System atic treatm ent of displacem ents, strains and electric elds in density-functional perturbation theory

X ifan W u¹, D avid Vanderbilt¹, D R. Ham ann²

¹D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-8019, USA

²Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, Murray Hill, NJ 08974

(January 28, 2005)

The methods of density-functional perturbation theory may be used to calculate various physical response properties of insulating crystals including elastic, dielectric, B om charge, and piezoelectric tensors. These and other in portant tensors may be de ned as second derivatives of the total energy with respect to atom ic-displacement, electric-eld, or strain perturbations, or as mixed derivatives with respect to two of these perturbations. The resulting tensor quantities tend to be coupled in complex ways in polar crystals, giving rise to a variety of variant de nitions. For example, it is generally necessary to distinguish between elastic tensors de ned under di erent electrostatic boundary conditions, and between dielectric tensors de ned under di erent elastic boundary conditions. H ere, we describe an approach for computing all of these various response tensors in a uni ed and system – atic fashion. A pplications are presented for two materials, wurtzite ZnO and rhom bohedralB aT iO₃, at zero tem perature.

77.65.-j, 62.20 D c, 77.22 C h, 77.65 B n, 77.84.-s, 71.15 M b

I. IN TRODUCTION

The m ethods of density-functional theory $(DFT)^1$ and density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT)^{2;3} have been shown to give a successful description of the dielectric and piezoelectric properties of a wide range of m aterials in which electronic correlations are not too strong.4;5 M any properties of interest can be computed directly from DFT using nite dierences { for example, elastic constants computed from the stress arising from a smallapplied strain, or dynam icale ective charges com puted from polarizations⁶ arising from sm all sublattice displacements. On the other hand, the use of DFPT m ethods is becoming increasingly popular because it can be used to compute such response properties directly, without the need for multiple ground-state calculations, thus providing the desired response properties in a more autom ated, system atic, and reliable fashion.

As a result, improved DFPT capabilities have been implemented in recent years in several of the computer code packages commonly used by the computational electronic-structure community.^{7{9} This development has been most thorough in the case of the opensource ABINIT computer package,⁷ in which the capability for handling strain perturbations¹⁰ has recently been added to the previous im plementation of atom icdisplacem ent and electric-eld perturbations. This developm ent opens the prospect for a system atic treatm ent of three kinds of perturbations in insulating crystals on an equal footing: periodicity-preserving atom ic displacem ents (i.e., zone-center phonons), hom ogeneous electric elds, and hom ogeneous strains. These three degrees of freedom are often strongly coupled, especially in polar m aterials used in m odern ferroelectric, piezoelectric, and dielectric applications.

In this work, we show that such a system atic approach is now not only practical, but especially powerful. That is, we show that computing the full set of six elementary (or \bare") response tensors (force-constant, dielectric, elastic-constant, Bom-charge, internal-strain, and piezoelectric tensors) associated with these three kinds of perturbations provides an extrem ely valuable database that can subsequently be used to com pute a wide variety of relevant physical properties. Am ong these, for exam ple, are the physical dielectric, elastic, and piezoelectric tensors (in which atom ic displacem ents have been taken into account), elastic com pliances, free-stress dielectric tensors, xed-electric-displacem ent elastic tensors, alternative piezoelectric tensors, and electrom echanical coupling constants. The ability to access this wide range of secondary properties becom es possible only after the full set elementary response tensors has been system atically com puted.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the form al development, dening the various elementary response tensors and showing how other response tensors of interest can be derived from these. Then in Secs. III-IV we apply our approach to wurtzite ZnO and rhom bohedral B at iO $_3$ as two paradigmatic example systems. We not brie y describe the practical details of the calculations in Sec. III, and then present the results for the ground-state properties, elementary response properties, and derived response properties, in Sec. IV. We conclude with a summary in Sec. V. A careful form ulation of the theory for the case in which strains and electric elds are simultaneously present is deferred to the Appendix.

A.Elem entary response tensors

Consider an insulating crystal with N atom sper unit cell. We choose a reference state in which the lattice vectors are a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 , the cell volume is $_0$, and the atom ic coordinates are $R_m^{(0)}$. Here m is a composite label (atom and displacement direction) nunning over 1; :::; 3N, and we assume that this structure is the equilibrium one in vanishing m acroscopic electric eld.

W e consider three kinds of perturbations applied to such a crystal: (i) displacements u_m of the atom s away from their equilibrium positions, (ii) hom ogeneous strains j where j = f1:::6g in Voigt notation, and (iii) hom ogeneous electric elds E where = fx;y;zg are Cartesian directions. W e restrict our discussion to atom ic displacements that preserve the primitive-cell periodicity, i.e., to degrees of freedom corresponding to zone-center phonon m odes only. A lso, we will restrict ourselves entirely to zero-tem perature properties.

The corresponding responses that are conjugate to these three perturbations are (i) forces F_m , (ii) stresses i, and (iii) polarizations P . From these, one can construct the response functions of prim ary interest: \diagonal" responses $K_{mn} = dF_m = du_n$ (force-constant ma-= dP =dE (dielectric susceptibility), and trix), $C_{ik} = d_i = d_k$ (elastic constants), and $\langle 0 - d_i a gonal'' re$ sponse tensors $Z_m = dP = du_m$ (Born e ective charge), $m_{ij} = dF_m = d_{ij}$ (internal strain), and $e_{ij} = dP_{ij} = dP_{ij}$ (piezoelectric response). However, in order to de ne these quantities carefully, it is important to clarify the constraints or boundary conditions that apply to each de nition. For example, the elastic constants C_{ik} may be de ned allowing or not allowing internal atom ic displacem ents (\relaxed-ion" or \frozen-ion"), or under conditions of xed electric (E) or displacement (D) eld.

We take the approach here of system atically de ning all response properties as appropriate second derivatives of the energy E per unit volume with respect to the perturbations. To be more precise, in the presence of strains we de ne E as the energy per undeformed unit cell volume $_0$, while in the presence of electric elds E is modi ed to become an electric enthalpy¹¹ by adding a term proportional to P E, where P is the electric polarization.⁶ (W hile a direct treatment of nite E - elds is now possible,^{12,13} only in nitesim alE - elds need to be considered here.) In general, we de ne E as

$$E (u;E;) = \frac{1}{0} E_{cell}^{(0)} E P'; \qquad (1)$$

2

where $E_{cell}^{(0)}$ is the usual zero-eld K ohn-Sham energy per cell¹⁴ of the occupied B loch functions and is the deformed cell volume. However, when strains and electric elds are simultaneously present, care is needed in the interpretation of Eq. (1); this is explained in the Appendix, where a more precise formulation is given in the

h

form of Eq. (A11), which supersedes Eq. (1). In short, the di culty is connected with the distinction between \proper" and \improper" piezoelectric constants;¹⁵ we should like our form ulation to lead to the form er and not the latter. The factor of = 0 has been inserted in the last term of Eq. (1) towards this purpose, but this is not su cient by itself. In addition, Eq. (1) should be rew ritten in terms of λ atural variables" u, E⁰, and , where E has been replaced by a reduced electric eld E⁰ that is de ned in Eq. (A5). W hen partial derivatives are taken with respect to these natural variables, one autom atically obtains the \proper" piezoelectric tensors. Indeed, as explained in the Appendix, all appearances of E should be replaced by E^0 , with a similar replacement for polarizations, in the remainder of this paper. However, for the sake of clarity of presentation, this notation has been suppressed in the main body of the paper.

A coordingly, we provisionally write E = E (u;E;) as a function of arguments u_m , E, and $_{\rm j},$ with the understanding that the notation of the Appendix supersedes the notation used here whenever strains and electric elds are simultaneously present. We then expand around a zero-eld reference system as

$$E = E_{0} + A_{m} u_{m} + A E + A_{j j}$$

+ $\frac{1}{2}B_{mn} u_{m} u_{n} + \frac{1}{2}B E E + \frac{1}{2}B_{jk j k}$
+ $B_{m} u_{m} E + B_{m j}u_{m j} + B_{j}E_{j}$
+ term s of third and higher order: (2)

We use an implied-sum notation throughout. In this expansion, the rst-order coe cients A $_{\rm m}$, A , and A encode the forces (F $_{\rm m} = _{0} A_{\rm m}$), polarizations (P = A), and stresses ($_{\rm j} = A_{\rm j}$), respectively. (Henceforth we shall assume that the atom ic coordinates and strains are fully relaxed in the reference system, so that $A_{\rm m} = A_{\rm j} = 0$.) The diagonal-block second-order coe - cients B $_{\rm m}$ n, B and B $_{\rm jk}$ and o -diagonal second-order coe constant, elastic-constant, and susceptibility tensors, and to the B om-charge, internal-displacement, and piezoelectric tensors, respectively.

Inserting appropriate signs and cell-volum e factors, the elementary second-derivative response-function tensors are de ned as follows. The force-constant m atrix

$$K_{mn} = {}_{0} \frac{{}_{0}{}^{2}E}{{}_{0}u_{m} {}_{0}u_{n}} ; \qquad (3)$$

the frozen-ion dielectric susceptibility

=

$$\frac{e^2 E}{e^2 E} ; \qquad (4)$$

and the frozen-ion elastic tensor

$$C_{jk} = \frac{\varrho^2 E}{\varrho_{j} \varrho_{k}}$$
(5)

are the elem entary diagonalblock tensors, while the o - diagonalblocks are the Born dynam icale ective charge tensor

$$Z_{ma} = _{0} \frac{\underline{\theta}^{2}E}{\underline{\theta}u_{m} \underline{\theta}E} ; \qquad (6)$$

the force-response internal-strain tensor

$$m_{j} = 0 \frac{\theta^{2}E}{\theta u_{m} \theta_{j}} ; \qquad (7)$$

and the frozen-ion piezoelectric tensor

$$e_{j} = \frac{e^{2}E}{e_{j}} : \qquad (8)$$

The bar on quantities , C_{jk} , and e_{j} indicates a frozen-ion quantity, i.e., the fact that atom ic coordinates are not allowed to relax as the electric eld or hom ogeneous strain is applied. Note that the clam ped-ion elastic tensor C_{jk} and piezoelectric tensor e_{j} are generally not physically relevant quantities, except in cases of high symmetry where atom ic displacements do not occur to rst order in strain. The clam ped-ion susceptibility tensor is the purely electronic one that is measured in response to AC or optical elds at frequencies well above the phonon-frequency range (corresponding to 1 in the polariton language).

The force-response internal-strain tensor min must be distinguished from the displacem ent-response internalstrain tensor $n_j = m_j (K^{-1})_{m_n}$ that describes the rstorder displacem ents resulting from a rst-order strain; both occur in the literature, frequently without careful di erentiation. The piezoelectric tensor e i (often denoted alternatively as c i) describes the change of polarization arising from a strain, or a stress arising from a change of E-eld, while the d, g, and h piezoelectric tensors are de ned under di erent constraints and have slightly di erent physicalm eanings.¹⁶ F inally, we rem ind the reader that there is some subtlety in the de nition of the piezoelectric tensors related to the speci cation of the energy functional when both elds and strains are present, leading to a distinction between \proper" and \improper" piezoelectric constants¹⁵ as will be discussed m ore fully in the Appendix. Throughout this paper, we adopt the convention that all piezoelectric tensors are \proper" ones unless otherw ise noted.

W e shall refer to the quantities de ned in Eqs. (3-8) as the \elementary" or \bare" response tensors. These are the quantities that will be calculated once and for all using the DFPT capabilities of a code package such as A B IN IT. All of the derived tensor properties described in the following subsections can then be calculated from these using sim plem atrix manipulations, as we shall see.

B.Relaxed-ion tensors

G enerally, the physical static response properties of interest must take into account the relaxations of the ionic coordinates. This becomes especially important for non-centrosymmetric polar systems, such as ferroelectric ones, where these various elects become coupled. Instead of \clamped-ion" quantities , C and e de ned at xed u, we can de ne the corresponding \relaxed-ion" or \dressed" response tensors C, , and e as follows. To develop expressions for these, we let

$$E^{*}(;E) = \min_{u;E}(u;E;):$$
 (9)

Referring back to Eq. (2), setting $@E = @u_n = 0$, @E = @E = 0, and @E = @j = 0, and assuming that the reference con guration is one in which the forces A_m vanish, we nd

$$0 = B_{nm} u_m + B_n E + B_{nj j}$$

from which it follows that

$$u_m = (B^{1})_{mn} [B_{njj} + B_n E]$$
: (10)

De ning

$$= \frac{e^2 \mathcal{B}}{e^2 e^2} ; \qquad (11)$$

$$C_{jk} = \frac{e^{2}E}{e_{j}e_{k}}; \qquad (12)$$

$$e_{j} = \frac{\theta^{2} \mathbf{E}}{\theta \mathbf{E} \theta_{j}}; \qquad (13)$$

and using Eqs. (3-8), we nd that the physical relaxedion dielectric, elastic, and piezoelectric tensors become

$$= + {}_{0}{}^{1} Z_{m} (K {}^{1})_{m n} Z_{n} ; \qquad (14)$$

$$_{jk} = C_{jk} \qquad _{0}^{1} \qquad _{mj} (K \qquad ^{1})_{mn \ nk};$$
 (15)

$$e_{j} = e_{j} + {}_{0}{}^{1}Z_{m} (K^{1})_{mn nj};$$
 (16)

respectively.

С

Note that Eqs. (14-16) cannot be naively evaluated as written because the force-constant matrix K is singular, due to the fact that K has three vanishing eigenvalues associated with translational symmetry. Moreover, in soft-mode systems, other eigenvalues may be close to zero, and care should be taken to distinguish these from the translational ones. For these reasons, we have im plemented a careful procedure for obtaining the \pseudo-inverse" of K; throughout these notes, whenever we refer to K⁻¹, we really mean the pseudo-inverse.

W e proceed as follows. (i) W e identify the threedimensional space of acoustic modes (i.e., uniform translations), and construct a (3N) (3N) orthogonal matrix U whose ist three columns correspond to these translationalm odes; the remaining columns of U are form ed by Graham -Schm idt orthogonalization of the basis. (ii) W e construct K 0 = U K U T , whose upper 3 3 block represents the acoustic subspace and therefore ought to be zero. (iii) W e let K $_{red}^{0}$ be the lower (3N 3) (3N 3) block of K⁰, corresponding to the reduction to the com plem entary subspace of optical modes. (iv) W e invert K⁰_{red} by standard m eans (taking appropriate m easures in case this matrix is nearly singular, as when soft modes have nearly vanishing frequencies). Let the result be denoted as $(K^{-1})^0_{red}$. (v) We pad $(K^{-1})^0_{red}$ with zeros in the rst three rows and columns to form the (3N) (3N)matrix $(K^{-1})^0$. (vi) Finally, we de ne the pseudo-inverse ofK to be K $^{1} = U^{T} (K ^{1})^{0} U$.

Thus, by construction, the resulting pseudo-inverse K 1 is zero in the subspace of translationalm odes, and is the inverse of the originalmatrix in the complementary subspace. As a result, any time K 1 is multiplied by another tensor, a pre-projection onto the complementary subspace of dimension 3N 3 is electively carried out. In other words, the acoustic sum rule is electively enforced in any operation involving K 1 .

C.Other derived tensor quantities

In the previous subsection, we showed how to obtain the static dielectric susceptibility tensor , the elastic tensor C_{jk}, and the piezoelectric tensor e_j. These quantities are de ned under conditions of controlled strain and electric eld. From these three ingredients, it is straightforward to form m any other useful tensor quantities describing physical properties de ned under other constraints or boundary conditions, as we shall see in this section.

1. Dielectric tensors

The susceptibility tensor is de ned at xed (vanishing) strain; the corresponding dielectric tensor is

$$() = 0 (+); (17)$$

where $_0$ is the susceptibility of free space (SI units are used throughout) and the superscript () indicates that the derivative is taken at xed strain. O fren one is interested instead in the free-stress dielectric tensor

$$() = 0 + ()$$
 (18)

which incorporates the free-stress susceptibility ⁽⁾. An expression for the latter is easily derived from the elastic enthalpy

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}) = \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F})_{j j}$$
(19)

Following a line of reasoning similar to that leading from Eq. (9) to Eqs. (14-16) and setting j = 0, one obtains

$$() = + e_{j} (C^{-1})_{jk} e_{k}$$
: (20)

Typically, an AC dielectric m easurem ent will access the true static susceptibility () as long as the frequency is much less than that of sample resonances (elongational, bending, or torsional modes), and () at frequencies much higher than sample resonances (but much less than phonon frequencies).

Before leaving this subsection, we note that it is convenient to de ne inverse dielectric tensors

(

$$() = (())^{1};$$
 (21)

$$) = (())^{1}$$
 (22)

for later use.

2. E lastic and com pliance tensors

The elastic tensor C_{jk} de ned in Sec.IIB is the one dened under conditions of xed (vanishing) electric eld: C_{jk} = C_{jk}^(E). It may sometimes be of interest to treat instead the elastic tensor C_{jk}^(D) de ned under conditions of xed electric displacement eld D. For example, in the case of a thin lm of dielectric material sandwiched between much thicker layers of other insulating host materials, the electrostatic boundary conditions x the component of D, not E, norm alto the interfaces. O ne readily obtains

$$C_{jk}^{(D)} = C_{jk}^{(E)} + e_{j}^{(c)} e_{k}$$
: (23)

It is also straightforward to obtain the corresponding elastic compliance tensors either under zero E-eld

$$S^{(E)} = (C^{(E)})^{-1}$$
 (24)

or under zero D – eld

$$S^{(D)} = (C^{(D)})^{1}$$
 (25)

boundary conditions.

3. Piezoelectric tensors

The form ulation of an energy functional appropriate to the simultaneous treatment of strains and electric elds is rather subtle, as discussed in the Appendix. There, we show that the proper (relaxed-ion) piezoelectric tensor e_{jk} introduced in Sec. IIB m ay be written as

$$e_{j} = \frac{@P}{@_{j}_{E}}$$
(26)

or equivalently, by a therm odynam ic relation, 17;16

$$e_{j} = \frac{e_{j}}{e_{E}}$$
; (27)

where it is understood (see Appendix) that in these and subsequent equations, P and E are to be interpreted as the reduced polarization P⁰ and electric eld E⁰ and of Eqs. (A 4) and (A 5), respectively. This is done so that Eqs. (26) and (27) will yield the proper, rather than the im proper, piezoelectric tensor.¹⁵

In view of Eq. (27), e_j is sometimes referred to as a \piezoelectric stress constant." In any case, it is the natural piezoelectric constant de ned under conditions of controlled E and . On the other hand, the \piezoelectric strain constant" d_j, de ned under conditions of controlled E and , is equally or even m ore commonly discussed in the literature; it is de ned via

$$d_{j} = \frac{\underline{\theta}_{j}}{\underline{\theta}E}$$
(28)

or

$$d_{j} = \frac{@P}{@_{j}E}$$
(29)

and is given in term s of e via

$$d_{j} = S_{jk}^{(E)} e_{k}$$
 (30)

as can again be derived from thermodynamic relations.^{17;16} Two other, less commonly used, piezoelectric tensors are g $_j$ and h $_j$, de ned under conditions of xed (D;) and (D;), respectively, and given by

$$g_{j} = {}^{()}d_{j};$$
 (31)

$$h_{j} = (e_{j}; (32))$$

where the are the inverse dielectric tensors de ned in Eqs. (21-22). These have the properties

$$j = g_{j} D;$$

 $E = g_{j j};$
 $j = h_{j} D;$
 $E = h_{j j}:$ (33)

4. Piezoelectric coupling coe cients

The most common de nition of the piezoelectric coupling factor k $_{\rm j}$ is given by $^{16;18}$

$$k_{j} = \frac{j a_{j} j}{(s_{j})} \frac{j a_{j}}{(s_{j})}$$
(34)

This applies to the case where the eld is applied only along and the only non-zero stress is the one with Voigt label j. For example, k_{33} is a dimensionless measure of the coupling of electric and strain degrees of freedom along the \hat{z} axis. Roughly speaking, a coupling factor close to unity implies an excellent impedance m atch for the material used as an electrom echanical transducer between the speci ed electric and elastic channels (a coupling factor greater than unity is forbidden by stability considerations).¹⁶

Note that k_j in Eq. (34) does not transform like a tensor, and the usual implied sum notation does not apply to this equation. Instead, we can de ne a tensorially correct, dimensionless coupling tensor via

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} () \end{bmatrix}^{1=2} d (E) \end{bmatrix}^{1=2};$$
 (35)

where an obvious matrix-product notation is used. The standard \singular-value decomposition" can be used to write K as

$$K = U \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=}} K_1 \stackrel{0}{=} 0 \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=}} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=}} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=}} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=}} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{\stackrel{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{\stackrel{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{\stackrel{(0)}{=} \stackrel{0}{\overset{(0)}{\stackrel{$$

de ned by requiring that U and V be orthogonal 3 3 and 6 6 m atrices respectively, and that the K should be positive. (A lternatively, the K² can be determ ined as the eigenvalues of the 3 3 sym metric matrix K K^T = $[()]^{1=2} dC (E) d^{T} [()]^{1=2}$.) For each singular value, the corresponding columns of U and V give the pattern of electric eld and of strain, respectively, that are directly coupled to one another by K.

The coupling factors can be related to di erences between dielectric or compliance tensors de ned under different boundary conditions. Starting from Eqs. (18-20)and Eqs. (23-25), one can show

$$S^{(E)} = d^{T} () d = [S^{(E)}]^{1-2} K^{T} K [S^{(E)}]^{1-2}$$
: (38)

Specializing to high-symmetry situations in which is necessarily diagonal, one nds, for example,

$$() () ()$$
 = \mathbb{K}^2 : (39)

III. M ETHODS AND DETA ILS OF THE CALCULATIONS

O ur ab-initio calculations were carried out using the ABINIT code package.^{7;19} Speci cally, we rst carried out full structural relaxations for both m aterials. Next, response-function calculations were carried out in order to obtain rst derivatives of the occupied wavefunctions with respect to the perturbations of atom ic displacements (i.e., phonons at q = 0), uniform electric eld, and strain. These were then used to compute the elementary second-derivative response-function tensors, Eqs. (3-8),

of Sec. IIA. Except for the diagonal elem ents of som e elem entary tensors, this was done using a non-variational expression that only requires input of one of the two corresponding wavefunction derivatives.² (A s for the \m ixed derivative" tensors , e and Z , strain derivatives were used for and e, and displacem ent derivatives were used for Z 20) F inally, from these elem entary response tensors, the various secondary response tensors of Secs. IIB and IIC are obtained according to the form ulas given there. All calculations are at zero tem perature.

The DFT and DFPT calculations for ZnO and BaTiO₃ were carried out using Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials²¹ and a plane-wave energy cuto of 50 hartree. The Zn pseudopotential includes the 3d electrons in the valence, as this has been shown to be in portant for accurate results.²² An 8 8 8 and 6 6 6 B rillouin-zone k-point sampling were used for ZnO and BaTiO₃ respectively. The exchange and correlation effects were treated within the local-density approximation (LDA) in the Ceperley-Alder²³ form with the Perdew-W ang²⁴ param eterization.

Finally, we made one additional modi cation in the case of BaT iO₃, where it is well known that the usual underestimation of the equilibrium lattice constant associated with the local-density approximation has an unusually profound in uence on the ferroelectric distortion, which is very sensitive to cell volum e.²⁵ Therefore, to get more physically meaningful results, we carried out the initial structural relaxation with the cell volum e constrained to be that of the experimental structure at zero temperature. This is similar in spirit to the use of a \negative ctitious pressure" that is a standard feature of many rst-principles based studies of ferroelectric perovskite materials.²⁵

IV . RESULTS FOR TW O SAMPLE SYSTEM S: ZnO AND Batio $_{3}$

In this section, we consider two paradigm atic system s, wurtzite ZnO and rhom bohedral BaTiO₃. The electrom echanical properties of ZnO make it a widely used material in mechanical actuators and piezoelectric sensor applications, while BaTiO₃ is a prototypical perovskite ferroelectric material. It is of particular interest to com – pare and contrast the behavior of these two materials in view of the fact that BaTiO₃ is a soft-mode system, while ZnO is not. Thism ay help provide insight into the role of the softmode, which can be expected to lead to enhanced piezoelectric and dielectric response and enhanced couplings. We used the results of our ground-state DFT calculations, and then present the results for the various linear-response tensors as de ned in Sec. II.

B ecause ZnO is not a soft-m ode system, its properties depend only weakly on tem perature, so that it is not unreasonable to compare room -tem perature experimental results with zero-tem perature theory. BaT iO $_3$ is a di er-

TABLE I. Structuralparam eters of ZnO .Lattice constants a and c in A; u is dim ensionless internal param eter.

	а	С	c=a	u
P resent work	3.197	5.166	1.616	0.380
P revious theory ^a	3,286	5,241	1.595	0.383
Expt. ^b	3.250	5.210	1.602	0.382

^aRef.28.

^bRef.29.

ent matter, as its properties depend crucially on tem perature. The room -tem perature tetragonalphase has indeed been thoroughly studied, and as a result, its dielectric, elastic, and piezoelectric constants have been system atically measured.^{26;27} However, there are form idable di culties associated with preparing single-crystal, singledom ain samples of the low-tem perature rhom bohedral phase, and of carrying out dielectric and elastic m easurements on such samples at low temperature under wellde ned electric and elastic boundary conditions. As a result, almost no reliable experim ental values are available for the corresponding materials constants at very low tem perature. Therefore, for the purposes of this paper, we have adopted the approach of providing com parisons with experiment for ZnO wherever possible to benchmark our approach, and of presenting our calculations of the low-tem perature properties of B aT iO 3 as predictions for a system that is di cult to characterize experim entally.

A.R elaxed structural properties

1.ZnO

The ground state of ZnO is a tetrahedrally coordinated w urtzite structure (space group P $6_{3}m$ c, point group C $_{6v}$) with four atom s per unit cell. The structure is determined by three parameters: the hexagonal edge a, the height of the prism c, and the internal parameter u. The structural results from our full relaxation are given in Table I. For comparison, an ideal wurtzite with exactly tetrahedral angles and equal-length bonds would have u = 3=8 and $c=a = \frac{8}{8=3}$. As is typical of DFT calculations, we not that the lattice constants are underestimm ated by 1-2%.

TABLE II. Relaxed structure of rhom bohedral BaT iO $_3$. Lattice constant a and atom ic displacements (relative to ideal cubic positions) in A; rhom bohedral angle in degrees.

	a		z (T i)	_x (O)	z (O)
T heory	4.00	89.85	0.043	0.049	0.077
Expt.ª	4.00	89.90	0.052 12	0.044 8	0.072 8

^aRef.30.

TABLE III. Frequencies (in cm 1) of the zone-center optical phonon m odes in wurtzite ZnO .

sym m etry character	T heory	Expt. ³¹	$Expt^{32}$
A ₁ (TO)	390	380	380
A ₁ (LO)	548	574	579
B ₁	261		
E ₁ (TO)	409	407	413
E ₁ (LO)	552	583	591
E ₂	91	101	101
E ₂	440	437	444

2. BaTiO₃

BaT iO₃ is a prototypical example of the class of perovskite ferroelectric materials. These materials normally have the paraelectric cubic perovskite structure at high tem perature, but then undergo a ferroelectric instability as the tem perature is reduced. BaT iO $_3$ actually goes through a series of three ferroelectric phase transitions as the symmetry is rst tetragonal, then orthorhom bic, and then rhom bohedral, with polarization respectively along [100], [110], and [111], with decreasing tem perature. The ground-state rhom bohedralstructure (space group R 3m, point group C_{3v}) is fully determ ined by its lattice constant, rhom bohedralangle, and the sym m etry-allow ed internalatom ic displacem ents along the [111] direction. We represent the rhom bohedralphase in the hexagonal coordinate system, in which the z axis is along the previous [111] direction. Table II lists the structural param eters of our relaxed BaT iO₃, in which we constrained the atom ic volum e to be equal to the experim ental one as explained in Sec. III. The remaining structural parameters can be seen to be in good agreem ent with experim ent.

B.D isplacem ent response tensors

1. ZnO

W urtzite ZnO belongs to space group P $6_3m c (C_{6v}^4)$. Standard group-theory analysis shows that the -point phonon m odes can be decom posed as

$$_{opt} = A_1 \quad 2B_1 \quad E_1 \quad 2E_2$$
 (40)

in which the A_1 and E_1 modes are both Raman and IR active, while the nonpolar E_2 modes are Raman active only and B_1 modes are silent. Shown in Table III are our computed phonon frequencies compared with two experimental results, showing good agreement with experiment.

Because of the wurtzite symmetry of ZnO, the e ective charge tensor Z has only two independent elements, while the force-response internal-strain tensor has only

TABLE IV. Independent elements of the Born e ective charge tensor Z (in units of e) and of the force-response internal strain tensor (hartree/bohr) for wurtzite ZnO.

Z _{xz} (Zn) 2.135	Z _{zz} (Zn) 2,163		
_{x5} (Zn)	_{x6} (Zn)	_{z3} (Zn)	_{y1} (O)
9.5	15.0	18.7	16.7

four independent elements. We present results for both tensors in Table IV. For this sem iconductor material, it can be seen that the elective charge is very close to the nom inalionic charge.

2. BaTiO₃

The low-tem perature phase of BaT iO $_3$ has a rhom bohedral structure which belongs to the R 3m space group. According to a group-theory analysis, the zone-center phonon frequencies can be decom posed as

$$_{opt} = 3A_1 \quad 4E \quad A_2 :$$
 (41)

The A₁ and E modes are both IR and Raman active, while the A₂ mode is silent. Table V gives the calculated phonon frequencies at the point. (The A₂ mode at 278 cm⁻¹ and the E modes at 293 cm⁻¹ are the ones derived from the silent F_{2u} modes of the undistorted cubic structure; because the distortion is weak, the LO {TO splitting of these E modes is negligible.) The results are very similar to those of the previous theoretical study of G hosez.³³ W hile we are not aware of detailed experim ental inform ation about phonon frequencies at very low tem perature, we note that m easurem ents just below the orthorhom bic to rhom bohedral phase transition tem perature indicate phonon frequencies in three regions (100-300 cm⁻¹, 480-580 cm⁻¹, and 680-750 cm⁻¹) in qualitative agreem ent with our zero-tem perature calculations.

We also calculated the atom ic Born e ective charges for this phase, but in view of the lower symmetry and larger number of independent elements, we have not listed them all here (our results are again very similar

TABLE V. Phonon frequencies (in cm 1) at the point for thom bohedral BaT iO $_{3}$.

Phonon mode	Frequency	Phonon m ode	Frequency
A ₁ (TO1)	169	E(TO1)	164
A ₁ (LO1)	179	E (LO 1)	175
A ₁ (TO2)	255	E(TO2)	206
A ₁ (LO2)	460	E (LO2)	443
A ₁ (TO3)	511	Е(ТОЗ)	472
A ₁ (LO3)	677	E (LO3)	687
A 2	278	E	293

TABLE VI.D ielectric tensors of ZnO and BaT iO $_3$ (in units of $_0$).

		P resent theory		Experin	ent	
	Index			()		
ZnO	11	5.76	10.31	11.09	3.70 ^ª	7 . 77ª
	33	5.12	10.27	12.67	3.78ª	8 . 91 ^ª
BaTiO ₃	11	6.20	68.75	264.75	6.19 ^b	
	33	5.79	37.44	49.51	5 . 88 ^b	ĺ

^aRef.34.

^bRef.35.

to those of Ref. 33). The cation results are easily given as Z_{xx} (Ba) = Z_{yy} (Ba) = 2.78, Z_{zz} (Ba) = 2.74, Z_{xx} (Ti) = Z_{yy} (Ti) = 6.64, and Z_{zz} (Ti) = 5.83. The electric charge tensors are non-diagonal and non-symmetric for the oxygens; we mention only that the eigenvalues of the symmetric parts of these tensors cluster around 2 and

5, i.e., not much changed from their cubic-phase values. Similarly, we have computed the full internal-strain tensor for rhom bohedral BaT iO $_3$, but we have chosen not to present the details here because of the com plicated form of this tensor involving a large number of independent elements.

C.D ielectric tensors

We now turn to a discussion of the computed dielectric tensors for wurtzite ZnO and rhom bohedralB aT iO $_3$, which are presented in Table VI. Because of the high point-group symmetry, the dielectric tensors have only two independent elements. Recall that the clampedion tensor , the xed-strain relaxed-ion tensor , and the free-stress relaxed-ion tensor () are de ned through Eqs. (14) and (17-20). W hile the results for the purely electronic dielectric tensors are in good agreem ent with experiment for BaT iO3, we nd that our LDA theory signi cantly overestim ates the electronic dielectric response of ZnO. Hill and W aghm are, 22 also using an LDA pseudopotential approach, found $_{33} = 4:39$, not as large as our 5.76, but still much larger than the experimental 3.70. At least som e of this overestim ate is undoubtedly attributable to the LDA (and is connected with the underestim ate of the gap in LDA), but the choice of pseudopotentials also seems to play a role. The computed lattice contributions $_{11}$ $_{11} = 4:55$ and $_{33}$ $_{33} = 5:15$ are in better agreem ent with the experim ental values of 4.07 and 5.13 respectively.

W hile the clamped-ion tensors are not so di erent for these two m aterials, the lattice contribution is clearly much bigger for the BaT iO₃ case. That is, while the lattice contribution () is about the same size as the purely electronic one () for ZnO, it is alm ost 10 times larger in BaT iO₃. This di erence clearly rejects the fact there is a soft ferroelectric mode present in the latter material. (Here, we use \soft" in the sense of a mode that has a small, but positive frequency; it is, of course, closely related to the imaginary-frequency unstable mode computed for the cubic structure, which condenses out to form the ferroelectric rhom bohedral phase.) In the sem iconductor ZnO, on the other hand, no such soft mode is present.

The last colum n presents our results for the free-stress dielectric tensors () that are related to the xed-strain tensors via Eq. (20). The tensors and ⁽⁾ are the same in higher-symmetry crystals, but in the presence of piezoelectric coupling, they are, in general, di erent. The free-stress tensors are always larger than the xed-strain ones because the additional strain relaxation occurs so as to allow further polarization to develop in the direction of the applied eld. W e can see that the changes are m odest for ZnO (on the order of 10-20%), which is not a softmode system. On the other hand, they are much more profound for the case of BaT iD₃, where most notably an order-of-m agnitude change occurs for 11. This is related to the large value of the piezoelectric coupling factor k_{15} , as we will see later in Sec. IIC 4. Essentially, it arises because the polarization vector is rather soft with respect to rotation away from the z axis, so that the electric susceptibility is large in the x-y plane.

D . E lastic tensors

We now consider the various elastic tensors. Recall that the clam ped-ion elastic tensor C of Eq. (5) is just the second derivative of the unit-cell energy with respect to hom ogeneous strains, without allowing for internal structural relaxations, while the physical elastic tensor C of Eq. (15) does include such relaxations. This tensor C (written m ore explicitly as C ^(E)) usually de ned under conditions of xed m acroscopic electric eld, but it is sometimes of interest to consider the elastic tensor C ^(D) of Eq. (23) de ned instead under conditions of xed electric displacement eld. These are identical for higher-symmetry (e.g., centrosymmetric) crystals, but that is not the case here. The compliance tensors S are de ned as the inverses of the corresponding elastic tensors C.

The results of our calculations of these tensors are displayed in Table V II and V III for ZnO and B at iO₃ respectively. The low erpoint-group symmetry of B at iO₃ is reected in the presence of an additional symmetry-allowed element C₁₄. A ctually, there are only ve independent elements for ZnO, since C₆₆ = (C₁₁ C₁₂)=2 and S₆₆ = 2 (S₁₁ S₁₂) by symmetry.¹⁷ Similarly, there are really only six independent elements for B at iO₃; in addition to the same relation, one also has C₆₆ = (C₁₁ C₁₂)=2, S₆₆ = 2 (S₁₁ S₁₂), C₅₆ = C₁₄, and S₅₆ = 2C₁₄. Our results for the elastic constants of ZnO can be seen to be in good agreement with previous theory and with experiment (last columns of Table V II).

TABLE VII. C lam ped-ion (C) and relaxed-ion (C) elastic tensors at constant E, relaxed ion (C^(D)) elastic tensor at constant D (GPa), and corresponding compliance tensors (S, S, and S^(D)) (TPa¹), for wurtzite ZnO. P revious theoretical and experimental results are also given for C for comparison.

			P res		Theo.ª	Expt. ^b		
Index	С	С	C ^{(D})	S	S	S ^(D)	С	С
11	305	226	231	3.86	7.79	7.56	246	209
12	107	139	144	1.20	3.63	3.93	127	120
13	77	123	114	0.61	2.12	1.58	105	104
33	333	242	260	3,29	6.28	5.23	246	211
44	62	40	43	16.23	24.69	23,21	56	44
66	99	44	44	10.12	22.84	22.73		

^aR ef.28.

^bRef.36.

TABLE V III. C lam ped-ion (C) and relaxed-ion (C) elastic tensors at constant E, relaxed ion (C $^{(D~)}$) elastic tensor at constant D (G Pa), and corresponding com pliance tensors (S, S, and S $^{(D~)}$) (T Pa 1), for rhom bohedral BaT iO $_3$.

Index	С	С	C ^(D)	S	S	S ^(D)
11	349	277	318	3.32	5.85	3.65
12	106	79	93	0.82	2.94	0.95
13	96	41	81	0.72	0.45	0.68
14	8.4	45	19	0.31	8.17	0.89
33	334	264	323	3.41	3.93	3.44
44	110	48	97	9.12	35.85	10.63
65	8.3	45	19	0.63	16.33	1.78
66	121	99	113	8.28	17.58	9.18

W e notice that the physical elastic C $_{ik}$ are generally smaller than the frozen-ion ones C_{jk} (at least for diagonal elements), since the additional internal relaxation allows some of the stress to be relieved. By the same token, diagonal S values are larger than S ones, re ecting the increased compliance allowed by the relaxation of the atom ic coordinates. As for the dielectric constants, the di erences are substantially sm aller for ZnO than for BaT iD₃, as a result of the soft-m ode contribution in the latter m aterial. The constraint of xed electric displacement eld has the e ect of suppressing som e of this internal relaxation (for essentially the same reason that longitudinal optical phonons are sti er than transverse optical ones). This additional sti ness results in larger diagonal C (D) values than C values, and low er diagonal S $^{(D)}$ values than S values. However, the di erences between C $^{(\!\!\!D\!\!\!\!)}$ and C tensors are generally sm aller than the di erences between C and C tensors, especially for ZnO.

E.Piezoelectric tensors

The bare (or frozen-ion") piezoelectric tensor e j is just given by the mixed second derivatives of unit cell. energy with respect to electric eld E and strain i, deform ing internal atom ic coordinates in strict proportion to the hom ogeneous strain. The full piezoelectric tensor e i also takes into account the contributions from the lattice, as described in Eq.16. The total num ber of independent piezoelectric tensor m em bers is determ ined by the point group of m aterial. R hom bohedral B aT iD₃ (point group C_{3v}) has a lower symmetry than that of wurtzite ZnO (C_{6v}), so we may expect more independent elements in the form er. Indeed, a symmetry analysis¹⁷ shows that ZnO has only three independent tensor elem ents, nam ely e₃₁ and e₃₃ describing polarization along the c axis induced by uniaxial c-axis or biaxial ab-plane strains, while e₂₄ describes the polarization induced by shear strains. For BaT iO₃, the sym metry is slightly lower, and as a result there is a fourth independent tensor element in this case.

In Table IX and X, we present our results for piezoelectric tensors for these two materials. We also also give the results for the d_j tensor as de ned in Eq. 30. Our results for the e_j matrix for ZnO are consistent with the previous theory.^{22;37;38} (Table X shows ve tensor elements for BaTiO₃, not four, but in fact e₂₁ = e₁₆ and $2d_{21} = d_{16}$ by symmetry.¹⁷)

Recall that the frozen-ion and relaxed-ion piezoelectric tensors are de ned by Eqs. (8) and (16), in which the relaxed-ion piezoelectric tensor incorporates contributions from lattice relaxation. For the same reason as discussed previously for the case of the dielectric and elastic tensors, the di erence between the above two tensors (e.g. e and e) is much bigger for B aT iO₃ than for ZnO, as expected from the presence of the soft mode in the perovskite material. A lso, note that the electronic and lattice contributions have opposite signs, with the lattice contribution being the larger of the two, as is common for other dielectric materials.

TABLE IX. C lam ped-ion e (C/m²), relaxed-ion e (C/m²), and relaxed-ion d (pC/N) piezoelectric tensors for wurtzite ZnO. P revious theoretical and experimental results are also given for e and d for comparison.

	P resent theory			Theo.ª		Expt. ^b	
Index	е	е	d	е	d	е	d
31	0.37	0.67	5.5	0.55	3.7	0.62	5.1
33	0.75	1.28	10.9	1.19	0.8	0.96	12.3
15	0.39	0.53	13.1	0.46	8.2	0.37	8.3

^aR ef.28.

^bRef.36.

TABLE X. C lam ped-ion e (C/m 2), relaxed-ion e (C/m 2), and relaxed-ion d (pC/N) piezoelectric tensors for rhom bohedralBaTiO $_3$.

Index	е	е	d
21	0.23	2.91	70.1
31	0.05	3.03	6.8
33	0.19	4.44	14.7
15	0.18	5.45	243.2
16	0.23	2.91	140.2

In view of this partial cancellation of terms of opposite sign, accurate calculations of e and d coe cients are particularly delicate. We nd that our results for the 31 and 33 elements of the e and d coe cients of ZnO are in reasonably good agreement with experiment (slightly better than previous Hartree-Fock calculations²⁸), whereas we som ewhat overestim ate the shear coe cients e $_{15}$ and d₁₅ (slightly m ore so than in the Hartree-Fock theory²⁸).

F . E lectrom echanical coupling constants

W e com pute and present in Table X I the piezoelectric coupling factors k_{33} , k_{31} , and k_{15} de ned in Eq. (34) for both ZnO and BaT iO $_3$. W e also calculate the singular values \tilde{K} of the K matrix of Eq. (35). Because of the axial sym metry, these are arranged into a pair of degenerate values \tilde{K}_1 = \tilde{K}_2 corresponding to in-plane electric elds, and a \tilde{K}_3 corresponding to axial elds. (In fact, due to the sym metry, \tilde{K} cab be calculated in practice as just [$^{()}$ (d $C^{\rm E}$ $^{\rm T}$)]¹⁼².) These K values are also given in Table X I.

Roughly speaking, the couplings given in the rst three lines of Table XI are associated with symmetry-preserving \polarization stretching" degrees of freedom, while those in the last two lines correspond to \polarization rotation" modes. Note that $k_{15} = K_1$ for ZnO but not for BaT iO₃; this is a feature of symmetry, arising because an electric eld E₁ couples uniquely to $_5$ in ZnO, but also to $_6$ in BaT iO₃. Also, we can see that $K_1 = k_1 =$

TABLE XI. D in ensionless piezoelectric coupling factors. The rst three correspond to E-elds longitudinal to the crystal axis; the last two are transverse. C oupling constants K_1 and \tilde{K}_3 are obtained from singular-value analysis of the coupling tensor K (see text).

	ZnO	BaTiO ₃	
k ₃₃	0.41	0.35	
k ₃₁	0.19	0.13	
₭₃	0.44	0.49	
k ₁₅	0.27	0.84	
ĨK1	0.27	0.86	

channels.

C om paring the two m aterials, we see that the coupling factors are rather com parable in the polarization stretching channel; evidently, the soft mode does not play such a profound role there. In contrast, the coupling factor k_{15} is very large in BaT iO3; in fact, it is not far from unity, them axim um value consistent with stability. Indeed, this is precisely because the crystal is not far from being unstable with respect to a rotation of the polarization away from the rhom bohedral axis { precisely the type of distortion that would carry it to the orthorhom bic phase, from which it evolved as the tem perature was reduced below the orthorhom bic-rhom bohedral phase transition tem perature that occurs experim entally at 180K. The large k₁₅ is also strongly connected to the large di erence between = () and () in Tab.VI as already discussed at the end of Sec. IV C .

The calculated value $k_{15} = 0.84$ for BaTiD₃ is quite respectable; it is in the range of the values of $k_{15} = 0.25$ { 0.80^{39} for the PM N-PT and PZN-PT single-crystal piezo-electrics on the rhom bohedral side of the morphotropic phase boundary. Unfortunately, the fact that this large coupling occurs only at very low temperature probably makes it useless for practical applications. On the other hand, the present work suggests that if a material like BaTiD₃ could somehow be stabilized in the rhom bohedral phase at room temperature, it might have very promising piezoelectric properties.

V.SUM MARY

In sum m ary, we have developed a m ethod that system atically treats the e ects of perturbations associated with atom ic displacem ents, electric elds and strains in insulating crystals, so that physical quantities expressible as second derivatives of the total energy can be computed e ciently. In the rst step, six elementary tensors are com puted once and for all using the m ethods of D F P T : the force-constant matrix, the Born charge tensor, the internal-strain tensor, and the frozen-ion dielectric, elastic, and piezoelectric tensors. The internal-displacem ent degrees of freedom are then elim inated to give physical low-frequency dielectric, elastic, and piezoelectric tensors, de ned under boundary conditions of controlled electric eld E and strain . W e have also shown how these can then be manipulated to obtain various related tensor properties of interest such as the free-stress dielectric tensor, the xed-D elastic and compliance tensors, and various piezoelectric tensors and electrom echanical coupling factors. Such a system atic approach is especially important in polar crystals, in which the atom icdisplacem ent, electric-eld, and strain degrees of freedom are strongly coupled in complex ways.

We have applied our approach to compute these tensor properties for two paradigm atic crystals, ZnO and BaTiO₃, at zero tem perature. These materials di er m ost signi cantly in that there is a ferroelectric soft m ode that has condensed, but still remains rather low in frequency, in the latter material. The calculations are subject to several approxim ations, most notably the LDA itself (and its associated lattice-constant error, which has been removed by hand for the case of BaT iD $_3$ { see Sec. III), but also the frozen-core approximation (as im plem ented through the use of pseudopotentials) and the neglect of zero-point uctuations. Nevertheless, we validate the approach by nding reasonably good agreem ent between theory and experiment for most quantities in the case of ZnO, despite the fact that the experim ents are room -tem perature ones. The largest discrepancies are for the purely electronic dielectric tensor elements 11 and $_{33}$, the shear piezoelectric coupling e_{15} , and to a lesser extent, derived quantities that depend on these elem entary ones. In the case of B aT iO₃, where low -tem perature experiments on single-crystal, single-domain samples under well-de ned boundary conditions are not available, our calculations provide useful predictions of the material constants. In particular, we nd an encouraging value of 0.84 for the k_{15} electrom echanical coupling constant, and argue that this is associated with the proximity of the orthorhom bic phase.

W e w ish to emphasize that the usefulness of the general approach advocated here transcends the particular im plementation of it (here DFT/LDA, pseudopotentials, etc.). For example, sim ilar calculations m ight by carried out with Hartree-Fock m ethods using localized orbitals²⁸ or, eventually, using LDA+U, dynam ical m ean-eld the-

ory, or quantum M onte C arb m ethods. In this case, the six elementary tensors of Eqs. (3-8) will rst need to be calculated using m ethods appropriate to the particular type of electronic-structure m ethod used. However, the subsequent m anipulations described in Secs. IIB and IIC can then be carried through in identically the sam e way as done here.

F inally, we note that the approach described here can be extended to include other types of perturbations, such as alchem ical ones, and to the treatm ent of higher-order responses (e.g., anharm onic elastic constants, nonlinear dielectric responses, and electrostriction e ects), providing possible directions for future developments of the m ethod.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

The work was supported by ONR G rant N 00014-97-1-0048 and by the Center for Piezoelectrics by Design under ONR G rant N 00014-01-1-0365. We wish to thank K.Rabe for useful discussion, and M.Veithen for a careful reading of the manuscript.

APPENDIX A:SIM ULTANEOUS TREATMENT OF STRAINSAND ELECTRIC FIELDS

The form ulation of an energy functional, and the de – nition of response functions in terms of its second derivatives, is somewhat subtle in the case that electric elds and strains are simultaneously present. The purpose of this Appendix it to give a careful treatment of the theory in this case. Except where noted, the notation here follows that of Sec. IIB in that the internal displacements u_m are assumed to have been integrated out (i.e., internal displacements u_m do not appear explicitly).

W e begin introducing the deformation tensor e in the Cartesian frame via

$$dr = e r$$
 (A1)

(implied sum notation) where dr is the deformation of the medium from its undeformed position r . We consider deformations taking the form of hom ogeneous strains and rigid rotations, so that the antisymmetry part of the deformation tensor e describes the rotational part, while its symmetric part is just the strain tensor

$$=\frac{1}{2}(e + e):$$
 (A2)

The improper piezoelectric tensor is de ned as

$$e^{im pr} = \frac{dP}{de}$$
 : (A 3)

The name $\min proper$ " rejects that fact that $e^{im pr}$ contains contributions that are spurious in a certain sense.¹⁵

For example, if we consider a pure rotation of a spontaneously polarized crystal about an axis that does not coincide with P, then dP does not vanish, and consequently e^{im pr} has a component that is antisym metric under interchange of and . Sim ilarly, if we consider a uniaxial or biaxial compression in the x y plane of a ferroelectric modeled as a lattice of discrete rigid dipoles oriented in the z direction, the polarization will change even if the dipoles do not, because the polarization is dened as the dipole moment per unit volum e. This, too, is

an essentially spurious e ect. By contrast, the \proper" piezoelectric tensor will be de ned so as to vanish in either of these situations.

In the presence of a strain, it is convenient to introduce reduced or rescaled polarizations P 0 and electric $elds\,E^0$ via

$$P^{0} = (e^{-1}) P$$
 (A 4)

and

$$E^0 = e E ; (A5)$$

where P 0 and E 0 coincide with P $\,$ and E $\,$ in the absence of strains or rotations. We then take our fundamental energy functional to be

where E $_{cell}^{(0)}$ is the usual zero- eld K ohn-Sham energy per cell¹⁴ of the occupied B loch functions introduced earlier in Sec. IIA.

Note that $P = P^{0}$ Ê, so that Eq. (A 6) is closely related to Eq. (1). However, it is in portant to understand that E^{0} and e are the \natural variables" of the energy functional (A 6), so that subsequent partial derivatives are de ned in terms of this pair of variables. For exam – ple, the proper piezoelectric tensor is now given by

$$e = \frac{\theta^2 \mathbf{E}}{\theta \mathbf{E}^0 \, \theta e} \tag{A7}$$

or

$$e = \frac{1}{0} \frac{\partial (P^{0})}{\partial e} :$$
 (A8)

We also emphasize that E^0 is, in many ways, a more natural variable than E from the experimental point of view. For example, if one controlls the voltage V across a lm of M atom ic layers between conducting capacitor plates and observes the resulting strain, one is actually controlling $E^0 = eV = M c_0 = Ec = c_0$, not E, where c_0 and c are the zero-eld and nite-eld lattice constants, respectively, in the normal direction.

From Eqs. (A 3) and (A 8) it follows that the improper and proper piezoelectric tensors are related by 15

$$e = e^{im pr} P + P : (A9)$$

It is then easy to show that the proper tensor e is symmetric under interchange of indices and , so that the Voigt notation can be restored. This is to be expected because the reduced quantity P⁰ is invariant under a rigid rotation of the crystal, a fact that follows trivially from Eq. (A 4). That is, P^0 , expressed as a function of the six symmetrized strain variables (Eq. (A2)) and the three rotational variables, is actually independent of the rotational ones. Indeed, a rigid rotation of the entire system, material plus external eld E, leaves both E^0 and P⁰ individually unchanged. It is then natural to discard the rotational variables and recast the symmetric strain variables in Voigt notation. We then regard the energy functional of Eq. (A 6) to be a functional \mathbf{E}^{0} ;) of the fundamental variables of rescaled E^0 and Voigt i, and the proper piezoelectric tensor m ay be written as

$$e_{j} = \frac{\ell^{2}E}{\ell^{2}E_{j}} = \frac{1}{\ell^{2}}\frac{\ell^{2}(P^{0})}{\ell^{2}} :$$
 (A 10)

Restoring the explicit dependence on internal displacements u_{m} , Eq. (A 6) becomes

$$E(u;E^{0};) = \frac{1}{0} \sum_{cell}^{h} E^{(0)} E^{0} P^{i};$$
 (A11)

where $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{E}^0; \)$ of Eq. (A 6) corresponds to the minimum of (A 11) over all possible displacements u_m . While this equation is numerically equal to Eq. (1), it is critical to recall that it is written in terms of di erent arguments.

Strictly speaking, this notation should have been introduced at the very beginning of Sec. IIA, and every equation throughout the paper, starting with Eq. (1), should have E replaced by E^0 and P by P^0 . For example, Eqs. (8) and Eq. (26) should be replaced by Eqs. (A 12) and

$$e_{j} = \frac{Q^{2}E}{QE^{0}Q_{j}};$$
 (A 12)

respectively, and similarly for all other equations. How – ever, for the purposes of clarity of presentation, it was decided to avoid use of this clum sy notation in the main part of the paper.

Finally, we note that the reduced quantities P^{0} and E^{0} are also rather natural physical variables from the point of view of computational implementation. Indeed, these two quantities can further be expressed in terms of fully reduced quantities p and "via

$$P^{0} = -\frac{e}{p} a^{(0)}$$
 (A13)

and

$$" = eE^{0} a^{(0)}$$
 (A 14)

so that P = (e=) p a and " = eE a. In these equations, $a^{(0)}$ is the 'th undeformed primitive real-space

lattice vector, a is the corresponding deform ed lattice vector, and = a_1 a_2 a_3 is the deform ed cell volum e. Note that P $E = P^0 \quad \hat{E} = \ {}^1 p$ " and

$$e_{j} = \frac{e}{0}a_{j}; \quad (A15)$$

The fully reduced polarization p has a simple interpretation in terms of the fractional positions of the charges in the unit cell; for example, the contribution to it com – ing from led band is just 1=2 times the Berry phase of that band, as can be seen by comparing with Eq. (10) of Ref. 15. Similarly, " is just e times the electrostatic potential drop across the unit cell in direction a .

The computational implementation of DFPT is done quite naturally in term softhese reduced quantities,^{7;10;19} and as a result, DFPT automatically yields the proper piezoelectric tensor.¹⁰ This can be a source of confusion when comparing the DFPT results with those of nite-di erence calculations. In the latter approach, the polarization is obtained directly from ground-state DFT calculations,⁶ and piezoelectric tensor elements are obtained by numerical di erentiation using su ciently sm all strains about the reference structure. This procedure yields the improper tensor, how ever, and Eq. (A 9) m ust be applied to compare such results with the DFPT ones.¹⁰

- ¹ P.H ohenberg and W .K ohn, Phys.Rev.136, B864(1964); W .K ohn and L.J.Sham, ibid.140, A1133 (1965).
- ² X.Gonze, Phys.Rev.A 52, 1086 (1995); 52, 1096 (1995); 55, 10337 (1997); X.Gonze and C.Lee, ibid. 55, 10355 (1997).
- ³ S.Baroni, S.de G ironcoli, A.D alCorso, and P.G iannozzi, Rev.M od.Phys.73, 515 (2001)
- ⁴ P. Giannozzi, S. de Gironcoli, P. Pavone, and S. Baroni, Phys.Rev.B 43 7231 (1991); S.Baroni, P.Giannozzi, and A. Testa, Phys.Rev.Lett. 58, 1861 (1987).
- 5 S.de G ironcoli, S.Baroni, and R.Resta, Phys.Rev.Lett. 62,2853 (1989).
- ⁶ R.D.King-Smith and D.Vanderbilt, Phys.Rev.B 47, 1651 (1993).
- ⁷ A B IN IT is a common project of the Universite Catholique de Louvain, Coming Incorporated, and other contributors (http://www.abinit.org). X. Gonze, J.-M. Beuken, R. Caracas, F. Detraux, M. Fuchs, G.-M. Rignanese, L. Sindic, M. Verstraete, G. Zerah, F. Jollet, M. Torrent, A. Roy, M. Mikami, Ph.Ghosez, J.-Y. Raty, and D.C. Allan, Comput. Mater. Sci. 25, 478 (2002).
- ⁸ S. Baroni, A. Dal Corso, S. de Gironcoli, P. Giannozzi, C. Cavazzoni, G. Ballabio, S. Scandolo, G. Chiarotti, P. Focher, A. Pasquarello, K. Laasonen, A. Trave, R. Car, N. Marzari, A. Kokalj, http://www.pwscforg.
- ⁹G.Kresse and J.Hafner, Phys.Rev.B 47, R558 (1993);

G.K resse and J.Furthmuller, ibid. 54, 11169 (1996). See also http://cm smpiunivie.ac.at/vasp.

- ¹⁰ D.R.Hamann, D.Vanderbilt, K.Rabe, and X.Wu, submitted to Phys.Rev.B.
- ¹¹ R.W. Nunes and X.Gonze, Phys. Rev. B 63, 155107 (2001).
- ¹² I. Souza, J. Iniguez, D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 117602 (2002).
- ¹³ P.Um ari and A.Pasquarello, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 157602 (2002).
- ¹⁴ That is, the electrostatic part of $E_{cell}^{(0)}$ is defined to be ($_0=2$) $_{cell} dr E_{bc}^2$ (r), where the local eld E_{bc} (r) is the difference between the microscopic eld E (r) and its unit-cell average E.
- ¹⁵ D.Vanderbilt, J.Phys.Chem.Solids 61, 147 (2000).
- ¹⁶ A.Ballato, IEEE Trans.Ultrason., Ferroelec., Freq.Contr., 42, 916 (1995).
- ¹⁷ J.F. Nye, Physical properties of crystals (O xford U P., O xford 1985).
- ¹⁸ ANSI/IEEE Standard on Piezoelectricity (ANSI/IEEE Std 176-1987), IEEE Transactions on Sonics and Ultrasonics SU -31, No. 2, 1984.
- ¹⁹ The capabilities to do these calculations are now incorporated in the latest public release of the ABINIT software package.⁷ Building on the implementation of the strain derivatives for computation of bare elastic, piezoelectric, and internal-strain tensors by Hamann et al.¹⁰ we modied ABINIT (speci cally, the ANADDB module) to provide the functionality of computing the secondary response tensors as described here.
- ²⁰ In the case of Z and e, the wavefunction derivatives with respect to wavevector are also needed (see Ref. 2).
- ²¹ N. Troullier and J. L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 43, 1993 (1991).
- ²² N.Hilland U.W aghm are, Phys. rev.B 62, 8802 (2000).
- ²³ D. M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B 18, 3126 (1978); D. M. Ceperley and B.J.A Ider, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 566 (1980).
- ²⁴ J.P.Perdew and Y.W ang, Phys.Rev.B 45, 13244 (1992).
- ²⁵ W .Zhong and D .Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 52, 6301 (1995)
- ²⁶ D.Berlincourt and H.Ja e, Phys. Rev. 111, 143 (1958).
- ²⁷ M. Zgonik, P. Bernasconi, M. Duelli, R. Schlesser, P. Gunter, M. H. Garrett, D. Rytz, Y. Zhu, and X. Wu, Phys. Rev. B 50, 5941 (1994).
- ²⁸ M. Catti, Y. Noel, R. Dovesi, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 64 2183, (2003).
- ²⁹ O. M adelung, M. Schultz, and H. W eiss, Numerical Data and Functional and Relationships in Science and Technology (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982), Vol. 17.
- ³⁰ A.W. Hewat, Ferroelectrics, 6, 215 (1974).
- ³¹ T.C.Damen, S.P.S.Porto, and B.Tell, Phys. Rev. 142, 570 (1966).
- ³² C.A.Arguello, D.L.Rousseau, and S.P.S.Porto, Phys. Rev. 181, 1351 (1969).
- ³³ P.G hosez, Ph D. thesis, Universite Catholique de Louvain, 1997.
- ³⁴ N.Ashkenov, B.N.M benkum, C.Bundesmann, V.Riede, M.Lorenz, D.Spemann, E.M.Kaidashev, A.Kasic, M. Schubert, M.Grundmann, G.W anger, H.Neumann, V. Darakchieva, H.Arwin, and B.Monema, J.Appl.Phys. 93, 126 (2003).

- ³⁵ B.W ang and C.Sun, Appl.Opt. 40, 672 (2001).
- ³⁶ Landolt-Bomstein, O. M adelung (Ed.), New Series, G roup III: Solid State Physics, Low Frequency Properties of D ielectric C rystals: Piezoelectric, Pyroelectric and Related C onstants, vol. 29b, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- ³⁷ A.DalCorso, M.Postemak, R.Resta, and A.Baklereschi, Phys.Rev.B 50, 10715 (1994).
- ³⁸ F.Bernardini, V.Fiorentini, and D.Vanderbilt, Phys.Rev. B 56, 10024 (1997).
- ³⁹ H.Cao, V.H.Schm idt, R.Zhang, W.Cao and H.Luo, J. appl.Phys. 96, 549 (2004); R.Zhang, B.Jiang, W.Jiang and W.Cao, Mater.Lett. 57, 1305 (2003).