Phase diagram of the one-dimensional, two-channel K ondo lattice model

T. Schauerte,¹ D.L.Cox,¹ R.M. Noack,² P.G.J. van Dongen,³ and C.D. Batista⁴

¹Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

²Fachbereich Physik, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, D-35032 Marburg, Germany

³Institut fur Physik, Universitat Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

⁴T heoretical D ivision, Los A lam os N ational Laboratory, Los A lam os, NM 87545

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

Employing the density matrix renormalization group method and strong-coupling perturbation theory, we study the phase diagram of the SU (2) SU (2) K ondo lattice model in one dimension. We show that, at quarter lling, the system can exist in two phases depending on the coupling strength. The weak-coupling phase is dominated by RKKY exchange correlations while the strong-coupling phase is characterized by strong antiferrom agnetic correlations of the channel degree of freedom. These two phases are separated by a quantum critical point. For conduction-band llings of less than one quarter, we make a parama agnetic metallic phase at weak coupling and a ferrom agnetic phase at moderate to strong coupling.

PACS num bers: 71.27.+ a, 75.20 Hr, 75.30 M b, 75.40 M g

Although Landau's theory of Ferm i liquids is one of the cornerstones of modern condensed matter physics,¹ m any m aterials show m etallic properties that do not t into this fram ework. For instance, it is well known that one-dim ensional system susually behave as Luttinger liquids rather than Ferm iliquids.² In higher dim ensions, the proximity of a quantum critical point (QCP) is considered to be responsible for the non-Ferm i liquid properties of system s like CeCu_{6 x}Au_x.³ The quadrupolar K ondo e ect has been proposed as an alternative source of non-Fermiliquid behavior. Thise ect is described by the twochannel K ondo m odel^{4,5}. T his m odel has a non-Ferm i liquid ground-state because of frustration in the screening of a localized in purity by two degenerate conduction electron channels if the degeneracy of the channels M is greater than twice the impurity spin S.⁶ The singleim purity two-channel K ondo m odel can provide an adequate description of dilute system s like T h_{1} , $U_x R u_2 S i_2$ or Y_{1 x}U_xPd₃, but it does not incorporate the lattice e ects that become relevant in fully concentrated compounds like UBe_{13}^5 . These materials are described by the two-channel K ondo lattice m odel (K LM).

In this paper, we address the question of what happens when two of these fundam ental sources of non-Ferm i liquid behavior coincide. For this purpose we study the SU (2) SU (2) K ondo lattice model in one spatial dim ension. The H am iltonian reads

$$H = t c_{im}^{Y} c_{i+1,m} + H c.$$

$$im + \frac{1}{2} J S_{i} c_{im}^{Y} c_{im} ;$$

where t > 0 is the conduction electron hopping am plitude, taken to be the same in both bands, and c_{im}^{y} (c_{im}) creates (annihilates) an electron on lattice site i, 1 i L (L being the number of lattice sites), with channel avor m = + or and spin projection = " or #. The H eisenberg spin operator for the localized f-electrons $(S_i=\frac{1}{2})$ is de ned by $S_i=\frac{1}{2}^P \quad f_i^y \quad f_i^{}$, where the f-operators satisfy the constraint $f_{i^{''}}^y f_{i^{''}} + f_{i^{''}}^y f_{i^{\#}} = 1$ and is a vector of Pauli spin matrices. The conduction band lling is de ned as $p=n_{c^+}+n_c=(N_{c^+}+N_c^-)=L$ with N_c^- the number of conduction electrons in channel m = , respectively; $n_c=1$ corresponds to the quarter lled system. The KLM may be derived from the more fundam ental periodic A nderson model in the lim it of strong C oulom b repulsion where the K ondo coupling is usually antiferrom agnetic (AF), $J>0,^9$ or alternatively in the <code>\extended K</code> ondo lim it" 10 In the follow ing, we measure all energies in units of t.

N ot m uch is known about the ground-state phase diagram of the two-channel K LM . T svelik and Ventura¹¹ investigated this model using a mean- eld analysis and found that at half lling the system exists in two phases. One is dominated by RKKY exchange interaction effects, and the other by K ondo screening. A QCP separates these two regimes. A generalized one-dimensional two-channel K LM with an additional H eisenberg interaction, J_H , between the f-spins was studied by Andrei and O rignac.¹² In the limit of strong J_H , they nd that the system is in a superconducting phase with odd-frequency singlet pairing of the electrons. In in nite spatial dimensions, the ground state is characterized by superconducting or m agnetic phases, which may coexist or compete.¹³

The focus on the two-channel system below or at quarter lling is also motivated by the relation to the singlechannel system at half lling or less. In particular, the quarter- lled case for the two-channelm odel is analogous to the half-lled case for the single-channelm odel in that there is one conduction electron per in purity spin, which leads to com plete screening at strong coupling. In the follow ing, we will show that the situation in the two-channel model below quarter lling is qualitatively sim ilar to the single-channel case below half lling, while exactly at quarter lling it is quite di erent. The phase diagram of the single-channelm odel is well understood, at least qualitatively.^{14,15} In the low carrier lim it, this system displays ferrom agnetic order with complete polarization, $S_{tot} = (L N_c)=2$, where $n_c 1 \cdot 1^{16,17}$ In the strongcoupling limit, the ground state is ferrom agnetic for all $n_c \cdot 1^8$ Exactly at half lling, the single-channel model is known to be a K ondo insulator $\cdot 1^{5,19}$ This is a quantum disordered phase in which the conduction electrons are bound into local singlet states with the impurity spins, and both the spin and charge correlation functions decay exponentially in space and time.

In order to calculate the ground-state properties of the one-dimensional two-channel KLM, we use the nitesystem algorithm²⁰ of the DMRG to calculate gaps, equal-time correlation functions, and the total spin of the ground state. We keep up to 1000 states per block on lattices of up to L = 50 sites and obtain a maximum discarded weight of 10⁵. Fig. 1 shows the total spin Stot per site extrapolated to the therm odynam ic lim it for various couplings and conduction electron densities. Here S_{tot} is calculated directly by taking the expectation value of S²_{tot} in the ground state and also by exam ining the degeneracy of excited states in various Sz sectors. A nite-size extrapolation is then used to determ ine whether $S_{tot} = (L N_c)=2$ (com plete ferrom agnetism), $S_{tot} < (L N_c)=2$ but nite (incom plete ferrom agnetism), or $S_{tot} = 0$ (param agnetism) in the therm odynam ic lim it. At quarter lling $(n_c = 1)$, the nature of the ordering is also indicated for $S_{tot} = 0$ phases.



FIG.1: Ground-state phase diagram of the two-channel KLM as a function of conduction band lling n_c . The AF channel- and spin-ordered phases at quarter lling are associated with a singlet ground state. The crosses indicate polarizations that extrapolate in the therm odynam ic lim it to values between 25% and 90% of com plete polarization.

Fig.1 shows a large region of complete ferror agnetism for $n_c < 1$ above a certain critical value J_c which decreases with decreasing n_c and tends to zero as $n_c ! 0$. In the completely polarized phase, each conduction electron forms an itinerant singlet with the f-spins. D elocalization of these singlets leads to ferror agnetic ordering of the remaining unscreened f-spins. The complete polarization for all J at low conduction electron density is in agreement with an exact argument¹⁶ for a single conduction electron. For $J < J_c$, there is a region of incom – plete ferrom agnetism, sim ilar to one that has been found in the periodic Anderson model.²¹ W hile we cannot rule out that this region is due to a continuous transition to the complete ferrom agnetic phase, the local spin proles in the incomplete ferrom agnetic regime show small ferrom agnetic domains (corresponding to polarizations between 25% and 90% of the complete value), suggesting that phase separation may occur here. For n_c 1, we nd a singlet ground state for all couplings J.

Exactly at quarter lling, we not two phases as a function of J. At weak coupling (J < 2.0), the electrons of di erent avors generate independent RKKY interactions between the localized moments. We observe strong correlations of the f-spins at a wave vector q = =2, as in the single-channelm odel at quarter lling²² At stronger coupling (J > 2.0), the system is in a channel AF phase, where the correlations of the channel degree of freedom decay as 1=r. The two phases are separated by a QCP.



FIG.2: Magnetic correlation function $S_f(r) = hS_z(0)S_z(r)i$ of the f-spins for the two-channel KLM at $n_c = 1$ in (a) and for the single-and two-channelm odels, both at quarter-lling, in (b).

Fig.2 (a) shows that in the two-channelm odel the spinspin correlation function decays slow ly for weak coupling (J = 0.5 or 1.0) and is short-ranged for strong coupling (J = 4:0), i.e., num erically zero for more than two lattice spacings. The transition between the two behaviors occurs at J 2:0 (not shown in Fig. 2), where the correlations extend over roughly two lattice sites. Fig. 2 (b) shows a comparison between the spin-spin correlations functions S_f (r) of the single-channel and two-channel KLM on a logarithm ic plot. The ground state for M = 1in the weak-coupling lim it shows RKKY liquid behavior with spatial oscillation characterized by a wave vector q = = 2. In the M = 2 case the correlations at J = 0.5 decay so slow ly that the asymptotic behavior cannot be determined for the system sizes considered, as can be seen in Fig. 2(b). At larger J-values [e.g., J = 1:0 in Fig. 2(b)], the correlation functions appear to decay exponentially, consistent with the opening of a gap in the

spin excitation spectrum due to the transition into the channel-ordered phase. Fig. 3 shows the magnetic structure factor $S_f(q)$ for the two-channel system. The amplitude of the peak at q = =2 (the wavevector expected for a RKKY liquid) becomes smaller with increasing J, indicating that the magnetic correlations between the f-spins become weaker and nally vanish at J $2:0^{23}$

It is known that the RKKY correlations of the single-channel KLM at quarter lling become unstable with increasing coupling toward a ferrom agnetic ground state.^{15,24} However, the two-channel model cannot be ferrom agnetic at quarter lling because each f-spin is screened by an electron, so that some other kind of sym – m etry breaking must lift the degeneracy of the ground state. Fig. 4 shows the staggered m agnetization D (r) of the channel degree of freedom, with

$$D (i j) = \underset{\substack{n m m^{\circ}}{m m^{\circ}}}{m m^{\circ} hn_{im}} n_{jm^{\circ}} \circ i:$$

where $n_{im} = c_{im}^y c_{im}$. In Fig.4(a) one sees that D (r) decays with 1=r as function of the distance r = i j for J = 4.0. Fig.4(b) shows that the AF channel correlations become stronger with increasing coupling and the quasilong-range behavior develops for J > 2.0.



FIG.3: M agnetic structure factor of the two-channelKLM m odel as a function of wavevector q for various J at $n_c = 1.0$.

In order to understand our num erical results for strong coupling, we use the methods of Ref. 18 to derive an e ective Ham iltonian valid for t=J 1. At $n_e = 1$, the channel avor is the only degree of freedom and the low-energy spectrum can be described by a pseudospin { 1=2 m odel. A coordingly, the e ective Ham iltonian is a H eisenberg m odel for the channel spin,

$$H = \frac{16t^2}{3J} X_{i + 1}; \qquad (1)$$

where $=\frac{1}{2} P_{mm0} b_{imm0}^{y} b_{im0} b_{im0}$ is de ned in terms of hard-core bosons

$$b_{im}^{y} = \frac{1}{p \frac{1}{2}} (c_{im}^{y} \, \, \, f_{i\#}^{y} \, \, c_{im \, \#}^{y} f_{i^{*}}^{y}) ; \qquad (2)$$

which represent local K ondo singlets. In one spatial dim ension, m odel (1) has been solved exactly using the B ethe ansatz.²⁵ T he ground state is characterized by critical AF correlations that decay as 1=r, in agreem ent with our num erical ndings at quarter lling [see Fig. 4 (a)]. This critical behavior is replaced by long-range AF ordering for D > 1. Due to the SU (2) pseudo-spin invariance of H, the AF ordering is present for all three -com ponents. In particular, the order along the z axis corresponds to staggered orbital ordering while the x;yordering gives rise to a B ose-E instein condensation of excitons (particle-hole singlet bound states between the two

For $n_{\rm c}<1$, the low-energy subspace in the strongcoupling lim it contains states with zero and one conduction electron per site. While the local state with one conduction electron can be described by the hard-core bosons of Eq. (2), the empty state acquires spin{1=2} character from the f spins. It can therefore be represented as a constrained ferm ion $^{\prime}{}_{i}^{y}$ = $(1 \quad r_{i}^{b})f_{i}^{y}$, with $n_{i}^{b}= {}^{P}{}_{m} b_{im}^{y}$. At strong coupling, the electric H am iltonian has the form

channels).

$$H_{0} = \frac{t}{2} \sum_{i \neq m}^{X} b_{im}^{y} '_{i} '_{i+1;}^{y} b_{i+1;m} + H.c. :$$

In analogy to the in nite-U Hubbard model, the groundstate wavefunction can be written as a direct product of a charge, spin, and orbital component

where f; $g = (_1; ...; _L _N; _1; ...; _N)$ and jDi denotes the vacuum of ' and b particles. The complete spin degeneracy of H₀ in the strong coupling lim it is lifted in 0 (t²=J). The e ective H am iltonian in this order contains only one term that lifts this degeneracy:

$$H_{1} = \frac{t^{2}}{2J} \sum_{i,m}^{X} '_{i+1;} b_{i+1,m} n'_{i} b_{i-1,m}^{y} '_{i-1;} + H.c. ;$$

where $n'_i = P''_i r'_i$. Here H_1 exchanges two spins by hopping of a ferm ion over another to an empty nextnearest-neighbor site. In the same way as for the singlechannel K LM, it can be shown that the o -diagonal elem ents of H_1 are all non-positive and the H am iltonian m atrix in real-space is completely connected. The Perron-Frobenius theorem (see, for instance, R ef. 26) then states that the ground state is unique and that the coe cients of the wave-function can be chosen to be strictly positive. The only spin state that has strictly positive coe cients in each of the subspaces of H_1 is the one with maximum total spin. Therefore, the ground state of the two-channel K LM below quarter- lling is ferrom agnetic in the strongcoupling lim it, in accordance with our num erical ndings.



FIG.4: Staggered m agnetization D (r) for the channel degree of freedom for various J at quarter lling ($n_c = 1.0$). The longer distance behavior for J = 4.0 (where there is a slower fallo, approximately / 1=r) is shown in (a) and a shorter range in r is shown for all four J-values in (b).

In this paper, we have m apped out the zero-tem perature phase diagram of the two-channel K LM as a function of conduction band lling n_c and K ondo coupling strength J. Our main results are that the phase diagram s of the two-channel and single-channel K LM s are

- ¹ L.D. Landau, JETP 30, 1058 (1956).
- ² J.Luttinger, J.M ath.Phys.4, 1154 (1963); S.Tom onaga, Prog. Theor.Phys. 5, 554 (1950); for a review, see H.J. Schulz, Int.J.M od.Phys.B 5, 57 (1991).
- ³ H.w.Lohneysen, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 8, 9689 (1996).
- ⁴ P. Nozieres and A. Blandin, J. Phys., Paris, 41, 193 (1980).
- ⁵ D L.Cox, Phys.Rev.Lett. 59, 1240 (1987); D L.Cox and M B.M aple, Phys.Today, 48, 32 (1995).
- ⁶ A W W .Ludwig and I.A eck, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 3160 (1991); P. Schlottm ann and P D. Sacram ento, A dv.Phys. 42, 641 (1993).N.Andreiand C.Destri, Phys.Rev.Lett. 52, 364 (1984).
- ⁷ H.Am itsuka et al, Physica B, 186-188, 337 (1993).
- ⁸ B.Andraka and A.M.Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2886 (1991).
- ⁹ JR.Schrie er and PA.W ol, Phys.Rev.149,491 (1966).
- ¹⁰ P. Sinjukow and W . Nolting, Phys. Rev. B 65, 212303 (2002).
- ¹¹ A M . T svelik and C .I. Ventura, Phys. Rev. B 61, 15538 (2000).
- ¹² N.Andreiand E.O rignac, Phys. Rev. B 62, R 3596 (2000).
- ¹³ M. Jarrell, H. Pang, D L. Cox, and K. H. Luk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1612 (1996); M. Jarrell, H. Pang, and D L. Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1996 (1997).
- ¹⁴ M. Troyer and D. W urtz, Phys. Rev. B 47, 2886 (1993).
 R M. Fye and D J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3177 (1990). IP. M cC ulloch, A. Juozapavicius, A. Rosengren,

qualitatively similar for low band llings ($n_c < 1$ in both models) but quite di erent at $n_c = 1$. For $n_c < 1$, the addition of a second degenerate band of conduction electrons does not alter the physical picture of the single-channel model if n_c is low or J is large. The similarity between the two phase diagram s for $n_c < 1$ further suggests that the metallic ground state of the two-channel K LM at weak coupling is determined by two independent K ondo e ects in both channels. In contrast, at quarter-

lling $(n_c = 1)$ the two-channel KLM exhibits a quantum phase transition between this metallic phase and an insulator characterized by strong AF correlations of the channel degrees of freedom . Our perturbative analysis shows that this insulating phase is present for any spatial dimension D and has long-range ordering for D > 1. Therefore, we also expect a QCP separating the metallic and the insulating phase for D > 1. The AF channel (or excitonic) uctuations diverge at the QCP and can produce a deviation from the normal Ferm i-liquid behavior of the metallic state. In this way we see that the deviations from the Ferm i-liquid behavior which are obtained in the dilute (im purity model) and the concentrated (lattice m odel) lim its have a com m on origin in the uctuations of the channel degree of freedom .

TS., DLC., and CDB. acknow ledge support from US.Department of Energy.

- M.Gulacsi, Phys.Rev.B 65, 52410 (2002).
- ¹⁵ H.Tsunetsugu, M.Sigrist, and K.Ueda, Rev.Mod.Phys. 69,809 (1994).
- ¹⁶ M.Sigrist, H.Tsunetsugu, and K.Ueda, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 2211 (1991).
- ¹⁷ M. Sigrist, K. Ueda, and H. Tsunetsugu, Phys. Rev. B 46, 175 (1992).
- ¹⁸ M.Sigrist, H.T sunetsugu, K.U eda, and T.M.Rice, Phys. Rev. B 46, 13838 (1992); C D.Batista, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 166403 (2002).
- ¹⁹ H. Tsunetsugu, Y. Hatsugai, K. Ueda, and M. Sigrist, Phys.Rev.B 46, R 3175 (1992); H. Tsunetsugu, M. Sigrist, and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 47, R 8345 (1993).
- ²⁰ S.R.W hite, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2863 (1992), Phys. Rev. B 48, 10345 (1993).
- ²¹ M. Guerrero and R.M. Noack, Phys. Rev. B 53, 3707 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 63, 144423 (2001).
- ²² N. Shibata, K. Ueda, T. Nishino, and C. Ishii, Phys. Rev. B 54, 13495 (1996).
- 23 T he sm all negative contribution to $S_{\rm f}$ (q) is due to the open boundary conditions in the DM RG simulations.
- ²⁴ G. Honner and M. Gulacsi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2180 (1997); Phys. Rev. B 58, 2662 (1998).
- ²⁵ H A. Bethe, Z. Phys. 71, 205-226 (1931); C N. Yang and C P. Yang, Phys. Rev. 150, 321 (1966).
- ²⁶ R A. Hom and C R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis (Cambridge, New York, 1999).