G round-State Structure in = 2 B ilayer Q uantum H all System s

Z.F. Ezawa¹, M. Eliashvili² and G. Tsitsishvili¹²

¹Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai, 980-8578 Japan and

²Departm ent of Theoretical Physics, A. Razm adze M athem atical Institute, Tbilisi, 380093 Georgia

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

W e investigate the ground-state structure of the bilayer quantum H all system at the lling factor = 2. M aking an exact analysis of the ground state in the SU (4)-invariant lim it, we include all other interactions as sm all perturbation. We carry out analytic calculations and construct phase diagram s for nonzero values of the Zeem an, tunneling and bias interactions. In particular we exam ine carefully how the phase transition occurs by applying the bias voltage and inducing a density in balance between the two layers. We compare our theoretical result with the experimental data due to Saw ada et al. based on the phase diagram in the $_0-_0$ plane, where $_0$ and $_0$ are the total electron density and the density di erence between the two layers, respectively.

I. INTRODUCTION

A rich physics has emerged from the layer degree of freedom in the bilayer quantum Hall (QH) system $1,^2$. An electron carries the SU (2) pseudospin index assigned to the front and back layers. The spin and the pseudospin are equal partners. There arises a unique phase at the lling factor = 1. It is the spin-ferrom agnet and pseudospin-ferrom agnet phase, where various intralayer and interlayer coherent phenom ena have been observed^{1,2}. On the other hand, according to the onebody picture we expect to have two phases at = 2 depending on the relative strength between the Zeem an gap $_{Z}$ and the tunneling gap $_{SAS}$. One is the spinferrom agnet and pseudospin-singlet phase (abridged as the spin phase³) for $_{Z} > _{SAS}$; the other is the spinsinglet and pseudospin-ferrom agnet phase (abridged as the ppin phase³) for $_{\rm Z}$ < $_{\rm SAS}$. Between these two phases, driven by interlayer correlations, a novel canted antiferrom agnetic phase has been predicted to em erge4,5.

The rst experimental indication of a phase transition at = 2 was revealed by inelastic light scattering spectroscopy⁶. An unambiguous evidence was subsequently obtained through magneto-transport m easurements⁷, where the importance of making density imbalance between the two layers is emphasized to study phase transitions. There is an attempt⁸ to interpret the data⁷ based on numerical analyses. However, the physical picture is not yet clear enough due to the lack of analytic understanding. For instance, it is yet to be explored how the density imbalance is induced as a function of the bias voltage $V_{\text{bias}} \notin 0$ at = 1, but this is not so simple at

= 2. Furtherm ore, the exact diagonalization⁹ of a few – electron system has shown that the boundary between the spin and canted phases is practically unm odi ed but the boundary between the canted and ppin phases is considerably modi ed from the mean- eld result. There is so far no theoretical explanation of these behaviors. The aim of this paper is to understand the ground-state structure of the = 2 bilayer Q H system more in details based on perturbation theory.

W e investigate physics taking place in the lowest Lan-

dau level (LLL). Since each Landau site can accommodate four electrons with the spin and pseudospin degrees of freedom, the underlying group structure is enlarged to SU (4). We start with the SU (4)-invariant lim it of the bilayer system, where the ground state is determ ined by solving the eigenvalue equation. We then include SU (4)noninvariant term s perturbatively. The ground-state energy is calculated analytically in the st order of perturbation. Then we exam ine carefully how the phase transition occurs as the density in balance is made between the two layers. We are particularly interested in the phase diagram in the 0-0 plane, where 0 and 0denotes the total electron density and the imbalance param eter (the normalized density di erence between the two layers), respectively. This is because phase transition points have experim entally⁷ been observed most clearly in such a plane. We also present the phase diagram in the the 0- SAS plane, which will be useful to analyze experim ental data obtained in samples with di erent SAS but all other param eters unchanged.

In Section II we review the Landau-site Ham iltonian governing bilayer Q H system s^{10} . In Section III we solve the eigenvalue equation for the ground state in the SU (4)invariant lim it. In so doing we identify the physical variables within 15 generators of the group SU (4). We show that there are 9 independent physical variables, am ong which 8 variables describe real Goldstone modes on the SU (4)-invariant ground state. We then calculate the ground-state energy of the full system in the st order of perturbation. In Section IV we verify the existence of the spin phase, the canted phase and the ppin phase in the presence of the bias voltage. The ground state is obtained in an analytic form in each phase. In particular the spin component S_z and the imbalance parameter $_0$ are calculated as functions of the bias voltage for typical sam ple param eters. In Section V we present phase diagrams in the $_{SAS}-_{Z}$ plane, in the $_{0}-_{0}$ plane and in the $_{0}-_{SAS}$ plane. In Section VI we show that the spin phase is not modi ed but the pp in phase is considerably modi ed by higher order perturbations. Thus, the spincanted phase boundary is an exact result, as is consistent with the result⁹ obtained from the exact diagonalization of a few -electron system . In Section V II we interpret the

experimental data⁷ based on the phase diagram in the $_0-_0$ plane. Section V III is devoted to discussions.

II. LANDAU-SITE HAM ILTONIAN

Electrons in a plane perform cyclotron motion under strong magnetic eld B₂ and create Landau levels. In Q H systems the electron position is specified solely by the guiding center X = (X;Y) subject to the noncom - mutative relation, [X;Y] = i_B^2 , with the magnetic length $i_B = \frac{1}{2} = 2 i_B^2$. It follows from this relation that each electron occupies an area 2 i_B^2 labelled by the Landau-site index. The total number of Landaus sites is N = S=2 $i_B^2 = N =$, where N and S are the total electron number and the area of the system, respectively. Electrons behave as if they were on lattice sites, am ong which C oulom b interactions operate.

In the bilayer system an electron carries 4 di erent polarizations associated with the ordinary spin (";#) and two layers (f,b). These four polarizations can be incorporated in the isospin index = f", f#, b", b#. In this notation the second quantized electron eld in the low est Landau level (LLL) appear as

$$(x) = {c (m) hx jn i;}$$
 (2.1)
m = 0

where hx jn i is the one-body wave function for the Landau site jn i in the LLL with m labeling the angular momentum. The operators c (m) and c^{y} (n) satisfy the standard anticommutation relations.

The electron eld has four components, and the bilayer system possesses the underlying algebra SU (4). It has the subalgebra SU $_{\rm spin}$ (2) SU_{ppin} (2). We denote the 3 generators of the spin SU (2) algebra by $_{\rm a}^{\rm spin}$, and those of the pseudospin SU (2) generators by $_{\rm a}^{\rm ppin}$. There are remaining 9 generators in SU (4), which are given by $_{\rm a}^{\rm spin}$ by . Their properties are sum marized as

where I stands for the 4 4 identity matrix. Their explicit form is given in Appendix A.

All the physical operators required for the description of the system are constructed as bilinear combinations of (x) and y(x). They are 16 density operators

$$(\mathbf{x}) = {}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad (\mathbf{x});$$

$$S_{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) {}^{\mathrm{spin}}_{a} \quad (\mathbf{x});$$

$$P_{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) {}^{\mathrm{ppin}}_{a} \quad (\mathbf{x});$$

$$R_{ab}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) {}^{\mathrm{spin}}_{a} {}^{\mathrm{ppin}}_{b} \quad (\mathbf{x}); \qquad (2.3)$$

where S_a describes the total spin, $2P_z$ measures the electron-density di erence between the two layers. The operator R_{ab} transforms as a spin under SU_{spin} (2) and as a pseudospin under SU_{ppin} (2).

The total H am iltonian consists of the C oulom b, Zeem an, tunneling and bias term s. The C oulom b interaction is decomposed into the SU (4)-invariant and SU (4)noninvariant term s,

$$H_{c}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Z}^{Z} d^{2}x d^{2}y (x) V^{+} (x y) (y);$$

$$H_{c} = 2 d^{2}x d^{2}y P_{z} (x) V (x y) P_{z} (y); (2.4)$$

where

$$V (\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^2}{8 \, \text{"}} \, \frac{1}{j \mathbf{x} j} \, \frac{p \, \frac{1}{j \mathbf{x} \, j^2 + d^2}}{p \, \frac{1}{j \mathbf{x} \, j^2 + d^2}} \, (2.5)$$

I

with d being the layer separation. The tunneling and biasterm s are sum marized into the pseudo-Zeem an term . Combining the Zeem an and pseudo-Zeem an term s we have $7

$$H_{ZpZ} = d^{2}x (z_{Z}S_{z} + s_{AS}P_{x} + s_{ias}P_{z}); (2.6)$$

with the Zeeman gap $_{\rm Z}$, the tunneling gap $_{\rm SAS}$ and the bias term $_{\rm bias}$ = $eV_{\rm bias}$. Substituting the eld expansion (2.1) into $\rm H_{C}$ we ob-

Substituting the eld expansion (2.1) into H_c we obtain Landau-site H am iltonians¹⁰,

$$H_{c}^{+} = \frac{X}{V_{m n i j}^{+}} (m; n) (i; j);$$

$$H_{c}^{-} = 4 V_{m n i j} P_{z} (m; n) P_{z} (i; j); (2.7)$$

where the Coulom b m atrix element is

$$V_{m n i j} = \frac{1}{2} d^{2}x d^{2}y hm jx ihx jn iV (x y) hijy ihy jj i;$$
(2.8)

and

$$(m;n) = {}^{X} c^{y} (m) c (n);$$

$$S_{a} (m;n) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{X} c^{y} (m) ({}^{spin}_{a}) c (n);$$

$$P_{a} (m;n) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{X} c^{y} (m) ({}^{ppin}_{a}) c (n): (2.9)$$

They satisfy the W $_1\,$ (4) algebra 10 , which is the SU (4) extension of the W $_1\,$ algebra. The Zeem an and pseudo-Zeem an term s read

$$H_{ZpZ} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ ZS_{z}(n;n) + SA_{S}P_{x}(n;n) + biasP_{z}(n;n) \end{bmatrix};$$
(2.10)

The total H am iltonian is $H = H_{C}^{+} + H_{C} + H_{ZPZ}$.

III. PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM

At = 2 there are two electrons in one Landau site. W e consider a creation operator of a pair of electrons at site n,

$$G^{y}(n) = \frac{1}{2}^{X} g c^{y}(n)c^{y}(n);$$
 (3.1)

where g is an antisymmetric complex matrix, g = g. A hom ogeneous state is given by

$$\dot{g}i = \int_{n}^{Y} G^{Y}(n) \dot{D}i; \qquad (3.2)$$

when g is independent of the site index n. The normalization of the state, hgigi = 1, leads to

$$Tr gg^{y} = 2$$
: (3.3)

Since g contains 6 independent com plex parameters, there are 6 independent hom ogeneous states. They span the 6-dimensional irreducible representation of SU (4) SU (4).

It is hard to diagonalize the total H am iltonian. Since we are interested in the regim e where the SU (4)-invariant C oulom b term H_c^+ dom inates all other interactions, we start with the ground state of the H am iltonian H_c^+ ,

$$H_{C}^{+} jgi = E_{a}^{+} jgi: \qquad (3.4)$$

W e include the SU (4)-noninvariant term s as small perturbation.

By requiring

$$(m;n)jgi = m_n jgi;$$
 (3.5)

the eigenvalue equation (3.4) is satis ed w ith^{10}

$$E_{g}^{+} = V_{m nnm}^{+} = K_{X}^{+} N$$
 (3.6)

and

$$\mathbf{w}_{x} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} 1 \quad e^{\frac{1}{2}(d=')^{2}} \text{ erfc } \frac{p}{2} \quad E_{c}^{0}: \quad (3.7)$$

Here

$$E_{C}^{0} = \frac{e^{2}}{4 \, \mathbf{r}_{B}} \tag{3.8}$$

is the C qulom b energy unit. Note that the direct energy part, 2 ${}_{m\,i}V_{m\,m\,ii}^{*}$, is cancelled out by the background neutralizing charge¹⁰. It is the ground state in the SU (4)-invariant limit of the system, which is the unperturbed system realized in the limits d! 0, ${}_{z}$! 0, ${}_{SAS}$! 0 and ${}_{bias}$! 0.

 \mathbbm{W} e introduce the expectation value of isospin operators,

$$S_{a} = hg\beta_{a}(n;n)jgi = \frac{1}{2}Tr \quad {}_{a}^{spin}gg^{y};$$

$$P_{a} = hg\beta_{a}(n;n)jgi = \frac{1}{2}Tr \quad {}_{a}^{ppin}gg^{y};$$

$$R_{ab} = hg\beta_{ab}(n;n)jgi = \frac{1}{2}Tr \quad {}_{a}^{spin} \quad {}_{b}^{ppin}gg^{y}: (3.9)$$

They are the total spin per one Landau site, and so on. We pay a special attention to the imbalance parameter $_0$, which is the normalized density deference between the two layers, $j_0 j_1$ 1. At = 2 it is de ned by

$$_{0} \quad \frac{f \quad b}{f^{+} \quad b} = P_{z}: \quad (3.10)$$

The relation (3.9) together with the norm alization condition (3.3) yields

$$gg^{Y} = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2} \qquad {}^{spin}_{a}S_{a} + {}^{ppin}_{a}P_{a} + {}^{spin}_{a}{}^{ppin}_{b}R_{ab} :$$
(3.11)

Here and hereafter the sum mation over repeated indices over the spin or the pseudospin is understood; for instance, $S^2 = S_a^2 = \sum_{a=xyz}^2 S_a S_a$.

We study the condition (3.5). It holds trivially for m = n. The condition (m;n)jgi = 0 form 6 n yields x

$$g \ g \ c^{v} \ (m) \ c^{v} \ (m) \ c^{v} \ (m) \ c^{v} \ (m) \ j \ i = 0;$$
 (3.12)

which in turn leads to

g g = 0; (3.13)

where is the totally antisymmetric tensor. It is equivalent to

$$S^{2} + P^{2} + R^{2} = 1;$$
 (3.14)

in term s of physical variables, as we verify in Appendix C .

It is interesting to note that the magnitude of the SU (4) isospin, $S^2 + P^2 + R^2$, is xed by the eigenvalue equation (3.4). Namely it is a dynamical variable. Indeed, we have

$$S^{2} + P^{2} + R^{2} = \cos^{2} 2$$
 (3.15)

for the state

In general, we can only derive a kinem atical constraint

$$S^{2} + P^{2} + R^{2} 6 1$$
 (3.17)

from their de nition (3.9): See (B16) in Appendix B. This is in sharp contrast to the = 1 case, where the magnitude of the SU (4) isospin, $S^2 + P^2 + R^2 = 3=4$, is xed kinem atically.

Though there are 12 real param eters in the antisym – metric matrix g, one of them is unphysical for representing the overall phase, and another is xed by the normalization condition (3.3). Then one variable is xed by the condition (3.14) on the ground state jgi. As we shall see soon [see (3.26)] and prove in Appendix C, there exists another unphysical variable which decouples on the ground state jgi. Hence the parameter space characterizing the SU (4)-invariant ground state jgi contains 8 real independent variables. They are the 4 com plex G oldstone m odes associated with a spontaneous breakdown of the SU (4) symmetry in the SU (4)-invariant limit. The number of the G oldstone m odes agrees with our previous result^{11,12} obtained based on an elective theory with the use of com posite bosons.

We now include the SU (4)-noninvariant interactions as small perturbation. The sst order perturbation is to diagonalize the full Ham iltonian H within this subspace. Equivalently, the ground state is determined by minimizing the energy

$$E_g E_g N = hg H jgi$$
 (3.18)

within this parameter space. See Section V III on this point.

It is straightforward to show that

$$hg_{jc}^{y}(m)c(n)_{jg_{1}}^{y} = m_{n}(gg_{j}^{y});$$
 (3.19)

and

Thus

$$\frac{1}{N} X = V_{m n i j} hG j c^{y} (m) c^{v} (i) c (j) c (n) j G i$$

$$= (gg^{y}) (gg^{y}) = V_{n} (gg^{y}) (gg^{y}) = V_{x} : (3.21)$$

By using these form ulas the ground-state energy per Landau site is calculated as

$$E_{g} = "_{D} Tr _{z}^{ppin}gg^{y} \stackrel{2}{=} "_{X} Tr _{z}^{ppin}gg^{y} _{z}^{ppin}gg^{y}$$
$$"_{X} Tr _{g}g^{y}gg^{y} \frac{1}{2} _{z} Tr _{z}^{spin}gg^{y}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} _{SAS} Tr _{x}^{ppin}gg^{y} \frac{1}{2} _{bias} Tr _{z}^{ppin}gg^{y};$$
$$(3.22)$$

or

$$E_{g} = 4 \mathbf{"}_{D} P_{z}^{2} \qquad \mathbf{"}_{X}^{+} \qquad \mathbf{"}_{X} \qquad S_{a}^{2} + P_{a}^{2} + R_{ab}^{2}$$

$$2 \mathbf{"}_{X} \qquad S_{a}^{2} + P_{z}^{2} + R_{az}^{2} \qquad \mathbf{"}_{X}^{+} \qquad \mathbf{"}_{X}$$

$${}_{z} S_{z} \qquad {}_{SAS} P_{x} \qquad {}_{bias} P_{z}; \qquad (3.23)$$

where $"_x$ is given by (3.7) and

$$"_{\rm D} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\rm d}{\rm C} {}_{\rm C} {}^{0} : \qquad (3.24)$$

It is necessary to express 15 isospin components, $S_{\rm a}$, $P_{\rm a}$ and $R_{\rm ab}$ in terms of independent variables.

W e m ay choose S_a and P_a as 6 independent variables. W e expect that R_{ab} are written in terms of these 6 variables and 4 extra variables. Indeed, as demonstrated in the Appendix A, we obtain

$$R_{ab} = \frac{S^{2}P_{a}}{SQ} \frac{(SP)S_{a}}{SQ} \frac{P^{2}S_{b}}{PQ} \frac{(SP)P_{b}}{PQ} R_{PS} + \frac{S^{2}P_{a}}{SQ} \frac{(SP)S_{a}}{Q} \frac{Q_{b}}{Q} R_{PQ} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} \frac{P^{2}S_{b}}{PQ} \frac{(SP)P_{b}}{PQ} R_{QS} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} \frac{Q_{b}}{Q} R_{QQ}$$
(3.25)

with Q_a "abcSbPc; S = 3j P = 2j and Q = 32j. Here, R_{PS} , R_{PQ} , R_{QS} and R_{QQ} are the 4 extra variables. However, it can be proved that only 3 of them are independent, and they are parametrized as

$$R_{PS} + iR_{QS} = e^{i!} \qquad i + \frac{1}{-S} ;$$

$$R_{PQ} + iR_{QQ} = ie^{i!} P: \qquad (3.26)$$

Thus R_{ab} are expressed in terms of 9 variables; , , ! together with S_a , P_a . One variable is unexpectedly unphysical, about which we explain in Appendix B.

We show that $S_x = S_y = P_y = 0$ for the ground state. First of all S_a and R_{az} rotate as vectors under the SU_{spin} (2) transformation. So, if we have any conguration with nonvanishing S_x and S_y , we can perform an SU_{spin} (2) rotation to increase S_z , without a ecting S_a^2 and R_{az}^2 , as far as possible until $S_x = S_y = 0$. This decreases the energy (3.23). Similarly, performing an SU_{ppin} (2) rotation in the xy-pseudoplane, we can lower the energy via the tunneling term by increasing P_x , without a ecting P_z and R_{az}^2 , as far as possible until $P_y = 0$.

Substituting $S_x = S_y = P_y = 0$ into R_{ab} we come to

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ R_{ab}^{2} = \frac{S_{z}^{2}}{2} + {}^{2} + {}^{2} P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2} ; \\ ab \\ X \\ R_{az}^{2} = \frac{S_{z}^{2}}{2} + {}^{2} \frac{P_{x}^{2}}{P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2}} ; \end{array}$$
(3.27)

Hence the energy (3.23) yields

$$E_{g} = \mathbf{"}_{X} \quad \mathbf{"}_{X}^{\dagger} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{2} \quad S_{z}^{2} + P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2} \quad 1 + ^{2}$$

$$2\mathbf{"}_{X} S_{z}^{2} + \mathbf{"}_{cap} P_{z}^{2} \quad 2\mathbf{"}_{X} \frac{P_{x}^{2}}{P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2}} \frac{S_{z}^{2}}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{"}_{X}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{"}_{X} \quad z S_{z} \quad sAs P_{x} \quad bias P_{z}$$

$$\mathbf{"}_{X}^{\dagger} \quad \mathbf{"}_{X} + 2\mathbf{"}_{X} \frac{P_{x}^{2}}{P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2}} \quad ^{2}; \quad (3.28)$$

where we have set

$$"_{cap}$$
 4 $"_{D}$ 2 $"_{X}$: (3.29)

Here $"_{cap}P_z^2$ is the capacitance energy per one Landau site. The capacitance parameter (3.29) is dimensional from the = 1 Q H system¹⁰, where $"_{cap}^{=1}$ 4" $_{D}$ 4" $_{X}$. Note that the energy formula (3.23) holds aspit stands also at = 1. The dimension energy because ${}_{a}R_{az}^2 = P_z^2$ in

 $(3\ 23)$ at = 1 but it is given by $(3\ 27)$ at = 2. If we m inim ize E_g within the parameter space of the SU (4)-invariant ground state, we should impose the condition $(3\ 14)$. However, it is instructive to m inim ize E_g without requiring it. Namely, we only assume the kinematical condition $(3\ 17)$, which reads

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}$$
 $S_z^2 + P_x^2 + P_z^2$ $1 + 2 + 2$ 1: (3.30)

Nevertheless, the equality is easily seen to hold on the minimum-energy state. Indeed, let us assume that it is realized with the inequality. However, this is self-contradictory since we can decrease the energy E_g by increasing ² in (3.28) as far as the inequality is obeyed; note that $\mathbf{u}_X^+ > \mathbf{u}_X^-$. The self-contradiction is resolved only if the equality holds in (3.30),

$$1 + \frac{1}{2}$$
 $S_z^2 + P_x^2 + P_z^2$ $1 + 2 + 2 = 1$: (3.31)

N am ely, even if we m inim ize the energy E_g without im – posing (3.14), we reproduce it. Consequently, the variation approach reproduces the ground-state condition (3.5) within the class of test functions (3.2).

W enote that ! disappears both from (3.28) and (3.30), and hence it is a zero-energy mode even in the SU (4)noninvariant case. W e shall see that it is related with the rotational invariance in the xy-plane: See (4.3).

We eliminate 2 in (3.28) by using (3.31),

$$E_{g} = 2 \mathbf{"}_{X} \frac{P_{z}^{2}}{P_{x}^{2} + P_{z}^{2}} \mathbf{1} \qquad S_{z}^{2} + 2 \mathbf{"}_{X} \mathbf{1} + {}^{2} P_{x}^{2}$$
$$+ \mathbf{"}_{cap} P_{z}^{2} \qquad z S_{z} \qquad S_{A} S P_{x} \qquad bias P_{z}$$
$$2 \mathbf{''}_{X} \qquad 2 \mathbf{''}_{X} : \qquad (3.32)$$

It is clear that we can decrease the energy by increasing S_z without a exting other terms in (3.32). This is

achieved at by decreasing 2 until $^{2} = 0$ in (3.31), which yields

$$S_z^2 + {}^2 P_x^2 + P_z^2 = \frac{2}{1+{}^2}$$
: (3.33)

W e solve this as

$$S_{z} = \frac{p}{1 + 2} \frac{p}{1 - 2};$$

$$P_{x} = \frac{p}{1 + 2} \frac{p}{1 - 2};$$

$$P_{z} = \frac{p}{1 + 2}$$
(3.34)

in term s of two parameters $j \neq 0$ and $j \neq 0$. Substituting these into (3.32) we obtain

$$E_{g} = 2 \mathbf{"}_{X}^{2} + 2 \mathbf{"}_{X} + 4 \mathbf{"}_{D} \mathbf{"}_{X}^{2} \frac{2}{1+2}$$

$$\frac{p}{1+2} p \frac{2}{1+2} p \frac{p}{1+2} p \frac{p}{1+2} p \frac{p}{1+2}$$

$$\frac{p}{1+2} \frac{p}{1+2} 2 \mathbf{"}_{X}^{*} 2 \mathbf{"}_{X}^{*} : \qquad (3.35)$$

To minimize this with respect to , and , we write down the equations (E = (E = (E = 0. We rearrange them as

$${}_{Z}^{2} = \frac{{}_{SAS}^{2}}{1 - {}_{2}^{2}} - \frac{4''_{X} - {}_{0}^{2} - {}_{SAS}^{2}}{{}_{0}^{2} - {}_{SAS}^{2}};$$
 (3.36a)

$$\frac{\text{bias}}{\text{SAS}} = \frac{4 \, \text{"}_{\text{X}} + 2^{-2} \, \text{"}_{\text{D}} \, \text{"}_{\text{X}}}{0} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}; \quad (3.36b)$$

$$= \frac{z}{s_{AS}} \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{p}{1}; \qquad (3.36c)$$

where

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{2 + 2} (1 - 2) (1 - 2); \quad (3.37)$$

The ground state is determined by these equations. The parameters and are solved out from (3.36a) and (3.36b) in term softhe sam ple parameters [FIG 1]. Then, is given by (3.36c) in term softhem.

IV. GROUND-STATE STRUCTURE

W e discuss the ground-state structure as a function of parameters and . Substituting (3.36c) into (3.34) we get

$$S_{z} = \frac{z}{0} 1 \frac{2^{p} 1^{2}}{1^{2}};$$

$$P_{x} = \frac{SAS}{0} \frac{2^{p} 1^{2}}{1^{2}};$$

$$P_{z} = \frac{SAS}{0} \frac{2}{1^{2}}:$$
(4.1)



FIG.1: The spin component S_z and the inbalance parameter $_0$ P_z are illustrated together with as functions of $_{\rm bias}$ for typical sample parameters (d = 23nm, $_{\rm SAS}$ = 6:7K and $_0$ = n 10^{11} = cm²). The spin phase (= 0), the canted phase (0 < < 1) and the ppin phase (= 1) are realized for $_{\rm bias}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ and $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ sc $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ and $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ > $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ < $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ = 1:4 but only 2 phases for n = 0:9.

Recall that S_x = S_y = 0 and P_y = 0. Using (3.26) in (3.25), we perform all the necessary substitutions and obtain

$$R_{xx} + iR_{yx} = \frac{SAS}{0} \frac{p}{1-2} e^{i!};$$

$$R_{yy} + iR_{xy} = + \frac{z}{0} \frac{p}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} e^{i!};$$

$$R_{xz} + iR_{yz} = + \frac{SAS}{0} \frac{p}{1-2} \frac{1}{1-2} e^{i!}; \quad (4.2)$$

while the rest components vanish, $R_{za} = 0$. We have expressed all the isospin components S_a , P_a and R_{ab} in terms of three variables , and !.

 $U sing 2S_a^f = S_a + R_{az}$ and $2S_a^b = S_a - R_{az}$ we nd

$$S_{x}^{f} = S_{x}^{b} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{SAS}{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{2} \cos !;$$

$$S_{y}^{f} = S_{y}^{b} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{SAS}{0} \stackrel{p}{=} \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{2} \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{2} \sin !; \quad (4.3)$$

W e see that ! describes the orientation of S_a^f and S_a^b in the xy-plane. It is the zero-energy m ode associated with the rotational invariance in the xy-plane.

Employing the formulae derived in Appendix C, the matrix g can be reconstructed from (4.1) and (4.2). For the sake of simplicity we take $! = \frac{1}{4}$ and come to

$$g = {}^{s}_{"}g_{"}^{s} + {}^{s}_{0}g_{0}^{s} + {}^{s}_{\#}g_{\#}^{s} + {}^{p}_{f}g_{f}^{p} + {}^{p}_{0}g_{0}^{p} + {}^{p}_{b}g_{b}^{p}; \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$g_{\pi}^{s} = \frac{i}{2} 1 + {}_{z}^{spin} {}_{y}^{ppin}; \qquad g_{f}^{p} = \frac{i}{2} {}_{y}^{spin} 1 + {}_{z}^{ppin}; ; g_{0}^{s} = \frac{i}{2} {}_{z}^{pp} {}_{z}^{spin} {}_{y}^{ppin}; \qquad g_{0}^{p} = \frac{i}{2} {}_{z}^{ppin} {}_{y}^{ppin}; ; g_{\#}^{s} = \frac{i}{2} 1 {}_{z}^{spin} {}_{y}^{ppin}; \qquad g_{b}^{p} = \frac{i}{2} {}_{y}^{spin} 1 {}_{z}^{ppin}; ; (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 s &= \frac{1+i^{p}}{2} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{z}{2} & \frac{z}{2} & \frac{p}{1} & \frac{z}{2} & ; \\
 s &= 0; \\
 s &= \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{2}} & \frac{p}{1} & \frac{z}{2} & 1 & \frac{z}{2} & \frac{p}{1} & \frac{z}{2} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2^{p} \frac{1}{2}} & \frac{1+\frac{SAS}{0}}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{p} \frac{SAS}{2} & \frac{p}{1} & \frac{z}{2}; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{SAS}{0} & ; \\
 p &= \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} &$$

Equivalently, the ground state is expressed as

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i} = \ ^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{f}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i} + \ ^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{0}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i} + \ ^{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{f}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i} + \ ^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{f}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i} + \ ^{\mathbf{p}}_{\mathbf{b}} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{n})\mathbf{i};$$

$$(4.7)$$

where

$$\begin{split} \beta_{\rm m}(n)i &= c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)\beta i; \\ \beta_{\rm 0}(n)i &= \frac{c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n) + c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)}{P\frac{1}{2}} j i; \\ \beta_{\rm m}(n)i &= c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)\beta i; \\ \beta_{\rm f}(n)i &= c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)\beta i; \\ p_{\rm 0}(n)i &= \frac{c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)}{P\frac{1}{2}} \frac{c_{\rm fm}^{\rm y}c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)}{P\frac{1}{2}} j i; \\ p_{\rm b}(n)i &= c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n)c_{\rm bm}^{\rm y}(n) \beta i; \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

This reveals the microscopic structure of the ground state.

All quantities are parametrized by and .W hen we solve (3.36a) and (3.36b) for them, we nd < 0 for $_{\rm bias} < {}^{\rm sc}_{\rm bias}$, and > 1 for $_{\rm bias} > {}^{\rm pc}_{\rm bias}$ with certain values of ${}^{\rm sc}_{\rm bias}$ and ${}^{\rm pc}_{\rm bias}$: see (5.7). A coordingly the ground-state energy (3.35) is minimized by = 0 for $_{\rm bias} < {}^{\rm sc}_{\rm bias}$, and = 1 for $_{\rm bias} > {}^{\rm pc}_{\rm bias}$. Then, the spin component S_z and the density in balance P_z are calculated from (4.1). In FIG.1 we illustrate S_z and $_{0}$ P_z together with as functions of $_{\rm bias}$ for typical sample parameters; d = 23nm, $_{\rm SAS}$ = 6:7K and $_{0}$ = n 10^{11} =m² with n = 1:4 and = 0:9.

First, when $p = \frac{0}{2}$, it follows that $S_z = 1$ and $P_z = 0$ since $_0 = _z \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{2}$. Note that disappears from all formulas in (4.6). The spin phase is characterized by the fact that the isospin is fully polarized into the spin direction with $S_z = 1$ and all others being zero. The spins in both layers point to the positive z-axis due to the Zeem an e ect. Substituting = 0 and $_0$ 1 7. into (4.6) we nd the ground state to be

$$\dot{g}_{spin}i = \int_{n}^{Y} c_{i''}^{y}(n) c_{b''}^{y}(n) \dot{D}i;$$
 (4.9)

It is interesting to notice that, even if the bias voltage is applied, no charge transfer occurs between the two layers ^{sc}_{bias}, where the system is in $(_0 = 0)$ as far as $_{\text{bias}} <$ the spin phase [FIG .1].

Second, when = 1, it follows that $S_z = 0$ and $P_z \in 0$ (actually $P_x^2 + P_z^2 = 1$). The ppin phase is characterized by the fact that the isospin is fully polarized into the pseudospin direction with

$$P_x = \frac{p_1}{1} \frac{2}{2}; \quad P_z = ; \quad (4.10)$$

and all others being zero. Because Pz represents the density di erence between the two layers, is identi ed with the imbalance parameter $_0$. Substituting = 1 into (4.6) we obtain the ground state as

$$\mathbf{j}_{g_{ppin}}\mathbf{i} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & \frac{1}{2} & p \\ & \frac{1}{2} & p \\ & & p \\ & & p \\ \hline & & p \\ \hline & & p \\ \hline & & 1 + & 0 \\ & & & q_{ff}^{y}(\mathbf{n}) + p \\ \hline & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & p \\ \hline & & & 1 \\ \hline & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & p \\ \hline & & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & & p \\ \hline \hline & p \\ \hline \hline & p \\ \hline & p \\ \hline \hline & p \\$$

It is found that all electrons are in the front layer when $_0 = 1$, and in the back layer when $_0 =$ 1.

For interm ediate values of (0 < < 1) none of the spin and pseudospin vanish, where we may control the density in balance by applying a bias voltage as in the ppin phase. The ground state $jg_{cant}i$ is given by (4.7) with (4.6). All states except $\frac{1}{5}_0$ (n) i contribute to form the ground state. This is so even in the balanced con-

quation (= 0). It follows from (4.1) and (4.3) that, as the system goes away from the spin phase, the spin begin to cant and make antiferrom agnetic correlations between the two layers. Hence, it is called the canted antiferrom agnetic phase⁴.

W e conclude that there are three phases in general; the spin phase, the canted phase and the ppin phase. They are as characterized by

The order parameters are S^2 and P^2 .

Let us study the system with no bias voltage in more

7



F IG . 2: The ground-state energies $E_{\alpha}^{\rm spin}$, $E_{\alpha}^{\rm ppin}$ and $E_{\alpha}^{\rm cant}$ are given in the spin, ppin and canted phases in the balanced con guration by taking $d = 0.56 '_{B}$. The horizontal axis is the tunneling gap $_{SAS}$ in the Coulomb unit $E_{C}^{0} = e^{2} = (4 "'_{B})$. A phase transition occurs along the heavy curve continuously from the spin phase to the canted phase, and then to the ppin phase, as SAS increases. The phase transition points SAS and p_{SAS}^{c} are given by (4.17) and (4.18) in the rst order of perturbation. The energy $E_q^{\rm spin}$ is not modi ed but $E_q^{\rm ppin}$ is m odi ed into E_{var}^{ppin} by higher order quantum corrections. The point A stands for the would-be crossing of the spin and ppin energy levels in the rst order of perturbation, with A given by (4.16). The point B stands for the one when higher order quantum corrections are taken into account, with B given by (6.13), suggesting that the canted phase is considerably shrunk.

detail. The spin and pseudospin are

$$S_{z} = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{SAS}^{2} + \frac{2}{Z}(1-2)} 1^{2};$$

$$P_{x} = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{SAS}^{2} + \frac{2}{Z}(1-2)}^{2};$$
 (4.13)

where ² is easily obtained from (3.36a) with = 0,

$${}^{2} = \frac{{}^{2}_{SAS} {}^{2}_{Z}}{4 (2''_{X})^{2}} \frac{{}^{2}_{Z}}{{}^{2}_{SAS} {}^{2}_{Z}} : (4.14)$$

The ground-state energy in each phase is given by

$$E_{g}^{\text{spin}} = 2_{X}^{\text{r}} \quad 2_{X}^{\text{r}} \quad z;$$

$$E_{g}^{\text{cant}} = 2_{X}^{\text{r}} \quad \frac{2_{\text{SAS}}}{8_{X}^{\text{r}}} + \frac{2}{8_{X}^{\text{r}}} \quad \frac{2_{X}^{\text{r}} \quad 2_{\text{SAS}}}{2_{\text{SAS}}^{2} \quad z};$$

$$E_{\alpha}^{\text{ppin}} = 2_{X}^{\text{r}} \quad S_{\text{SAS}}: \qquad (4.15)$$

We have depicted them as a function of $_{\rm SAS}$ in FIG 2. If the canted phase were ignored, the two levels E_{α}^{spin} and E_{α}^{ppin} would cross at

$$_{\text{SAS}}^{\text{sp}} = _{Z} + 2"_{X};$$
 (4.16)

and a transition would occur suddenly from the spin phase (S² = 1, P² = 0) to the ppin phase (S² = 0, $P^2 = 1$). Actually, a mixing of states occurs and lowers the ground state energy. As a result the level crossing turns into an level anticrossing [FIG 2], and the canted state emerges between the spin and ppin phases. As

 $_{\rm SA\,S}$ increases, the phase transition occurs continuously from the spin phase to the canted phase, and then to the ppin phase. The phase transition points are

$$s_{\text{SAS}}^{\text{sc}} = \frac{2}{2} + 4"_{\text{X}} = 2$$
 (4.17)

from $E_{q}^{spin} = E_{q}^{cant}$, and

$$q = \frac{q}{\sum_{SAS} = 2"_{X} + \frac{2}{Z} + (2"_{X})^{2}}$$
 (4.18)

from $E_g^{ppin} = E_g^{cant}$. These two formulas agree with the variational result due to M acD onald et al.¹³, where the variational state has been chosen from a subset of the full set (3.2) satisfying (3.5).

V. PHASE DIAGRAMS

The phase diagram can be studied based on analytic form ulas given in the previous section. We st present the phase diagram in the $_{SAS}-_Z$ plane for typical values of $_{bias}$ to compare our result with the standard ones^{8,13}. We search for the boundaries separating the canted phase from the spin and ppin phases in the system. These are extracted from (3.36a) and (3.36b). The merit of our form alism is that analytic expressions are available to determ ine the phase boundaries even for im - balanced con gurations.

A long the spin-canted boundary we have = 0. Substituting this value into (3.36b) we get

$$p = \frac{bias}{1 - 2} = \frac{bias}{sAs} \frac{z}{z + 4"_{X}}$$
: (5.1)

We solve this for and substitute it into (3.36a) to get

$${}^{2}_{\text{SAS}} = {}^{2}_{\text{Z}} + 4 {}^{\text{u}}_{\text{X}} {}^{\text{z}} \frac{{}^{2}_{\text{bias}}}{{}^{\text{z}}_{\text{z}} + 4 {}^{\text{u}}_{\text{X}}}$$
: (5.2)

This determ ines the spin-canted boundary.

A long the ppin-canted boundary we have = 1. Substituting this value into (3.36a) and (3.36b) we get

$$_{SAS} = \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{bias}{2} 2"_{cap}$$
(5.3)

and

$$2_{Z}^{2} = -\frac{\text{bias}}{2} 2_{\text{cap}}^{\text{max}} - \frac{\text{bias}}{2} 8_{D}^{\text{max}} + 4_{X}^{2} x_{X}^{\text{max}}$$
(5.4)

after som e manipulation. These two equations give a parametric representation of the ppin-canted boundary in terms of $\$.



FIG. 3: The phase diagram is given in the $_{SAS} - _{z}$ plane by changing the bias energy $_{bias}$. Here we have set $d = {}^{b}_{B}$, and taken the C oulom b energy unit E $_{C}^{0}$ for the tunneling gap (horizontalaxis) and the Zeem an gap (verticalaxis). It is observed that both the canted and ppin phases are stabilarized even for $_{SAS} = 0$ by applying the bias voltage. The dotted curves in the top left panel represent the exact diagonalization result due to Schliem ann et al.⁹ for the 12 electron system. It is observed that the ppin-canted phase boundary is m odi ed considerably by higher oder quantum corrections.

In drawing the phase diagram in the $_{SAS}-_{z}$ plane we need to x the layer separation d. Following the standard literature^{8,13}, we have examined the case d = '_B and presented the phase diagram in FIG 3. Our results agree qualitatively with results obtained numerically by B rey et al.⁸ and M acD onald et al.¹³ for in balanced congurations.

O ur analytic form ulas reveal som e new features not reported in literature. We have found som e peculiar behaviors for the ppin-canted boundary as in FIG 4, which occurs for $2"_{D} < 3"_{X}$, or d . 0.75 '_B. When the bias voltage is increased, a small ppin region appears in the vicinity of the origin at

$$_{\rm B}^{(1)} = 2"_{\rm cap}$$
: (5.5)

This region is isolated from the basic ppin region by the canted phase. By increasing $_{\rm bias}$, the isolated and basic ppin regions come closer, and they merge at

$${}^{(2)}_{B} = 8 \frac{2"_{D}}{3"_{X}} \frac{3}{2}"_{X}$$
 (5.6)

Then the isolated region disappears.

These phase diagram s are, however, not so useful to analyze experim ental data, since we need m any sam ples



FIG.4: The phase diagram is given in the $_{SAS}-_{Z}$ plane by changing the bias energy $_{\rm bias}$. Here we have set d = 0.4 $_{B}$, and taken the C oulom b energy unit E $_{C}^{0}$ for the tunneling gap (horizontal axis) and the Zeem an gap (vertical axis). A sm all ppin region appears in the vicinity of the origin ford . 0.75 $_{B}^{\circ}$. This region is isolated from the basic ppin region by the canted phase. This is shown in the top left panel. The upper part of each panel is the enlarged in age of the vicinity of origin where the isolated ppin region appears.

with di erent d to realize, e.g., $d = \frac{1}{B}$, but this is in possible. It is more interesting to see experimentally⁷ how the phase transition occurs by controlling the total density $_0$ and also the in balance parameter $_0$ in a single sample with xed values of d and $_{SAS}$. Here we wish to describe new aspects of the phase diagram from this point of view.

We start with the spin phase in the balanced con guration with $_{\rm bias} = 0$. (The spin phase realizes provided the electron density is more than a certain critical value.) When the bias voltage is applied ($_{\rm bias} \notin 0$) the parameter becomes nonzero according to (5.1). However, no charge in balance is induced between the two layers ($_0 = 0$) as far as = 0. A charge in balance occurs only above a certain critical value $_{\rm bias}^{\rm SC}$ of the bias voltage as in FIG 1, which is given by solving (5.2) as

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} sc\\ bias\end{array}\right)^{2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} z + 4"_{x}\end{array}\right)^{2} \qquad 1 + 4\frac{"_{x}}{z} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2\\ SAS\end{array}$$
 (5.7)



FIG.5: The phase diagram is given in the $_{\rm bias}-_0$ plane for the sample with d = 23nm, where $_0 = n = 10^{11}$ cm⁻². The two curves stand for the spin-canted phase boundary and the ppin-canted phase boundary in the rst order of perturbation. The four large points are experimental data indicating phase transition points taken from Sawada et al.⁷.



FIG. 6: The phase diagram is given in the 0-0 plane, where the sam ple parameters are the same as in FIG.5. The spin phase is realized only in the balance con guration (0 = 0) along the heavy line. The solid curve represents the ppincanted phase boundary in the rst order of perturbation. The four large points are experimental data indicating phase transition points taken from Saw ada et al.⁷. The dotted curve is a speculated ppin-canted phase boudary. The dotted horizontal line at n = 0.9 corresponds to the plateau-width curve indexed by n = 0.9 in FIG.8 (b).

This gives the spin-canted phase boundary in the $_{\rm bias}$ - $_0$ plane [FIG 5]. For $_{\rm bias}$ > $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ the system is driven into the canted phase with \bigcirc 0. As the bias voltage increases above a second critical value $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$, the system turns into the ppin phase with = 1 as in FIG 1. The critical value $_{\rm bias}^{\rm pc}$ is obtained by eliminating in (5.3) and (5.4). This gives the ppin-canted phase boundary in the $_{\rm bias}$ - $_0$ plane [FIG 5]. We remark that, as the electron density decreases, the critical point $_{\rm bias}^{\rm sc}$ decreases

and eventually becomes zero so that the spin phase disappears at all: The critical density is $_0 = 1:19 \quad 10^{11}$ cm⁻² in the case of FIG 5.

To compare the experimental data^{7,14} it is more convenient to present the phase diagram in the $_0-_0$ plane [FIG.6], which is constructed by using the relation between the bias voltage and the in balance parameter in - plied by (5.3) and (5.4).

It is also useful to study the phase diagram in the $_{0}-_{SAS}$ plane, since it is not di cult to prepare samples with di erent $_{SAS}$ with all other parameters unchanged FIG .7]. This is constructed from (4.17) and (4.18).



FIG. 7: The phase diagram is given in the $_0-_{SAS}$ plane at the balance point, where the sample parameters are the same as in FIG. 5. The horizontal axis denotes the total density $_0 = n \quad 10^{11}$ =cm², while the vertical axis denotes the tunneling gap $_{SAS}$ in Kelvin. The dotted curve is a speculated ppin-canted phase boundary. The two large points are experimental data indicating phase transition points taken from Saw ada et al.⁷.

VI. HIGHER ORDER CORRECTIONS

We have explored the ground-state structure in the rst order of perturbation theory. O ur H am iltonian consists of the SU (4)-invariant term H_c^+ and the SU (4)-noninvariant term $H_{non} = H_c + H_{ZpZ}$. We are interested in the regime where H_c^+ H non. Hence we have diagonalized H_c^+ as in (3.4) and treat H_{non} perturbatively. The eigenstates are degenerate with respect to H_c^+ , consisting of 6 states at each Landau site. The degeneracy is rem oved by the SU (4)-noninvariant term s H_{non} . We may construct 6 states j_{1i} satisfying

$$hg_{j}\dot{g}_{i}\dot{i} = _{ij}; \quad hg_{j}\dot{H}\dot{g}_{i}\dot{i} = E_{i ij} \qquad (6.1)$$

as follows. First we determ ine j_0 i by m inim izing hg H jgi with the use of a general state (4.7). We then construct a Fock space made of 5 states that are orthogonal to j_0 i. Within this space we can m inim ize hg H jgi, which determ ines the lowest energy state j_1 i. In this way we may construct 6 states j_0 i, j_i wighth E_0 E_1 W e have constructed the phase boundaries between the spin phase, the canted phase and the ppin phase. Here we recall the exact-diagonalization result⁹ of a few electron system showing that the boundary between the spin and canted phases is practically unmodi ed but the boundary between the canted and ppin phases is considerably modi ed from the mean- eld result [FIG 3]. In order to explain this we discuss e ects due to higher order perturbations.

W e start with the spin phase. It is important that the fully spin polarized state (4.9) is an exact eigenstate of the total H am iltonian H. This follows from

$$P_{z}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j})\mathbf{j}_{spin}\mathbf{i} = P_{x}(\mathbf{i};\mathbf{j})\mathbf{j}_{spin}\mathbf{i} = 0 \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$S_z(i;i) \dot{g}_{spin} i = 1:$$
 (6.3)

We obtain H $\dot{g}_{spin}i = E_q^{spin} \dot{g}_{spin}i w$ ith

$$E_{g}^{spin} = 2 "_{X}^{+} + 2 "_{X}^{-} + z : (6.4)$$

The eigenvalue agrees with the mean-eld value in (4.15). Hence the mean-eld equations (3.36a) (3.36c) are exact ones in the spin phase, im plying that the spin-canted phase boundary is not a ected by higher order perturbations.

W e proceed to discuss the ppin phase. For simplicity we consider the balanced point, where

$$S_{z}(i;i)\dot{g}_{ppin}i = 1; P_{z}(i;i)\dot{g}_{ppin}i = 0;$$
 (6.5)

However, since

though $hg_{ppin} \mathcal{P}_z$ (i; j) $g_{ppin} i = 0$, the state $g_{ppin} i$ is not an eigenstate of the capacitance term H_c . Hence the fully pseudospin polarized state (4.11) is not an eigenstate of the total H am iltonian H even in the absence of the tunneling interaction ($_{SAS} = 0$).

It is practically in possible to carry out the second order perturbation since alm ost no eigenstates of the unperturbed H am iltonian H $_c^+$ are known. W e m ake a variational analysis. W e decom pose the total H am iltonian H into two pieces, H $_0$ and H $_1$, where H $_0$ is the m axim al part of H one of whose eigenstates is j_{ppin} i. W e do not give their explicit form s here since they are very com plicated. W e obtain

$$H_{0} \dot{g}_{ppin} \dot{i} = E_{q}^{ppin} \dot{g}_{ppin} \dot{i}$$
(6.7)

with

$$E_{g}^{ppin} = 2 r_{X}^{p} r_{cap}^{2} 0 \frac{p SAS}{1 0}$$
 (6.8)

This agrees with the st-order perturbation result (4.15) at $_0 = 0$. Hence the variational analysis surely presents a higher order correction. A coording to the standard procedure, we minimize the total energy with the variational state

$$\dot{\mathbf{g}}_{\text{ppin}}^{\text{var}} \dot{\mathbf{i}} = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{H}_1) \dot{\mathbf{g}}_{\text{ppin}} \dot{\mathbf{i}}; \tag{6.9}$$

where is the variational parameter. Then the energy reads

$$E_{var}^{ppin} = E_{g}^{ppin} + \frac{jg_{ppin} jH_{1}^{2} jg_{ppin} iJ}{E_{g}^{ppin} hg_{ppin} jH_{1}^{2} jg_{ppin} i} hg_{ppin} jH_{1} H_{0} H_{1} jg_{ppin} i$$
(6.10)

The calculation is straightforward though quite tedious. To perform various integrals explicitly we approximate (2.5) as

$$V^{+}(q) = \frac{1}{jqj} \exp - \frac{1}{2}jqj^{2} E_{c}^{0};$$

$$V(q) = \frac{d}{2} \exp - \frac{1}{2}jqj^{2} E_{c}^{0}$$
(6.11)

with $E_c^0 = e^2 = (4 "'_B)$, which is valid for $d = '_B$ 1. The result is given by

$$E_{var}^{ppin} = E_{g}^{ppin} \quad \frac{d^{2}}{16\frac{v^{2}}{B}} \frac{(1 \frac{2}{0})^{2} E_{C}^{0}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p^{1}}{2}} = \frac{e^{2}}{3} + \frac{p^{SAS} = E_{C}^{0}}{\frac{1}{2}\frac{e^{2}}{2}}$$
(6.12)

The correction is larger for larger layer separation d, and it is largest at the balanced point ($_0 = 0$).

It is not easy to derive higher order corrections for the canted phase, since $jg_{cant}i$ is not an eigenstate of any simple H am iltonian and furtherm ore it is a complicated state involving 5 states as in (4.7). Though we are unable to determ ine the improved ppin-canted phase boundary, we may make some arguments how it is modi ed. For simplicity we study the balanced point. We have shown that the would-be phase transition point is $_{SAS}^{Sp}$ given by (4.16) in the ignorance of the canted phase. We exam ine how this point is modi ed by equating (6.4) and (6.12). Nam ely, from $E_{q}^{spin} = E_{var}^{ppin}$ we nd

$$\frac{\overset{\text{var}}{\overset{\text{sas}}{\text{sas}}}}{\overset{\text{s}}{\text{s}}_{\text{c}}^{\text{o}}} = \frac{1}{2} \overset{\text{o}}{\overset{\text{sp}}{\text{sas}}} \frac{\overset{\text{sp}}{\overset{\text{sas}}{\text{sas}}}}{\overset{\text{s}}{\text{s}}_{\text{c}}^{\text{o}}} \quad 0:1 + \frac{\overset{\text{sp}}{\overset{\text{sas}}{\text{sas}}}}{\overset{\text{s}}{\text{s}}_{\text{c}}^{\text{o}}} + 0:1 \frac{\overset{2}{\overset{\text{d}}{\text{d}}}}{\overset{4}{\overset{2}{\text{s}}}^{2}} \text{A} :$$
(6.13)

It is observed that this is much smaller than the rst order perturbation result [FIG 2]. Since the spin-canted boundary (4.17) is not modiled we expect that the canted phase is shrunk considerably. These features are precisely what are found in the exact diagonalization of a few electron system ⁹ [FIG 3].

VII. EXPERIMENTAL STATUS

Based on our theoretical results we wish to interpret the experim entaldata due to Sawada et el.^{7,14} yielding an unam biguous evidence for phase transitions. They have presented the activation-energy data for $_0$ FIG $\mathcal{B}(a)$] and the plateau-width data for $_0$ FIG $\mathcal{B}(b)$]. In this section we set $_0 = n \quad 10^{11}$ =cm², and use n to represent the total density.



FIG.8: The activation-energy data for $_0$ and the plateauwidth data for $_0$ are taken from Sawada et al.⁷. In the upper gure (a), the point n = 0.9 is identi ed with the spin-canted phase transition point. In the lower gure (b), the minimum point in each curve indexed by n is identi ed with the ppincanted phase transition point. The heavy curve traces these minimum points.

We see a phase transition point $(_0;n) = (0;0.9)$ from the activation-energy data FIG $\mathcal{B}(a)$], for which $d=`_B = 1.23$ in the sample with d = 23nm. We give the phase diagram in $_{SAS}-_Z$ plane, upon which we plot the data point FIG 9]. On one hand, the point is almost on the spin-canted boundary. Furtherm ore, though the spin-canted boundary is exact, it is obtained in the ideal two-dimensional system and it will be modiled in actual samples with nite quantum wells. Then it is reasonable that the point is slightly of the theoretical estimation. On the other hand, as we have argued, the ppin-canted phase boundary is considerably modiled so that the canted phase occupies a tiny domain in the phase diagram [FIG 2 and FIG 3]. We cannot compare the exact diagonalization result⁹ with the data directly since it is available only at $V_{\rm bias} = 0$ and $d=`_{\rm B} = 1$. Since the phase diagram for $d=`_{\rm B} = 123$ is similar to the one for $d=`_{\rm B} = 1$, it would be allowed to extrapolate the exact diagonalization data from $d=`_{\rm B} = 1$. We have plotted it on the same gure as indicated by the dotted curve [FIG .9]. Then the point is also near to the ppin-canted phase boundary. It is hard to decide on which phase boundary this point exists from this phase diagram.



FIG. 9: The phase diagram is given in the $_{\rm SAS}-_{\rm Z}$ plane for $_{\rm bias} = 0$ and d = 123 $^{\rm B}_{\rm B}$. We have taken the Coulom b energy unit E $_0^{\rm C}$ for the tunneling gap (horizontal axis) and the Zeem an gap (vertical axis). The large point is the experimental data indicating a phase transition point taken from Saw ada et al.⁷. The two solid curves represent the phase boundaries in the rst order of perturbation. The dotted curve stands for the ppin-canted phase boundary extrapolated from the exact diagonalization result obtained at d = $^{\rm B}_{\rm B}$ for the 12 electron system ⁹. It is hard to decide on which phase boundary this point exists from this phase diagram.

We answer this problem based on the phase diagram in the $_0-_0$ plane [FIG.6]. We focus on the horizontal line at n = 0.9. This corresponds to the curve indexed by n = 0.9 in the plateau-width data [FIG .8(b)]. The curve takes a maximum at $_0 = 0$ and a minimum at $_0$ ' 02 as the density in balance is made. It is reasonable to identify the region $_0 > 0.2$ with the ppin phase. Then the region $0 < _0 < 0.2 \text{ must}$ be the canted phase. Note that the spin phase is realized only at $_0 = 0$. W e conclude that the point $(_0;n) = (02;0:9)$ represents the ppin-canted phase transition point and that the point $(_0;n) = (0;0:9)$ found in the activation-energy data represents the spin-canted phase transition FIG .6]. This interpretation is consistent with the fact that no indication of phase transion is found for 0.9 < n < 1.6 in the same data [FIG .8(a)].

W e continue to exam ine the plateau-width data for the sam ple with various density n [FIG &(b)]. The data with n = 1.4 and n = 1.2 show sim ilar behaviors as the one with n = 0.9. Namely, they possess the minimum points to be identi ed with the ppin-canted phase transitions around the imbalance parameter $_0$ ' 0.3. It is observed that the ppin-canted transition point $_0$ decreases as n decreases. It is not clear from the data when the ppin-canted phase transition disappears as n decreases. How -

ever, it seems that the entire region belongs to the ppin phase at n = 0.6. Let us tentatively regard the critical point exists near the point n = 0.6. In this way we have speculated the real ppin-canted boundary in the phase diagram in the $_{0}-_{0}$ plane [FIG.6] and in the $_{0}-_{SAS}$ plane [FIG.7].

VIII. DISCUSSIONS

There are three phases, i.e., the spin phase, the canted phase and the ppin phase at = 2. The ground state is the fully spin polarized state (4.9) in the spin phase, while it is the fully pseudospin polarized state (4.11) in the ppin phase. In the canted phase, however, it is not sim ply m ade of a certain combination of these two states, as postulated in a phenom enological bosonic spin m odel¹⁵, but m ade of m ore states in a very com plicated way. We have constructed the ground states explicitly in the rst order of perturbation.

The phase diagram has been studied numerically within the Hartree-Fock approximation in the standard literature^{4,5,8,13}. In this paper we have presented analytic form ulas for it based on perturbation theory. Our results in the rst order approximation reproduce precisely the Hartree-Fock variational result¹³ at the zero bias voltage, and agree qualitatively with numerical results for nonzero bias voltages^{8,13}. We have also argued how the phase diagram is modiled by higher order quantum corrections. We have shown that the spin-canted phase boundary is considerably modiled. It is necessary to develop a reliable theory including higher order quantum corrections to determ ine the accurate ppin-canted boundary in various phase diagram s.

Our new contribution is the phase diagram s in the $_0 _0$ plane as well as in the $_{\rm bias}$ - $_0$ plane. We have analyzed the relation between the imbalance parameter $_0$ and the bias voltage V_{bias}. At = 1 the density in balance occurs as soon as the bias voltage becom es nonzero. However, this is not the case at = 2 as in FIG 1, where $_{\rm bias}$ = $eV_{\rm bias}.$ As far as $V_{\rm bias}$ < $V_{\rm bias}^{\rm\,sc}$ the density in balance is not induced and the system is still in the spin phase. A charge in balance occurs only above a certain critical value $V_{\text{bias}}^{\text{sc}}$ of the bias voltage, where the system is driven into the canted phase. As the bias voltage increases above a second critical value $V_{\text{bias}}^{\text{pc}}$, the system tums into the ppin phase. Taking these facts into account we have constructed the phase diagram in the $_0 - _0$ plane as well as in the bias- 0 plane. These phase diagram s will be useful to identify the three phases experim entally by using a single sample. We have also presented the phase diagram in the $_{0}-_{SAS}$ plane. It will be useful to identify the three phases experim entally by using severalsam ples with di erent SAS but all other param eters unchanged.

W e have interpreted experim ental data due to Saw ada et al.^{7,14}. We adm it that our analysis is far from satis-

factory. This is mainly because of the lack of quali ed data. Recall that the primary concern of these experiments were to reveal the existence of the interlayer coherent phase at = 2, which is identified with the ppin phase in the present term inology. In passing detailed experiments with several samples are urged to be performed in order to establish the ground-state structure in the = 2 bilayer Q H system.

IX. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e would like to thank A. Fukuda, Y. H irayama, S. K ozumi, N. Kumada, K. Muraki, A. Sawada and D. Terasawa for fruitful discussions on the subject. W e would also like to thank A. M acD onald and J. Schliem ann for providing us with their numerical data of the exact diagonalization result in Ref.⁹. ZFE and GT are grateful to the hospitality of T heoretical P hysics Laboratory, R IK EN, where a part of this work was done. ZFE is supported in part by G rants-in-A id for Scienti c Research from M inistry of E ducation, Science, Sports and C ulture (N os. 13135202,14540237); GT acknow ledges a research fellow ship from Japan Society for P rom otion of Science (N os. L04514).

APPENDIX A: PHYSICAL VARIABLES

The basis of the algebra SU (4) is spanned by 15 Herm i- tian traceless matrices. For our purposes it is convenient to choose them as a^{spin} , p^{pin} and a^{spin}_{a} given by

where I denotes the 2 2 identity m atrix, and a are the Paulim atrices.

A basis in the space of 4 4 antisymmetric matrices, comprised of 6 matrices, can be chosen as $\frac{\text{spin spin ppin}}{a}$ and $\frac{\text{ppin spin ppin}}{x}$. Hence, introducing four tree-dimensional vectors A, B, C and D, we may parameterize the matrix g as

$$2g = (A_x + iB_x) \sum_{z}^{spin} \sum_{y}^{ppin} + (C_x + iD_x) \sum_{y}^{spin} \sum_{z}^{ppin} + (A_y + iB_y)(iy) + (C_y + iD_y)(iy) + (A_z + iB_z)(iy) + (C_z + iD_z)(iy) + (C_z + iD_z)(iy) + (C_z + iD_z)(iy) + (C_z + iD_z) + (C_z + iD_z)$$

W e com e to

$$gg^{y} = \frac{1}{4} (A^{2} + B^{2} + C^{2} + D^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} a^{\text{spin}} \mathbf{w}_{abc} A_{b} B_{c} + \frac{1}{2} a^{\text{spin}} \mathbf{w}_{abc} C_{b} D_{c} + \frac{1}{2} a^{\text{spin}} b^{\text{ppin}} (A_{a} C_{b} + B_{a} D_{b}):$$
(A3)

Comparing this with (3.11) we get

$$S_a = "_{abc} A_b B_c; \qquad (A 4a)$$

$$P_a = "_{abc}C_bD_c; \qquad (A 4b)$$

$$R_{ab} = A_a C_b + B_a D_b; \qquad (A 4c)$$

from which we derive the constraints

$$S_a R_{ab} = 0; \qquad (A 5a)$$

$$R_{ab}P_{b} = 0; \qquad (A 5b)$$

$$S_a P_b$$
 "acd" bhe $R_{ch} R_{de} = 0$ (A 5c)

on S_a , P_a and $R_{\,ab}$. The constraint (A 5c) is veried based on the identity

$$"acd"bhe = ab (ch de ce dh) ah (cb de ce bd) + ae (cb dh ch bd); (A 6)$$

while (A4a) and (A4b) trivially follow from (A5a) and (A5b).

W e construct R_{ab} satisfying (A 5a) { (A 5c). To satisfy the constraint (A 5a) we use the two norm alized vectors

$$\frac{S^2 P_a (SP) S_a}{SQ}; \qquad \frac{Q_a}{Q}; \qquad (A7)$$

which are orthogonal to $\rm S_a$, and orthogonal one to another. To satisfy the constraint (A5b) we use the two norm alized vectors

$$\frac{P^2 S_b (SP) P_b}{PQ}; \quad \frac{Q_b}{Q}; \quad (A8)$$

which are orthogonal to P_b , and orthogonal one to another. Hence we are able to expand R_{ab} in terms of 4 tensors made out of these vectors with 4 coe cients R_{PS} , R_{PQ} , R_{QS} and R_{QQ} as in (3.25) in text. We now substitute (3.25) into the constraint (A.5c) to nd that

$$R_{QS}R_{PQ} \quad R_{PS}R_{QQ} = SP:$$
 (A9)

Consequently three variables are independent among R_{PS} , R_{PQ} , R_{QS} and R_{QQ} . They are parametrized as in (3.26).

APPENDIX B: UNPHYSICAL VARIABLES

We have identieed 9 independent physical variables in the 12 parameters of the antisymmetric matrix g. In this appendix we identify the 3 unphysical variables. In

ш

so doing we derive the kinem atical condition (3.17), i.e., $S^{2} + P^{2} + R^{2}$ 1.

The matrix q is expanded in terms of 4 threedimensional vectors A, B, C and D as in (A2). We investigate how they are given in terms of the physical variables. For this purpose we reverse the constraints (A 4a) and (A 4b). The most general expressions read

$$A_{a} = \frac{S^{2}P_{a}}{SQ} (SP)S_{a} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} ;$$

$$B_{a} = \frac{S^{2}P_{a}}{SQ} (SP)S_{a} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} ;$$

$$C_{a} = \frac{P^{2}S_{a}}{PQ} (SP)P_{a} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} ;$$

$$D_{a} = \frac{P^{2}S_{a}}{PQ} (SP)P_{a} + \frac{Q_{a}}{Q} ;$$

$$(B1)$$

where the parameters $_{A,B}$ and $_{A,B}$ are restricted by

$$A B A B = S; (B2)$$

$$D C D C = P$$
: (B3)

W e substitute (B1) into (A4c), and com pare the resulting equation with (3.25). In this way we obtain new restrictions,

W e solve these as

$${}_{C} = \frac{R_{PS B} R_{QS B}}{S}; \quad {}_{D} = \frac{R_{QS A} R_{PS A}}{S};$$
$${}_{C} = \frac{R_{PQ B} R_{QQ B}}{S}; \quad {}_{D} = \frac{R_{QQ A} R_{PQ A}}{S};$$
(B5)

It is trivial to check that (B3) is autom atically satis ed due to (A 9) and (B 2). We count the number of independent variables. There are 9 physical variables; Sa, $P_a, R_{PS}, R_{PO}, R_{OS}$ and R_{OO} with one constraint (A9). There are 3 extra variables; $_{\rm A}$, $_{\rm B}$, $_{\rm A}$ and $_{\rm B}$ with one constraint (B2). We now show that they are the unphysical variables.

A well-known unphysical variable is the overall phase of the ground state. First we identify it. We consider two vectors ($_{\rm A}$; $_{\rm B}$) and ($_{\rm A}$; $_{\rm B}$). W e rotate the two vectors (A; B) and (A; B) by a single angle , which is the overall angle comm on to them. It turns out that the two vectors ($_{C}$; $_{D}$) and ($_{C}$; $_{D}$) rotate by the same angle due to (B5). Then, $(A_a; B_a)$ and $(C_a; D_a)$ rotate in the same way due to (A5b). Finally, (A2) implies that the matrix g acquires the angle , which is the overall phase of the ground state.

A nother well-known unphysical variable is associated with the norm alization of the ground state. The norm alization condition (3.3) is equivalent to

$$A^{2} + B^{2} + C^{2} + D^{2} = 2$$
: (B6)

Substituting (B1) into this and using (B5), we obtain

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{2}_{A} + {}^{2}_{B} \quad S^{2} + {}^{2}_{QS} + {}^{2}_{QQ}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \quad {}^{2}_{A} + {}^{2}_{B} \quad S^{2} + {}^{2}_{PS} + {}^{2}_{PQ}$$
$$({}^{A}_{A} + {}^{B}_{B}) ({}^{R}_{QS} {}^{R}_{PS} + {}^{R}_{QQ} {}^{R}_{PQ}): \qquad (B7)$$

We make a change of variables. Let be the angle between the vectors (A; B) and (A; B). Om itting the overall angle we may write

$$(_{A};_{B}) = \cos \frac{1}{2}; \sin \frac{1}{2};$$

 $(_{A};_{B}) = \cos \frac{1}{2}; \sin \frac{1}{2}:$ (B8)

Next, we introduce new variables x and y by

$$x = {}^{2} 1 + \frac{R_{QS}^{2} + R_{QQ}^{2}}{S^{2}} + {}^{2} 1 + \frac{R_{PS}^{2} + R_{PQ}^{2}}{S^{2}};$$

$$y = {}^{2} 1 + \frac{R_{QS}^{2} + R_{QQ}^{2}}{S^{2}} + {}^{2} 1 + \frac{R_{PS}^{2} + R_{PQ}^{2}}{S^{2}};$$

(B 9)

which we use instead of 2 and 2 . Now, there are three variables x, y and w ith two constraints (B2) and (B7). W e denote

$$a = \frac{S^2 S^2 + P^2 + R^2}{S^2 + R_{QS}^2 + R_{QQ}^2 S^2 + R_{PS}^2 + R_{PQ}^2}; \quad (B10)$$

or

$$\frac{a}{1 a} = \frac{S^2 S^2 + P^2 + R^2}{(R_{QS} R_{PS} + R_{QQ} R_{PQ})^2} :$$
 (B11)

Here

$$R^{2} = R_{ab}^{2} = R_{PS}^{2} + R_{PQ}^{2} + R_{QS}^{2} + R_{QQ}^{2};$$
 (B12)

where the last equality follows from (3.25).

Using the above notations two constraints (B2) and (B7) are rearranged into

$$\cos = \frac{p \frac{x 2}{(1 a) (x^2 y^2)}}{\frac{p}{x^2 + p^2 + R^2}};$$

$$\sin = \frac{p \frac{p}{x^2 + p^2 + R^2}}{\frac{p}{a (x^2 y^2)}};$$
(B13)

These are well de ned since $x^2 > y^2$ and 0 < a < 1, as follows from de nitions (B9) and (B10). It follows that

$$\frac{(x \ 2)^2}{(1 \ a)(x^2 \ y^2)} + \frac{4(S^2 + P^2 + R^2)}{a(x^2 \ y^2)} = 1$$
 (B14)

from $\cos^2 + \sin^2 = 1$, or

$$(ax 2)^{2} + a(1 a)y^{2} = 4(1 a)1 S^{2} P^{2} R^{2}$$
:
(B15)

W e ask the question whether (B15) adm its solutions for x and y which leads to positive values of 2 and 2 via (B9). The solvability condition turns out to be

$$S_a^2 + P_a^2 + R_{ab}^2 6 1$$
: (B16)

This is the condition (3.17) for the magnitude of the isospin.

If the condition is satis ed, we may solve x and y from (B15), and obtain , and from (B9) and (B13). Solutions are not unique. Eq.(B15) de nes an ellipse. Moving along the ellipse the parameters , and take di erent values, and therefore lead to di erent vectors A, B, C and D. However, all these vectors lead to one and the same set of physical elds S_a , P_a and R_{ab} . This is the unphysical variable inherent to the = 2 bilayerQH system, which is commented below (3.26). This unphysical mode decouples on the ground state since the ellipse is shrunk to a point, as we see in the follow ing appendix.

APPENDIX C:GROUND-STATE CONDITION

The eigenvalue equation (3.5) in the SU (4)-invariant system leads to the condition (3.13), or

$$g g = 0$$
: (C1)

On the other hand, the variational ground state in the full SU (4)-noninvariant system is found to satisfy (3.14), or

$$S^{2} + P^{2} + R^{2} = 1$$
: (C2)

W e verify the equivalence of these two conditions.

For this purpose, we substitute (A 2) into (C 1), and use (B 6), to obtain

$$A^{2} + D^{2} = B^{2} + C^{2} = 1; AB = CD :$$
 (C3)

On the other hand, examining this step of transform ation we see that (C1) follows from (C3). Namely, (C1) is equivalent to (C3) based on the formula (A2).

The derivation of (C 2) from (C 3) is easy. Using (A 4) we express $S^2 + P^2 + R^2$ in terms of A, B, C and D. We then use (C 3) to derive (C 2).

The derivation of (C 3) from (C 2) is more complicated. W hen the condition (C 2) holds, the ellipse de ned by (B15) is shrunk to a point set given by ax = 2 and y = 0. In this case, and are determined by solving (B9),

$$= \frac{q}{S^{2} + R_{PS}^{2} + R_{PQ}^{2}}; \qquad = \frac{q}{S^{2} + R_{QS}^{2} + R_{QQ}^{2}}; \quad (C4)$$

and (B13) becomes

$$\cos = \frac{p}{1} \frac{p}{a}; \quad \sin = \frac{p}{a}; \quad (C5)$$

where a is given by (B10). First we note that

$$A^{2} + D^{2} = {}^{2}_{A} + {}^{2}_{A} + {}^{2}_{D} + {}^{2}_{D}$$
; (C 6)

to which we substitute (B 5) and (B 8). We then use (B 7), (C 4) and (C 5). In this way we prove $A^2 + D^2 = 1$ after some straightforward calculation. Sim ilarly we can verify all equations in (C 3).

As we have seen, the unphysical variables , and are xed in terms of the physical variables on the ground state, where the condition (C 2) is satis ed. Thus, every ground-state con guration has a unique matrix g. W e substitute (3.26), (3.34) and (3.36c) into (C 4) and (C 5). Choosing ! = =4, for simplicity, we nd

$$= = \frac{p \frac{p}{0} + \frac{2}{2} (1 - 2)}{0} p \frac{1}{1 - 2}; \quad (C7)$$

and

$$\cos \frac{1}{2} = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{2} + \frac{p}{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$\sin \frac{1}{2} = \frac{p}{\frac{2}{2} + \frac{2}{2}} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}};$$
 (C 8)

Using (C7) and (C8) in (B8) we obtain

$${}_{A} = {}_{A} = {}^{p} \frac{1}{1 {}^{2}};$$

$${}_{B} = {}_{B} = \frac{{}_{z} {}^{p} \frac{1}{1 {}^{2}} {}^{p} \frac{1}{1 {}^{2}}:$$
(C 9)

Now, it is straightforward to express A, B, C and D in terms of and , and hence to reconstruct the matrix g as in (4.4).

- ¹ Z F. E zawa, Quantum Hall E ects: Field Theoretical Approach and Related Topics (W orld Scientic, 2000).
- ² S.D as Sarm a and A.P inczuk (eds), P erspectives in Q uantum HallE ects (W iley, 1997).
- ³ In the standard literature the spin-ferrom agnet and

pseudospin-singlet phase is called the ferrom agnet phase, while the spin-singlet and pseudospin-ferrom agnet phase is called the singlet phase. Here, we call them the spin phase and the ppin phase to respect their equal partnership.

⁴ L.Zheng, R.J.R adtke and S.D as Samma, Phys. Rev. Lett.

78 (1997) 2453.

- ⁵ S.D as Samm a, S.Sachdev and L.Zheng, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79 (1997) 917; Phys.Rev.B58 (1998) 4672.
- ⁶ V. Pellegrini, A. Pinczuk, B.S. Dennis, A.S. Plaut, L.N. Pfei er and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 310; Science 281 (1998) 799.
- ⁷ A .Saw ada, Z F E zaw a, H .O hno, Y .H orikoshi, Y .O hno, S. K ishim oto, F .M atsukura, M .Yasum oto and A .U rayam a Phys.Rev.Lett. 80 (1998) 4534.
- ⁸ L.Brey, E.Dem ler and S.Das Sarm a, Phys.Rev.Lett.83 (1999) 168.
- ⁹ J. Schliem ann and A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 4437.

- ¹⁰ Z F. E zawa and G. T sitsishvili, Phys. Rev. B70 (2004) 125304.
- 11 K .H asebe and Z F .E zawa, Phys.Rev.B66 (2002) 155318.
- ¹² Z F.E zawa, G.T sitsishvili and K.H asebe, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 125314.
- ¹³ A H.M acD onald, R.Rajaram an and T.Jungwirth, Phys. Rev.B60 (1999) 8817.
- ¹⁴ A. Sawada, Z.F. Ezawa, H. Ohno, Y. Horikoshi, A. Urayama, Y. Ohno, S. Kishim oto, F. Matsukura and N. Kumada, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 14888.
- ¹⁵ E.Dem ler and S.D as Samma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3895.