# A M ean-Field M odel for Extended Stochastic System s with D istributed T im e D elays

D aniel H uber and Lev T sim ring Institute for N onlinear Science U niversity of California, San D iego La Jolla, CA 92093-0402

#### ABSTRACT

A network of noisy bistable elements with globaltim edelayed couplings is considered. A dichotom ous m ean eld model has recently been developed describing the collective dynamics in such systems with uniform time delays near the bifurcation points. Here the theory is extended and applied to systems with nonuniform tim e delays. For strong enough couplings the system s exhibit delay-independent stationary states and delaydependent oscillatory states. We nd that the regions of oscillatory states in the param eter space are reduced with increasing width of the time delay distribution function; that is, nonuniform ity of the tim e delays increases the stability of the trivial equilibrium . How ever, for symmetric distribution functions the properties of the oscillatory states depend only on the mean tim e delay.

#### KEY WORDS

Stochastic dynam ics, m ean-eld dynam ics, delay differential equation, self-organization

#### 1 Introduction

The understanding of the collective dynamics in extended stochastic system swith long range interactions is relevant form any dom ains in physics, chem istry, biology and even social sciences. A popular and e ective generic m odel for such system s is the globally coupled bistable-elem ent-network, whose dynam ical properties have been studied in the absence (Zanette, 1997) and presence (see G am m aitoni et al., 1998, and references therein) of noise and whose relevance for critical phenom ena (Daw son, 1983), spin system s (Jung et al., 1992), neural networks (Jung et al., 1992; C am peri and W ang, 1998; K oulakov et al., 2002), genetic regulatory networks (G ardner et al., 2000) and decision m aking processes in social system s (Zanette, 1997) has been pointed out.

In recent years it has been realized that time delays arising, for example, from the nite propagation speed of signals are ubiquitous in most physical and biological system s. The e ects of the delays on the behavior of various dynamical system s have been studied (Zanette, 2000; Jeong et al., 2002), and som e signi - cant changes of the dynam ical properties have been dem onstrated (Nakam ura et al., 1994; Bresslo and Coombes, 1998; Choi and Huberm an, 1985). The effects of uniform time delays in globally coupled networks of phase oscillators has been explored by Yeung and Strogatz (1999). Tsim ring and Pikovsky (2001) studied the dynam ics of a single noise activated, bistable element with time-delayed feedback. Combining the properties of these two system s H uber and T sim ring (2003) studied the cooperative dynam ics of an ensemble of noisy bistable elements with delayed couplings and a mean eld theory based on delaydi erentialm aster equations was developed. How ever, the theory assumed identical (i.e. uniform ) time delays am ong all elements. A lthough for many system s this approximation is justied (Salamiet al., 2003; Paulsson and Ehrenberg, 2001), most systems have distributed coupling delays. Thus, we study in this paper the generalized case of distributed time delays in a globally coupled network of bistable elements.

## 2 Themodel

O urgeneralized m odel for the study of noise activated, collective dynam ical phenom ena in extended systems consists of N Langevin equations, each describing the overdam ped noise driven m otion of a particle in a bistable potential  $V = x^2 = 2 + x^4 = 4$ , whose symmetry is distorted by the time-delayed couplings to the other network elements,

$$\underline{x_{i}} = x_{i} \quad x_{i}^{3} + \frac{" x^{N}}{N} x_{j} (t \quad ij) + \frac{p}{2D} (t);$$
 (1)

where  $_{ij}$  are the time delays depending on the two coupled elements i and j. The strength of the feedback is " and D denotes the variance of the G aussian uctuations (t), which are -correlated and mutually independent  $h_i(t)_j(t^0)i = (t \ t^0)_{ij}$ .

We use an Eulerm ethod to explore model (1) numerically and focus our interest on the collective dynamics of the bistable elements, i.e., on the dynamics of the mean eld,  $X = N = \frac{1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{i}$ .

For " = 0, the elements are decoupled from each other. They random ly and independently jump from




Figure 1. The critical coupling of the Hopf bifurcation as a function of the noise strength D for different of the G aussian time delay distribution with = 100. The markers and the solid lines depict the critical couplings resulting from model (1) and model (2), respectively.

one potential well to the other. Therefore, in this case the mean eld X = 0. For small **j**'j the mean eld remains zero. However, for a strong enough feedback the system undergoes ordering transitions and demonstrates multistability. That is, for a strong enough positive coupling the system s undergoes a pitchfork bifurcation and adopts a non-zero stationary mean eld X > 0, and transitions to a variety of stable oscillatory mean eld states via Hopf bifurcations, are observed for strong enough positive and negative feedbacks.

In the general case, m odel (1), in which the time delays depend on both the \transm itting" and the \receiving" elem ent, cannot directly be described in terms of a mean eld theory. However, the system becomes m athem atically tractable if we assume that the time delay does only depend on the \transm itting" elem ents j,

$$\underline{x}_{i} = x_{i} \quad x_{i}^{3} + \frac{\mathbf{u}}{N} \frac{x_{i}^{N}}{\sum_{j=1}^{j} x_{j}(t_{j}) + \frac{p}{2D} (t):$$
 (2)

In order to check if such a simpli cation is justi ed, num erical simulations of model (1) and (2) are carried out and compared. In these simulations the distribution of the time delays is G aussian, i.e., it is fully determined by its mean and variance . Fig. 1, which compares the critical coupling strength of the Hopf bifurcation for di erent , suggests that the above simplication is justified in order to study the stability properties of a bistable-element-network with time delays.

This surprising result not only renders possible an analytical description of networks with distributed delays but also im plicates that the num ber of operations, which have to be carried out to study such system s numerically, can be reduced from 0 (N  $^2)$  to 0 (N ).

## 3 D ichotom ous Theory

In this section we derive the dichotom ous theory for the description of globally coupled bistable-elementnetworks with distributed time delays.

The dichotomous theory, valid in the limit of sm all coupling strength and sm all noise, neglects intrawell uctuations of x<sub>i</sub>. Thus, each bistable element can be replaced by a discrete two-state system which can only take the values  $s_{1,2} = 1$ . Then the collective dynamics of the entire system is described by the master equations for the occupation probabilities of these states  $n_{1;2}$ . This approach has been successfully used in studies of stochastic and coherence resonance (e.g. M cN am ara and W iesenfeld, 1989; G am m aitoni et al., 1998; Jung et al., 1992; T sim ring and P ikovsky, 2001), and Huber and T sim ring (2003) used it to study the special case of a network with uniform time delays. They found that while away from the transition points the system dynamics is well described by a Gaussian approximation, near the bifurcation points a description in terms of a dichotom ous theory is more adequate.

In order to apply the dichotom ous theory to a network with distributed time delays, we coarse grain system (2). The coarse graining is accomplished as follows: The range of possible time delays is divided up in M intervals  $I_k$  fk = 1;2;:::;M g. The size of the intervals  $_k$  is chosen, so that the number of bistable oscillators associated with a delay tting in a particular interval, is for each interval the same m = N = M. In this way oscillator groups are form ed whose mean eld can be expressed as,

$$_{k}$$
 (t)  $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j^{2} I_{k}}^{X} x_{j}$  (t); (3)

where  $I_k$  [k; k+1 [, k =  $P_{k-1}$  ] and j = 1 :::N.

A ssum ing that  $_{k} =$ , where and are the mean and the variance of the time delay distribution, Eq. (2) can then be approximated by,

$$x_{i} = x_{i}$$
  $x_{i}^{3} + \frac{"}{M} \frac{X^{4}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} (t + k)^{k}} + \frac{p}{2D}$  (t): (4)

The dynam ics of a single element  $x_1$  is determined by the hopping rates  $p_{12}$  and  $p_{21}$ , i.e., by the probabilities to hop over the potential barrier from  $s_1$  to  $s_2$ and from  $s_2$  to  $s_1$ , respectively. In a globally coupled system, in which the time delays depend only on the transmitting elements,  $p_{12}$  and  $p_{21}$  are identical for all elements and the master equations expressing the dynamics of Eq. (4) in terms of occupation probabilities read,

$$\underline{n}_{1;k} = \underline{p}_{2}n_{1;k} + \underline{p}_{21}n_{2;k}$$
(5)

$$\underline{n}_{2;k} = p_{12}n_{1;k} \quad \underline{p}_{21}n_{2;k} :$$
 (6)

The hopping probabilities  $p_{12;21}$  are given by K ram ers' transition rate (K ram ers, 1940) for the instantaneous potential well, which for our system in the limit of sm all noise D and coupling strength " reads (cf. T sim - ring and P ikovsky, 2001),

$$p_{12;21} = \frac{p \frac{1}{2} - 3}{2} \exp - \frac{1}{4D} ;$$
 (7)

where = ("=M)  $P_{M_{k=1} k}(t_{k})$ .

For large oscillator groups (m  $\ ! \ 1$ ),  $_k$  =  $n_{1;k}\,s_1$  +  $n_{2;k}\,s_2$  =  $n_{2;k}$   $n_{1;k}$  and  $n_{1;k}$  +  $n_{2;k}$  = 1 holds. W ith these terms, we can not the following set of equations:

$$-_{k}$$
 (t) =  $p_{12}$   $p_{21}$  ( $p_{21} + p_{12}$ )  $_{k}$  (t): (8)

The Jacobian matrix of this system is given through,

$$J = c_{Q}^{B} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1} + b & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{M} \\ B & a_{1} & a_{2} + b & \cdots & a_{M} & C \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{1} & a_{2} & \vdots & \vdots & a_{M} + b \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $c = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} p_{-} \\ 2 \exp(1 = 4D) = (4M D), a_{k} = "(3D 4) \exp({_{k})} and b = 4M D. W ith this Jacobian the characteristic equation, determining the stability of the trivial equilibrium X = 0, becomes$ 

$$(\infty)^{M-1} (c^{4}b) + \frac{X^{i}}{a_{j}5} = 0: \quad (10)$$

The trivial equilibrium loses its stability and undergoes a pitchfork bifurcation, describing the transition to a steady nonzero mean eld, when the real solutions of the characteristic equation (i.e. the eigenvalues of the Jacobian) become positive. Thus setting = 0and solving Eq. (10) for "yields the critical coupling for the pitchfork instability,

$$"_{p} = \frac{4D}{4 \quad 3D}$$
: (11)

A Hopf bifurcation indicating the transition to an oscillatory mean eld state occurs when the real part of the complex eigenvalues becomes positive. Therefore, the properties of the corresponding instabilities (i.e. frequencies and coupling strengths at the bifurcation points) can be found by substituting = + i! into Eq. (10), separating real and in aginary parts and setting = 0. For the frequencies of the unstable modes we nd,

$$! = \frac{p_{-2}}{2} \exp(1 = 4D) \frac{I_s}{I_c}; \quad (12)$$

where

$$I_{s} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{X^{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k=1}} \sin ! _{k}; I_{c} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{X^{i}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k=1}} \cos ! _{k}: \quad (13)$$

For large system s N  $\ ! \ 1$  , the num ber of groups M  $\ ! \ 1$  and thus

$$I_{s} = P() \sin ! d; I_{c} = P() \cos ! d; (14)$$
0
0

where P () is the time delay distribution function.

W e can express the time delay distribution function in terms of cum ulant m om ents  $_n$  (Van K am pen, 2003) and solve the integrals in (14):

$$I_s = sin(g_1) exp(g_2); I_c = cos(g_1) exp(g_2);$$
 (15)

where

$$g_1 = \frac{X^{i}}{(2m+1)!} = \frac{(i!)^{2m+1}}{(2m+1)!} = 2m+1; \quad (16)$$

$$g_2 = \frac{\chi^{i}}{m = 1} \frac{(i!)^{2m}}{(2m)!} _{2m} : \qquad (17)$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{I_s}{I_c} = \tan(g_1):$$
 (18)

Since for symmetric distribution functions allodd cumulant moments except the rst one  $_1 =$  are zero,  $I_s = I_c =$  tan! holds. That is, in the case of a symmetric distribution of the time delays, the frequencies of the unstable modes in Eq. (12) depend only on the mean time delay.

Let us now determ ine the critical coupling of the H opf bifurcation. For large time delays  $_k$  ( $_k$  is the inverse K ram ers escape rate from one well into the other) the low-order solutions of the transcendent equation (12) yield frequencies ! 1. Thus the real part of equation (10) can be linearized near ! = 0 and the critical coupling of the H opf bifurcation becomes,

$$\mathbf{Y}_{H} = \frac{4D !}{(3D 4) \frac{1}{N}^{P} \overline{2} \exp(1=4D) \mathbf{I}_{S} 1 \frac{1}{N} ! \mathbf{I}_{C}};$$
(19)

Then, for large system s N  $\ ! \ 1$  the critical coupling is,

$$"_{\rm H} = \frac{4D}{(4 \quad 3D) {\rm I}_{\rm E}};$$
 (20)

with  $I_{\rm c}=3\sin{(!)}\sin{(5!=3)}{=}(5!)$  and  $I_{\rm c}=\cos{(!)}\exp{(-!^2-2)}$  for a uniform and a Gaussian distribution, respectively.

Eq. (12) and (20) have a multiplicity of solutions indicating that multistability occurs in the system beyond a certain coupling strength.




Figure 2. Phase diagram of the globally coupled bistable-element-network with uniform ly distributed time delays derived from the theoretical model (solid lines) and numerical simulations of the Langevin model (markers). The phase diagram is shown for different standard deviations of the delay distribution function. The mean time delay is = 100.

## 4 Results

Eq. (11), (12) and (20) are used to determ ine the phase diagram and the frequencies of the unstable oscillatory modes f = !=(2) of a bistable-elementnetwork with uniform ly distributed time delays<sup>1</sup>. The theoretical predictions are verified with numerical sim – ulations of the Langevin model (1). The results are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

The gures show that near the bifurcation points the predictions by the dichotom ous theory are reasonably good for sm all noise (D  $\cdot$  0:3) and consequently in this regime the Langevin models (1) and (2) are dynamically equivalent.

Fig. 2 also shows that the regions in the phase space where mean eld oscillations occur are reduced with increasing width of the time delay distribution function, meaning that nonuniform ity of the time delays inhibits the occurence of H opf bifurctions, i.e., increases the stability of the trivial equilibrium.

In Fig. 4 the bifurcation diagrams including higher order solutions of Eq. (12) and (20) are presented. This Figure shows that for a strong enough positive (" = "\_p) coupling the trivial equilibrium loses its stability via a pitchfork bifurcation while for a strong enough negative coupling (" = "\_H^1) a H opf bifurcation determ ined by the primary solution of Eq. (12) and (20) occurs. The higher order solutions of these equations provide the multistability of the system. For a positive feedback several oscillatory states with frequencies  $f_k$  k = are observed for " > "\_H^k fk = 1;2;:::g. The transition points




Figure 3. The frequencies of the unstable modes at the bifurcation points resulting from the Langevin model (markers) and the the dichotom ousmodel (solid line). For symmetric distributions the frequencies depend only on the mean time delay (see Eq. 12 and 18).

are ordered as follows,  $0 < "_{st} < "_{H+}^1 < "_{H+}^2$  ::: If the feedback is negative, the system has oscillatory solutions with periods  $f_1$  (21+1)=(2) for " < "\_H^1 fl = 0;1;:::g, where  $0 > "_H^0 > "_H^1$  ::: In the above annotation a (+/-) index m eans that the corresponding value is associated with a negative and positive feedback, respectively.

#### 5 Summary and conclusions

W e generalized a dichotom ous theory based on delaydi erential master-equations to account for the dynam ics of globally coupled networks of bistable elements with nonuniform time-delays. As in the case of uniform time delays these systems possess a nonzero stationary mean eld for a strong enough positive feedback whose properties are time delay independent and a multiplicity of time delay dependent stable oscillatory states for both positive and negative feedback.

For symmetric time delay distributions the frequencies of the oscillations depend only on the mean time delay. However, the critical couplings of the corresponding Hopfbifurcations depend also on the width of the time delay distribution; that is, the critical coupling strengths and consequently the stability of the trivial equilibrium are increased for broadly distributed time delays. This may be important for time delay systems such as neural networks and genetic regulatory networks, since the degree of time delay nonuniform ity, which is often related to the diversity in the connectivity of the underlying network, a ects the accessibility of the nontrivial dynam ical states.

The bifurcations of the trivial equilibrium are well described by the dichotom ous theory in the limit of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This should not be confused with uniform time delays, which means that the delay for each coupling is the same.




Figure 4. Upper panels and lower left panel: P hase diagrams of systems with uniform ly distributed time delays, where = 100 and = 0; 10; 40. The dotted line depicts the critical coupling of the pitchfork bifurcation and the other lines depict those of the prim ary H opf bifurcation as well as some higher order solutions (i.e. solution 1-16) of Eq. (12) and (20). Lower right panel: The frequencies of the corresponding unstable modes which do not depend on , but slightly vary with the noise strength D.

sm all noise and coupling strength. Far away from the transition points a theoretical description of the m ean eld dynam ics can be found using a G aussian approxim ation (D esai and Zwanzig, 1978; H uber and T sim - ring, 2003). However, a theoretical approach for the description of the dynam ics in the regime of strong noise near the bifurcation points is still lacking.

This paper discusses the dynamics of globally coupled systems with time delays. It is assumed that all elements are coupled with uniform (i.e. identical) strength ". However, many networks have sparse couplings and nonuniform coupling strength, which may endow the system with a complexer dynamics. This issue will be discussed in an upcoming paper.

### A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by the Sw iss National Science Foundation (D H.) and by the U S.D epartment of Energy, O  $\propto$  of Basic Energy Sciences under grant DE-FG-03-96ER14592 (L.T.).

## References

- D.H.Zanette, Phys.Rev.E 55, 5315 (1997).
- L.Gammaitoni, P.Hanggi, P.Jung, and F.Marchesoni, Rev.M od.Phys. 70, 223 (1998).
- D.Dawson, J.Stat. Phys. 29, 31 (1983).
- P.Jung, U.Behn, E.Pantazelou, and F.Moss, Phys. Rev.A 46, R1709 (1992).
- M.Camperiand X.W ang, J.Comp.Neurosci.5, 383 (1998).
- A.Koulakov, S.Raghavachari, A.Kepecs, and J.Lisman, Nat. Neurosci. 5, 775 (2002).
- T.Gardner, C.Cantor, and J.Collins, Nature 403, 339 (2000).
- D.H.Zanette, Phys.Rev.E 62, 3167 (2000).
- S. Jeong, T. Ko, and H. Moon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 154104 (2002).
- Y.Nakamura, F.Tominaga, and T.Munakata, Phys. Rev.E 49, 4849 (1994).
- P.C.Bresslo and S.Coombes, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 4815 (1998).
- M.Y.Choi and B.A.Huberm an, Phys.Rev.B 31, 2862 (1985).
- M.K.S.Yeung and S.H.Strogatz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82,648 (1999).
- L.S.T sim ring and A.Pikovsky, Phys.Rev.Lett.87, 250602 (2001).

- D.Huber and L.S.Tsim ring, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 260601 (2003).
- M. Salami, C. Itami, T. Tsumoto, and F. Kimura, PNAS 100, 6174 (2003).
- J.Paulsson and M.Ehrenberg, Q.Rev.Biophys. 34, 1 (2001).
- B.McNamara and K.W iesenfeld, Phys.Rev.A 39, 4854 (1989).
- H.Kramers, Physica (Utrecht) 7, 284 (1940).
- N. Van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (Elesiver Scinece B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2003).
- R.C.Desai and R.Zwanzig, J. Stat. Phys. 19, 1 (1978).