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The $B$ row nian $m$ otion of a particle in a one-dim ensional periodic potential sub jected to a uniform extemal force $F$ is studied. U sing the formula for the di usion coe cient D obtained by other authors and an altemative one derived from the Fokker $P$ lanck equation in the present work, $D$ is com pared with the di erentialm obility $=d v=d F$ where $v$ is the average velocity of the particle. A nalyticaland num ericalcalculations indicate that inequality D $\quad k_{B} T$, w ith $k_{B}$ the Boltzm ann constant and $T$ the tem perature, holds if the periodic potential is sym $m$ etric, while it is violated for asym $m$ etric potentials when $F$ is sm all but nonzero.
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## 1. Introduction

The response of a system in therm al equilibrium to an extemal disturbance has close relation to uctuations produced spontaneously in the system in the absence the disturbance. This relation can be form ulated as the uctuation-dissipation theorem ${ }^{1,2}$ The E instein relation $D={ }_{0} k_{B} T$ is a fam ousexam ple, where $D$ is the di usion coe cient and 0 is them obility of a $B$ rownian particle, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzm ann constant, and T is the tem perature. In this exam ple, $D \mathrm{~m}$ easures the uctuation of the particle position or velocity v and $0=\lim _{\mathrm{F}!} \mathrm{ov}=\mathrm{F}$ represents the response of the particle velocity to a sm all extemal force $F$.

For systems far from them al equilibrium, any particular relation between $D$ and 0 is expected, because we do not know general laws like the uctuation-dissipation theorem for such system s . H ow ever, recent investigations ${ }^{315}$ into certain one-dim ensional system s in nonequilibrium steady states suggest that inequality $D \quad k_{B} T w$ ith $=d v=d F$ being the di erentialm obility $m$ ay hold in these system $s$ : num erical data show that $D$ is greater than $k_{B} T$ for a B rownian particle $m$ oving in sinusoidalpotentials, ${ }^{3}$ for ushing ratchets and for rocking ratchets. ${ }^{5}$ Is there any rule that tells under what conditions inequality $D \quad k_{B} T$ holds? $F$ inding such a rule, if exists, would provide an im portant insight into understanding the behavior of nonequilibrium system s.

The purpose of the present paper is to gure out whether inequality $D \quad k T$ holds

[^0]generally in the system of a B row nian particle moving in a one-dim ensionalperiodic potential sub jected to a unform extemal force. $T$ his system is one of the simplest system $s$ that exhibit nonequilibrium steady states, and convenient form ulas for calculating $D$ and are known.3,6 From analytical and num erical investigations based on these form ulas and the one we derive from the steady-state solution to the FokkerP lanck equation in the present work, we nd that this inequality is likely to be valid for any sym $m$ etric potentials $w$ hereas it is violated for sm all extemal foroes if the potential is asym $m$ etric.
2. Form ulas

We shall investigate the overdam ped $m$ otion of a B row nian particle $m$ oving along the $x$ axis under the in uence of a periodic potential $V(x)$ of period land a uniform extemal force F. The total potential $U(x)$ for the particle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{F} x ; \quad \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follow s periodic functions I $(x)=I(x+1)$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \quad(x)=\frac{1}{1}_{0}^{Z} e^{U(x)} \quad U(x y) d y \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

play important roles, where $=1=k_{B} T . T$ he average of a periodic function $f(x)$ of period $l$ over the period will be denoted by hf i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h f i=\frac{1}{l}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} ; \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The \norm alized" functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \quad(x)=I \quad(x)=h I \quad i ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfy $h J i=1$, are also of use.
It w as show $n$ by Stratonovich ${ }^{7}$ that the average velocity $v$ of the particle can be calculated by the form ula ${ }^{3,8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{D}_{0}\left(1 \quad e^{\mathrm{Fl}}\right)=\mathrm{lh} I \text { i; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}$ is the di usion coe cient of a freely moving B row nian particle ( $V=F=0$ ) and it is related $w$ th the frictional coe cient of the particle through $D_{0}=k_{B} T=$. It is noted that $h I_{+} i=h I$ i. The di erentialmobility $=d v=d F$ can be calculated by di erentiating eq. (5) $w$ ith respect to $F$. The result can be expressed in a succinct form : ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{D}_{0} h \mathrm{~J}_{+} \mathrm{J} \quad \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form ula for $D$ in the presence of both $V(x)$ and $F$ was derived recently by Reim ann et al. ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{hJ} \mathrm{~J}_{+} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{i}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $h J_{+}^{2} J i$ is equal to $h J_{+} J^{2} i$. Reim ann et $a l^{3}$ derived this form ula by considering the $m$ om ents of rst passage tim e. Later, $H$ ayashi and Sasa obtained the sam e result by considering the system w ith an additional potential that varies m uch slow ly than the original periodic potentialV (x).

If the periodic potential $V(x)$ and the extemal force $F$ are given, the di usion coe cient D and the di erential mobility can be gured out by carrying out the two-dim ensional integrals involved in eqs. (7) and (6); from the results we nd whether or not $D$ is larger than
$k_{B} T . N e v e r t h e l e s s$, an altemative form ula $m$ ay be useful in studying the sign of $D \quad k_{B} T$. From the steady-state solution to the Fokker-P lanck equation we can derive (see the appendix) the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{vlh}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{+} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{K} \quad i ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where periodic functions $K \quad(x)$ of period lare de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K \quad(x)=\frac{1}{1}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \int_{[J}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad(\mathrm{y}) \quad 1\right] d y: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause the sign of $v$ is the sam e as that of $F$ as evident from eq. (5), form ula (8) indicates that $D>k_{B} T$ if the sign of

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=h\left(J_{+} \quad 1\right) K \quad i \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the sam e as that of F . In analytic investigations, evaluation of eq. (10) is usually m uch easier than calculating eqs. (6) and (7) and then subtracting one from the other. By contrast, it is better to use eqs. (6) and (7) in num erical calculations, because the evaluation of the three-dim ensional integral involved in eq. (10) is tim e consum ing.

## 3. Example

In this section we present the num erical results for the di usion coe cient D and the di erential mobility obtained from formulas (7) and (6), respectively, w th a particular choige of potential:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=A[\sin (2 x=1) \quad \sin (4 \quad x=1)] ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A>0$ and are param eters. This potential is symmetric if $=0$ or $=1$, and asym $m$ etric otherw ise. The potential height $W$, de ned as the di erence between the $m$ axim um and $m$ inim $u m$ values of $V$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=2(1 \quad 2 c)^{p} \overline{1 \quad c^{2}} A ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(1 \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{1+32^{2}}\right)=8: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this potential has a single $m$ inim um and a single $m$ axim um in each period if 0 $j$ j< $1=2$, while $i t h a s$ an extra pair of localm inim um and $m$ axim um if $1=2<j j<1$.

$F$ ig. 1. The di erence $D \quad k_{B} T$ in units of $D o$ as a function of the extemal force $F$ in the dim ensionless form ( F l) for the system with the potential given by eq. (11). The potential height is chosen as $W=5: 0 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$, and the results for di erent values of param eter are show n . T he inset presents the dependence of $D=D_{0}$ and $k_{B} T=D_{0}$ on $F l$ in the case that $W=5: 0$ and $=0: 25$.
$T$ he inset of $F$ ig. 1 show $s$ the dependence of $D$ and $k_{B} T$ on the extemal eld $F$ in the case that $W=5: 0$ and $=0: 25$. It appears that $D$ is always larger than $k_{B} T . H$ ow ever, closer inspection reveals that $D$ is sm aller than $k_{B} T$ in a certain range of $F$ near $F=0$ : See $F$ ig. 1, where the di erence $D \quad \xi T$ isplotted against $F$ in expanded scales for severalvalues of $w$ ith $W=5: 0$; the results for negative values of is obtained from the corresponding results for (which is now positive) by changing the sign of $F$, as the sym $m$ etry property indicates. In the case of sym $m$ etric potential $(=0)$ we observe that $D \quad k_{B} T$ (the equality holds when $F=0$ ).

For potentials w ith positive (negative) , we nd that $D<\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ in a range $0<\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{F}_{0}$ $\left(F_{0}<F<0\right)$ of $F$ where the upper (lower) bound $F_{0}$ depends on,$W$ and.$F$ igure 2 show sthe dependence of $F_{0} l$ on $W$ for several vahes of positive. O ne sees that $F_{0} l$ is a $m$ onotonically increasing function of $W$. If $\quad 1=2$ (the solid lines in $F i g .2$ ), the value of
$F_{0} l$ for $a$ xed $W$ decreases $w$ th decreasing and becom es zero as $=0$ is approached. By contrast, $F_{0} l$ decreases w ith increasing when 1 (the dashed lines in $F$ ig. 2). These behaviors $m$ ay be sum $m$ arized that as the potential becom es sym $m$ etric, the range of $F$ in which inequalty $D<k_{B} T$ holds shrinks to zero.
4. C on jectures

W e have calculated $D$ and num erically forvarious periodic potentials $V(x)$ in addition to the one described in the preceding section; som e of the results w illbe presented in the follow ing


Fig. 2. The upper bound $F_{0}$ of the interval for extemal force in which inequality $D<k_{B} T$ holds is plotted as a function of the potential height $W$ for the system $w$ ith the potentialgiven by eq. (11). $T$ he results for di erent choices of param eter are show $n$.
section. W e have also carried out analytic study on the sign of $D \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ in several lim iting cases, which willbe discussed in the next section. From the results of these investigations, we have been lead to postulate the follow ing con jectures.
(i) Inequality $D \quad k_{B} T$ holds for arbitrary sym $m$ etric potentials $V$.
(ii) Suppose that the potential is asym $m$ etric and has a singlem inim um and a singlem axim um in each period. Let a be the distance from $a \min m u m$ to the adjacent $m$ axim $u m$ on the right (see Fig. 3). Then, we have $D<k_{B} T$ for $0<F<F_{0}\left(F_{0}<F<0\right)$ and $D \quad k_{B} T$ outside th is interval of $F$ if $a>l=2(a<l=2)$, where $F_{0}$ is a positive (negative) constant that depends on potential $V(x)$ and tem perature $T$.
$N$ ote that in the exam ple considered in the preceding section distance a is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=(l=) \operatorname{arccosc} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is de ned by eq. (13), and hence condition $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{l}=2$ corresponds to $>0$. Therefore, the results show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 are consistent w ith these con jectures.
5. Evidence

The con jectures stated in the preceding section are based on the analyses presented in this section. We rst describe the analytical investigations, in several lim iting cases, into the sing of $D \quad k_{B} T$ using formula (8). Then, considering the results of these investigations and supplem entary num erical calculations, we will argue for the validity of the con jectures.


Fig. 3. Two exam ples of periodic potentialV $(x)$ of period lthat has a single $m$ inim um and angle $m$ axim um in a period are schem atically show $n$. T he one represented by the solid line has rounded peaks at its $m$ axim a and rounded valleys at its $m$ inim $a$, while the one represented by the dashed line has cusps at its maxim a. The location of a minim is $x_{0}$, and the the distance from this $m$ inim um to the adjacent $m$ axim um on the right is $a$. $T$ he potential height $W$ is de ned as the di erence betw een the $m$ axim $u m$ and $m$ inim um values ( $V_{m}$ ax and $V_{m}$ in ) of $V$.

### 5.1 Sm all extemal force

The rst lim iting case we study is the case of sm allextemal force represented by condition Fil 1. In this case the factor $s$ de ned by eq. (10) m ay be expanded in powers of $F$ las

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}_{0}+\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{Fl}+\mathrm{s}_{2}(\mathrm{Fl})^{2}+:::: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to express the expansion coe cients $s_{0}, s_{1}$ and so on concisely, we introduce periodic functions (of period 1)
(x) and
(x) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=e^{v(x)}=h e^{v} i \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(x)=\frac{1}{l}_{0}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{Z} \quad(y) \quad 1\right] d y: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not di cult to see that periodic functions I ( $x$ ) de ned by eq. (2) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
I(x)= & \text { he }{ }^{v} \text { ie } V(x) \quad 1 \quad F l[1=2 \quad(x) \quad h \quad i] \\
& +O\left[(\mathrm{~F} 1)^{2}\right]: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the norm alized functions $J(x)$ de ned by eq. (4) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J(x)= & \left.(x) 1 \quad F l\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { (x) }
\end{array}\right) \quad h \quad i\right] \\
& +O\left[(\mathrm{~F} 1)^{2}\right] ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

from which the follow ing expression for $K(x)$ de ned by eq. (9) is obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{x})= & (\mathrm{x}) \quad \mathrm{F} \quad(\mathrm{y})[\quad(\mathrm{y}) \quad \mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}] \mathrm{dy} \\
& +O\left[(\mathrm{~F} 1)^{2}\right]: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting eqs. (19) and (20) into eq. (10), one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=h(+1) \quad i \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=h+{ }^{2} i \quad h+i^{2}+h \quad{ }_{+}^{2} i \quad h \quad+i^{2}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is w orth noting that coe cient $s_{1}$ cannot be negative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1} \quad 0 ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds only in the trivial case of a constant potential $\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{T}$ his property com es from the Schw arz inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad \text { ih } \quad{ }^{2} i \quad h \quad i^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and identity $h \quad i=1$ resulting from the de nition (16) of $;$ the equality in eq. (24) holds if and only if is a constant (i.e., V is a constant).

By contrast, the leading term $s_{0}$ in expansion (15) can be positive or negative. H ow ever, in the case of sym $m$ etric potential, i.e., if there exists a constant such that $V(x)=V(2 \quad x)$ holds for any $x$, we have $s_{0}=0$. The reason is the following: for such a sym $m$ etric potential,
$(x)$ is sym $m$ etric and
$(x)$ is antisym $m$ etric about $x=$, henceweobtain $h \quad i=0$ and
$h \quad i=0$, which imply $s_{0}=0 . T h i s f a c t ~ a n d$ inequality (23) indicate that inequalty $D \quad l_{B} T$ holds for any sym $m$ etric potentials as long as $F$ is $s m$ all, which supports con jecture (i) stated in the preceding section.

In the case of asym $m$ etric potential, it is expected that $s_{0} 0 . T$ hen, what property of $V$ determ ines the sign of $s_{0}$ (i.e., the sign of $\quad l_{B} T$ for $s m$ allF )? It seem $s$ di cult to answ er this question for arbitrary potentials. H ow ever, if we restrict our attention to a certain class of potentials, we can, at least partly, answ er the question. Let us consider a potential that has only one $m$ inim $u m$ and one $m$ axim um in a period, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3. Let $x_{0}$ be the location of a $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$, a be the distance from $a m$ inim um to the adjacent $m$ axim um on the right, $W$ be the potential height de ned as the di erence betw een the $m$ axim um and $m$ in im um values of V. The potentialV $m$ ay have a rounded peak at its $m$ axim um and a rounded valley at its $m$ inim um as show $n$ by the solid line in $F i g$. 3. It $m$ ay have a cusp at its $m$ axim um (the dashed line in $F$ ig. 3), or at its $m$ inim $u m$, or at both. W e shall analyze the sign of $s_{0}$ in the lim iting cases of large potential height ( $W \quad 1$ ) and sm all potential height ( $W \quad 1$ ).

Let us consider the case of large potential height, W 1. In order to $m$ ake the analysis sim ple, we assum e that the origin of the $x$ axis is chosen in such a way that condition $0<x_{0}<$ $x_{0}+a<l$ is satis ed. In evaluating o given by eq. (21), it is noted that function $+(x)$ has a sharp peak at $x=x_{0}+a$ and vanishes rapidly as one $m$ oves aw ay from the peak. Therefore $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ can be approxim ated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}^{\prime} h+i \quad\left(x_{0}+a\right) \quad h \quad i ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

since ( $x$ ) does not vary rapidly in the vicinity of $x=x_{0}+a$ as we shall see in a $m$ om ent. Function ( $x$ ) de ned by eq. (17) is the sum of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{1}{1}_{0} \quad(y) d y \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x=1$. Since the integrand ( $y$ ) in eq. (26) is practically zero except a narrow region around the sharp peak at $y=x_{0}$, function ( $x$ ) behaves like a step function: as $x$ is increased from zero to $l$, ( $x$ ) increases rapidly from zero to unity around $x=x_{0}$. Therefore, $(x)$ is well approxim ated by ( x$)^{\prime} 1 \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{l}$ near $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{a}$ and it does not change rapidly in the vicinity of $x=x_{0}+a . W$ e also nd that $h \quad i=1=2 \quad x_{0}=1$ if the sm all correction of order $1=W$ is neglected. From these argum ents and identity $h+i=1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}, \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{a}{1}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression for $s_{0}$ reveals that the sign of $s_{0}$ is determ ined by whether the location of the top of the potential hill betw een a pair of neighboring valleys is closer to the left valley $(a=1<1=2)$ or the right one $(a=1>1=2)$, which supports con jecture (ii) in the preceding section.

N ow we tum our attention to the case of sm all potential height, $W$ w 1 . It will be assum ed that (an arbitrary constant is added to $V$ such that) the $m$ axim um and $m$ in im um values of $V$ are of order $W$. Then condition $W \quad 1$ implies $j V j \quad$ 1. Expanding ( $x$ ) and (x) de ned by eqs. (16) and (17) in powers of $V$, and then substituting them into eq. (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=\quad{ }^{3} h\left(V^{2} \quad h V^{2} i\right) V i+O\left[(V)^{4}\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where periodic function $V(x)$ of period $l$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{1}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{y}) \quad \mathrm{hV} \text { i]dy: } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the case of $W \quad 1$, we have not been able to relate the sign of $s_{0}$ approxim ated by eq. (28) to that of $1=2$ a for general asym $m$ etric potentials. H ere we investigate the sign of $s_{0}$ for three exam ples of potential $V(x)$. The rst exam ple is the one considered in $S e c .3$, see eq. (11). T he second exam ple is a piecew ise-cubic function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=A f(x=1)^{2}+\quad(x=1)\left[1 \quad 4(x=1)^{2}\right] g \quad(\dot{x} j \quad 1=2) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and are param eters; $V(x)$ outside the range $j x j \quad l=2$ is de ned such that it is a periodic function of period l. We shall assum e that $A>0$ and $j<1=2$. Then V ( x ) has a cusp at its $m$ axim um, as the one represented by the dashed line in $F$ ig. 3 does. $T$ he distance a from a $m$ inim um to the adjacent $m$ axim um on the right is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}=1 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\overline{1+12^{2}}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table I. A pproxim ate expression for $\mathrm{s}_{0}$ given as the leading term in eq. (28) obtained for three exam ples of $V(x)$.

|  | E xam ple 1 | E xam ple 2 | E xam ple 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $V(\mathrm{x})$ | eq. (11) | eq. (30) | eq. (33) |
| $S_{0}$ | $\frac{3(\mathrm{~A})^{3}}{16}$ | $\frac{(\mathrm{~A})^{3}}{1575}\left(\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{11}\right.$ | $\left.{ }^{2}\right)$ |

and the potential height $W$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=A \frac{2}{9}+\frac{1+12^{2}}{18\left(1+P \frac{\left.12^{2}\right)}{1+1}\right.}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third exam ple is a piecew ise-linear (saw tooth) potential

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& <W \text { x }=a \quad 0 \quad x<a \\
& V(x)=\quad \text { W (lllll} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where W and a are positive param eters w ith restriction $0<a<1$; again, $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x}$ ) outside the range $0 \quad \mathrm{x}<l$ is de ned such that it is a periodic function of period 1 . Param eter $W$ represents the potential height, and param eter a corresponds to the distance from a $m$ in im um of $V(x)$ and the adjacent $m$ axim $u m$ on the right.

For each exam ple, the leading term of so given in eq. (28) has been calculated. T he results are sum $m$ arized in $T a b l e I$. In all the three exam ples the sign of $s_{0}$ is the sam e as that of $1=2 \quad a$ (rem ember that $l=2>$ a if $<0$ in the rst two examples). This observation is consistent w ith con jecture (ii).

It is interesting to note that $s_{0}$ is of higher order in $W$ than

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=2^{2} h V^{2} i \quad h V \underline{1}+O\left[(V)^{3}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of $s m$ all potential height. $T$ his fact im plies that $s$ change its sign at sm all Fl when the latter is varied. Let $F_{0}$ be the value of $F$ at which $s$ changes its sign, then one nds from eq. (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0} l^{\prime} \quad \frac{s_{0}}{s_{1}}, \frac{h\left(V^{2} h V^{2} i\right) V i}{2\left(h V^{2} i \quad h V i\right)} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is of order W. For the rst exam ple considered above, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0} l^{\prime} \frac{3}{4\left(1+2^{2}=4\right)} A: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation and eq. (12) explain the behavior of the graphs in Fig. 2 near the origin.

### 5.2 Large extemal force

If the extemal force $F$ is large enough ( $F \mathcal{H} 1$ ), the dom inant contribution to the integral in eq. (2) de ning $I(x)$ com es from the narrow region neary $=0$ (iff $>0$ ) or $y=1$
(if $\mathrm{F}<0$ ). Therefore, I (x) m ay be expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(x)^{\prime} \frac{e^{v(x)^{Z}}}{1} 0_{0}^{1} h(0)+y h^{0}(0)+::: e^{F y} d y \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $F>0$, where $h(y)=e^{V(x y)}$, and $h^{0}(y)$ is the derivative of $h \quad(y)$. A sim ilar expansion in the case of $F<0$ can be $m$ ade. $U$ sing these expansions, periodic functions $I(x)$, $J(x)$, and $K \quad(x)$ are expressed as the pow er series in $1=F$. Substitution of $J_{+}(x)$ and $K \quad(x)$ thus obtained into eq. (10) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{1}{F l} \frac{2 h\left(V^{0}\right)^{2} i}{F^{2}}+\frac{5 h\left(V^{0}\right)^{3} i}{F^{3}}+0 \frac{1}{F^{4}} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V^{0}(x)$ is the derivative of potential $V(x)$. This expression is valid both for $F>0$ and for $F<0$. The leading tem of $s$ given by eq. (38) has the sam e sign as that of $F$ and hence inequality $D>k_{B} T$ holds if $F j$ is large enough.

### 5.3 Sm all potential height

The last lim iting case we study is the lim it of sm all potential height; the strength of the extemal force $F$ can be arbitrary. In this case we nd it convenient to express the potential $V(x)$ in the Fourier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \hat{V}_{n} e^{i k_{n} x} ; \quad k_{n}=\frac{2}{1} n: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the integrand of eq. (2), factor $e \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y})$ is expanded in powers of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y})$ and then eq. (39) is substituted to carry out the integral. O nce I (x) are obtained in this way, it is straightforw ard to calculate $J \quad(x)$ and $K \quad(x)$. Substituting the resulting expressions for $J_{+}(x)$ and $K$ ( $x$ ) into eq. (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{4 F k_{n}^{2} j \hat{V}_{n}{ }^{2}}{l\left[(F)^{2}+k_{n}^{2}\right]^{2}}+O\left[(V)^{3}\right]: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sign of the leading term in this expression for $s$ is the sam $e$ as that of $F$, and hence inequality $D>k_{B} T$ holds if $W$ is sm allenough.

It is noted that in the lim it of sm all $F l$ the leading term in eq. (40) approaches to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \mathrm{Fl}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \frac{j \hat{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~J}^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{l} k_{n}\right)^{2}}=2^{2}\left(h V^{2} i \quad h V \text { 自 }\right) \quad \mathrm{Fl}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression agrees w th the leading term of eq. (34) multiplied by $F l$. This is expected from the consistency of the analysis. Sim ilarly, the term of order ( $V)^{3}$ in eq. (40) should converge to the rst term of s given in eq. (27) in the lim it F! 0 , which we have not checked. In the opposite lim it, $F \mathcal{F} 1$ 1, the leading term in eq. (40) converges to the leading term in eq. (38), because ${ }^{P}{ }_{n} k_{n}^{2} f \hat{V}_{n} \jmath=h\left(V^{0}\right)^{2} i$.

### 5.4 Sym m etric potentials

$H$ ere, we consider the case of sym $m$ etric potential and argue for the validity of con jecture (i). In this case, $s$ is an odd function off (D $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ is an even function of F ), and therefore we need to exam ine the sign of $s$ only for $F \quad 0$. Rem ember that $s>0$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{D}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ when $\mathrm{F}>0$. It has been shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime} S_{1} F l \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $s_{1}>0$ for $s m a l l \operatorname{Fl}(x 5.1)$ and $s^{\prime} 2 h\left(V^{0}\right)^{2} i=F^{3} l$ for large $F l(x 52) . H$ ence, it is concluded that inequality $\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{l}_{8} \mathrm{~T}$ holds (the equality holds when $\mathrm{F}=0$ ) in these two extrem es. Furthem ore, this inequality has been found to be valid in the entire range of $F$ if the potential height is sm all com pared to the tem perature ( x 5.3 ).

In order to assert the validity of conjecture (i), we have to dem onstrate that $s>0$ for interm ediate values of F lwhen W is not sm all. For this purpose, num erical calculations of $s=\left(D \quad k_{B} T\right)=v l$ are carried out using form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{h I_{+}^{2} I \text { i } h I_{+} i h I_{+} I ~ i}{h I_{+} i^{2}\left(1 \quad e^{F l}\right)} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained from (5), (6) and (7); as rem arked earlier, this method of evaluating $s$ is more convenient for num erical calculations than using form ula (10). Sym m etric potentials V (x) of the follow ing type are exam ined:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=A_{n=1}^{x^{N}} c_{n} \cos (2 n \quad x=1) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $N$ is a positive integer, $C_{n}$ are arbitrary coe cients, and the overall factor $A$ is deter$m$ ined such that the potential height is $W$ for given values of $W$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, q_{N}$.

Figure 4 show s the num erical results for a potential w ith an arbitrarily chosen set of coe cients $f_{G} g$ in the case of $N=3$. Here, $s$ is plotted as a function of $F l$ for several values of $W$. As $F$ is increased from zero, s starts to increase linearly in $F$ as eq. (42) predicts and it continues to increase until it reaches a m axim um value, and then decreases monotonically. Q ualitatively the sam ebehavior ofs are observed for other potentials corresponding to di erent sets of $f c_{n} g w$ th $N=3$ or $N=5$ (data not show $n$ ), which strongly suggests the validity of con jecture (i).

In F ig. 4, the analytic results, the leading term s in eqs. (40) and (38), are also plotted. It is rem arkable that the approxim ate expression (40), which is valid for sm all W , agrees quite well w th the num erical results for $W$ as large as $W$ ' 1 . For $W$ larger than about unity, the dependence of $s$ on $F$ is well approxim ated by the leading term of eq. (38) if $F$ is larger than a few to several tim es the $m$ axim um slope $V_{m a x}^{0}=m a x_{x} f^{0}(x) g$ of potential $V(x)$; in the exam ple show $n$ in $F$ ig. $4, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}^{0}$, $8: 1 \mathrm{~W}=1$.

In addition to the num erical analysis conceming the dependence of $s$ on $F$, show $n$ in $F$ ig. 4, for $m$ ore than ten di erent potentials, we have carried out $m$ ore extensive search for


Fig. 4. Dependence of $s$ on F l num erically obtained for a symmetric potential (44) with $N=3$ and $c_{1}=0: 265947, c_{2}=0: 823433, c_{3}=0: 522984$; the inset depicts the potential function. $T$ he results for di erent choiges of potential height $W$ are show $n$. The dashed line represents the analytic expression (40) valid for sm all W , and the dash-dotted lines indicate the leading term in eq. (38) for large $F l$. It is rem arked that the graphs of 10 tim es $s$ instead of $s$ are plotted for $\mathrm{W}=1: 0$.
possibility of negative $s$. Sym m etric potentials expressed by eq. (44) with $\mathrm{N}=3$ and those $w$ th $N=5$ are studied. For a given $N$, every coe cient $G_{n}(n=1 ; 2 ;::: ; N)$ is chosen from a random num ber uniform ly distributed in interval ( $1 ; 1$ ). For each set $f$ g $g$ of the coe cients, the potential height $W$ is chosen from a uniform random num ber in interval ( $0 ; W \mathrm{~m}$ ax ) where $W_{m}$ ax is set to be $20 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$; and for a given W , the extemal force F is chosen from a uniform random num ber in $\left(0 ; F_{m a x}(W)\right)$ where $F_{m}$ ax is set to be $2 V_{m}^{0}$ ax . W e have exam ined 1000 sets of $f c_{n} g$ and 300 sets of $f W$; $F g$ for each set of $f c_{n} g$ in the case of $N=3$, and 2000 sets of $f c_{n} g$ and 100 sets of $f W ; F g$ in the case of $N=5$. In the data of these $5 \quad 10^{5}$ sam ples we have not detected any instance in which $\mathrm{s}<0$.

A $l l$ these analytical and num erical investigations m ly indicate that the statem ent of con jecture (i) should be true.

### 5.5 A sym m etric potentials

N ow we discuss con jecture (ii) associated with asym m etric potentials. If the potential height is sm all ( $W$ 1), the analyses of $x 5.1$ and $x 5.3$ show that inequally $D>k_{B} T$ holds for alm ost entire range of F except a sm all interval of order $\mathrm{W}=1$. T his interval is given by $0<\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{F}_{0}$ or $\mathrm{F}_{0}<\mathrm{F}<0$ depending on the sign of $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ given by eq. (36).

If the potential height is not sm all, we do not have enough evidence to support con jecture (ii). It is true that D
${ }_{k B} T$ change its sign at $F=0$ when $F$ is varied (x5.1) and that it is
positive for large enough $F j(x 52)$. Furthem ore, it is shown (x5.1) that in the case of large potential height ( $W$ 1) we have $D<k_{B} T$ for $F>0(F<0)$ if $a>l=2(a<l=2)$ and $F j$ is sm all. These results are consistent with con jecture (ii), but we are not certain, from the analytical study given above, whether there is only one interval on the $F$ axis (as the con jecture states) where inequality $D \quad l_{B} T$ is not satis ed. $T$ he num erical investigation presented in x3 for potential given by eq. (11) and a sim ilar one (data not show n) for the piecew ise-linear potential (33) support the validity of con jecture (ii).
6. C onclusion

W e have postulated two conjectures ( x 4 ) conceming the di usion coe cient and the differentialm obility of a B row nian particle moving in a one-dim ensionalperiodic potentialunder the in uence of a unfform extemal force. W e are quite certain about the validity of con jecture (i) associated $w$ ith sym $m$ etric potentials ( $x 5.4$ ). It should be possible to prove it $m$ athem atically, although we have not yet succeeded. C on jecture (ii) related w ith asym $m$ etric potentials is partly speculative ( $x 5.5$ ).

The ratio $=D=k_{B} m$ ay intenpreted as an e ective tem perature ${ }^{5,6}$ of the system in nonequilibrium steady state. Then, our con jectures im ply that the e ective tem perature is higher than the tem perature of the heat bath if the potential is sym $m$ etric or if the extemal force is not too sm all in the case of asym $m$ etric potential.

Very recently H ayashiand Sasa ${ }^{10}$ have reported an altemative inequality associated w ith the di usion coe cient and the di erentialm obility. T hey have proved that inequality $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{0}$ $\left(k_{B} T=D 0\right)^{2}$ holds in general for the system considered in the present work.
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A ppendix: D erivation of eq. (8)
O urderivation of form ula (8) is based on a prescription to calculate the di usion coe cient from the solution to the Fokker-P lanck equation. ${ }^{4,8,9}$ Let $P(x)$ be the probability distribution function of the particle in the steady state. It satis es the FokkerP lanck equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0} \frac{d}{d x} \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{d U}{d x} \quad P(x)=0 \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the steady state. $W$ e assum $e$ that $P(x)$ is periodic $\mathbb{P}(x+l)=P(x)]$ and norm alized such that ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} P(x) d x=1$. Such a solution is found to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=J \quad(x)=l: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average velocity $v$ can be calculated from $P(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\quad D_{0} \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{d U}{d x} P(x) ; \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this leads to form ula (5). N ote that the right-hand side in eq. (A 3 ) is independent of $x$ due to the FokkerP lanck equation (A 1). In order to calculate the di usion coe cient D, we need to solve the di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0} \frac{d}{d x} \frac{d}{d x}+\frac{d U}{d x} \quad Q(x)=\quad v+D_{0} \frac{d}{d x} \quad P(x) \quad \frac{V}{l} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Q(x)$, where $P(x)$ is the probability distribution function given by eq. (A 2). The di usion coe cient is calculated from a periodic solution $Q(x)=Q(x+1)$ to eq. (A 4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{0} \quad Z_{0} \quad D_{0} \frac{d U}{d x}+v \quad Q(x) d x: \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ny periodic solution Q yields the sam e result for D. Festa and d'A gliano ${ }^{9}$ solved eq. (A 4) in the case of no extemal force ( $F=0$ ), and obtained a formula for $D$, which is sim ilar to eq. (7) but much sim pler. H ere, we solve eq. (A 4) in the case of nonzero extemal force, and derive eq. (8).

Integrating eq. (A 4) once, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}+\frac{d U}{d x} \quad Q(x)=q(x) \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=J \quad(x)=1+v K \quad(x)=D_{0}: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $K$ ( $x$ ) is de ned in eq. (9). W e have chosen the integration constant anbitrarily to get $q(x)$ in eq. (A 6 ), since any periodic solution $Q(x)$ is acceptable as rem arked above. Integrating eq. (A 6), we arrive at

$$
Q(x)=\frac{e^{U(x)}}{1 e^{F l}} 0_{0}^{l} e^{U(x+y)} q(x+y) d y
$$

(A 8)
after som em anipulations. $T$ his tim $e$, the integration constant has been determ ined such that $Q(x)$ is periodic.

N ow we substitute eq. (A 8) into eq. (A 5) to study the di usion coe cient. M aking use of eq. (A 6) and the periodicity of $Q(x)$, we rew rite eq. (A 5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{0} \quad D_{0}^{Z} \quad \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} q(x) d x \quad V_{0}^{Z_{l}} \mathrm{Q}(x) d x: \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he second term, w thout the $m$ inus sign, on the right-hand side in this equation reads

$$
D_{0}^{Z_{l}}{ }_{0} q(x) d x=D_{0}+v l h K \quad i ;
$$

according to the de nitions of $q(x)$ and $J(x)$. Insertion of eq. (A 8) into the third term in eq. (A 9) yields the integral
where the right-hand side is obtained by interchanging the order of integral and by using the fact that $U(x+y) \quad U(x)$ and $q(x)$ are periodic functions of $x$. From this identity and eqs. (A 8) and (5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{0}^{Z} Q(x) d x & =D_{0}^{Z} J_{0} J_{+}(x) q(x) d x \\
& =\mathrm{k}_{B} T \quad v \operatorname{lh} J_{+} K \quad i_{;} \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second equality is due to eqs. (A 7) and (6). Substitution ofeqs. (A 10) and (A 12) into eq. (A 9) gives eq. (8).

The equivalence between form ula (8) for the di usion coe cient and the one, eq. (7), obtained by other authors can been shown as follow s . Since it can be seen by integration by parts that $h\left(J_{+} \quad 1\right) K_{+} i=0$, eq. (8) $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=k_{B} T+\operatorname{vlh}\left(J_{+} \quad 1\right)\left(K \quad K_{+}\right) i: \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, it is not di cult to see from the de nitions of $J(x)$ that

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left[J \quad(x) J_{+}(x)\right]=\frac{\left(1 \quad e^{F l}\right)[J \quad(x)}{} \begin{array}{lll} 
& \left.J_{+}(x)\right]  \tag{A14}\\
i &
\end{array}
$$

This relation and the de nition (9) of $K$ ( $x$ ) lead to

$$
\left.K \quad(x) \quad K_{+}(x)=\frac{\left[J_{+}(x) J \quad(x)\right.}{} J_{+}(0) J \quad(0)\right] h I \quad \text { i }
$$

(A 15)
Substituting this equation into eq. (8) and using formula (5) forv, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=k_{B} T+D_{0} h J_{+}^{2} J i \quad D_{0} h J_{+} J i: \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the rst and the third term s on the right-hand side cancel out due to eq. (6). Therefore eq. (A 16) is identical to form ula (7), and the equivalence betw een eqs. (8) and (7) has been proved.
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