# Resonant nonlinear quantum transport for a periodically kicked B ose condensate 

Sandro W im berger, $R$ iccardo M annella, O liver M orsch, and Ennio A rim ondo<br>IN FM, D ipartim ento di F isica E. Ferm i, U nversita di P isa, Largo P ontecorvo 3, 56127 P isa, Italy


#### Abstract

O ur realistic num erical results show that the fundam entaland higher-order quantum resonances of the $-k$ icked rotor are observable in state-of-the-art experim ents w ith a B ose condensate in a shallow harm on ic trap, kicked by a spatially periodic optical lattice. For stronger con nem ent, interactioninduced destruction of the resonant $m$ otion of the kicked harm onic oscillator is predicted.


PACS num bers: 03.75. $\mathrm{Lm}, 02.70 \mathrm{~B}$ f $, 05.60 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{g}, 32.80 . \mathrm{t}$

D ynam ical system s that exchange signi cant energy w th an extemal driving (tim e-dependent) eld are paradigm atic ob jects for the study of com plex evolutions with only a few degrees of freedom. In contrast to autonom ous system s , where chaotic behavior can originate from $m$ any-body interactions, the com plexity in driven system $s$ arises from and can be controlled by the external eld. An experim ental setup where both types of com plexity \{ m any-body dynam ics and extemal drive \{ are present is realizable and to a large degree controllable w ith state-of-the-art atom optical system s [1, 2]. G ood controlover nontrivialdynam ics is the necessary tool for m anipulating quantum states in a desired way [3]. C ontrolled coherent evolution is the necessary ingredient for quantum computing schem es, and, in particular, for algorithm swhich are based on a fast spreading over the H ilbert space of interest. Recently, ballistic expansion (i.e., linearly increasing m om enta w ith tim e) was proposed to realize such quantum random walk algorithm s [4].

In this Letter, we answ er the question of whether ballistic resonant quantum transport can be realized w ith a periodically kicked B ose condensate. C old dilute atom ic gases have so far been used to realize $m$ any features of quantum chaos, such as dynam icallocalization [5] or dynam ical tunneling [6]. To im plem ent a fast spreading in m om entum space, one can use quantum accelerator $m$ odes found recently [7], or the standard kicked rotor (K R ) which show sballistic m otion at the so-called quantum resonances [8]. T he latter, how ever, are hard to realize if the intial conditions cannot be optim ally controlled [9]. The preparation of a B ose condensate within ham onic traps o ens very well-de ned initialm om enta, necessary for observing ballistic $m$ otion for a substantial num ber of kicks.
$W$ e use the tim e-dependent $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation (GPE) [10] to describe a B ose E instein condensate in an harm onic con nem ent which is sub ject to a tem porally and spatially periodic optical potential, created by a far detuned optical lattice. If the extemal potentials are not too strong, the GPE provides a good approxim ation of experim ents w th dilute Bose gases [11, 12]. The GPE
which we num erically integrate has the follow ing form:
$w$ ith ${ }^{2}=y^{2}+z^{2}$. (x;t) represents the condensate wave function, and $M$ is the atom ic $m$ ass. The nonlinear coupling constant is given by $g=4 \sim^{2} a=M, N$ is the number of atom $s$ in the condensate, a the $s-w$ ave scattering length, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the wave vector of the laser creating the optical potential. In principle, an arbitrary pulse shape $F(t) m$ ay be realized, but here we restrict ourselves to a situation where the laser is sw itched on at tim e instants separated by $T$, $w$ th $m$ axim um am plitude $V_{0}$ and periodic pulse shape function $F$ ( $t$ ) of unit am plitude and duration $T \quad$ T. O ur system is then the nonlinear analogue of noninteracting cold atom experi$m$ ents [5, [9], which im plem ent the KR model, and we can directly compare our results $w$ ith the well-studied $K R$ (for ! x ! 0), or w th the $K$ icked $H$ arm onic $O$ scillator ( $\mathrm{K} H \mathrm{O}$ ) [13] (for non-vanishing ! x ). The commonly used dim ensionless kick strength and kick period of the $K R$ are $k=\left(V_{0}=\sim\right) R_{T} d t F(t)$ and $=8 T E_{R}=\sim$, with the recoilenergy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=\left(\sim \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}$ [ [0].

The GPE was num erically integrated using a nite difference propagator, adapted by a predictor-corrector loop to reliably evaluate the nonlinear interaction [14]. T he extemal, tim e-dependent potentialm akes the integration challenging, in both tim e and com puterm em ory. Typical integration tim es range from a few hours for a sim pli ed 1D version of Eq. (1), to several weeks for the fiull 3D problem. For the 1D model, them otion is con ned to the longitudinal ( $x$ ) direction, and we use the renorm alized nonlinear coupling param eter $g_{1 D}=2 \sim!{ }_{r} a$, assum ing a radialtrapping frequency $!_{r} \quad!_{x}$ [15]. Experim entally, such a con nem ent is obtained using a cigar-shaped optical tube, as realized in the experim ent of $M$ oritz et al. [16]. The in itial state inserted into (1) is the relaxed condensate wave function corresponding to the experim ental ground state in a m agnetic or optical trap. T he ground state lies betw een the cases of a $G$ aussian, for $g=0$, and the Thom as Ferm ilim it, which is essentially an inverted parabola, for large nonlinearity [10]. Its characteristic width $p_{x}$ in $m$ om entum space is determ ined by the non-
linearity. Increasing the nonlinearity in Eq. (1) leads to a sm aller width $p_{x}$ of the initial state [10].

The dynam ics of the system described by (1) depends sensitively on the relative strength of the three potentials, i.e., on the control param eters $V_{0}$ (for xed pulse shape), $!_{x}$, and $g$. Since the system absorbs energy from the optical lattice, we m ust at all tim es com pare the kinetic energy w ith the (longitudinal) trap potential and the nonlinear term. If the latter two contributions are sm all, we can easily realize ballistic quantum motion, up to interaction tim es above which the trap potential is no longer negligible. On the other hand, we can tune the system to a situation where the trap crucially a ects the dynam ics, and we then have a realization of the K HO.

In the follow ing, we show that the findam ental as well as higher-order quantum resonances ( $Q R$ ) of the $K R$ can be observed in an experim ent using a B ose condensate, in the presence of a shallow harm onic con nem ent. In the linear $K R$, the QRs occur at speci c kick periods $T=T_{T} s=r$ ( $s$; r integer) [ह]. At the $T$ albot $\operatorname{tim} e T=T_{T}$, the am plitudes of the $w$ ave fiunction in $m$ om entum space exactly rephase betw een successive kicks for particular initial m om enta ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{in} \text { it }}=0$ ) [1, 8]. The result is a maxim al, i.e., perfectly phase m atched, absonption of energy from the kicks, leading to a ballistic spread of the wave packet [8, []. Only signatures of the QRs at $T=T_{T}=2$ and $T=T_{T}$ have been observed up to now in experim ents with essentially non-interacting atom $s$, because the in itialm om enta of the atom scould not be su ciently controlled [0].
$F$ igure 1 presents our results for the fundam ental $Q R$ of the $K R$ at the Talbot time for Rb atom $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ $\sim=\left(2 E_{R}\right)^{\prime} 66: 26 \mathrm{~s}$, w ith $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{\prime} 8: 1 \quad 1 \delta^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{1}$. Shown are the kinetic energy and the $m$ om entum distribution of the condensate along the longitudinal direction. T he energy is com puted from the $m$ om entum distribution, integrated over the transverse directions, i.e.,

$$
E(K)=\frac{1}{2}_{Z}^{Z} d p_{x} p_{x}^{2} P\left(p_{x} ; K\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { w th } P\left(p_{x} ; K\right) \quad d p_{y} d p_{z} j(\rho ; t=K T){ }^{2} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ denotes the num ber of kicks. W e present also the integrated spatial distribution along the transverse direction [in $y$, or equivalently $z_{R}$ because of the radial symmetry in Eq. (1)]: $\left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}(\mathrm{K})=\mathrm{dxdzj}(x ; t=K T)\right\}$. As an exam ple for higher-order QRS, which up to now have never been resolvable experim entally, F ig. 2 show s data for the resonance at $T=T_{T}=4$. For all the param eters studied, the ballistic quantum transport, with a quadratic grow th $E(K) / K^{2}$, is clearly visible.

D eviations from the idealized ballistic $m$ otion arise because of the contributions of the trap potential and the nonlinearity. At long tim es, the expanding condensate feels the harm onic trap potential, and further acceleration is hindered by the trap. This e ect is negligible for


F IG . 1: (color online). (a) K inetic energy (in units of $8 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) vs $K$, at $T=T_{T}, k=0: 1$ (pulse width $T$, 500 ns , rise time $70 \mathrm{~ns} ; \mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$, 8), $!_{\mathrm{x}}=2=10 \mathrm{~Hz},!_{\mathrm{r}}=2=100 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (circles), $\mathrm{N}=5 \quad 10^{4}$ (crosses). The linear $K R$ evolution $w$ ith $\mathrm{p}_{\text {in it }}=0$ is shown by the dashed line. (b) M om entum distribution (2) after $\mathrm{K}=15, \mathrm{~N}=10^{4}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{N}=5 \quad 10^{4}$ (crosses). (c) The longitudinal (dotted line: $K=0$; shaded area: $K=15$ ) and (d) the transverse (dotted line: $K=0$; squares: 7; plusses: 15) spatial distributions for $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (thinner distributions) and $\mathrm{N}=5 \quad 10^{4}$ (broader distributions). The transverse dynam ics is frozen due to the sm alle ective nonlinearity.
vanishing $!_{\mathrm{x}}$. Equating the longitudinal trap potential and the kinetic energy, and using $p_{x}<k K \sim k_{\mathrm{L}}$ at $T=$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$ [8, 17], we estim ate the kick num ber above which the trap dom inates the dynam ics as $K \quad 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{E}} \overline{\sim=\mathrm{M}!_{\mathrm{x}}}$, 55 for the param eters ofF ig.1. M ore crucial is the sm all.but nite in itial condensate $m$ om entum spread $\left(_{p_{x}} \quad 2 \sim k_{L}\right.$ [1], 2] ]), which, after a characteristic tim et / 1= on $_{x}$, leads to a linear increase of the energy $E(K) / K$ [17]. This crossover sets in at K ' 10 in Fig . 1 (a). For small $p_{x}$, the condensate ground state extends over $m$ any lattice sites of the kick potential, which in tum $m$ akes a sm aller trap potential necessary for ideal ballistic m otion. T he interplay betw een these situations is ilhustrated in F ig. 3, where we system atically vary the num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate. $W$ e stress that the e ect of the nonlinearity m anifests itself indirectly via its in uence on the initial state, while the nonlinear interaction is negligible during the kick evolution. This nding is quite surprising, rem em bering that the $Q$ R s correspond to exact phase revivals betw een kicks. On short tim e scales, how ever, the perturbation induced by the nonlinearity cannot accu$m$ ulate a large enough dephasing, because even for the sm all kick strength $\mathrm{k}<0: 5$ it is at least 1 order ofm agnitude sm aller than the kinetic energy. O ur results are consistentw ith a simpli ed 1D modelanalysis of the QR, in the presence of a sm all nonlinear perturbation [18]. This analysis, how ever, could not account for the exact nonlinear wave packet evolution including the harm onic con nem ent.


FIG. 2: (color online). K inetic energies at $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=4$, sam e trap and pulse shape as in Fig . 1: (a) $1 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{N}=10^{4}, \mathrm{k}=$ 0:1 (red diam onds) and $k=0: 09$ (blue stars), and (b) $k=$ $0: 45\left(V_{0}=E_{R}, 42\right), N=10^{4}$ (1D, solid line; 3D red stars), and 3D $\mathrm{k}=0: 48\left(\mathrm{~V}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}, 45\right), \mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ (squares). (c) M om entum distribution (2) for 3D with $k=0: 45$ after $K=$ 15. (d) A scan of the kinetic energy vs $T$ for the 1D case from (b), after $K=10$ (circles) and $K=20$ (red crosses), w ith the corresponding data for the linear K R (dotted and dashed lines). The resonance at $T=T_{T}=4 \mathrm{~m}$ anifests itself clearly, and a tiny peak ofanotherhigher-order resonance ism arked by the arrow. The inset in (a) con m s the correspondence betw een ourm ethod (circles) and a 1D fast Fourier transform evolution of the linear KR (solid line) [a wave packet (circles) or an incoherent ensem ble of plane waves (solid line) was evolved respectively, w ith initial $G$ aussian m om entum distribution \{ $p_{\mathrm{x}}=0: 026 \sim \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad\{\mathrm{k}=0: 09]$. For com parison, the linear K R ( $p_{\text {in it }}=0$ ) in (a) for $k=0: 1$ (solid line), $k=0: 09$ (dotted line), and (b) $k=0: 48, \mathrm{k}=0: 45$ (dotted line).

We nally note that for a large number of atom $s$ $\mathrm{N} \quad 5 \quad 10$ the results of our 1D m odel and the fiull 3D com putations di er [c.f. Fig. 3 (a)] because intially the conden sate substantially expands along the transverse directions. This is crucial for the realization of ballistic quantum transport, since for the sam e num ber of atom $s$ in the condensate, the trap has less e ect in the 3D as com pared w th the 1D case, but, on the other hand, $p_{x}$ in the 3D case is slightly larger. For the param eters of Fig. 3 (a), the larger $p_{x}$ has a negligible in uence, while the sm aller initial spatial extension allow s the ballistic $m$ otion to survive longer in the 3D than in the 1D case.
$T$ he nonlinearity $m$ anifests itself if we scan the kick period over the fundam ental QR and plot the kinetic energy at xed K. T he resonance peak show s a slight asym $m$ etry, which does not occur in the linear K R. The asymm etry decreases when (i) reducing the longitudinal con nem ent [solid line as com pared w th circles in F ig. 4 (a)], or (ii) evolving the initial condensate state w ithout the nonlinear term [pyram ids in F ig. 4 (a)]. A gain the trap potential hides the in uence of the nonlinearity, but the observed asymmetry originates from both perturbations of the usualkR.A ny such perturbation is



F IG . 3: (color online). Scan of the number of atom $s$ (trap as in F ig. 1 if not stated otherw ise): (a) for $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$, and 1D $\mathrm{N}=510^{3}$ (crosses), $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (circles) $\mathrm{N}=510^{4}$ (diam onds), $\mathrm{N}=10^{5}$ (plusses); 3D in the inset for $\mathrm{N}=$ $10^{3}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{N}=5 \quad 10^{3}$ (crosses), $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (circles) $\mathrm{N}=510^{4}$ (diam onds). The dot-dashed line shows the analytical result $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{K})=\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{2}=4$ [inear $\mathrm{KR}, \mathrm{p}_{\text {in it }}=0$ ], and the dotted line 1D data for $!x=2=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, and $\mathrm{N}=510^{4}$. (b) $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=4, \mathrm{k}=0: 45,1 \mathrm{D}$ for $\mathrm{N}=10^{2}$ (stars), $\mathrm{N}=10^{3^{\circ}}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{N}=310^{3}$ (dashed), $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (circles), $\mathrm{N}=$ $510^{4}$ (diam onds); 3D in the inset for $\mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{N}=5 \quad 10^{3}$ (crosses), $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ (circles).


FIG.4: (color online). (a) Scan of the kinetic energy vs T around $T=T_{T}$, param eters as in $F$ ig. 1, in com parison $w$ ith the linear KR (blue dots; $p_{x}=0: 01 \sim k_{L}$ ), after $K=14$; 1D $\left(\mathbb{N}=10^{4}\right.$ : circles; $!x=2=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ : solid line; $N=0$ in kick evolution: pyram ids), and 3D $\left(\mathbb{N}=10^{4}\right.$ : green squares; $\mathrm{N}=510^{4}$ : red crosses). (b) K inetic energy of 3D KHO w ith $T=250 \mathrm{~s},!_{\mathrm{x}}=2=1 \mathrm{kHz},!_{r}=2=5 \mathrm{kHz}$, and $\mathrm{k}=0: 1$ for $\mathrm{N}=0$ (solid line) and $\mathrm{N}=100$ (stars).
expected to introduce an asym $m$ etric peak shape, follow ing a sem iclassicalanalysis speci cally developed for the description of decoherence by spontaneous em ission [17].

W e can also tune our system described by the GPE (1) to the lim it, in which the nonlinear interaction term becom es very im portant and even dom inant for sm all kick strength. E xperim entally such a situation can be realized either close to a Feshbach resonance (w here the scattering length for two-body atom ic collisions can increase
by orders ofm agnitude [10]) or by sim ply increasing the strength of the harm onic con nem ent. The latter situation has been analysed as a nonlinear generalization of the K H O in [11, 19]. The analysis show ed clear signatures of the nonlinearity in the resonant transport regim e of the K HO, i.e., for $T!x=2=r w$ ith $r=3 ; 4 ; 6$, where the quantum transport is enhanced w ith respect to the classicalone, much in the sam eway as at the Q R s of the KR [13]. In the KR studied above, the total energy \{ corresponding to the chem ical potential in the stationary case [10] \{ is alm ost entirely given by the kinetic energy obtained from the kicks. In the K HO , the energy distribution is very di erent: here the kinetic, the potential, and the self energy (given by the nonlinear term) are of the same order of $m$ agnitude, which leads to a nonlinearity-induced redistribution of energy also to the transverse degrees or freedom.

O ur num erical technique can be used to generalize the previous studies of the nonlinear K HO to the full 3D GPE, properly including the coupling of the transverse dim ensions which is necessary for com parison $w$ ith reallife experim ents. Results on the KHO are presented in $F$ ig. 4 (b), where we observe the destruction of the resonant $m$ otion at $T!_{x}==2$ already for a sm all num ber of atom $\mathrm{SN}=100$, and after just a few kicks. In contrast to what was done in [11, 19], the initial state need not be translated aw ay from the classically stable origin in phase space. It su ces to use the relaxed ground state of the condensate to observe the im pact of the now much strongere ective nonlinearity due to the strong ham onic con nem ent.

W e have tested our data in di erent ways, $m$ aking sure that our num erical codes produce stable results. In the 1D case and for $g_{1 D}=0$, we com pared them either $w$ ith analytical results for the linear K R [8], or w th the m uch sim pler evolution on a discrete grid, using a standard fast Fourier transform (FFT) [17, 18]. The analytic grow th rate of the kinetic energy for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{in} \text { it }}=0$ at $T=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is shown in $F$ ig. 1 (a). For $T=T_{T}=4$, in the inset of $F$ ig. $2(a)$, we com pare both evolutions for up to $K=50$ kicks. The FFT code does not take into account (i) the trap, (ii) the nite duration of the pulses, and (iii) the coherent evolution of the w ave packet, which explains the tiny deviations for $K$ 40. The dam ping of the oscillations is due to the nonzero $p_{x}$, an e ect which was experim entally observed also for the anti-resonance $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}=2$ in [2], $w$ here the $m$ otion of the linear $K R$ is perfectly periodic only for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{in} \text { it }}=0$.

In sum $m$ ary, we presented the rst (3+1) dim ensional treatm ent of a Bose condensate driven by an extemal tem porally periodic force, which controls the dynam ics of the system. B allistic $m$ otion is show $n$ to be realizable
over a substantial num ber of kicks, even in the presence of a weak ham onic con nem ent. W thin the fram ew ork of the 3D GPE, we have taken a rst step tow ards the study ofhigher-dim ensionalchaos induced by the nonlinear coupling of the spatial dim ensions, and future work will concentrate on situations where the transverse degrees of freedom signi cantly contribute to the dynam ics.
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