Steady state particle distribution of a dilute sedim enting suspension

Bogdan Cichocki and Krzysztof Sadlej W arsaw University, Institute of Theoretical Physics Hoza 69, 00-681 W arsaw, Poland (D ated: 14th A pril 2024)

Sedimentation of a non-Brownian suspension of hard particles is studied. It is shown that in the low concentration limit a two-particle distribution function ensuring nite particle correlation length can be found and explicitly calculated. The sedimentation coe cient is computed. Results are compared with experiment.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In recent years the fam iliar problem of sedim entation of a non-B row nian suspension of particles has gained interest as new insight into the phenom enon is gathered. D espite vivid research in the eld, som e questions still rem ain intriguing puzzles. Even when considering observation tim e scales and dim ension regimes, which sanction the neglect of B row nian motion, the dynam ics show to be m any-body and highly chaotic leading to astonishing consequences.

One of the main, still open problems, is the determ ination of the particle probability distribution function for a steadily sedimenting suspension. It was st challenged by Batchelor [1]. In order to derive an equation for the pair-distribution function he considered a polidisperse suspension. Taking then the lim it of identical particles, a particle distribution function for mono-disperse suspensions could be derived. But these results where shown to be am biguous (depending on the way the lim it is taken) and therefore doubtful ([1], [2], [3]). The problem of particle distribution is often skimmed over by the assum ption, that the particles are random ly distributed in the uid. Indeed this will be the case, if Brownian motions play a signi cant role in the dynam ics of the system, creating a random ising mechanism. But whenever this type of stochastic motion is absent, there seems to be no justi cation for such assumptions. Further, it has been [4] pointed out, that a equilibrium (equal probability) distribution of particles results in characteristics of a non-Brownian suspension, which have not been detected in experiments [5]. Moreover it can actually be explicitly shown that such a distribution cannot be a solution of the Liouville equation incorporating full multi-body interactions, therefore falling com pletely out of consideration.

In this work we propose a way to tack le this issue. The derivation of the statistical properties of the system will be based on the conjecture that correlations in steady state must be of nite length. This assumption, originating in the basic ideas of statistical mechanics, has profound consequences. It, as will be shown, leads to an e ective equation for the pair distribution function. Truncation of long range hydrodynam ic interactions will be achieved through a screening mechanism similar in spirit to that introduced by Koch& Shaqfeh [6].

II. BBGKY HIERARCHY

The system under consideration is a suspension of N spheres of equal radius a immersed in an incompressible uid of shear viscosity . In the regime studied the particle Reynolds number is assumed to be small enough to treat inertial e ects as virtually absent. Moreover the Peclet number describing the relative in uence of hydrodynamic e ects to Brownian motion, is presumed to be large. On those assumptions and on the laboratory time scales imposed the uid motion is governed by the stationary Stokes equation. The dynamics of the particles are therefore given by the equations of motion

$$\frac{dR_{i}}{dt} = U_{i} = \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j=1}}^{X^{N}} (X_{j})F_{j}$$
(1)

where is the translational part of the mobility matrix, principally a matrix function of the conguration $X = (R_1; :::; R_N)$ of all the particles (if not indicated otherwise, dimensionless - normalised to 2a - distances will be used). It describes the hydrodynamic interactions between particles and connects the forces acting on particles to the velocities they acquire in a given conguration. The forces F_j will be assumed to be constant and equal F for all particles.

The particle distribution function satis es the Liouville equation, which for the system considered, has the following structure

$$\frac{(0 (X;t))}{(0t)} + \frac{X}{r_{i}} r_{i} (X)F (X;t) = 0 (2)$$

Them obility matrix can be found following a form alprocedure presented for example in ref.[7]. Sum marising, in order to calculate the hydrodynamic interaction, the boundary conditions on the particles surfaces are substituted by induced force densities introduced for each particle. These force densities, induced by uid ow, produce subsequent uid velocity elds which are propagated and reject o other particles and thereby cause interactions. In consequence, the mobility matrix can

E lectronic address: K rzysztof.Sadlej@ fuw edu pl

be expressed as a scattering sequence equivalent to a superposition of all these re ections. This sequence contains one-particle scattering operators, which construct induced force densities on particles given velocity elds around them, and G reen operators which propagate the in uence of a force density concentrated on a given particle, resulting in uid velocity elds around other particles. In an unbounded, in nite system, the G reen operator is the O seen tensor.

The full N-body mobility matrix can therefore be expanded in terms of the number of particles which enter each term of the scattering sequence. This so called cluster expansion has the structure

where $_{ij}^{(s)}(1:::;s)$ denotes all the term s of the scattering sequence of which involve only, but all the particles f1;:::;sg.

Next we introduce reduced distribution functions

n (1;2;:::;s) =
$$\frac{N!}{(N-s)!}^{Z}$$
 d(s+1) dN (X)

These functions represent the average densities of pairs, triplets, etc. of particles in given con gurations.

An integration of the Liouville equation in all the variables except the rst s, together with the cluster expansion of the hydrodynam ic interactions, leads to an in nite hierarchy of equations governing the time evolution of the reduced distribution functions. This is the analogue of the BBGKY (Bogolubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Y von) hierarchy introduced in the kinetic theory of gases [8]. It should be considered in the therm odynam ic lim it when the number of particles and the volume of the system go to in nity, while the density is kept constant. The equations for the two and three particle distribution functions have the structure

$$\frac{\text{Qn}(12)}{\text{Qt}} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \text{r}_{i} \\ Z \\ X \\ \text{d3} \\ \text{r}_{i} \\ \text{I}_{i;2} \\$$

where for example

$$[F]_{1}(12;3) = [\frac{(2)}{11}(13) + \frac{(2)}{13}(13) + \frac{X^{3}}{1j}(123)]F$$

$$j=1$$
(6)

and

$$\frac{\text{@n (123)}}{\text{@t}} = \begin{array}{c} X^{3} \\ r_{i} \\ i_{j=1} \end{array} r_{j} (123)Fn(123) + \dots (7)$$

where in both equations, terms depending on higherorder distribution functions have been om itted.

The reduced distribution functions factorize for groups of particles that are far away from each other. Therefore these functions can be expanded in terms of correlation functions according to

$$n (12) = h (1)h (2) + h (12)$$

$$n (123) = h (1)h (2)h (3) + h (12)h (3)$$

$$+ h (13)h (2) + h (23)h (1) + h (123)$$
(8)

where h (1:::s) is a s-particle correlation function which vanishes whenever any subset of particles is dragged away from the rest. If the correlations in a system are to be of nite length, which will be assumed, the correlation functions must decay faster then the inverse of the interparticle distance cubed.

U sing the expansion (8), the BBGKY hierarchy for the reduced distribution functions can be transcribed into a hierarchy of equations for the time evolution of the successive correlation functions. An analysis of these equations shows that if system con guration is such that particles form two uncorrelated clusters, some expressions on the rhs, form ulated in terms of the scattering sequence, contain single G reen operators (so called connection or (4 particulation lines [7], [9]) joining these two groups of par-

ticles. Such terms result in long range contributions because a solitary G reen operator includes slow ly decaying parts proportional to 1=r where r is the relative distance between the groups and = 1;2;3. The evolution of a correlation function is therefore given by an equation which contains long range terms and is therefore nonlocal in space. This structure of the hierarchy equations is inconsistent with the nite correlation length assumed for the correlation functions. As will be shown in the next section, considering the low concentration limit a particle distribution can be found, which cancels out all long range terms in the hierarchy, thereby saving nite correlation length.

III. LOW CONCENTRATION LIM IT PAIR DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION

In the low concentration lim it the dom inating part of a s-particle reduced distribution function is proportional to n^s . Consequently, the set terms of the hierarchy equations have a well established order in density if the concentration of particles is low.

C onsider the equation giving the time evolution of the two-particle correlation function. It is derived through a substitution of (8) into (5). In the lowest order of density it vanishes due to the relation [1]

X
r_i (12) = 0: (9)
$$i;j=1;2$$

which is a consequence of the isotropic nature of the hydrodynamic interactions. The two-particle equation

yields therefore in the dilute limit no condition for the two-particle correlation function. This peculiarity was also encountered by Bathelor [1]. His solution was based on the analysis of a polidisperse suspension where the two-particle equation yields a condition, but was shown to be am biguous ([1],[2],[3]). We turn our attention to the next hierarchy equation, i.e. the third equation which emerges when (8) is addressed to (7), truncated to the term s proportional to the density cubed.

A spointed out, the r.h.s of this equation contains long range term s. If all three particles are far away from each other, both sides of the equation contain only shot range term s. But consider the case when one particle (e.g. the particle with index 1) is far away from a close pair of particles (consequently particles with indices 2 and 3). A ll the term s, which in such a con guration lead to long range contributions can be identi ed and singled out resulting in the expression

where each vertical line stands for a single G reen operator connecting uncorrelated particles. For example $_{21}$ (23 jl) stands for the sum of all terms of the scattering sequence of $_{21}^{(3)}$ (123) that contain only a single G reen operator connecting particle 1 and the group (2,3).

In what follows, we will show that there exists a twoparticle probability distribution function which cancels out these long range terms and therefore leads to nite correlation length


In equation (10) the long range contributions appear in the G reen operators binding particle 1 with the group consisting of particles 2 and 3. Their explicit form is retrieved through an analysis of the multipole matrix elem ents of the G reen operators, which can be found e.g. in [9].

W hen taking into account the symmetry relations upon exchange of particles, and a change of variables where r becomes the vector joining the distant particle and the center of the group of close particles, while R the vector denoting the relative position of the two particles within the group, we reach the conclusion that most of the long range contributions cancel out autom atically - only the multipoles proportional to the inverse of the inter-particle distance survive.

A further expansion in terms of $R = \frac{1}{7} j (R r)$ shows that only terms proportional to $1=r^2$ remain. The equation which emerges has an identical structure to the one derived by Batchelor& G reen [10] and describing the relative motion of a pair of particles in a shear ow. The resulting particle distribution function $n(R) = n^2 g(R)$ is isotropic. It is given by the solution of the di erential equation

$$(1 \quad A)\frac{1}{g}\frac{dg}{dR} \quad \frac{3(A \quad B)}{R} \quad \frac{dA}{dR} = 0 \quad (11)$$

A and B are functions of the scalar distance R given by the relations $A = 2(\frac{td}{11} + \frac{td}{12})=R$ and $B = 2(\frac{td}{11} + \frac{td}{12})=R$ Figure 1: The two-particle reduced distribution function g (R) satisfying the nite correlation length criterion



where ${}^{td}_{ij}$ and ${}^{td}_{ij}$ are functions appearing in the explicit form of the generalised two-particle mobility matrix connecting the translational velocity of the particles to the stresslet [11]. Since $\lim_{R \to 1} g(R) = 1$

$$g(R) = \frac{1}{1 A} \exp \left(\frac{Z_{1}}{R} \frac{3(B A)}{R(1 A)} \right) dR$$
 (12)

N ote that this solution is independent of the direction distinguished by the external force eld, the e ects of which are in the low concentration lim it completely dispersed by the isotropic hydrodynam ic interactions. Further, to verify the consistency of the reasoning in posed, it can be shown that the two-particle distribution function derived (12) guarantees convergence of all term s up to order n^3 in equation (5) governing the tim e evolution of the twoparticle reduced distribution function.

IV. RESULTS

A. Stationary particle distribution function


The functions A (R) and B (R) can be expressed as series in inverse powers of inter-particle distance [11]. In numerical approximations of the integral (12) these sequences are truncated at 1000 and 800 terms respectively. Lubrication corrections and far limit asymptotic with g(R) 1 0:1953=R⁶ are taken into account. The computed function g(R) is plotted at g.1. Further, the structure factor at k = 0 is calculated: S(0) = 1 1:64.

B. Sedim entation coe cient

In the low concentration lim it the average sedimentation velocity U of a particle in the suspension measured relative to the Stokes velocity U_0 may be expanded in a series in the powers of volume fraction = 4 a³n=3.

$$\frac{U}{U_0} = 1 + K + O(^2)$$
(13)

Figure 2: D in ensionless sedim entation velocity as a function of volume fraction – experimental results after H anratty et al.[14]. Points represent experimental data and the solid curve is a t. The solid line represents the sedimentation coe cient K = 3.87, whereas the dotted line is the coe cient for a suspension in equilibrium with K = 6.55.



The linear coe cient K can be expressed in terms of a microscopic expression [13] involving two-body mobility matrices and the pair distribution function.

$$K = K_{0} + \frac{2}{0} \sum_{R_{12} = 1}^{Z} (g(12) = 1) Tr \sum_{i=1}^{X^{2}} (12) dR_{12}$$
(14)

where $K_0 = 6.546$ ([13], [12]) is the sedim entation coefcient for a equilibrium distribution of hard spheres and $_0$ is the mobility of a single sphere. D in ension less distance R_{12} normalised to 2a is adopted. The integral can be interpreted as a correction emerging due to the change of the distribution away from equilibrium. A num erical calculation yields the result K = 3.87. It is com pared

with experim ental data of H anratty et al. on g.2. A t to the experim ental data of H am et al. [15] leads to the sedim entation coe cient equal to 3:9, in excellent agreem ent with the calculated value.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown that a mono-disperse suspension of hard, non-Brownian particles can develop a microstructure which insures nite correlation length. A scheme based on the form al schemes of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics and a detailed analysis of hydrodynamic interaction was used in the low-concentration regime to derive an equation for the two-particle distribution function. The solution shows to be isotropic due to the dom ination of the isotropic hydrodynam ic interactions. The mechanism which insures the cuto of long range correlations can be interpreted as hydrodynam ic screening in the sense that the micro-structure of the suspension arranges itself in a way which truncates long range parts of the interactions. A close pair of particles feels the in uence of a third distant particle through an e ective shearing ow. Screening leads to a con guration of the close pair, which hinders the e ect of the distant particle. The idea, that a suspension m ight develop a m icrostucture which changes the characteristics of it, was introduced by K och& Shaqfeh [6] upon the analysis of the problem of diverging velocity uctuation.

Further, the sedim entation \cos cient was calculated. The value 3:87 was found. It agrees very well with the experim ental results cited.

- [1] G.K.Batchelor, J.Fluid Mech. 119,379, (1982)
- [2] G.K. Batchelor, C.S.W en J. Fluid Mech. 124,495, (1982)
- [3] F. Feuillebois, Som e Theoretical Results for the Motion of Solid Spherical Particles in a Viscous Fluid, in Multiphase Science and Technology, edited by J. P. Hansen, D. Levesque, and J. Zinn-Justin (Elsevier, New York, 1991), p763.
- [4] R.E.Ca isch, J.H.C.Luke, Phys. Fluids 28, 259 (1985).
- [5] P.N. Segre, E.H erbolzheim er, P.M. Chaikin Phys. Rev. Lett 79, 2574 (1997).
- [6] D. L. Koch, E. S. G. Shaqfeh, J. Fluid Mech. 224, (275) (1991).
- [7] B. Cichocki, P. Szymczak J. Chem. Phys. 121, 3329 (2004).
- [8] R. Balescu, Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Statistical

Mechanics, W iley, New York, (1975)

- [9] B.Cichocki, M.L.Ekiel-Jezewska, P.Szym czak, E.W ajnryb, J.Chem. Phys. 117, 1231 (2002).
- [10] G. K. Batchelor, J. T. Green, J. Fluid Mech. 56, 401 (1972)
- [11] B.Cichocki, B.U.Felderhof, R.Schm itz Phys.Chem.Hydrodyn.10, 383 (1988).
- [12] G.K.Batchelor, J.Fluid Mech. 52, 245 (1972)
- [13] B. Cichocki, B. U. Felderhof, J. Chem. Phys. 89, 1049 (1988).
- [14] T.J. Hanratty, A. Bandukwala, AIChE J. 3, 293-6 (1957).
- [15] J. M. Ham and G. M. Hom sy, Int. J. Multiphase Flow 14, 533 (1988).