G round states and therm al states of the random eld Ising model

Yong W u and Jonathan M achta

Departm ent of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

The random eld Ising model is studied numerically at both zero and positive temperature. G round states are mapped out in a region of random and external eld strength. Therm alstates and therm odynamic properties are obtained for all temperatures using the the W ang-Landau algorithm. The speci c heat and susceptibility typically display sharp peaks in the critical region for large systems and strong disorder. These sharp peaks result from large domains ipping. For a given realization of disorder, ground states and therm al states near the critical line are found to be strongly correlated (a concrete m anifestation of the zero tem perature xed point scenario.

PACS num bers:

The random eld Ising model (RFIM) is one of the simplest non-trivial spin models with quenched disorder. Despite thirty years of study it is still not well understood. It has been proved that an ordered phase exists for succently low temperature and dimension d > 2 [1, 2, 3, 4]. The phase transition between the ordered and disordered phases for d > 2 is believed to be continuous and controlled by a zero temperature xed point [5, 6, 7]. Currently, there is no controlled renorm alization group analysis of the RFIM phase transition and M onte C arlo sim – ulations [8, 9, 10, 11] are restricted to small system s and have been inconclusive. As the strength of the random

eld increases the transition moves to low er tem perature and the critical line intersects the zero tem perature line at a zero tem perature phase transition. Num erical studies of the zero tem perature transition [12, 13, 14, 15] play an important role in understanding the model. G round states are much easier to simulate than thermal states and, according to the zero temperature — xed point hypothesis, the T = 0 and T > 0 transitions are in the sam e universality class. C ritical exponents have been obtained from zero temperature studies that are mostly consistent with the scaling theories [5, 6, 7], series methods [16] and real space renorm alization group approaches [17, 18, 19].

In this paper we present num erical results at both T = 0 and T > 0 for the same realizations of random elds. For T > 0 we use the W ang-Landau [20] and M etropolis algorithm s. For T = 0 we nd ground states using the push-relabel algorithm [12, 21]. A major conclusion of the paper is that spin con gurations found near the critical line are strongly correlated with ground states near the zero tem perature critical point. This observation is consistent with the original Im ry-M a analysis, incorporated in the zero temperature xed point scenario, that the large scale properties of the critical point depend on the competition between random elds and couplings with therm al uctuations serving only to renorm alize the strength of these couplings. However, the correlation found here for single realizations of disorder along the critical line is not in plied by the existence of a zero tem perature xed point, which im plies only the sim ilarity of zero tem perature and positive tem perature critical ensembles.

The Hamiltonian of the RFIM studied in this paper is

$$H = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ H = \begin{array}{ccc} s_i s_j & h_i s_i & H & s_i \\ & & & & i \end{array}$$
(1)

The sum mation hi; ji is over all nearest neighbors i and j on a simple cubic lattice with periodic boundary conditions, spins s_i take the value 1, is the strength of disorder, h_i is the random eld chosen from a G aussian distribution with m ean zero and variance one, and H is the external eld. Two important quantities are them agnetization (order parameter) $m = (1=L^3)_{i} s_i$ and the bond energy $e = (1=L^3)_{hi;ji} s_i s_j$. We denote the disorder strength separately from the normalized random

elds because one of our prim ary concerns is to exam ine single realizations of random elds as disorder strength, tem perature and external eld are varied. Previous analytic [22] and real space renorm alization group studies [17] also considered single realizations of disorder at the phase transition but do not com pare realizations at di erent disorder strengths as is done here.

Consider the set of ground states of a single realization of disorder. We obtain these using a method rst introduced by O gielski [12]. To determ ine the ground state at a given value of H and , the RFIM problem is mapped onto the MAXFLOW problem, which is then solved using the push-relabel algorithm [12, 21, 23] [29]. The set of all ground states in a region in the H plane is m apped out using a method described in [24] and sim ilar to the techniques discussed in [15, 25]. Figure 1a is a portrait of all the ground states of a single realization of random elds in a 3^2 system in a small region of the Η plane near the nite size critical point, discussed below. Each line represents values of the parameters for which two ground states are degenerate and across each line a single connected dom ain is ipped. Within each polygon bounded by these lines, a single spin con guration is the ground state. At points where two lines cross, four ground states are degenerate and the four con gurations di er by the orientation of two separate dom ains. M ore interesting are \triple points" where a line bifurcates into two lines in a Y shape. At triple points three ground states are degenerate but the three dom ains corresponding to the three lines are not independent. The spin con guration at the top of the Y results from the break-up of the large dom ain that ips across the vertical line of the Y as shown schem atically in Fig. 2. The triple point has some characteristics of a therm al rstorder transition where two ordered states co-exist with a disordered state.

When a coexistence line is crossed and a domain is ipped physical quantities except for the total energy are discontinuous. To visualize the size of the discontinuity, lines are drawn with a thickness that is proportional to the jump in the magnetization. The picture is simplied by removing the large number of lines with sm all bond energy jump (e < 0:3), as shown in Fig. 1b. The simpli-

ed picture reveals a tree-like structure built from triple points. The triple point with the largest energy discontinuity is located at the center of the picture. In the region above this triple point the magnetization is small while the line extending below the triple point is the coexistence line separating the plus and m inus ordered states. In Ref. [15] this triple point was identied as the nitesize critical point and its scaling properties were studied. The size of the discontinuity in the bond energy is govemed by the speci c heat exponent. W e have also exam ined the large discontinuities in bond energy and m agnetization along the H = 0 and shown [24] that these scale with the speci c heat exponent and m agnetic exponents, respectively. Within a region that shrinks as L $^{(+2=)}$ and $L^{1=}$ in the H and directions, respectively, the tree-like structure is statistically self-sim ilar but not selfaveraging {each realization has a unique tree { like structure.

We study the RFM as a function of tem perature using the W ang-Landau [20] and the M etropolis algorithm s. The Wang-Landau algorithm is a at histogram Monte Carlo m ethod that autom atically determ ines the density of states. Therm odynam ic quantities at all tem peratures are then derived from the density of states and the statistics of the magnetization as a function of energy. The algorithm sm ooths the energy landscape and is much m ore e cient than the conventional M etropolis algorithm for sweeping a range of tem peratures. Once a tem perature is chosen for detailed study, the M etropolis algorithm is used to nd the therm ally averaged spin con guration. We determined the speci c heat and susceptibility for systems up to size 32^3 . We nd that for large enough systems (16^3) and strong enough disorder, the speci c heat and the susceptibility typically display one or more sharp peaks. In Fig. 3 we show the speci c heat and the susceptibility as a function of tem perature for the same realization of normalized random elds whose ground states are shown in Fig. 1. The random eld strength is $_0 = 2.0$ and the external eld is set to zero.

Two sharp peaks appear in both quantities at the same tem peratures. We have simulated one hundred 16^3 realizations with $_0 = 1.5$ and nd that about 1=3 of them have sharp peaks. The number increases to 1=2 if the random eld is strengthened to $_0 = 2.0$. For size 32^3 and $_0 = 2.0$ we have simulated nine realization and sharp peaks are observed for all of them . We tentatively conclude that the probability of sharp peaks appearing increases with the system size and the strength of random eld.

The sharp peaks in the speci c heat and susceptibility can be understood within the zero temperature xed point picture of the RFIM phase transition. This picture predicts that the behavior in the critical region at

nite tem perature is determ ined by the competition between couplings and random elds with therm al uctuations serving only to renorm alize the strength of these quantities. One conclusion of this paper is that this scenario appears to be true for individual realizations of norm alized random elds. The sharp peaks in the therm odynam ic quantities can be m atched one to one with the large jumps at zero tem perature. Furtherm ore, the spin con gurations on either side of the sharp peaks can be m apped onto the ground states on either side of the corresponding large jumps.

For a single realization of random elds, we obtain the therm ally averaged spin con guration near the peaks at nite tem perature, and com pare these therm al states to the ground states near the two largest jum ps at zero tem perature. Figures 4d, e and f show one plane through the system with $_0 = 2:0$ and at tem peratures just before peak 1 (T = 22), just after peak 1 (T = 25), and just after peak 2 (T = 2.8), respectively. The di erence among the states shows that the sharp peak corresponds to ipping a relatively large dom ain. It is evident that these three states are strongly correlated with the ground state spin con guration before the jump 1 (= 2:36), just after jump 1 (= 2:41), and just after jump 2 (= 2:54), as shown in Fig. 4a, b and c, respectively. (The labels of jum ps and peaks are given in Fig. 1b and Fig. 3.) Sim ilar correlations between ground states and therm al states were found in one dimension [27].

Some correlation between ground states and them all states persists to much smaller values of $_0$ in a regime where the therm odynam ic properties no longer display sharp peaks. Figure 4g, h and i show the same realization of disorder and the same plane through the system but with $_0 = 0.5$. Here the speci c heat has a rounded peak at T = 4:375. Figures 4g, h and i correspond to tem peratures 4.0, 4.3 and 4.45, respectively. A lthough there is considerable therm al \blurring" in these pictures, evidence of the ground state is unm istakable.

A quantitative characterization of the correlation between ground states and therm al states for the same re-



FIG.1: G round states of the RFIM in the H plane. (a) All the ground states of a single 32 ³ realization of disorder. A long each line two ground states coexist that dier by ipping a single connected domain. The thickness of a line is proportional to the magnetization jump across the line.(b) The same realization as in (a), but only lines with the bond energy jump e > 0.03 are shown. A long the H = 0 axis there are two major jumps, which are labeled as 1 and 2 in the graph.



FIG.2: Schem atic picture of a triple point. The shaded ovals show the orientation of spins within a single dom ain that ips crossing the vertical line and is broken into two pieces crossing the diagonal lines.

alization can be obtained from the correlation m easure,

- -

$$q() = \frac{1}{L^3} X \operatorname{sgn}(hs_i i_{,0} hs_i i_{,T})$$
(2)

where the overbar is an average over realizations of disorder and h_{s_1i} ; the therm all average of the spin at the ith site at disorder and temperature T or, if T = 0, it is the ground state spin value. For each realization, the temperature $T = T_{m ax} + 0.1$ where $T_{m ax}$ is the temperature of the maximum of the specific cheat; one of the sharp peaks in C if sharp peaks exist. Thus, for each realization, we pick a therm all state just above the transition temperature. Figure 5 shows q vs. for sizes 16^3 and 32^3 and $_0 = 1.5$, with 96 realizations for size 16^3 and 9 for size 32^3 . A peak in the correlation occurs at

2:65 where q 0:75. The value, 2:65, is about 0:15 larger than the average at the largest discontinuity in the bond energy for system size 32³. The inset in Fig. 5 shows the average correlation between therm al states of one realization and ground states of another for size 16³, which is nearly zero as expected. A second measure, q is obtained by choosing the value foreach ground state realization to give the largest correlation to the therm al state and then averaging over realizations. We nd that for size 32^{2} , q = 0.80 0.06 for $_{0} = 1.5$ and q = 0.85 0.05 for $_0 = 2.0$. Together, these result provide quantitative con mation that the therm alstates at tem peratures slightly above the therm alcritical point

are strongly correlated with the ground states at disorder strength slightly higher than the zero temperature critical point.

The strong correlations between states at di erent temperatures is ostensibly in con ict with the idea of \chaos" in the RFM. Chaos in systems with quenched disorder, such as spin glasses and the RFM, refers to the sensitivity of spin con gurations to small perturbations either in temperature or in quenched disorder [14, 26, 28]. The existence of chaos in the RFM is controversial and is not de nitively established. This work suggests that chaos is not present along trajectories in the T plane following the critical line.

In summary, we not that sharp peaks in therm odynamic functions resulting from the ipping large domains are typical near the critical point. In addition, spin congurations near the transition are similar to the ground states near some corresponding large jump at zero temperature. If this connection between critical ground states and thermal states persists to large system size it supports a strong version of the zero temperature xed point scenario: the sequence of states near the zero tem – perature critical point obtained by varying for T = 0 can be mapped onto the sequence of thermal states near the critical point obtained by varying T for xed values of $0, 0 \le c$.

W e thank A lan M iddleton for useful discussions. This work was supported by NSF grant DMR-0242402.

- [1] Y.Im ry and S.K.M a, Phys.Rev.Lett.35, 1399 (1975).
- [2] G. Grinstein and S. K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 685 (1982).
- [3] J.Z. Im brie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1747 (1984).
- [4] J.Briam ont and A.Kupiainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1829 (1987).
- [5] A.J.Bray and M.A.Moore, J.Phys.C 18, L927 (1985).
- [6] J.V illain, J.P hysique 46, 1843 (1985).
- [7] D.S.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 416 (1986).



FIG. 3: The speci c heat and the susceptibility of the same 32^3 realization as in Fig. 1 with = 2:0 and H = 0. Two sharp peaks, labeled 1 and 2, are observed, which correspond to the two large jumps 1 and 2 in Fig. 1, respectively.



FIG. 4: Spin con gurations near the critical points at zero temperature and nite temperatures for a single realization of normalized random elds. Each panel is the same plane of a 32^3 realization with black representing spin down; white, spin up; and shades of gray, the therm ally averaged spin state. From left to right in the top two rows, panels are at (T) before, between and after jumps (peaks) 1 and 2 in Fig. 1 (Fig. 3). Speci cally, panels (a), (b) and (c) are ground states at = 2:36, 2:41 and 2:54, respectively. Panels (d), (e) and (f) are at = 2:0 and T = 2:2, 2:5 and 2:8, respectively. Panels (g), (h) and (i) are at = 0:5 and temperatures 4.0, 4.3 and 4.45, near the peak in the speci c heat at T = 4:375.

- [8] H. Rieger and A. P. Young, J. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 26, 5279 (1993).
- [9] H.Rieger, Phys. Rev. B 52, 6659 (1995).
- [10] M.E.J.Newm an and G.T.Barkem a, Phys. Rev. E 53, 393 (1996).
- [11] J. Machta, M. E. J. Newman, and L. B. Chayes, Phys. Rev. E 62, 8782 (2000).
- [12] A.T.Ogielski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1251 (1986).
- [13] A.K.Hartmann and A.P.Young, Phys. Rev. B 64, 214419 (2001).
- [14] A.A.Middleton and D.S.Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134411 (2002).
- [15] I. Dukovski and J. Machta, Phys. Rev. B 67, 014413 (2003).
- [16] A.Gofman, J.Adler, A.Aharony, A.B.Harris and M. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2841 (1993).
- [17] I.D ayan M .Schwartz, and A .P.Young, J.Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 26, 3093 (1993).
- [18] M.S.Cao and J.M achta, Phys. Rev. B 48, 3177 (1993).

- [19] A.Falicov, A.N.Berker, and S.R.McKay, Phys. Rev. B 51, 8266 (1995).
- [20] F.W ang and D.P.Landau, Phys. Rev. E 64, 056101 (2001).
- [21] A.V.Goldberg and R.E.Tarjan, Journal of ACM 35, 921 (1988).
- [22] M.Schwartz and A.So er, Phys. Rev. B 33, 2059 (1986).
- [23] B. Cherkassky and A.V. Goldberg, Algorithm ica 19, 390 (1997).
- [24] Y.Wu and J.Machta, in preparation (2005).
- [25] C. Frontera, J.G oicoechea, J.O rtin, and E.V ives, Journal of C om putational Physics 160, 117 (2000).
- [26] A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 57 (1987).
- [27] G. Schroder, T. Knetter, M. J. A lava and H. Rieger, Euro. Phys. J. B 24, 101 (2001).
- [28] M. A lava and H. Rieger, Phys. Rev. E 58, 4284 (1998).
- [29] The algorithm is available from Andrew Goldberg's Network Optimization Library,



FIG.5: D isorder averaged correlation q of a therm al state just above the transition temperature at $_0 = 1.5$ to ground states at disorder stength for the same realization of random elds. Solid squares for size 16^3 and open circles for size 32^3 . O nly a few error bars are drawn to make the gure easy to read. The inset shows the correlation of therm al states with ground states of a di erent random eld realization.

http://www.avglab.com/andrew/soft.html