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#### Abstract

In the rst part we calculate the boundary susceptibility $B$ in the open X X Z -chain at zero tem perature and aroitrary $m$ agnetic eld $h$ by Bethe ansatz. W e present analytical results for the leading term $s w h e n ~ j j, ~ w h e r e ~$ is a known scale, and a num erical solution for the entire range of elds. In the second part we calculate susceptibility pro les near the boundary at nite tem perature $T$ num erically by using the density $m$ atrix renorm alization group for transfer $m$ atrices and analytically for $T \quad 1$ by eld theoreticalm ethods. $F$ inally we compare $B$ at nite tem perature $w$ ith a low tem perature asym ptotics which we obtain by combining our Bethe ansatz result $w$ ith recent predictions from bosonization.
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## 1. Introduction

Even a single im purity can have a drastic e ect on the low-energy properties of a one-dim ensional interacting electron system. O ne of the sim plest exam ples is an antiferrom agnetic spin $-1 / 2$ chain $w$ ith a non-m agnetic im purity which cuts the chain and leads to a system w ith essentially free boundaries. Because translationalinvariance is broken the one-point correlation function $h S^{2}(r)$ i is no longer independent from the site index $r$ and the local susceptibility (r) acquires a nonzero altemating part [1] $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ ]\end{array}\right.$. Furthem ore, the asym ptotic behavior of correlation functions near such a boundary is no longer govemed by the bulk criticalexponents but instead by so called boundary or surface critical exponents $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1,1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. It is interesting to consider the case when the spin chain is not cut but instead one of the links is only slightly w eaker.

$$
\begin{align*}
H & =J^{\mathrm{X}{ }^{1}} S_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{r}+1}^{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{y}} S_{\mathrm{r}+1}^{\mathrm{Y}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{z}} S_{\mathrm{r}+1}^{\mathrm{z}} \\
& +J_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{X}}+\mathrm{S}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)+\mathrm{J}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{z}}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $J>0 ; J_{1 ; 2}^{0}>0$ and we have allowed for an XXZ type anisotropy which is described by the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
=: \cos \text { w ith } 0 \quad 1 \quad(0 \quad=2): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the Jordan-W igner transform ation one can also think about this system as a lattice $m$ odel of spinless Ferm ions w ith a repulsive density-density interaction

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{J_{2}}{2}{ }_{r=1}^{1} C_{r}^{y} C_{r+1}+h: c: J_{r=1}^{N X} n_{r}^{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{r+1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \\
& +\frac{J_{1}^{0}}{2} c_{1}^{y} q_{v}+h: c:+J_{2}^{0} n_{1} \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{N} \quad \frac{1}{2}: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $J_{1}^{0}=J \quad J$ with $0<J \quad J$ corresponds to a weakening of the hopping am plitude whereas $J_{2}^{0}=J \quad J$ gives a weakened density-density interaction along one bond. For all both perturbations have the same scaling dim ension $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{K}=2$ where $K==(\quad)$ is the Luttinger param eter, 4]. W eakening the hopping or the interaction along one bond is therefore always relevantiz. A ssum ing that the open chain presents the only stable xed point one therefore expects that the physics at energies below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{J} \quad\left(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J} \mathcal{F}^{=\mathrm{x}}\right.$ is govemed by the open XXZ -chain $\left.\bar{F}_{\mathrm{F}}^{1}\right]$. This is the $m$ otivation to consider in the follow ing only the open boundary condition (OBC) $\mathrm{J}_{1}^{0}=\mathrm{J}_{2}^{0}=0$.

In an open X X Z -chain the free boundaries induce corrections of order $1=\mathrm{N}$ to the bulk lim 焦全. In particular a $1=\mathrm{N}$-term in the susceptibility is expected which we denote hereafter asboundary susceptibility в ( $\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{T}$ ). From the scaling argum ents given before it follow s that a long chain with a nite concentration of im purities is e ectively cut into pieces of nite length. M easurem ents of the susceptibility on such a system will therefore reveal large contributions from the boundaries. This has inspired a lot of
 Very recently the leading contributions to the boundary susceptibility for $h \quad 1$ and T 1 have been calculated by eld theoreticalm ethods is also known that the X X Z -chain w ith O BC is exactly solvable by B ethe ansatz (BA) [13
 For nite tem peratures de Sa and T svelik $[\bar{\eta} \bar{T}$ have applied the them odynam ic $\bar{B}$ ethe A nsatz (TBA) in the anisotropic case. Evaluating their TBA equations and com paring
 for all anisotropies. Even the free Ferm ion case (see section i', is not reproduced correctly and there is also disagreem ent w ith the eld theoretical results by Fu fim oto and Eggert [ $\left.]_{1}^{1}\right]$ and Furusaki and H ikihara [ $\left[1 Z^{2}\right]$ at low tem peratures. Frahm and Zvyagin $\left[1 \bar{J}^{\prime}\right]$ have treated the isotropic case w ith the sam e TBA technique. A though at least the functional form for low tem peratures is correct, their results are not reliable for high tem peratures [1]]. This raises the question if the TBA is applicable at all for OBC or at least which modi cations have to be incorporated com pared to the well known case of periodic boundary conditions (PBC).

O ur paper is organized as follow s: W e start w th the sim ple but instructive free Ferm ion case and establish results for the boundary susceptibility both as a function of $T$ and $h$ in section $\overline{12}$. In section an isotropy $0 \quad 1 . W$ e present analytical results for the boundary susceptibility at hj 1 and a num erical solution of the BA form ulas for arbitrary $h$. In section ' 1 calculate susceptibility pro les near the boundary num erically by the density-m atrix

[^0]renorm alization group for transfer m atrioes (TMRG) and analytically by eld theory $m$ ethods. $W$ e also com pare our num erical results for ${ }_{B}(h=0 ; T)$ with an analytical form ula for $T \quad 1$ which we obtain by combining our BA results from section $w$ ith recent results from bosonization [1] [1] 1 . T he last section presents a sum $m$ ary and conclusions.

## 2. Free spin less Ferm ions

H ere we want to consider the special case $=0$ where eq. ( ${ }_{2} 1$ spinless Ferm ions. A fter Fourier transform the H am iltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{n=1}^{X^{N}}\left(J \operatorname{cosk}_{n}+h\right) \quad C_{k_{n}}^{y} a_{k_{n}}+h: c: \quad w \text { th } k_{n}=\frac{N^{2}+1}{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have included a m agnetic eld $h$. N ote that the only di erence to PBC are the m om enta $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ which in this case would be given by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=2=\mathrm{N}$. The susceptibility is easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h ; T)=\frac{1}{4 T}_{n}^{X} \cosh ^{2} \frac{1}{2 T}\left(J \operatorname{cosk}_{n}+h\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the Euler -M acLaurin form ula then yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(h ; T)= & \text { bulk }(h ; T)+\frac{1}{N} \quad \text { в }(h ; T)+O \frac{1}{N^{2}} \text { with } \\
\text { bulk }(h ; T)= & \frac{1}{4 T} Z^{0} \cosh ^{2} \frac{1}{2 T}(J \operatorname{cosk}+h) d k  \tag{6}\\
\text { в }(h ; T)= & \frac{1}{4 T} 0_{0} \cosh ^{2} \frac{1}{2 T}(J \operatorname{cosk}+h) d k \\
& \frac{1}{8 T} \cosh ^{2} \frac{1}{2 T}(J+h) \quad \frac{1}{8 T} \cosh ^{2} \frac{1}{2 T}(J \quad h):
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore bulk and boundary susceptibility are identicalat $T=0$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { bulk }(\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{T}=0)=\text { в }(\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{T}=0)=\frac{1}{J} \mathrm{P} \frac{1}{1(\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{J})^{2}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

At nite tem peratures bulk and $B$ are di erent, how ever, the additional factors in B vanish exponentially for $T$ ! 0 so that they still share the sam e low-tem perature asym ptotics

$$
\text { bulk }(h=0 ; T!0)=B(h=0 ; T!0)=\frac{1}{J}+\frac{}{6 J^{3}} T^{2}+O \quad T^{4} \quad:(8)
$$

Fig. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$, show s the boundary and bulk susceptibilities for nite tem perature at $h=0$ and as a function of $h$ at $T=0$ (inset). In the next section we will discuss the BA solution for $T=0$. We will see that a nite interaction betw een the Ferm ions,
\& 0 , has dram atic e ects and bulk $(h ; T=0)$ and $\quad(h ; T=0)$ are no longer identical. For $1=2 \quad 1$ we nd that $\quad(h ; T=0)$ even diverges for $h!0$ whereas bulk ( $\mathrm{h}=0 ; \mathrm{T}=0$ ) rem ains always nite.


Figure 1. Bulk and boundary susceptibilities for free Ferm ions. N ote that $(h ; T=0)$ diverges for $h!h_{C}=J$.

## 3. The B ethe ansatz solution

In this section we calculate ground-state properties of the model ( $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, i.e. $T=0$. The H am iltonian ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) w th $\mathrm{J}_{1}^{0}=\mathrm{J}_{2}^{0}=0$ has been diagonalized both by coordinate and algebraic B ethe A nsatz $[13,114]$. In the follow ing, we refer to the algebraic B ethe A nsatz $\left[{ }^{[14} \mathbb{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. The eigenvalues $E$ are param eterized by a set of $M \rightarrow m$ any quantum numbers $\mathrm{f}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g}_{2}$
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$$
\begin{align*}
& E=J^{4} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\sin ^{2}}{\cosh \left(2_{j}\right) \quad \cos }+\frac{N \quad 1}{4} \cos 5 \quad h S^{z}  \tag{9}\\
& S^{z}=N=2 \quad M: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $S^{z}$ is the total $z$-com ponent of the spin, $h$ a $m$ agnetic eld along the $z$-direction and we alw ays assum $e$ in the follow ing $h \quad 0 \mathrm{w}$ thout loss ofgenerality. T he anisotropic exchange constant is given by eq. ( $\overline{2}$ ) and the $k$ are determ ined by the follow ing set of coupled algebraic equations
w ith the de nitions

$$
():=\sinh ^{2 N}() ; a(;):=\sinh (+i) ; \mathscr{G}():=\sum_{j=1}^{M 1} \sinh (\quad j):
$$

We rst deal w ith the anisotropic case $0<\quad=2$ and obtain equations for the susceptibility, which are solved analytically in the lim it of sm allm agnetic eld. The isotropic case is treated afterw ards. At the end of this section, we present num erical results for the susceptibility at arbitrary $m$ agnetic eld.

### 3.1. A nisotropic case

The solutions to ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ are periodic in the com plex plane $w$ ith period $2 i$, so that we can focus on a strip parallel to the real axis $w$ ith $w$ idth 2 i. U sing argum ents of analyticity, one sees that there are $2 \mathrm{~N}+3+2 \mathrm{M}$ roots in such a strip. So besides the $M$ many $k$ which yield the energy eigenvalues $(\bar{q})$, there are $2 N+3+M$ additional roots. C onsider now the strip with $\operatorname{lm}$ k 2 ] ; 18 k . W e denote the roots in this
 that 0; $i=2$ are roots. H ow ever, the algebraic Bethe A nsatz fails for these roots so that these solutions $m$ ust be excluded. The roots are distributed sym $m$ etrically, both with respect to the real and im aginary axis. In this work we focus on the calculation of the ground state energy where $M=N=2$ and $2 \mathbb{R}^{>0} 8 j$. Then there are $N=2$ roots ${ }_{j}^{(h)}=\quad, j=1 ;::: ; N=2$. A num ericalevaluation of $\left(1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ show $s$ that the rem aining roots $\sum_{j=N=2+1 ;:: 3 N=2}^{(h)} \quad\binom{(h)}{j=3 N=2+1 ;::: 5 N=2}$ have im aginary part $i=2$ ( $i=2$ ). The eigenvalues $E$ are sym $m$ etrical in the $j$. $W$ e thus $w a n t$ to deal $w$ ith the set $\mathrm{fv}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g}:=\mathrm{f} \underset{\mathrm{N}=2}{(\mathrm{~h})} ;::: \mathrm{i}_{1}^{(\mathrm{h})} ; 1 ;::: ;{ }_{\mathrm{N}=2} \mathrm{~g}$, whose elem ents are distributed sym $m$ etrically on the real axis $w$ r.t. the origin. From (11), we nd that the $v_{j}$ are the N realsolutions to the equations
$\frac{\left(v_{m}+i=2\right)}{\left(v_{m} \quad i=2\right)} \frac{a\left(v_{m} ;=2\right.}{a\left(v_{m} ; \quad=2\right) a\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}} ;=2\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}+i\right)}{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{i}\right)}:$
$T$ he rem aining 2 N solutions $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j}}^{(\mathrm{h})}$ are identi ed as $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{j=}=1 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~N}}^{(\mathrm{h})} \quad \underset{j=\mathrm{N}=2+1 ;::: ; 5 \mathrm{~N}=2}{(\mathrm{~h})}$. In (12ㄴㄱㄴ), the term $s$ in brackets [ ] are due to the O BC. T hese term $s$ w ould be absent in the case of PBC.

O ur aim is to calculate the $1=\mathrm{N}$-contribution to the ground state energy per lattice site in the them odynam ical lim it (T L ). Like in the P B C-case [ [1] 1 the density of roots on the real axis,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} & :=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}+1}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} 1+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2} ; \quad \mathrm{m}=2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1 \\
+\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) & :=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}} ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{m}$ is the distance betw een tw o points on the left and on the right of the root $v_{m}$, such that the left (right) point is situated $m$ idw ay betw een $v_{m} \quad ; v_{m}\left(v_{m} ; v_{m}+1\right)$. From num erical studies the boundary values of + are inferred, $+\left(v_{1}\right)=+\left(v_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=0$, w th $+\left(\mathrm{v}_{1 ; \mathrm{N}}\right)_{\mathrm{v}_{1 ; \mathrm{N}}}=1$. Together w ith ( $\left(1 \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{N}}+\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{1}{2}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the TL $\quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ! 0 and $+\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ becom es a sm ooth function. Let us de ne the interval with non-vanishing density by [ B ; B ], i.e. v ! B, W ! B in the TL.Then sum S over functions $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ are transform ed into integrals by
where the contribution $f(0)$ is subtracted because the algebraic Bethe A nsatz fails at the origin. There are no further $O$ ( $1=\mathrm{N}$ ) -term s because + vanishes outside the integration boundaries by de nition,

$$
+(v)+(v)(v+B)(B+v) ;
$$

where ( v ) is the Heaviside-function. In order to nd the continuum version of (1-2), it is convenient to de ne

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{v}):=+(\mathrm{v})+(\mathrm{v}) \\
& (\mathrm{v}):=(\mathrm{v})\left(\begin{array}{llll}
(\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{B}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{v})):
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking the logarithm ic derivative, the continuum version of (12) is obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\#(x ;) & +\frac{1}{2 N}[\#(x ;)+\#(x ; \quad)+\#(x ; 2)] \\
& =(x)+{\underset{B}{B}}_{\#}^{\#}(x \quad y ; 2)+(y) d y ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \text { i\# }(x ;)=\frac{2 i \sin }{\cosh 2 x \quad \cos }=\frac{d}{d x} \ln \frac{\sinh (x+i=2)}{\sinh (x \quad i=2)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1-5) is a linear integral equation $w$ th two unknowns, $B$ and. In a rst step, $\left.{ }^{\left(15_{1}\right.}\right)$ is solved for $B=1$; in a second step, $+(x)$ is obtained depending on the param eter $B$ and the dependence of $B$ on the $m$ agnetic eld $h$ is calculated. $W$ e will see that $B=1$ corresponds to $h=0$, and a nite $m$ agnetic eld $h>0$ induces a nite $B<1$. Finally, the susceptibility (h) is deduced. This procedure was rst used by Takahashi for PBC and is review ed in [18'].
$N$ ote that in deriving (15) the range ofde nition of the involved functionshasbeen enlarged. Allfunctions in (15) are de ned on [ 1 ;1 ]; to calculate physicalquantities (like the ground-state energy), how ever, we only need to know +, de ned on [ B;B]. A ctually, it will be shown later that instead of dealing with +, all quantities we are interested in can be expressed m ore conveniently by $g_{+}(x):=(x)(v+B)$. The calculation of these functions is done by Fourier transform ation,

$$
(x)=\frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z_{1}} e(k) e^{i k x} d k:
$$

Let us rst consider the case B = 1, where + . It is straightforw ard to solve (1든) in Fourier space, where

$$
\#(k ;)=\frac{\sinh (=2 \quad=2) k}{\sinh k=2}:
$$

$W$ e denote the solution of (1-5) for $B=1$ by 0 and nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{0}(k)=e(k)+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{\cosh \quad k=4 \cosh (=4}{\cosh \quad k=2) k} \cosh (\quad) k=4 ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
e(k)=\frac{1}{2 \cosh k=2} ; s(x)=\frac{1}{2 \cosh x=}:
$$

$N$ ote that ${ }_{1}^{R_{1}}(x) d x=1=2+1=(2 N)$, in agreem ent $w$ ith (1-4).
$W$ e now consider the case $B<1$, i.e., a nite magnetic eld. Let us derive the


$$
\begin{align*}
& (x)=0(x)+\quad(x \quad y) \quad(y) d y  \tag{18}\\
& (x)=\frac{1}{2} \quad{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{\sinh (=2 \quad) k}{2 \cosh \quad k=2 \sinh (\quad) k=e^{i k x} d k} \text { : } \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now introduce the functions

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
(x+B)=: g(x) & g(x)+g(x) \\
g_{+}(x)=\quad(x) g(x) ; & g(x)=\quad(x) g(x):
\end{array}
$$

$T$ hen $g(x)$ satis es the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g(x)=0(x+B)+{ }_{0} \quad(x \quad y) g(y) d y+{ }_{0} \quad(x+y+2 B) g(y) d y:$
W e seek a solution in the lim it B 0, which corresponds to hj , where is some nite scale which is calculated later. The driving term $0(x+B)$ can be expanded in powers of $\exp \mathbb{B}]$. Since ( $2 \mathbf{2 1}_{1}$ ) is linear in $g$ and 0 , we $m$ ake the ansatz $g=g^{(1)}+g^{(2)}+:::$, where superscripts denote increasing pow ers of $\left.\exp \mathbb{B}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{(1)}(x)=[0(x+B)]^{(1)}+Z_{1}^{Z_{1}}(x \quad y) g^{(1)}(y) d y \\
& g^{(n)}(x)=[0(x+B)]^{(n)}+Z_{0}^{0}(x+y+2 B) g^{(n)}(y) d y+Z_{0}^{Z_{1}}(x \quad y) g^{(n)}(y) d y:
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus in each order, a linear integral equation of $W$ iener $H$ opf-type has to be solved. $T$ his technique is explained for exam ple in [19, 2d]. It relies on the factorization of the kemele,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \sim=1=\left(G_{+} G\right) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{+}(G)$ is analytical in the upper (lower) half plane and has asym ptotics
 note that $g_{+}(g)$ is analytical in the upper (lower) half of the com plex plane. T hen

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{+}^{(1)}(k)=G_{+}(k) e_{0}(k) G \quad(k) e^{i k B} \quad \stackrel{(1)}{+} \\
& g_{+}^{(2)}(k)=G_{+}(k) \quad e_{0}(k) G \quad(k) e^{i k B} \quad+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\quad e(k) g^{(1)}(k) G(k) e^{2 i k B} G(k)^{i_{(2)}} \quad \text {; } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{i}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{q})}{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{dq} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytical in the upper (subscript + ) or lower (subscript ) half of the com plex plane such that $f=f_{+}+f . W$ e will see later that it is su cient to know $9+$. In this section we restrict ourselves to the calculation of $g_{+}^{(1)}$. The calculation of $g_{+}^{(2)}$ is sketched in Appendix B'.

The bracket $[:: 0]_{+}^{(1)}$ in $\left(2 \mathbf{2}_{1}\right)$ is evaluated using $\left(\mathbf{2}_{2} \overline{5_{1}}\right)$, where only the pole nearest to the real axis is taken into account. Then we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{+}^{(1)}(k)= & \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { 多 } G_{+}(k) \frac{a_{0}}{k+i=} e^{=} \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array}+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{a_{1}}{k+i=} e^{B=}+\frac{b_{1}}{k+i 2=( } e^{2 B=( }\right) \tag{26a}
\end{align*}
$$

for \& $=3$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{+}^{(1)}(k)=G_{+}(k) \frac{a_{0}}{k+i 3} e^{3 B}+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{C_{1}}{k+3 i} B e^{3 B} \tag{26b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $=3 \mathrm{w}$ here the constants are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=\stackrel{i}{-}_{G} \quad(\quad i=)  \tag{27a}\\
& a_{1}=\stackrel{{ }^{P} \overline{2}^{i}}{ } G \quad\left(i=\frac{\sin ^{2}=(4)}{\left.\cos ^{2}=(4) \quad=4\right)}\right.  \tag{27b}\\
& \mathrm{b}_{1}=\frac{2 \mathrm{i}}{[ } \tan =(\quad) \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{i} 2=(\quad)) \\
& C_{1}=i \frac{18}{2} G \quad(\quad 3 i):
\end{align*}
$$

For $==3$, term $\operatorname{SO}(B \exp [B])$ occur in the boundary contribution which are absent for $=3$. These term s are leading com pared to those $O$ (exp [ B ]) so that these latter have been neglected for the boundary contribution in (26b).
$W$ e are now ready to com pute $s^{z}:=S^{z}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{N}$ from $(\underline{\mathrm{g}}),\left(\overline{1} \mathbf{1 0}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$Z_{B}$
$s^{2}=1=2 \quad+(x) d x+1=(2 N)$
$e=h s^{2} \frac{J \sin }{2}{ }_{B}^{Z} \#(x ;)+(x) d x+\frac{J}{4} \cos +\frac{2 \quad \cos }{N}:$


$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{z}=-\quad g_{+}(0) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an exact statem ent, including all orders $g^{(n)}$. It is convenient to calculate e $\quad$, where $e_{0}:=e(h=0)$. W e use again (1G) which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
e \quad & =h s^{2}+\frac{4 J \sin }{Z_{1}} \frac{g_{+}(x)}{\cosh (x+B)=} d x \\
= & h g_{+}^{(1)}(0)+g_{+}^{(2)}(0)+\frac{8 J \sin }{h} g_{+}^{(1)}(i=)+g^{(2)}(i=) e^{B=} \\
& g^{(1)}(3 i=) e^{3 B=}+0 e^{3 B=} g^{(2)} ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equation we restrict ourselves to the given orders. N ow B is treated as a variational param eter and is determ ined in such a way that

$$
\frac{@}{@ B}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{e} & \Theta \tag{32}
\end{array}\right)=0:
$$

In this section we consider only the leading order in (3). 1 ). Inserting ( in (32), $B$ is obtained as a function of $h$,

$$
\begin{align*}
B & =-\ln \frac{h}{}  \tag{33}\\
& =\frac{2 J \sin }{\frac{G}{G+(i=)}}: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus sets the scale for $h$. The restriction to the leading orders in $\exp [B]$ is equivalent to the leading orders in $h$ in the lim it $n j$

O ne now $m$ akes use of $\left(\overline{3} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ to determ ine $s^{z}(h)$ from $\left(\overline{3} O_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and therefrom $\quad(h)=$ $@ s^{2}=@ h$. Inserting the explicit expressions for $G$ from Appendix Al we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { bulk }=\frac{}{(\quad) J \sin }: \tag{35a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The boundary contribution is given by } \\
& \text { T he boundary contribution is given by }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { B }(h)=  \tag{35b}\\
& \frac{3}{3}+\frac{2^{\mathrm{p}-}}{(\mathrm{y}} \tan -\frac{1}{(1=2+=(\quad))}(\mathrm{h}=) \quad(3)=(\quad) \\
& \text { for ( }=3 \mathrm{w} \text { ith } \\
& =2 J\left(\quad \rho-\frac{\sin }{(1+\quad=(2 \quad))} \frac{(1=2+=(2 \quad))}{(1)}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(h)=\frac{1}{3^{2}} \ln \frac{4 h}{27}+1 \tag{35d}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $==3$. N ote that the rst term in ( 3 (510) , which is independent ofm agnetic eld $h$, is the leading contribution for $>=3$ (pole closest to the real axis in ( $2 \hat{3}())$. For
$<=3$ the second term dom inates and,_in addition, this term is the next-leading contribution for $>=3$ (second pole in (23)). For $=7 \ll=3$ the constant term represents the next-leading contribution, how ever, for $<=7$ a pole at $6 i=(\quad)$ in (23) becom es second nearest to the real axis and gives the next-leading contribution (see 'Appendix B') .

The second term in ( $\overline{3} 5 \overline{\mathrm{~b}}$ ) is in perfect agreem ent w th the result obtained by conform al eld theory and bosonization in $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}, ~ i n \overline{2}^{1}\right]$. However, the rst, eld independent term has not been obtained before. A lso the result ( $35 \bar{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ) for the special case $==3$ is new. O ur results are in qualitative agreem ent w th the TBA work '[ [ T ] at $T=0$, where also a nite value of $в(T=0 ; h=0)$ for $>=3$ (i.e. < $1=2$ ) and a divergent contribution $w$ ith the sam e exponent as in (35b) for $<=3(>1=2)$ have been found. H ow ever, the coe cients in ( $\overline{5} \overline{5} \bar{b}$ ) di er from those in [i] due to an incorrect treatm ent of the B ethe root at spectral param eter $x=0$ in [7] (c.f. the discussion in section $\left.{ }_{1}^{1 / 11}\right)$.

### 3.2. Isotropic case

$T$ he isotropic case $=0$ (i.e. $=1$ ) is treated in the sam em anner as the anisotropic case 0 . For the bulk susceptibility, "(35a) , the lim it ! 0 can be perform ed directly, yielding bulk $=1=J^{2}$. For the boundary contribution this lim it is $m$ ore com plicated and we describe the procedure in the follow ing.
\left. First, we rescale ( ${\underset{1}{1}}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ by $\mathrm{j}!\mathrm{j}$. This is equivalent to substituting $\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{k}=$ in Fourier space. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& e(k)=\frac{1}{2 \cosh k=2} \\
& e_{0}(k)=e(k)+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{1}{2 \cosh k=2} 1+e^{j k j 2}: \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

W hereas the analyticity properties of the bulk contribution to ( $\overline{3} \overline{6}$ ) are qualtatively the sam e as in $\left.\left[\overline{1}_{1}\right]_{1}\right)$, the boundary contribution show s , besides poles, a cut along the im aginary axis. In (23í), the [:: :] -bracket thus yields contributionsO (exp [ const:B ]) from the poles, and algebraic contributions due to the cut. The exponential contributions are clearly sub-leading in com parison to the algebraic ones, so only the
latter are calculated in the follow ing. U sing eq. (A-2') from 'Appendix A" and explait expressions for $G(k)$, we nd (om itting the bulk contribution)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant{ }^{8} G+(0)\left({ }_{1}=B+2(\ln B)=B^{2}+{ }_{3}=B^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=\frac{1}{\overline{2}} ; \quad 2=\frac{P^{2}}{4^{2}} ; \quad 3=\frac{1}{\overline{2}^{2}} \ln 2 \quad \frac{1}{2} \ln (2) \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
B & =\frac{1}{\ln n}  \tag{37}\\
1 & =\frac{G+(0)}{2 J G_{+}(i)}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations are obtained by those from the anisotropic case, ( 3 ( 3 B ! B and sending ! 0 afterw ards. C arrying out the sam e steps which lead to ( $\overline{3} 5 \cdot \mathbf{b} \mathbf{b}$ ), one nds the boundary contribution

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{B}^{z}(h)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\ln h=h_{0}}+\frac{\ln j \ln h=h_{0} j}{2 \ln ^{2} h=h_{0}}+O\left(\ln ^{2} h\right)  \tag{39}\\
& B(h)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{h \ln ^{2} h=h_{0}}+\frac{\ln j \ln h=h_{0} j}{h \ln ^{3} h=h_{0}} \frac{1}{2 h \ln ^{3} h=h_{0}}+o \frac{1}{h \ln ^{3} h}  \tag{40}\\
& h_{0}=={ }^{p} \overline{2}=J \frac{\mathrm{e}}{=} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

The scale $h_{0}$ has been chosen such that in $\left.\overline{3} \bar{g}_{1}\right)$, no term SO ( $\mathrm{ln}^{2} \mathrm{~h}$ ) occur. The results

 constant factor w ere used.

### 3.3. N um erical evaluation

 For this purpose the bulk and boundary contributions to ( $x$ ) in equation (15) are treated separately. B oth can be evaluated num erically for arbitrary values of B . $T$ he corresponding value for $h$ is then derived from the $m$ inim um condition (323). $T$ his nally yields $s^{z}(h)$ and therefrom (h), c.f. eq. (28). The result for the bulk susceptibility is show in $g$, susceptibility is show $n$ in $g . \underline{N}_{1}^{(1)}\left(\underline{U}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $<1=2(>1=2)$. In both cases, the num erical solution con ms the analytical ndings in the lim it hj

## 4. $F$ in ite tem peratures

In the preceding section we calculated ground-state properties by making use of the integrability of the $H$ am iltonian ( $\overline{11}_{1}^{1}$ ) w ith $J_{1}^{0}=J_{2}^{0}=0$. The next step would be to exploit integrability in order to calculate nite-tem perature properties. H ow ever, the TBA seem sto be problem atic for system $s w$ th OBC as discussed in the introduction. $T$ he other available technique, nam ely the quantum -transfer-m atrix-approach (Q TM) $\left[\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, has not been applied to open system s so far. The problem to modify the TBA


Figure 2. Bulk susceptibility from a num erical solution ofeq. (15). The diam onds denote the $h=0$ values according to (35al). N ote that the $h=0$ value is approached_w ith in nite slope in the isotropic case due to logarithm ic term s, cf. eq. (B.17).


Figure 3. (a) $\beta$-(b) for $=0: 0$;
;0:4. T he stars denote the $\mathrm{h}=0$ values according to eq. (351 ) . (b) C om parison betw een the num erical solution (dots) of eq. (151) and the asym ptotics (dashed lines) for h $j$ (eq. (351d)).


Figure 4．（a）$B$（ h ）for $=0: 5$ ；
；1：0．（b）C om parison betw een the num erical solution＿（dots）of eq．（15）and the asym ptotics（dashed lines）for hj
（eq．（35bl⿱一⿱㇒⿴囗⿱一一儿丶 ））．
appropriately for O B C（if it is applicable at all）and the challenge to apply the Q TM－ $m$ ethod for O B C rem ain open issues for future research．H ere，we use eld theoretical argum ents com bined w ith a num erical study to discuss nite tem peratures．

First，we w ant to present a w ay di erent from section $\underline{N}_{1}^{1}$ to calculate the boundary susceptibility．Because translational invariance is broken in a system with OBC the one－point correlation function $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{i}$ is no longer a constant．The excess $m$ agnetization caused by the boundary can be de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\operatorname{exc}}(r)=h S^{z}(r) i_{0 ~ B ~ C ~} \quad M_{\text {P B C }} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ PBC is the magnetization per site in the system $w$ ith PBC and $r$ is the distance from the boundary．The local boundary susceptibility is then given by
$B(r)=@ M$ exc $(r)=@ h$ and the total boundary susceptibility $\quad$ в can be obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{OBC} \quad \text { PBC : } \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that we can calculate $B$ by considering only a local quantity which is particularly useful in num erical calculations where it is di cult to obtain the $1=\mathrm{N}$ contribution directly．Particularly suited for this purpose is the density－ $m$ atrix renorm alization group applied to transfer matrices（TMRG）because the them odynam ic lim it is perform ed exactly．The idea of the TMRG is to express the partition function $Z$ of a one－dim ensionalquantum modelby that ofan equivalent two－dim ensional classicalm odel which can be derived by the Trotter－Suzuki form ula

allow sfor the calculation of all therm odynam ic quantities in the therm odynam ic lim it by considering solely the largest eigenvalue of this transfer $m$ atrix. D etails of the
 im purity problem $s$ in $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \mathbf{8}_{-1}^{\prime}\right]$. In particular, the localm agnetization at a distance $r$ from the boundary of a system w ith N sites is given by
where $j{ }_{R}^{n} i\left(h \sum_{L}^{n}\right)$ are the right (left) eigenstates of the transfer $m$ atrix $T, T$ is a modi ed transfer $m$ atrix containing the broken bond and $T\left(S^{z}\right)$ is the transfer $m$ atrix $w$ th the operator $S^{z}$ included. Because the spectrum of $T$ has a gap betw een the leading eigenvalue 0 and the next-leading eigenvalues, eq. (44i) reduces in the them odynam ic lim it to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N!} h S^{z}(r) i=\frac{h{ }_{L}^{0} \mathfrak{T}\left(S^{z}\right) T^{r}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{j}}{ }_{R}^{0} i}{{ }_{0}^{r} h{ }_{L}^{0}{ }^{j} \mathrm{e}^{0}{ }_{R}^{0} i}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore only the leading eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvectors have to be know $n$ to calculate the local magnetization in the them odynam ic lim it. Far aw ay from the boundary $\mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{r})$ i becom es a constant, the bulk $m$ agnetization

To obtain num erically the susceptibility pro le в (r) at $\mathrm{h}=0$ we calculate M exc (r) for sm all elds $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J} \quad 10^{2}$ to $10^{3}$ by using eqs. (45) and taking the num erical derivative. As an exam ple we show in $g .5$ the susceptibility pro le for $=0: 6$ at various tem peratures.


Figure 5. Susceptibility pro le for $=0: 6$. The lines are a guide to the eye.

At su ciently low tem peratures the susceptibility pro le exhibits a maxim um . $T$ his $m$ axim um gets shifted further aw ay from the boundary as the tem perature is low ered. The dependence of ${ }_{B}(r)$ on at xed tem perature is shown in $F$ ig. $\oint_{i}^{-1}$, H ere the $m$ axim um becom es $m$ ore pronounced with increasing and is at the same time shifted further into the chain.


Figure 6. Susceptibility pro les for di erent at $T=J=0: 01387$. The TMRG data are denoted by circles, the lines are the eld theory results according to Eq. (511) and the dashed lines the eld theory results shifted by som e lattice spacings (see text below).

N ext we want to com pare the num erics with eld theory predictions. W e start w ith the bulk two-point correlation function $h S^{z}(r) S^{z}(0) i$. The leading term in the long distance asym ptotics of this function at zero tem perature is know $n$ to be given


$$
\begin{equation*}
h S^{z}(r) S^{z}(0) i \quad A \frac{\cos \left(2 k_{F} r+\quad\right)}{r^{2 x}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith an am plitude A and phase . The Ferm im om entum is given by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \mathrm{~m}\end{array}\right)=2$ and the scaling dim ension by $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{K}=2$. The usualm apping of the com plex plane onto a sem i-in nite cylinder then im plies for $s m$ all tem peratures

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S^{z}(r) S^{z}(0) i \quad A \frac{\cos \left(2 k_{F} r+\quad\right)}{\frac{V_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{T r}{V_{s}}}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing $C$ ardy's relation betw een $2 n$-point functions in the bulk and $n$-point functions near a surface $\bar{R}_{1}^{\prime}$ ] one can now directly obtain the $m$ agnetization near the boundary

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S^{z}(r) i \quad \frac{\cos \left(2 k_{F} r+e\right)}{\frac{v_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{2 T r}{V_{s}}}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that although the critical exponent is only half the exponent appearing in the two-point bulk correlation function both decay exponentially $w$ th exactly the sam e correlation length $=v_{s}=(2 \mathrm{xT})$. W ith the known result for the bulk susceptibility bulk $(\mathrm{h}=0)=\mathrm{K}=2 \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}$ we obtain

$$
h^{z}(r) i \quad \mathcal{R} \frac{(1)^{r} \sin \left(K h r=V_{s}\right)}{\frac{V_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{2 T r}{V_{s}}} \quad \text { for } h \quad 1 \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(r) \dot{j}_{h}=0 \quad \frac{\AA K}{V_{S}} \frac{(1)^{r} r}{\frac{V_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{2 T r}{V_{s}}} \text { K=2}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the leading contribution to the boundary susceptibility. N ote that eq. ( 50 agrees for the special case $=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith the result given in [l]. The amplitude depends only on the operator product expansion of $S^{z}$ and is given by $A=\overline{A_{z}=2}$ where $A_{z}$ hasbeen derived by Lukyanov and Terras [33]] (see eq. (4.3)). H ow ever, this altemating term does not contribute when calculating $B$ by integrating over all lattice sites. The leading non-oscillating contribution has already been obtained by Fu üm oto and E ggert [ $\left.]^{1} 1\right]$ and Furusakiand H ikihara [12]. Including this term we nd for the susceptibility pro le

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { B }(r) \dot{h}_{\mathrm{h}=0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{A_{z}}{2} \frac{K}{V_{s}} \frac{(1)^{\mathrm{K} r}}{\frac{V_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{2 T_{r}}{V_{s}}}{ }^{K=2}} \\
& +\frac{4 K^{2}}{V_{s}^{2}} \frac{r^{2}}{\frac{V_{s}}{T} \sinh \frac{2 T_{r}}{V_{s}}}{ }^{2 K} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where the am plitude is given in [13 lines in Fig. $\overline{6}$, in com parison to the num erics. For all the shape of the curves agrees well w ith the num erical results. H ow ever, especially at larger the height of the $m$ axim um is overestim ated and there is also a shift by a few lattice sites. W hen we shiff the theoretical curves by an appropriate am ount of lattice spacings (dashed lines in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}, \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) $)$ we see that the predicted exponential decay for larger distances agrees perfectly w ith the num erical data.
$F$ irst, we should note that we cannot expect that the eld theoretical treatm ent yields reliable results for short distances. In addition, the next-leading altemating terms in the asym ptotic expansion of the bulk two-point correlation function will becom $e m$ ore and $m$ ore im portant as ! 1 [33]. This $m$ akes our approxím ation to take only the leading term ( $47_{1}$ ) into account apparently worse for larger. In fact shifting the eld theoretical result is equivalent to taking contributions w ith larger scaling dim ensions into account. O ur observation that the shift increases w ith increasing is therefore consistent w the increasing im portance of next-leading term $s$. $W$ e also want to $m$ ention that a sim ilar shift has been observed before for the isotropic case [1] ${ }_{12}^{11}$.

Finally we want to discuss the total boundary susceptibility $B$ at nite tem perature. To calculate it num erically we have in principle to sum $\quad$ ( $r$ ) over all lattice sites. H ow ever, at the low est considered tem perature the correlation length
$<50$ and it is su cient to take the sum over the rst 200 sites around the boundary. The results for $=0: 1 \quad 0: 4$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\overline{1} 1$ and for $=0: 6 \quad 0: 9$ in F ig. $\bar{\phi}$, For the low -tem perature asym ptotics we already know from our B ethe ansatz calculations that there is a tem perature and $m$ agnetic eld independent term which dom inates for
< 0:5. In addition there is a tem perature dependent contribution which stem s from the integral over all lattice sites of the non-oscillating term in eq. ( $\left.{ }^{(51}\right]_{1}$ ) and dom inates for $>0: 5$. This integral has already been calculated in [ill 12ly y ielding the leading tem perature-dependent contribution to $\overline{\text { в ( }} \mathbf{T}$ ). H ow ever, the constant term cannot be calculated w thin the eld-theoretical fram ew ork. O ur know ledge of this term from the BA calculations in the previous section allows us to obtain a low-tem perature


Figure 7. Com parison between TMRG (circles) and the low-tem perature asym ptotics (lines) according to (52) for $=0: 1 ; \quad ; 0: 4$.

asym ptotics which is valid for all 0 < 1. This asym ptotics is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(T ; h=0)=\frac{K \quad 1}{J^{p} \overline{2} \sin (K \quad 1)} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{K}{K} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{K 1}}{\cos } \\
& \left.+\frac{K(K)(3 \quad 2 K)\left({ }^{2} \quad 2^{0}(K)\right)}{4 v^{2}(2} 1=K\right)(2 \quad K) \quad \frac{2 T}{V}{ }^{2 K} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{0}(x)=d^{2} \ln (x)=d x^{2}$. The rst term is our BA result, eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} 5 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}\right)$, where we have substituted $=\left(\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{K} \quad 1)=\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{T} \text { he second term is the leading tem perature } \mathrm{l}\end{array}\right.$ dependent contribution taken from [1] that these are the leading and the sub-leading contributions for . 0:9. For larger we expect that other tem perature dependent term $s$ w ill becom em ore im portant than the constant term and we have to om it it in this case to stay consistent. N ote that the leading tem perature dependent term has the sam e exponent $2 \mathrm{~K} \quad 3$ as the leading m agnetic eld dependent term in eq. ( $\overline{3} 5 \mathbf{j}$ ). T his is consistent w th scaling argum ents. $T$ he lines in gs. ${ }^{17}$ excellent agreem ent w ith the num erics except for $=0: 9 \mathrm{w}$ here the asym ptotic lim it at the low est considered $T$ is not yet reached. H ow ever, this is expected due to the afore $m$ entioned other tem perature dependent term $s$ which $w$ ill becom e sub-leading for \& 0:9 and even equally im portant as the term w ith exponent 2K 3 for ! 1, yielding nally a logarithm ic dependence on tem perature [11,

## 5. C onclusions

In the rst part we have calculated the boundary contribution to the m agnetic susceptibility of the X X Z -chain with OBC at zero tem perature and nite magnetic eld by BA. For sm allm agnetic elds and $<=3(>1=2)$ the BA result for the leading divergent term agrees w the eld theoretical analysis [11 1 ( $<1=2$ ) a eld independent term is dom inating. This term has not been obtained before. W e also derived for the rst tim e the leading term for the specialcase $==3$ ( = 1=2). In addition we have presented a num erical solution of the BA equations for arbitrary eld $h$. $W$ e used the num erics for a veri cation of our analytical results for h $\ddagger$

In the second part we have calculated num erically susceptibility pro les near the boundary by the TM RG m ethod and com pared these results with a low -tem perature asym ptotics which we obtained by eld theoreticalm ethods. A part from a shift by a few lattice sites we have found good agreem ent. By combining a tem perature and $m$ agnetic eld independent term, which we obtained by BA and which is dom inating for $<1=2, w$ ith the leading tem perature dependent term, which has been calculated in $[112,112$, $1=2$, we have obtained a low inates for tem perature asym ptotics for $B_{B}(T)$ which is valid for all $0<1$. N um erically,
в ( $T$ ) has been obtained by a sum $m$ ation of в $(r ; T)$ over a su cient num ber of sites around the boundary. At low -tem peratures excellent agreem ent w th the analytical form ula $w$ as found. The rem aining challenge is to calculate the nite-tem perature properties analytically by using the integrability of the model, either by TBA or by the Q TM m ethod.

W e acknow ledge very helpfuldiscussions w th A ndreas K lum per, Ian A eck and Frank G ohm ann.

A ppendix A. Factorization of the kernel
Let us rst carry out the factorization ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ for the anisotropic case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{G_{+}(k) G \quad(k)} & \left.=\frac{\sinh \quad k=2}{2 \cosh \quad k=2 \sinh ( }\right) k=2 \\
G \quad(k) & =G_{+}(\mathrm{k}):
\end{aligned}
$$

$U$ sing properties of the function, we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.G_{+}(k)=\frac{p}{2( }\right) \frac{(1 \quad i k=2)}{(1=2 \quad i k=(2))} \begin{array}{l}
\text { (1 ik }(\quad)=(2))
\end{array} e^{\text {iak }} \\
& a \quad=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}}-\ln (=\quad 1) \quad \ln (1 \quad=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ is determ ined such that $\lim { }_{j k j!} G \quad(k)=1$.
A s already $m$ entioned in section 32, the isotropic lim it is realized by scaling $\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{k}=$, thus for $=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{G_{+}(k) G \quad(k)}=\frac{e^{\mathrm{k} j=2}}{2 \cosh k=2}: \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By m aking use of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{j} j}{2}=\frac{i k}{2} \ln \mathrm{ik} \quad \frac{\mathrm{ik}}{2} \ln (\mathrm{ik}) ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the exponential in ( half planes w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{+}(k)=P \frac{(i k)^{i k=(2)}}{2} \frac{(1=2+i k=(2))}{} e^{\text {iak }} \\
& a \quad=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln (2)}{2}:
\end{aligned}
$$

A ppendix B.N ext-leading orders
O ur focus here is on the anisotropic case; we com ment on the isotropic case at the end of this appendix.

The calculation of the next-leading order, i.e. of $g^{(2)}$ in ( $\mathbf{2 4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), is technically $m$ ore involved than the leading order $g^{(1)}$, because there are two contributions in (24). The calculation is done follow ing the sam e steps as in section '3'1', so that we m erely give the results here.
 N ow, the pole next-nearest to the realaxis is taken into account in the rst sum $m$ and in (24). The second term already contains a factor $\exp [2 \mathrm{ikB}]$, so that the pole next to the realaxis yields the leading contribution. Thuswe nd for $\in=3$
$g_{+}^{(2)}(k)=G+(k)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\quad \frac{a_{0 ; 1}}{k+i 3=}+\frac{a_{0 ; 2}}{k+i=} e^{3 \mathrm{~B}=}+\frac{a_{0 ; 3}}{k+i 2=( }\right)^{(=+4=(\quad)) B} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{a_{1 ; 1}}{k+i 3=}+\frac{a_{1 ; 2}}{k+i=} e^{3 B=}+\frac{a_{1 ; 3}}{k+i 2=( }\right)^{(=+4=(\quad)) B} \\
& \left.+\frac{b_{1 ; 1}}{k+i 6=( }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 3}}{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{i}_{2}=( }\right) \mathrm{e}^{6=(\quad) \mathrm{B}}+\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1 ; 2}}{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{i}=} \mathrm{e}^{2(=+=(\quad) \mathrm{B}}  \tag{B.1}\\
& a_{0 ; 1}=\stackrel{i}{-}_{\underline{i}}  \tag{B2}\\
& a_{0 ; 2}=\frac{i}{2} \tan \frac{2}{2} G^{3}  \tag{B.3}\\
& a_{0 ; 3}=\frac{i}{(+)} \tan \longrightarrow G G^{2} \quad{ }^{2}  \tag{B.4}\\
& a_{1 ; 1}=i \frac{2 \sin =4 \sin 3^{2}=(4)}{\cos \left(3^{2}=(4)+=4\right)} G \quad 3  \tag{B.5}\\
& a_{1 ; 2}=\frac{a_{1}}{2} \tan \frac{2}{2} G^{2} \quad i  \tag{B.6}\\
& a_{1 ; 3}=\frac{a_{1}}{(+,} \tan -G^{2} \quad 2  \tag{B.7}\\
& b_{1 ; 1}=i-2  \tag{B.8}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 2}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}(\mathrm{~m})}{(+\mathrm{tan}} \frac{2}{2} \mathrm{G}^{2} \quad i  \tag{B.9}\\
& \mathrm{~b}_{1 ; 3}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{4} \tan -\mathrm{G}^{2} \quad \mathrm{I}^{2} \text {; }  \tag{B.10}\\
& \text { and for }=3 \\
& g_{+}^{(2)}(k)=G_{+}(k) \frac{a_{0 ; 4}}{k+3 i} B e^{9 B}+\frac{1}{2 N} \frac{C_{1 ; 1}}{k+9 i} B e^{9 B}+\frac{C_{1 ; 2}}{k+3 i} B^{2} e^{9 B}  \tag{B.11}\\
& a_{0 ; 4}=i \frac{9}{3} G^{3}(3 i) ; G ; 1=i \frac{18}{2} G \quad(9 i) ; G ; 2=\frac{3 C_{1}}{2} G^{2} \text { ( 3i): }
\end{align*}
$$

This expression for $g_{2}$ is inserted into ( B1 $_{1}^{1}$ ), where w enow have to keep all the indicated term sk $T$ hen $B$ as a function of $h$ is derived. In section $\overline{3}$, we found that this relationship is the sam e both for the boundary and for the bulk in the leading order. $T$ his is no longer true when next-leading term s are considered. For the bulk we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{B=}=h^{a_{1}} 1+A_{1} \underline{h}^{2}+A_{2} \underline{h}^{4=(,}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{a_{0 ; 4}}{a_{0}} \underline{h}^{2} \ln h^{\#}{ }_{=3}^{A} \text { (B.12) } \\
& A_{1}=\frac{a_{0} ; 2}{a_{0}}+\frac{G \quad(\quad i 3=)}{G \quad(i=)} ; A_{2}=\frac{}{2} \frac{a_{0} ; 3}{a_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for the boundary

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\mathrm{B}=}=\mathrm{h} 1+\mathrm{A}_{1} \underline{\mathrm{~h}}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{2} \underline{h}^{4=(\quad)}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \underline{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

$k$ It is indeed su cient to restrict the expansion of the $1=\cosh (x+B)$-factor in $(\mathbf{B} 1)^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}$ to the rst two qrders. The next term would involve the exponent $5=$. Com paring w ith the largest exponent in (B. $1_{1}$ ), $5=>=+4=($ ) for < $=2$. H ow ever, $==2$ is allowed, since in this case, all coe cients except a $0 ; 1, a_{1 ; 1}$ vanish.
$A_{1}=\frac{a_{1 ; 2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{G(\quad i 3=)}{G(i=)} ; A_{2}=\frac{}{2} \frac{a_{1 ; 3}}{a_{1}}$
$\left.\left.B_{1}=\frac{2( }{+}\right) \frac{b_{1 ; 3}}{a_{1}} ; B_{2}=\frac{+}{2( }\right) \frac{b_{1 ; 2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{G \quad(\quad i 3=)}{G(i=)} \frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}:$
 those labeled by [:: :] = $=3$. C om bining these equations $w$ th ( $\mathrm{BO}_{1}$ ), one nds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.s_{\text {buIk }}^{z}(h)=\frac{S^{\frac{2}{2}}}{( }\right) G \quad\left(i=\frac{h}{+}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{-\tan } \frac{2}{2} G^{3} \ddagger \frac{1}{3} G \quad \frac{3}{i}+\frac{G_{+}(i 3=) G_{+}(i=)}{G_{+}(0)} \quad h^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{2} G_{+}^{3}(3 i) \underline{h}^{3} \ln \underline{h^{\#}}=  \tag{B.14}\\
& \left.\left.2 s_{B}^{z}(h)=\quad i \frac{s}{( }\right) \quad a_{1} \frac{h}{2}+\frac{h^{2}}{2} b_{1}^{2=1}\right) \\
& 2 a_{1 ; 2}+\frac{-}{3} a_{1 ; 1}+a_{1} \frac{G_{+}(i 3=)}{G_{+}(i=)} \quad \underline{h}^{3} \\
& +\quad\left(\quad a_{; 3}+\frac{+}{2( }\right) \frac{b_{1}^{2} b_{1 ; 2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{b_{1}^{3}}{a_{1}} \frac{G+(i 3=g)}{G_{+}(i=g)} \quad \underline{h}^{1+4=(\quad)} \\
& +\frac{2( }{+} b_{1 ; 3}+\frac{}{6} b_{1 ; 1}+\frac{}{2} b_{1 ; 3}+\frac{}{2} \frac{b_{1} a_{1 ; 3}}{a_{1}} \quad \underline{h}^{6=(\quad)} \\
& \left.+\frac{(3}{2( }\right) b_{1 ; 2}+\frac{2^{2}}{} \frac{b_{1} a_{1 ; 2}}{a_{1}}+\frac{(+)\left(G_{+}(i 3=)\right.}{G_{+}(i=)} \quad \underline{h}^{2+2=(\quad)} \\
& +\frac{2( }{n+} \frac{b_{1 ; 3}}{a_{1}} \quad \frac{h}{} \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{9} \frac{\mathrm{~h}}{\ln } \frac{\mathrm{~h}}{-}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{1} ; 2}{3^{5} \mathrm{a}_{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} ; 2}{27} \quad \underline{h}^{3} \quad \ln ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~h}}{-} \\
& +\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{9 a_{1}} \frac{G_{+}(9 i)}{G_{+}(3 i)}+\frac{C_{1 ; 1}}{27 i} \underline{h}^{3} \ln \underline{h}_{=}^{\#} \begin{array}{l}
9 \\
=
\end{array} \tag{B.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From these expressions, can be obtained. Let us consider the special case of free Ferm ions, i.e. $==2$. Eq. $(1)$ implies 0 so that G G 1. Furthem ore,


so that
$g_{+}^{(1)}(k)+g_{+}^{(2)}(k)=i-\frac{1}{k+2 i} e^{2 B} \quad \frac{1}{k+6 i} e^{6 B} \quad 1+\frac{1}{N}+o e^{6 B}:$
$N$ ote that this is the expansion of $0(x+B)$ in Fourier space. Equations ( are equivalent in the free $F$ erm ion case and yield

$$
e^{2 B}=\frac{h}{-} 1+\underline{h}+o h^{2}:
$$

Finally, the sum of $(\mathbb{B} 4),\left(\begin{array}{ll}-15\end{array}\right)$ can be sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{gather*}
s^{z}(h)=\frac{2}{h}+\frac{2}{3} \underline{h}^{3!} 1+\frac{1}{N}+o h^{3} \\
(h)=\frac{1}{2} 1+\frac{1}{2} h^{2} \quad 1+\frac{1}{N}+o h^{2} ; \tag{B.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have set $=2 \mathrm{~J}$ for $==2$. Equation $(\mathrm{B} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{B}})$ is in agreem ent w ith the exact result ( $\bar{T}_{1}$ ) w ithin the rst two orders.
 next-leading term $s$ for the boundary $m$ agnetization and susceptibility. Logarithm ic corrections to the nite bulk susceptibility, eq. (35al) with $=0$, have been calculated by $B A$-techniques for PBC [B4]

$$
\text { bulk }(\mathrm{h})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~J}^{2}} 1+\frac{1}{2 \ln \left(\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{h}\right)} \quad \frac{\ln \ln \left(\mathrm{F}_{0}=\mathrm{h}\right)}{4\left(\ln \left(\mathrm{~A}_{0}=\mathrm{h}\right)\right)^{2}}+\circ \ln ^{2}(\mathrm{~h}) \text { (B. 17) }
$$

w th $\mathrm{f}_{0}=\mathrm{e}^{1=8 \quad{ }^{1=4} \text {. The scale } \mathrm{h}_{0} \text { has been determ ined such that no term } \mathrm{s}}$ $0 \ln ^{2} h$ appear in (B.17).
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[^0]:    z For the free Ferm ion case $K=2$ the perturbation becom es $m$ arginal.
    $\mathrm{x} N$ ote that in the periodic case no $1=\mathrm{N}$ corrections exists and the rst correction to the bulk lim it is of order $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ and determ ines the central charge [6].

