arXiv:cond-mat/0501424v1 [cond-mat.soft] 18 Jan 2005

Simulation of colloidal chain movements under a magnetic field

Mareike Grünzel
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität zu Köln, Zülpicher Straße 77, D-50937 Köln, Germany
(Dated: March 23, 2022)

Short colloidal chains are simulated by the slithering-snake-algorithm on a simple cubic lattice. The dipole character of the colloidal particles leads to a long range dipole-dipole interaction. The solvent is simulated by the nearest neighbor Ising model. The aligning of the dipoles under a magnetic field gives rise to the chains to align on their part with the field direction.

Keywords: Larson model, Monte-Carlo, dipole

I. INTRODUCTION

The initial idea of this paper is based on the article of Goubault et al. [1], whose research partly dealt with the aligning of colloidal chains and their curvature behavior being influenced by an external magnetic field.
The basis of the program is another program that simulates short polymer chains with a hydrophilic head, a hydrophobic tail and a neutral center surrounded by oil and water molecules, which are simulated with the nearest neighbor spin Ising model [2]. The movements of the chains are simulated by the slithering-snake algorithm. This program was created on the basis of Larson's PhD thesis [3].

II. ALIGNING OF THE CHAINS

The Ising model and the slithering-snake algorithm are taken over to the new program. The first aim of the work is to add the long-range dipole-dipole interaction according to the colloidal character of the chains to the program. The magnetic dipole interaction energy for two interacting magnetic momenta $\sim_i$ and $\sim_j$ (e.g. [4]) is transformed to scalar spin variables $s_i = \pm 1$ so that this leads to the Hamiltonian [5]

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} J_{i,j}\, s_i\, s_j \quad \text{with}$$

$$J_{i,j} = \begin{cases} J & (g/r_{ij})^3 \left(1 - 3\,(r^z_{ij}/r_{ij})^2\right) \quad i,j \text{ neighbors,} \\ & (g/r_{ij})^3 \left(1 - 3\,(r^z_{ij}/r_{ij})^2\right) \quad \text{else;} \end{cases}$$

where $g = \mu^2/a^3$ is the dipole strength, r^z_{ij} is the component of the vector $\vec{r}_{ij} = (r^x_{ij}, r^y_{ij}, r^z_{ij})$ between two regarded dipoles and r_{ij} is the distance between them. The space coordinate i varies from 1 to L^3 for $L \times L \times L$ lattices. The calculation of the distance is being done by the calculation of the coordinates of the two regarded dipoles with the help of the modulo-function. The x-coordinates, for example, are the modulo-function of the positions and the system width L. The difference between the x-, y- and z-coordinates gives the distance vector $\vec{r}_{ij}$ of the dipoles.

Initially, the chains are aligned in the x-direction in every simulation. The magnetic field is oriented in z-direction. The computation of the energy is summed up




FIG. 1: Average of chain aligning of 5 chains of length 6 (concentration of 0.03) in a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ lattice at the temperature of $3.0\, J/k_B$ (100 runs). (+): x-aligning; ( ): y-aligning; (✳): z-aligning.

for one chain interacting with the entire system due to the long range of the interaction. This is repeated for every chain. The spins of the chain molecules range from value $s_i = -1$ for the tail, over to $s_i = 0$ for the neutral center, and $s_i = 1$ for the second molecule over to $s_i = 2$ for the head.
In this case, the magnetic field is simulated indirectly by aligning the dipoles in the direction of the field. The chains align in the field direction until equilibrium is achieved after approximatly 30000 time steps to be seen in Fig. 1, where the average orientations of 5 chains of length 6 in a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ lattice is shown.

The first method to calculate the aligning is the determination of the distances of the head and the tail of the chains. This method favors finite-size effects in smaller systems, because the chains can spread over the lattice. - That is why only the second method has been used. It calculates the difference between two chain links situated next to each other. Then it is being decided in which direction they are standing to each other. If the difference is -1, the x-aligning is raised by 1; if the difference is L, the y-aligning is raised and if it is L^2 it concerns to the z-aligning. The average is calculated for every chain and

every run of the program at every timestep to get the course of chain aligning in dependence on the time. Taking the example of a chain with the length of 6, it can be arranged at a maximum of 5 in one direction.
Simulations with a variation of the concentration of the chains result in a faster rise of the average alignments towards the field direction for small concentrations.




FIG. 2: Average of dipole aligning of 5 chains of length 6 (concentration 0.03) in a 10 10 10 lattice at the temperature of 3:0 J=k_B (100 runs for each magnetic field strength). (+): x-component; ( ): y-component; (*): z-component.

III. MODIFIED MODEL

The program has a modified version, in which the dipoles are three-dimensional and rotate randomly. The rotation takes place with the method of Barker & Watts in their simulation of water (1969) [6]. Molecules rotate with a random angle between zero and a specified maximum angle (in this case $\frac{}{2}$) to all sides about one space-fixed axes chosen at random. The Hamiltonian is now changed as follows:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} J_{i,j} \sum_{i} B \quad \text{with}$$

$$J_{i,j} = \begin{cases} J \quad (g=r_{ij}^3) \quad s_i s_j \quad 3 (s_i \quad) (s_j \quad) = r_{ij}^2 \\ (g=r_{ij}^3) \quad s_i s_j \quad 3 (s_i \quad) (s_j \quad) = r_{ij}^2 \end{cases}$$

After the calculation of the energy to align the chains there is another calculation for every dipole of the chains relative of the rotation of the dipoles. The dipole strength has the value 2 for the head of every chain and 1 for the rest in all modified simulations. The magnetic field now influences directly the rotation of the dipoles and therefore indirectly the aligning of the chains in the direction of the field. The magnetic field is negative in all simulations due to the fact, that a negative field affects the dipoles to rotate in the positive z-direction, because they are effective against the magnetic field. Simulations with a positive magnetic field result in a suppression of the aligning in the direction of the field. Instead, simulations with a negative magnetic field show the expected behavior of the dipoles under a magnetic field with various field strengths (Fig. 2). In Fig. 2 the average value of each component is computed for 70 timesteps from 30 to 100 for every magnetic field strength. This shows the equal arrangement of the dipoles without a magnetic field in a nice way, because every dipole component has the equal value 0:5. Simulations result in a constant value after 30 timesteps creating a sufficient average value. The errors of these average values are too small to be visible. Simulations with the dipole aligning not random but in x-direction for the initial condition result in the same behavior.




FIG. 3: Average of the chain aligning of 5 chains of length 6 (concentration 0.03) in a 10 10 10 lattice at the temperature of 3:0 J=k_B (100 runs for each magnetic field strength). (+): x-aligning; ( ): y-aligning; (*): z-aligning.

Fig. 3 shows the chain aligning of 5 chains depending on the magnetic field strength. The average value is computed for 850 timesteps from 150 to 1000. As Fig. 1 shows, this duration is not enough to complete the aligning, but its tendency is shown in Fig. 3. For the interval from B = 0 to B = 3 the aligning in the direction of the field does not take place due to the high temperature of T = 3:0 J=k_B . For high magnetic field strengths there is a saturation of the magnetic field, so that a rise of the aligning is no longer possible. Instead, the middle interval of Fig. 3 shows a linear process of aligning in direction of the field. The gradient of the aligning in x- and y-direction is nearly the same. The line pointing into the x-direction, however, is situated a bit higher than the one pointing into the y-direction, because the chains have not arranged yet due to the short time; in the beginning they were arranged into the x-direction. Despite the small time for the average value, this is a clear tendency.

Simulations with a discrete (random angle of =2 or =2) aligning result in the same behavior but not as exact as it is in the continuous aligning. The discrete model shows various weak points in contrast to the continuous case. Among other things, the dipole aligning takes place much faster to the value 1. In relation to the chain aligning, there is no visible linear dependence on the magnetic field.

IV. CONCLUSION

The result is a good working program offering lots of possibilities to work with. Among other things, the dependence on the temperature or the chain length and concentration can be examined. The results from Goubault et. al. [1] can likewise be researched with little effort, above all the curvature of the chains. The easiest way to examine this is taking the first method, which has been mentioned in section II. For this, bigger systems are necessary. The linear dependence of the aligning on the magnetic field should be verified for bigger systems.

[1] C. Goubault, P. Jop, M. Fermigier, J. Baudry, E. Bertrand, J. Bibette, Phys. Rev Lett. 91, 260802 (2003).

[2] D. Stauffer, N. Jan, R. B. Pandey, Physica A 198, 401 (1993).

[3] R. G. Larson, L. E. Scriven, H. I. Davis, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 2411 (1985).

[4] J. D. Jackson: "Classical Electrodynamics", Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1975).

[5] A. Michelsen, Diploma Thesis: "Monte-Carlo-Simulationen mit langreichweitigen Dipol-Wechselwirkungen", Cologne (2000).

[6] M. P. Allan, D. J. Tildesley : "Computer Simulation of Liquids", Chapter 4.7, Clarendon Press - London (1990).