

GENERALIZING THE PLANCK DISTRIBUTION

ANDRÉ M. C. SOUZA

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Sergipe
 49100-000, São Cristóvão-SE, Brazil
 E-mail: amcsouza@ufs.br

CONSTANTINO TSALLIS

Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, NM, 87501 USA
 E-mail: tsallis@santafe.edu
 and
 Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas
 Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

Along the lines of nonextensive statistical mechanics, based on the entropy $S_q = -k \sum_i p_i^q \ln p_i^q = (q-1)^{-1} (S_1 - k \sum_i p_i \ln p_i)$, and Beck-Cohen superstatistics, we heuristically generalize Planck's statistical law for the black-body radiation. The procedure is based on the discussion of the differential equation $dy/dx = -a_1 y - (a_q - a_1) y^q$ (with $y(0) = 1$), whose $q = 2$ particular case leads to the celebrated law, as originally shown by Planck himself in his October 1900 paper. Although the present generalization is mathematically simple and elegant, we have unfortunately no physical application of it at the present moment. It opens nevertheless the door to a type of approach that might be of some interest in more complex, possibly out-of-equilibrium, phenomena.

We normally obtain the statistical mechanical equilibrium distribution by optimizing, under appropriate constraints, an entropic functional, namely the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) entropy $S_{BG} = -k \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$. The success and elegance of this variational method are unquestioned. But at least one more possibility exists, namely through differential equations. Such a path is virtually never followed. Indeed, such an approach might seem quite bizarre at first sight. But we should by no means overlook that it has at least one distinguished predecessor: Planck's law for the black-body radiation. Indeed, Planck published two papers on the subject in 1900. The first one in October, the second one in December¹. The bases of both of them were considered at the time as totally heuristic ones, although kind of different in nature. The second paper might be considered as a primitive form of what has now become the standard approach to statistical mechanics, based on the optimization of an entropy functional, the connection with Bose-Einstein statistics, and, ultimately, with the Boltzmann-Gibbs thermodynamic theory for a quantum harmonic oscillator². The first paper³, however, is totally based on simple arguments regarding an ordinary differential equation. It is along this line that the present paper

is constructed.

If S_{BG} is extremized under appropriate constraints, we obtain the famous BG weight $p(E) = p(0)e^{-E}$. This distribution can be seen as the solution of the differential equation $dp/dE = -p$. Since more than one decade, a lot of effort is being dedicated to the study of the so called "nonextensive statistical mechanics", based on the generalized entropy $S_q = k(1 - \sum_i p_i^q)/(q-1)$ ($S_1 = S_{BG}$)⁴ (for a review, see⁵). The extremization of this entropy under appropriate constraints yields $p(E) = p(0)e_q^{-E}$, where $e_q^x = [1 + (1-q)x]^{1/(1-q)}$ ($e_1^x = e^x$). This distribution, which has been shown to emerge in many natural and artificial systems⁵, can be seen as the solution of the differential equation $d[p p(0)]/dE = -[p p(0)]^q$. As a next step, we may consider even more complex systems, namely those which exhibit, for increasing E , a crossover from nonextensive to BG statistics. Such appears to be the case of cosmic rays⁶. Such situations can be handled with a differential equation which unifies the previous two ones, as follows:

$$\frac{d[p p(0)]}{dE} = -[p p(0)]^{q-1} - (q-1)[p p(0)]^q : \quad (1)$$

Excepting for the fact that here q may be noninteger, this differential equation is a particular case of Bernoulli's differential equation. Its solution is given by

$$p(E) = \frac{p(0)}{1 + \frac{q-1}{1} e^{(q-1)E}} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{q-1}} ; \quad (2)$$

which precisely exhibits the desired crossover for $q > 1$ and $0 < \frac{1}{q-1} < \frac{1}{q}$. Indeed, for $(q-1)E \ll 1$ we have that $p p(0) = e_q^{-qE}$, whereas, for $(q-1)E \gg 1$, we have p/e^{-E} .

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ and $p(0) = q! C$, where C is a constant, Eq. (2) becomes

$$p(E) = \frac{C}{e^{(q-1)E} - 1} ; \quad (3)$$

which, for $q = 2$, becomes

$$p(E) = \frac{C}{e^{E/2} - 1} : \quad (4)$$

If we multiply this statistical weight by the photon density of states $g(E)/E^2$ and by the energy E , we have the celebrated frequency spectral density

$$u(\nu) = \frac{3}{e^{h\nu/k_B T} - 1} ; \quad (5)$$

where we have identified $1/2 = k_B T$ and $E = h\nu$. It is in this precise sense that Eq. (3) (hence Eq. (2)) can be seen as a generalization of Planck statistics.

For $q > 1$, Eq. (3) can be written as

$$\frac{p(E)}{C} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(n; q) e^{-E/n} ; \quad (6)$$




Figure 1. Degeneracy $d(n;q)$ as function of q ($n = 0;1;2;3$); $q = 2$ corresponds to Planck law.

where

$$E_n = [(q-1)n + 1]E_0 / n + \frac{1}{q-1}; \quad (7)$$

and

$$d(n;q) = \frac{n + \frac{1}{q-1}}{\frac{1}{q-1} - (n+1)}; \quad (8)$$

(x) being the Gamma function. We may now follow Planck's path in his December 1900 paper, where he introduced the discretization of energy that eventually led to the formulation of quantum mechanics. Consistently, we may interpret E_n as a discretized energy and $d(n;q)$ as its degeneracy. We see that, for $q > 1$, the spectrum is made of equidistant levels, like that of the quantum one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. The situation is definitively different in what concerns the degeneracy (see Fig. 1). Only for $q = 2$ we have the remarkable property $d(n;2) = 1$ ($\forall n$), which recovers the harmonic oscillator problem.

At this point, let us emphasize that any thermodynamical weight (that of thermal equilibrium for instance) reflects the microscopic dynamics of the system. This

fact was addressed by Einstein in 1910⁷, and was recently revisited by several authors (see⁸, for instance). It was shown also, on quite general grounds, in⁹. In the same vein, a dynamical theory of weakly coupled harmonic oscillators system was recently used for deducing the functional relation between energy variance and mean energy that was conjectured by Einstein in connection with Planck's formula, thus exhibiting that it is a consequence of pure dynamics¹⁰. It is within this dynamical interpretation that Beck and Cohen introduced their superstatistics¹¹. Indeed, nonequilibrium systems might exhibit spatio-temporal fluctuations of intensive quantities, e.g., the temperature. They assumed then that the inverse temperature might itself be a stochastic variable, such that the generalized distribution of energy is expressed as

$$\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = \int_0^{\infty} df(\beta) e^{-\beta E}; \quad (9)$$

where the distribution $f(\beta)$ satisfies $\int_0^{\infty} df(\beta) = 1$: The effective statistical mechanics of such systems depends on the statistical properties of the fluctuations of the temperature and similar intensive quantities. Naturally, if there are no fluctuations of intensive quantities at all, the system must obey BG distribution (i.e., $f(\beta) = \delta(\beta - 1/k_B T)$). They also showed that, if $f(\beta)$ is the q -distribution (see also¹²), one obtains the q -exponential weight of nonextensive statistical mechanics. Moreover, for small variance of the fluctuations, the nonextensive statistical distribution is once again reobtained. See¹³ for an entropic functional which, extremized under appropriate constraints, recovers the distribution of superstatistics.

We straightforwardly obtain, through Laplace transform, that the superstatistical distribution $f(\beta)$ corresponding to the $p(E) = p(0)$ given by Eq. (2) is

$$f(\beta) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(n; q)}{n!} \beta^n = \frac{1}{q} (1 - (q-1)\beta)^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}; \quad (10)$$

Moreover, we define

$$q_{BC} = \frac{h^2 i}{h^2 i^2}; \quad (11)$$

where $h^2 i = \int_0^{\infty} \beta df(\beta)$. The notation q_{BC} (BC stands for Beck-Cohen) has been introduced to avoid confusion with the present q . Only when $f(\beta)$ equals the q -distribution we have $q_{BC} = q$. Using Eq. (10) and integrating we obtain

$$h^2 i = \frac{1}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad h^2 i^2 = \frac{2}{q} \frac{1}{(q-1)} \frac{1}{q}; \quad (12)$$

Replacing (12) into (11) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{h^2 i} = \frac{q}{q_{BC} - 1} (1 - q_{BC} - q); \quad (13)$$




Figure 2. Functions $\frac{p(E)}{p(0)}$ (left) and $f(\beta)$ (right) for $h=1$. (a) Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution $\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = e^{-E}$; $f(\beta) = \delta(\beta)$; (b) $q = q_{BC} = 1.8$ distribution $\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = \frac{0.8}{(0.75)^{1.25}} \frac{1}{(1+0.8E)^{1.25}}$; $f(\beta) = \frac{0.25}{0.8^{1.25} (1.25)} e^{-1.25\beta}$; (c) $(q; q_{BC}) = (2; 3=2)$ distribution $\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = \frac{(2 \ln 2)^{-1}}{2e^{E-2}} \frac{1}{h}$; $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} [(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{4} (\frac{3}{2}) + \dots]$; (d) $(q; q_{BC}) = (3=2; 5=4)$ distribution $\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = \frac{[4(1-\ln 2)]^{-1}}{(2e^{E-4}-1)^2}$; $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{4} [(\frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{3}{4}) + \frac{3}{4} (\frac{1}{2}) + \dots]$. In the cases (a,c,d), what is represented is not $f(\beta)$ strictly speaking, but rather the weights of the Dirac delta's.

It is worthy remarking that, for all admissible $f(\beta)$, we can write the asymptotic expression $p(E) \approx p(0) = h e^{-E} \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{E^2}{2}}$, where $\frac{1}{h^2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{E^2}{2}} = (q_{BC} - 1)h \neq 0$.

Finally, we may rewrite distribution (2) as follows:

$$\frac{p(E)}{p(0)} = 1 + \frac{q-1}{q_{BC}-1} \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{h} e^{(q-q_{BC})h^{-1}E} \frac{1}{q-1}, \quad (14)$$

hence, through Laplace transform,

$$f(\beta) = \frac{q_{BC}-1}{1} \frac{q}{q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d(n; q) \frac{q_{BC}-1}{q-1} \frac{1}{h} \frac{(q-q_{BC})}{(q-1)} [(q-1)n+1] \quad (15)$$

Observe that, for all q , if $q_{BC} \rightarrow 1$ we obtain the BG distribution. In addition, we see that generically assumes discrete values in $f(\beta)$. If we focus on the limit of continuous values for β , we must have (using Eq. (10)) $(n+1) - (n) = \frac{1}{h} (q-1) \neq 0$, and this is obtained (see Eq. (13)) when $h \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., high

temperature) or $q_c \neq q$ (i.e., q -statistics). In Fig. 2 we present typical examples of pairs $p(E) = p(0); f(\lambda)$.

Summarizing, we obtained the distribution corresponding to the differential equation (1), expected to characterize a class of physical stationary states where a crossover occurs between nonextensive and BG statistics. This led us to a possible generalization of Planck law. We obtained also the Beck-Cohen superstatistical distribution $f(\lambda)$ associated with such type of crossovers between statistics. Along similar lines, it is possible to study crossovers between q and q^0 statistics, with eventual applications in turbulence and other complex phenomena.

Acknowledgments

Partial support from PCT/MCT, CNPq, PRONEX, FAPERJ and FAP-SE (Brazilian agencies) is acknowledged.

References

1. L.J. Boya, physics/0402064.
2. R. Balian, From Microphysics to Macrophysics, Vol. I, 140 and Vol. II, 218 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991/1992).
3. M. Planck, Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft 2, 202 and 237 (1900) [English translation: D. ter Haar, S.G. Brush, Planck's Original Papers in Quantum Physics (Taylor and Francis, London, 1972)]. In his "Ueber eine Verbesserung der Wien'schen Spectralgleichung" 19 October 1900 paper, Planck writes the following equations: $d^2S = dU^2 = -[U(\lambda + U)]$ (S and U being the entropy and internal energy respectively; and λ and U are constants), and $dS = dU = 1/T$ (T being Kelvin's absolute temperature). Replacing the latter into the former leads to $dU = d(1/T) = -(1/T^2)U + (1/T)U^2$. From this differential equation, he eventually obtains his famous law, namely $E = C \lambda^{-5} (e^{c/T} - 1)^{-1}$ (λ being the wavelength; C and c are constants). If, as usually done nowadays, we express this spectral density in terms of the frequency $\nu = 1/\lambda$, we obtain the familiar expression, proportional to $\nu^3 = (e^{c^0/T} - 1)^{-1}$ ($c^0 > 0$ being a constant).
4. C. T. Sallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988); E.M.F. Curado and C. T. Sallis, J. Phys. A 24, L69 (1991) [Corrigenda: A 24, 3187 (1991) and A 25, 1019 (1992)]; C. T. Sallis, R.S. Mendes and A.R. Plastino, Physica A 261, 534 (1998). For a regularly updated bibliography of the subject see <http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm>.
5. M. Gell-Mann and C. T. Sallis, Nonextensive entropy { Interdisciplinary Applications (Oxford University Press, New York, 2004).
6. C. T. Sallis, J.C. Anjos and E.P. Borges, Phys. Lett. A 310, 372 (2003).
7. A. Einstein, Annalen der Physik 33, 1275 (1910).
8. E.G.D. Cohen, Physica A 305, 19 (2002); E.G.D. Cohen, Boltzmann and Einstein: Statistics and dynamics { An unsolved problem, Boltzmann Award Communication at Statphys-Bangalore-2004, Pramana (2005), in press.
9. A. Carati, Physica A 348, 110 (2005).
10. A. Carati and L. Galgani, Phys. Rev. E 61, 4791 (2000).
11. C. Beck and E.G.D. Cohen, Physica A 321, 267 (2003).
12. G.W.ilk and Z.W.łodarczyk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2770 (2000); C. Beck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 180601 (2001).

13. C. T. Sallis and A. M. C. Souza, Phys. Rev. E 67, 026106 (2003).