remains. Besides its intrinsic interest, the problem is an essential ingredient in the problem of quantum critical points in heavy-fermion systems.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 72.10.Fk, 75.30.Kz, 75.40.-s

Theories of quantum critical phenomena involving fermions rely on extensions of the theory of classical critical phenomena to quantum problems by integrating out the fermions in favor of low energy bosonic fluctuations [1, 2]. Several experimental results on heavy-fermion quantum critical points (QCP's) are in disagreement with such theories [3, 4]. Some valiant efforts to address the problem are being made by using an extended dynamical mean field theory [5]. As an interesting problem in itself as well as to gain insight to the difficult problem of the lattice, we present here a systematic theory for a single impurity in a host with a diverging antiferromagnetic (AFM) correlation length. We show below that this necessarily leads to a multi-channel problem with a variety of remarkable properties.

The $S = 1/2$ localized moment is coupled to the host itinerant electrons, which are near an AFM instability due to electron-electron interactions, by the Hamiltonian $(J=2) \int d^d k (2\pi)^{-d} \int d^d k^0 \frac{y}{k} \frac{y}{k^0} S$ where $\frac{y}{k}$ ($\frac{y}{k^0}$) is the creation (annihilation) operator for the itinerant electron with momentum k (k^0), and S is the localized spin. Due to the interactions among the host electrons, the bare Kondo vertices J are renormalized. For a Fermi liquid such vertex corrections lead only to a numerical renormalization. However, qualitatively new effects can arise due to such renormalizations in the vicinity of a QCP in the host itinerant electrons. This problem has been solved for the case of a ferromagnetic instability of the itinerant electrons [6, 7]. The problem of the AFM instability is both physically and technically quite different.

In order to perform a renormalization group (RG) procedure, we first derive the low-energy effective action in which the momenta of the itinerant electrons are restricted within a narrow region near the Fermi surface, $f_k \approx W$ (f_k is the energy of the host electrons relative to the Fermi level). Denote $\frac{y}{k}$ ($\frac{y}{k^0}$) as $\frac{y}{k}$ ($\frac{y}{k^0}$) for $f_k \approx W$ otherwise $\frac{y}{k}$ ($\frac{y}{k^0}$), then the Hamiltonian can be expressed as $H[\frac{y}{k}; \frac{y}{k^0}] = H[\frac{y}{k}; \frac{y}{k^0}] + H[\frac{y}{k}; \frac{y}{k^0}] + H^0[\frac{y}{k}; \frac{y}{k^0}; \frac{y}{k}; \frac{y}{k^0}]$. Eliminating the modes for $f_k \approx W$

in a path-integral formulation, we obtain the effective action as $A_{\text{eff}} = A_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} + A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cc})} + A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cf})} + \dots$; $A_{\text{eff}}^{(0)}$ describes the free parts of the effective action, $A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cc})}$ is the mutual-interaction term among the spins of the host itinerant electrons and $A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cf})}$ corresponds to the effective interaction between the host electrons and the localized spin which is represented by Fig.1:

Fig.1 presents Feynman diagrams for $A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cf})}$ in which solid and broken lines are associated with the host electron and the local moment respectively. The exchange couplings in $A_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{cf})}$ has two parts: the first term j in Fig.1 is irreducible with respect to the propagator $D_{k,k^0}(!)$ describing the AFM spin fluctuations of the host electrons, while the second part is reducible. The division into the two parts is such that g starts out as J while j starts out as $O(J^2)$, as explained through the first few terms of the series for j , and g in the figure. g is the coupling of the spin-fluctuations to the local moments which are always




FIG. 1: Exchange interaction between the host electrons and the localized spin in the low-energy effective action. The first line gives the complete interaction; the subsequent lines show the first few terms of the series that is summed in each vertex in the first line. χ represents the dynamical spin susceptibility of the host electrons.




FIG. 2: Perturbative corrections to (a) the self-energy of the local moment represented in terms of pseudo fermions, (b) the vertex j , and (c) the vertex g describing the coupling of the local moment to the magnetic fluctuations.

coupled through electron-electron interaction vertices to the fermions. The vertices g , and j are also irreducible with respect to the propagator $D_{k;k^0}$. $\chi^>(\mathbf{k};k^0) = I^>(\mathbf{k};k^0)$ where I is the bare interaction among the spins of the host electrons and $\chi^>(\mathbf{k};k^0)$ is the one-interaction irreducible vertex part in the spin channel for $H[\chi^>;\chi^>]$. $D_{k;k^0}(!)$ is related to the dynamical spin susceptibility $\chi^>_{k;k^0}(!)$ of the host described by $H[\chi^>;\chi^>]$ as

$$D_{k;k^0}(!) = [1 + I^>_{k;k^0}(!)]^{-1} I^>_{k;k^0}(!) \quad (1)$$

Note that even in the limit of $W \rightarrow 0$ near the QCP, $\chi^>$ is not singular (while $\chi^<$ is). So, we can safely neglect the dependence of $\chi^>$ on the cutoff as well as the momenta, after $D_{k;k^0}$ is extracted.

In the limit that the energy cutoff $W \rightarrow 0$, the momenta \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{k}^0 are restricted to be on the Fermi surface S_F . If \mathbf{k} is represented by its projection onto S_F denoted by \mathbf{K} and the energy shell it belongs to $\omega = \omega_{\mathbf{k}}$, we can approximate $D_{k;k^0}(!) \approx D_{\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0}(0) \equiv D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)$ as

$$D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0) = \frac{A N_0}{(W)^2 + 2d + \sum_{i=1}^d \cos(\mathbf{K}_i - \mathbf{K}_i^0)} \quad (2)$$

where (W) is the inverse magnetic correlation length, N_0 is the density of states, A is a constant of the order of 1. The retardation of the interactions is properly included through a cutoff which appears through $(W) / W^z$, where z is the dynamical exponent. This procedure has been explicitly justified in Ref.[7] where it occurs in the same context.

Now, consider the unitary transformation which diagonalizes $D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)$ on the Fermi surface S_F . Assuming that the impurity sits in a site with the full point group symmetry of the lattice, the symmetry operations R of a point group G (say, C_{4v} for the $d = 2$ square symmetry) may be used such that it is enough to find eigenvalues of $D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)$ with \mathbf{K} restricted to an irreducible portion of the Brillouin zone, (a triangle determined by the vertices $(0;0)$, $(\pi;0)$, and $(\pi;\pi)$ for C_{4v}). We obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}^0 \in \text{irreducible}} \chi^>_{\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0} D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)_{m,m^0} u_1(\mathbf{K})_{m^0} = D_1(\mathbf{K})_{m^0} u_1(\mathbf{K})_m; \quad (3)$$

Here $\sum_{\mathbf{K}^0 \in \text{irreducible}}$ stands for the average over S_F , $N_0^{-1} (2\pi)^d \sum_{\mathbf{K}} (\mathbf{K})$; $D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)_{m,m^0} =$

$\sum_{\mathbf{R} \in G} R D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)_{m,m^0} (R)_{m,m^0}$. (R) is the unitary matrix for the irreducible representation, the dimension of which is d . Therefore, D_1 can be represented by a set of d eigenvalues in which i tells apart eigenvalues in the space of $1, 2, \dots, d$ $g = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \chi^>_{\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0} g$ for each \mathbf{K} . In the whole space of the Fermi surface, the number of degeneracies d_i is equal to d . This general result is always true but more interesting is the generic case in which the AFM vectors \mathbf{Q} , (assumed commensurate [8]) connects points on the Fermi surface ("hot-spots" in 2-d but "hot-lines" in 3-d). In that case the problem acquires larger degeneracies.

Expanding $\chi^>$ as $\chi^>_{\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0} = \sum_{l,m} u_l(\mathbf{K})_{m,m^0} a_{l,m}$, the equation represented by Fig.1 leads to the effective interaction of the local moment:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,m} [j_l + g D_1(\mathbf{K})_{m,m^0}] a_{l,m}^2 = S; \quad (4)$$

where $a_{l,m}$ is defined by $a_{l,m} = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} u_l(\mathbf{K})_{m,m^0} d$. At the initial cutoff $W_0, g = 0$ (J) and $j_l = 0$ (J^2) for all l so that $g \gg j_l$ for weak couplings J . Eq.(4) has the form of a multi-channel Kondo Hamiltonian with the number of channel d_l for each l , but the interactions depend explicitly on W through D_1 .

The RG equations for Eq.(4) are now derived for any given $D_1(\mathbf{K})$. Fig.2 presents perturbative corrections up to the third order of j and g in the successive elimination of modes for $W^0 < \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \leq W$. Define a crossover parameter $W_1 = r W_0$; r is the distance from the QCP. For the present case of $z = 2$, $\omega_0 = \sqrt{W - W_0}$ for $W > W_1$, i.e. the "quasi-classical" regime while $\omega_0 = \sqrt{W_1 - W}$ for $W < W_1$, i.e. the quantum regime. ω_0 is a constant of the order of 1. In this paper we present results only for the "quasi-classical" regime. It is also useful to introduce $(d = dt) \ln \sum_{l,m} d_l D_1^2$ with $t = \ln(W_0 - W)$. Because the imaginary part of the local spin susceptibility for the host electrons scales as $\text{Im} \chi^>_{\text{loc}}(!) \propto \sum_{l,m} d_l D_1^2 / W$, it determines the power law of $\chi^>_{\text{loc}}(!)$ for the long-time limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ as $\chi^>_{\text{loc}}(!) \propto \omega^{-(2-d)}$. Note that $d = (4-d)/2$ for $W > W_1$ (while $d = 0$ for $W < W_1$ as for Fermi liquids.)

It is convenient to write the RG equations in terms of $t = \ln(\omega_0)^2$ and to rescale g and D_1 as $g = g \sum_{l,m} d_l D_1^2$ and $D_1 = D_1 \sum_{l,m} d_l D_1^2$. From the definition of D_1 , it follows that $\sum_{l,m} d_l D_1^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0} D(\mathbf{K};\mathbf{K}^0)^2 / 1 = 2$.

After some manipulations the RG equations are de-

rived as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{j}_1}{dt} = N_0 \mathbf{f}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} N_0^2 \mathbf{j}_1^X \mathbf{d}_1^0 \mathbf{f}_1^2; \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{g}}{dt} = \mathbf{g} - \frac{1}{2} N_0^2 \mathbf{j}_1^X \mathbf{d}_1^0 \mathbf{f}_1^2; \quad (5b)$$

where $\mathbf{f}_1 = \mathbf{j}_1 + \mathbf{g} \mathbf{D}_1$.

In order to solve Eqs.(5), we must first find $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$ from Eq.(3). We have done this for 2-d as well as 3-d analytically for $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ and checked it numerically for a range of \mathbf{k} . Fig.3 shows dependence of \mathbf{D}_1 on \mathbf{k} obtained from numerical solutions of Eq.(3) in a 2d square lattice with a circular Fermi line at half filling (the Fermi radius is $\frac{1}{2}$). In this case the Fermi surface has 8 "hot-spots", which are four pairs of points connected by the AFM wave-vector \mathbf{Q} . In the case of C_{4v} , there exist five irreducible representations in which $d = 1$ for $\mathbf{k} = A_1, A_2, B_1$, and B_2 while $d = 2$ for $\mathbf{k} = E$. We find quite clearly that the absolute values of eight eigenvalues approach each other and A_1, B_2 , and E (A_2, B_1 , and E) states bunch up to constitute four-fold degenerate states with a positive (negative) value of \mathbf{D}_1 in the limit of $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$. From the inset it can be checked that the results are consistent with $\frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1^2 / 1 = 2$, i.e., $\mathbf{k} = 1$, so that $\mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{j}$ diverge as $1/\mathbf{k}$ for all \mathbf{k} .

The above realization of symmetry higher than that of the underlying lattice near the QCP can be understood from a general point of view; the 2-d example is explained here. Consider a Fermi line with $N_h = 2n_h$ equivalent hot spots, divided into n_h pairs with one member of the pair connected to the other by \mathbf{Q} . Let \mathbf{K}_h^1 and \mathbf{K}_h^2 be the vectors of two hot spots of one such pair, i.e., $\mathbf{K}_h^1 = \mathbf{K}_h^2 + \mathbf{Q}$, and \mathbf{e}_h^1 and \mathbf{e}_h^2 be the unit vectors tangent to the Fermi line at these two hot spots. If we write $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}_h + \mathbf{p} \mathbf{e}_h$ and $\mathbf{K}^0 = \mathbf{K}_h + \mathbf{p}^0 \mathbf{e}_h$ in which $\mathbf{k} = 1$ and $\mathbf{k} = 2$ or vice-versa near these hot spots, then the singular parts of $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{K}^0)$ can be approximated by

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{K}^0) \sim \frac{N_0}{2 + \mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{p}^{02}} \frac{2\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}^0 \cos \theta_h}{2\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}^0 \cos \theta_h}; \quad (6)$$

where $\cos \theta_h$ is given by $\mathbf{e}_h^1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_h^2$. Substituting Eq.(6) into Eq.(3), and dividing it by $\frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1^2 / 1$, the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$ in the limit of $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ can be obtained by solving the following equation:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{l}} \frac{\mathbf{X}^2}{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})} \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{y})_m = \mathbf{D}_1(0) \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{x})_m; \quad (7)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{x})_m = \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbf{K}_h + \mathbf{x} \mathbf{e}_h)_m$; the kernel $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sin \theta_h}{8} \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{x}^2 + \mathbf{y}^2 - 2\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} \cos \theta_h}; \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{k} = 1$ for $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}^0$ otherwise 0. If we diagonalize $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y})$ with respect to the indices \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , we necessarily find two eigenvalues of equal magnitude and opposite




FIG. 3: $\mathbf{D}_1 = \mathbf{D}_1 = \frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1^2$ versus \mathbf{k} in a two-dimensional system with a circular Fermi line at half filling. The largest $7d_1$ eigenvalues for each symmetry are plotted. The inset shows dependences of $\frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1^2$ and $\frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1$ on \mathbf{k} .

sign. For $N_h = 8$ as in Fig.3, the hot spots are connected by the operations of C_{4v} which is the symmetry of the underlying lattice. For a special case of $N_h = 4$, e.g. the circular Fermi line with the Fermi radius $\frac{1}{2}$, the hot spots are connected by the operations of C_4 the number of which is 4. The number of degeneracies is then half of the number of the symmetry operations in the group of the hot spots.

Eqs.(7) and (8) suggest that for $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$, all $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ in 2d. We have explicitly found that the numerical results are consistent with this conjecture. In this case, as we explain below, the problem eventually acquires infinite degeneracy.

The situation is actually simpler in 3d, where it follows from Eq.(3) that $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{j}$ are less singular than $1/\mathbf{k}$ as $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ for any \mathbf{l} , while $\mathbf{k} = 1=2$, so that $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{j} = \frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{D}_1^2 / 1 = 2 \mathbf{j}$, i.e., $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$ are zero for all \mathbf{k} in the limit $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$.

With this knowledge of $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$, we return to the RG Eqs.(5) to study the fixed points. It is straightforward to prove that $\mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{k})$ approach constants as $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ ($d\mathbf{D}_1/d\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$), as may also be seen in Fig.3. Therefore, it is sufficient to analyze with $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{D}_1(0)$. There are two possibilities for the fixed points of Eq.(5b). (i) $\mathbf{g} = 0$. Then, it follows from Eqs.(5) that the usual multichannel problem is realized. Due to the small channel anisotropy this is always unstable towards the single channel Fermi-liquid fixed point. On the other hand, a new class of singular or non-Fermi liquid (NFL) fixed points is obtained for (ii) $\frac{1}{N_0} \mathbf{d}_1 \mathbf{N}_0^2 \mathbf{f}_1^2 = \mathbf{k}$. Then the fixed-point values of \mathbf{g} , \mathbf{f}_1 are solutions of the following equations;

$$\mathbf{X} \frac{\mathbf{g}}{\mathbf{d}_1} \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{8 \mathbf{D}_1(0) N_0 \mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{k} = 8; \quad (9a)$$

$$N_0 f_1 = \frac{q}{4} \frac{1 + \frac{1}{1 - 8D_1(0)N_0 g}}{1} : \quad (9b)$$

The fixed-point values of j_1 denoted by \bar{j}_1 are given by $\bar{j}_1 = f_1 - g D_1(0)$. In Eqs.(9), \bar{j}_1 is either of \bar{j}_1 for each l .

Of these NFL fixed points, one in which all of \bar{j}_1 are 1 can be shown to be linearly stable. For $d = 2$, this stable fixed point exists only when the Fermi line is almost tangent at the hot spots to the boundary of the magnetic Brillouin zone, i.e. $\bar{h} \rightarrow 0$ (otherwise there is no solution of Eqs.(9) with $\bar{j}_1 = 1$ for all l). For $d = 3$, this fixed-point solution is always found.

When $\bar{h} \rightarrow 0$ for $d = 2$, and $d = 3$, a study of the solution of Eqs.(5) reveals that the RG flow of g and j_1 is toward the single channel Fermi-liquid fixed point (i) only for large initial coupling $N_0 J \gg 1$, i.e. for initial $g \ll \bar{j}_1$; the Kondo temperature is so high that AFM correlations do not determine the fixed point. For the interesting weak-coupling case $N_0 J \ll 1$, i.e. for initial $g \gg \bar{j}_1$, the RG flow is sucked into the stable one of the new class of NFL fixed points (ii), i.e., the fixed point of the degenerate multichannel Kondo problem with a finite g , as described below, is realized at the QCP.

For $\bar{h} = 0.05$ with 8 hot spots in 2d, an explicit calculation of the stable fixed point shows that $\bar{j}_1 N_0 g = 0.9205$ and that $N_0 \bar{j}_1 = 0.06731, 0.03895, 0.02927, \dots$, in order of size. At each value there are exactly four degenerate states in response to the enhanced degeneracy of $D_1(0)$. Thus a multiple degenerate four-channel fixed point with a finite g is realized for both signs of coupling to the localized spin.

In the limit of $\bar{h} \rightarrow 0$, in 2d, i.e. the Fermi surface tangent to the magnetic Brillouin zone, $\max[\bar{j}_1(0)] \rightarrow 0$ with $\bar{j}_1 D_1(0)^2 = 1$. In this case, expansion of Eqs.(9) with respect to $D_1(0)$ leads us to

$$N_0^2 g^2 = \frac{1}{4} ; \quad N_0 \bar{j}_1 = 0 \quad \text{for all } l: \quad (10)$$

So, $N_0 f_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{D_1(0)} \rightarrow 0$ with $\bar{j}_1 D_1(0)^2 = 1$.

As shown above, for $d = 3$, $\max[\bar{j}_1(0)] \rightarrow 0$ as $\bar{h} \rightarrow 0$ with $\bar{j}_1 D_1(0)^2 = 1$. Then the exotic stable fixed point given by Eq.(10) always exists. So, the fixed point looks like the multichannel fixed point at which the number of channels is in unity.

It is important to note that channel anisotropy is irrelevant at these stable fixed points for $d = 2$ as well as $d = 3$. This can be proved by noting that $\bar{j}_1 D_1(0)^2 f_1^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $dD_1 = dt \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 1$. Then Eqs.(5) leads to $d\bar{j}_1 - f_1 dt = \bar{j}_1 - f_1 dt (2N_0 f_1 - 2) < 0$ as $t \rightarrow 1$ when $D_1(0) = D_1(0)$ for $l \in 1^0$.

The other fixed points given by Eqs.(9) are unstable. In the case of $\max[\bar{j}_1(0)] \rightarrow 0$, $N_0^2 g^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ ($1 - n = 4$), $N_0 \bar{j}_1 = 2$ for $l = 1$, $N_0 \bar{j}_1 = 0$ for $l = 1$, where n_+ is the number of channels for which $\bar{j}_1 = 1$. Since $(1 - n_+ = 4)$ must be positive or zero, there exist four (eight) unstable fixed points in two (three) dimensions where $n = 1$ ($n = 1=2$). If \bar{h} is assumed to be small, these may be related to the unstable fixed points of a multi-channel version of the Bose-Fermi Kondo model in the ϵ -expansion [9].

The difference of the results from those for the Bose-Fermi Kondo model [5, 9] are instructive. In the present work, the bosons or spin fluctuations enter the theory only as intermediate states and not in external vertices, see Figs.1 and 2. This is the consistent formulation of the problem because the fluctuations arise in the first place due to the electron-electron interaction vertex. In Refs.[5, 9], \bar{h} is implicitly included in part of the problem in defining the fluctuations but neglected in the other part, the conversion of the fluctuations back to fermions.

The correlation functions near the fixed point for $W > W_1$ as well as the detailed properties when approaching the fixed point from $W < W_1$ will be presented in a longer paper. At this point we can only say that Eq.(10): $f_1 \rightarrow 0$ suggests a decoupling of the local moment from the conduction electrons, while a finite g at the fixed point suggests that the moment responds to the AFM correlations of the host. This is what may be expected if the Kondo effect is deconstructed such that the local moment is at least partially recovered and the recovered moment participates in the AFM correlations [10]. The finite degeneracy at the fixed point suggests that the ground state has finite entropy. This degeneracy may also be understood as the prelude to the participation of the moment in the finite range spin-wave correlations below the AFM transition. This finite channel fixed point may be thought of as the analog for staggered magnetization correlations of what happens for growing FM correlations [6], where a droplet of size 1 around the impurity leads to number of channels $\propto (d-1)$ [11]. However this analogy is only suggestive both because of the special condition of "hot-spots" or "hot-lines" required to get such a fixed point as well as the very special second property, on f_1^2 , noted after Eq.(10) at the fixed point.

HM and CMV are grateful to D. MacLaughlin and S. Yotsuhashi for clarifying comments. HM would also like to acknowledge T. Kato, J. Kishine, H. Kusunose, Y. Matsuda, and Y. Takada for useful discussions as well as the University of California, Riverside where work on this letter was partially done. CMV wishes to thank the Humboldt foundation and the condensed matter physicists at University of Karlsruhe for their hospitality.

[1] J.A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1165 (1976).

[2] T. Moriya, Spin Fluctuations in Itinerant Electron Mag-

netism (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985); T. Moriya and T. Takimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 960 (1995).

- [3] H.v. Lohneysen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3262 (1994); O. T'rovarelli et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 626 (2000).
- [4] For a review see, C M .Varm a, Z.Nussinov and W .van Saarloos, Phys.Rep.361, 267 (2002).
- [5] Q .Si, S.Rabello, K .Ingersent, and J.L.Sm ith, Nature (London) 413, 804 (2001); Phys. Rev. B 68, 115103 (2003); P. Coleman, C. Pepin, Q . Si, and R. Ram azashvili, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 13, R 727 (2001); See also, A .Rosch, A .Schroer, O .Stockert, and H.v. Lohneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 159 (1997).
- [6] A.I.Larkin and V.I.M el'nikov, Sov. Phys. JETP 34, 656 (1972).
- [7] H.M aebashi, K.M iyake, and C M .Varm a, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 226403 (2002).
- [8] B.L.A ltshuler, L.B .Io e, and A.J.M illis, Phys. Rev. B 52, 5563 (1995).
- [9] J.L.Sm ith and Q .Si, Europhys. Lett. 45, 228 (1999); A M .Sengupta, Phys. Rev. B 61, 4041 (2000).
- [10] For the Bose-Ferm iKondo m odel, free-m om ent behavior in the localized phase was revealed in M.T.G lossop and K .Ingersent, cond-m at/0501601.
- [11] For related work, see A.J. M illis, D .Morr and J. Schm alian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 167202 (2001); Phys. Rev. B 66, 174433 (2002).