

# Periodic orbit theory in fractal drums

Stefanie Russ<sup>1</sup> Jesper Mellenthin<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Universität Gießen,  
D-35392 Gießen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128  
Palaiseau, France

---

## Abstract

The level statistics of pseudointegrable fractal drums is studied numerically using periodic orbit theory. We find that the spectral rigidity  $\beta_3(L)$ , which is a measure for the correlations between the eigenvalues, decreases to quite small values (as compared to systems with only small boundary roughness), thereby approaching the behavior of chaotic systems. The periodic orbit results are in good agreement with direct calculations of  $\beta_3(L)$  from the eigenvalues.

Key words: Quantum Chaos, billiards, fractal drums, pseudointegrable systems.  
PACS: 05.45.-a

---

## 1 Introduction

Many systems with irregular geometries exist in nature and their physical properties (e.g. their electronic and vibrational behavior) have been widely investigated in the past. One class of irregular systems are those, which are formed by an ordered material, but possess an irregular shape of the boundary. A prominent example are the fractal drums [1], which are constructed by applying the so-called fractal generator (see Fig. 1(a)) times to a regular square or rectangle. A ready for prefractal shapes as e.g. for  $\alpha = 1/3$ , the eigenstates possess energy spectra and localization properties that are well distinct from systems with smooth boundaries. This has been demonstrated by numerical simulations [2,4] as well as by experiments on liquid crystal [3] and acoustic cavities [5]. In this paper, we address the question how the

---

Email addresses: stefanie.russ@physik.uni-giessen.de (Stefanie Russ<sup>1</sup>),  
jesper.mellenthin@polytechnique.fr (Jesper Mellenthin<sup>1,2</sup>).

specific geometry of the system influences the behavior of the energy spectra within periodic-orbit theory, which provides a link between the classical and the quantum mechanical behavior of systems with the same shape.

Two universality classes exist with different classical dynamics, the chaotic and the regular systems. Fractal drums belong to the intermediate class of pseudointegrable systems, which are polygons with only rational angles  $n_i = \frac{p_i}{m_i}$ , with  $n_i, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and at least one  $n_i > 1$  (see e.g. [6,13] and refs. therein). They are characterized by their genus number  $g$ , which is equal to 1 for integrable systems and 1 for chaotic systems. For pseudointegrable systems,  $1 < g < 1$ . In the specific case of systems with only right angles,  $g = 1 + \frac{N_{3=2}}{2}$ , where  $N_{3=2}$  is the number of angles  $3=2$ . The different universality classes persist when the systems are considered as quantum mechanical potential wells. In this case, the statistics of the eigenvalues shows characteristic features that correspond to the different dynamics of the classical systems.

## 2 Spectral Statistics

The first way to calculate the spectral statistics starts with the distribution  $P(s)$  of the normalized distances  $s_i = \frac{(\epsilon_{i+1} - \epsilon_i)}{\langle \epsilon_i \rangle}$  between two consecutive eigenvalues  $\epsilon_{i+1}$  and  $\epsilon_i$  with the mean distance  $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle$ . For integrable systems,  $P(s)$  follows the Poisson distribution, whereas the  $s_i$  of chaotic systems are Wigner-distributed [14,15]. Here, we consider the spectral rigidity  $\beta_3(L)$  [16], which describes the mean correlations in a normalized energy interval of length  $L$ . We start from the integrated density of states  $N(\epsilon)$  of the normalized ("unfolded") where  $\langle \epsilon_i \rangle = 1$ , a staircase function that can be approximated by a straight line.  $\beta_3(L)$  is defined as the least square deviation between  $N(\epsilon)$  and its best linear fit  $r_1 \epsilon + r_2$

$$\beta_3(L) = \frac{\sum_{\epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon \leq L+2} [N(\epsilon) - r_1 \epsilon - r_2]^2 d\epsilon}{\sum_{\epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon \leq L+2} d\epsilon} ; \quad (1)$$

in average over many midpoints  $\epsilon_0$  from an interval  $\epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0 + L$ . The constants have been set to  $\epsilon_0 = 2m/a = 1$ , where  $a$  is the lattice constant and  $m$  the mass, leading to an upper band edge  $\epsilon_{\max} = 8$ . The range of  $\epsilon_0$  corresponds to wavelengths being  $5 \leq \lambda \leq 9a$ , much smaller than the size of the smallest boundary "teeth" that scatter around  $16a$  for the  $\beta = 2$ -drum and  $60a$  for the  $\beta = 1$ -drum.  $\epsilon$  contains 3000–5000 values of  $\epsilon$ , whereas the integration range  $L$  contains only up to 500 values (see below). The limiting curves for not too large  $L$  are  $\beta_3(L) = L^{-15}$  for integrable systems and  $\beta_3(L) = \ln(L) - \frac{1}{2} - 0.07 = -\frac{1}{2} + O(L^{-1})$  for the ensemble of Gaussian orthogonal

matrices (GOE) [14,15], which serves as a good generally accepted limit for chaotic systems. For large  $L$ ,  $\rho_3$  reaches a plateau that depends on the small orbits.

The spectral rigidity  $\rho_3(L)$  can also be calculated by periodic orbit theory (see Fig. 1 for some examples of periodic orbits). In pseudointegrable systems, all periodic orbits form families of equal lengths and stay stable when their starting points are shifted to at least one direction along the boundary. The simplest families are the "neutral orbits" that bounce between two parallel walls. Using semiclassical quantum mechanics and neglecting additional contributions coming e.g. from diffractive orbits (that start and end at a salient corner),  $\rho_3(L)$  under Neumann boundary conditions is given by [10,11,15],

$$\rho_3(L) = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{E_0}{L^3} \sum_{i,j} \frac{a_i a_j}{(\ell_i \ell_j)^{3/2}} \cos \frac{\pi}{E_0} (\ell_i - \ell_j) H_{ij} : \quad (2)$$

The double sum is carried out over all orbit families (including repetitions, but only in forward direction) of lengths  $\ell_i$  and  $\ell_j$ .  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  are the areas in phase space that are occupied by the respective orbit families and  $H_{ij} = F(\ell_i - \ell_j) - F(\ell_i)F(\ell_j) - 3(\partial F(\ell_i)/\partial \ell_i)(\partial F(\ell_j)/\partial \ell_j)$ , where  $F(\ell) = (\sin \ell)/\ell$ . The argument  $L$  enters via  $\ell_i = (L/\ell_i A) = (16/\pi E_0)$  with the system area  $A$ . It has been shown in [13] that for pseudointegrable systems, the double sum can be restricted to the diagonal terms of  $i = j$  and very long orbits can be neglected. We therefore need the  $\ell_i$  and  $a_i$  up to some maximum length.

### 3 Results and Conclusions

We consider the systems shown in Fig. 1, i.e. two fractal drums of  $\alpha = 1$  and 2, and the simple two-step system that is shown for comparison. First, we determined  $\rho_3(L)$  by Eq. (1) from the eigenvalues calculated numerically by the Lanczos algorithm. Second, we calculated the periodic orbits by the hypothetical orbit method [12,13] that uses the fact that the systems are constituted by segments of lengths  $X_i$  and  $Y_j$  in  $x$ - and  $y$ -direction, respectively (compare Fig. 1(b)). All periodic orbits have to pass through the segments an integer number of times. Therefore, only certain discrete angles  $\ell'$  (between trajectory and boundary) and lengths  $\ell$  are possible, where  $\tan \ell' = \frac{\sum_i n_i Y_i}{\sum_j m_j X_j}$ ,  $\ell = 2 \sqrt{(\sum_i n_i Y_i)^2 + (\sum_j m_j X_j)^2}$ , with positive integers  $n_i$  and  $m_j$ . This restricts the number of possible orbits to certain pairs  $(\ell'(n_i; m_i); \ell(n_i; m_i))$ , which have to be tested. Due to the shielding role of the corners, not all hypothetical orbits really occur in each system. Therefore, the hypothetical orbit method checks which trajectories actually return to their starting point within the correct length  $\ell$  of the trajectory. Unfortunately, the number of




Fig. 1. Geometry and periodic orbits: (a) The fractal generator. (b) The L-shaped system of  $g = 2$ . (c) Fractal drum of  $g = 28$  with the generator applied to the upper border and  $g = 28$ . (d) Fractal drum of  $g = 4$  with the generator applied to the upper border and  $g = 4$ . The segments of the drums are slightly deformed in order to avoid degeneracies of the orbit lengths. Some selected periodic orbits are shown for each geometry and the beam-splitting property of the salient corners and the different segments  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are demonstrated in (b).

hypothetical orbits increases with the number of segments by a power-law and the method becomes very time-consuming for systems with many corners.

As a test for the orbit families we use a sum rule for the number  $N(\ell)$  of orbits with lengths smaller than  $\ell$  (proliferation rate) [10,11],

$$N(\ell) = \frac{b_0 \ell^2}{h_a(\ell)}; \quad b_0, \quad S(\ell) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i: \ell_i < \ell} \frac{a_i}{\ell_i} \quad (3)$$

where  $h_a(\ell) = \sum_{i: \ell_i < \ell}^P a_i$ ,  $a_i = \frac{1}{4A}$  is the average area in phase space, occupied by the orbits with lengths smaller than  $\ell$  and  $b_0$  is a constant, depending slightly on the details of the system. On systems with  $g \geq 3$ , it has been found that  $b_0 = 1/4$  for integrable systems and slightly larger for pseudointegrable systems, whereas  $h_a(\ell)$  is saturating to  $h_a(\ell) \rightarrow 4A$  in integrable systems and to a much smaller value for pseudointegrable systems [11,13]. In Fig. 2(a), we plot the normalized average area  $h_a(\ell) = (4A)$  versus  $\ell$  for the systems of Fig. 1(b-d) with  $g = 2, 4$  and  $28$ . The decrease of  $h_a(\ell)$  with  $g$  is very drastic, which shows clearly the beam-splitting property of the salient corners. Each orbit that is disturbed by a salient corner splits into two different families, each of them covering a smaller area than without this corner. Therefore,




Fig. 2. (a) The normalized average areas  $\langle a \rangle / (4A)$  of the periodic orbit families, with the area  $A$  of the system  $s$  is plotted versus the orbit length  $l$  for the system  $s$  of Fig. 1 with  $g = 2$  (triangles),  $g = 4$  (circles) and  $g = 28$  (squares). (b)  $S(l)$  for the same system  $s$  is plotted versus  $l$ .




Fig. 3. (a) The proliferation rate  $N(l)$  is plotted versus  $l$ . The lines show the theoretical curves, whereas the symbols indicate the number of orbits that have been found by our calculations. (b)  $\Delta_3(L)$  is plotted versus  $L$ . Filled symbols refer to periodic-orbit calculations (Eq. (2)) and open symbols to calculations from the eigenvalues (Eq. (1)). (Same symbols as in Fig. 2.) The expected curves for integrable and chaotic systems are indicated by a solid and a dashed line, respectively.

$\langle a \rangle / (4A)$  decreases with  $g$ , whereas  $N(l)$  increases. In Fig. 2 (b), we plot  $S(l)$  for the same system  $s$  and determine the constant  $b_0$  by the slopes. We can see that  $b_0$  is very close to 0.25 (the value of integrable systems) for the system with  $g = 2$  and 4 and considerably larger for  $g = 28$ .

With the values of  $b_0$  and  $\langle a \rangle / (4A)$ , we can test the proliferation law  $N(l)$  of Eq. (3) for each system (see Fig. 3 (a)). The lines show the theoretical curves, whereas the symbols indicate the number  $N$  of orbits found by our calculations. They agree very well for  $g = 2$  and 4, whereas deviations from the quadratic shape of  $N(l)$  occur for  $g = 28$ , showing that many comparably small orbits are still missing in the spectrum of the  $g = 2$ -system. Due to the three different length scales of this drum and to the large number of orbit families, it is very time-consuming to find a sufficient number of them. However, we can already see by the present data that  $N(l)$  increases drastically with  $g$ .

We usually calculate  $\lambda_3(L)$  (i) from Eq. (1) using the eigenvalues and (ii) for the systems of  $g = 2$  and  $4$ , where  $N(\cdot)$  has been reasonably well approximated by the calculated orbits (by periodic orbit theory). In both cases, the data from the eigenvalue and from the periodic orbit calculations agree very well. This indicates that higher-order terms, arising e.g. from disjunctive orbits (additional to the periodic orbits) seem to be small (at most in the order of magnitude of the error bars arising from the Lanczos algorithm and the discretization of the system). It will be very interesting to see, if this stays true for the fractal drum of  $m = 2$ , where many more corners and therefore disjunctive terms are present. We also see in Fig. 3(b) that the curves decrease rapidly with increasing  $g$ , thereby coming closer to the Wigner curve for chaotic systems. It will be very interesting to see, if drums of higher fractal generations can come arbitrarily close to chaotic systems and in which way lengths and areas of the periodic orbits change with  $g \rightarrow 1$ .

## References

- [1] B. Sapoval; *Fractals* (Aditech, Paris, 1990).
- [2] B. Sapoval, T. Gobrecht; *Phys. Rev. E* 47, 3013 (1993).
- [3] S. Russ, B. Sapoval, O. Haeblerle; *Phys. Rev. E* 55, 1413 (1997).
- [4] C. Even, S. Russ, V. Repain, P. Pieranski, B. Sapoval; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 726 (1999).
- [5] B. Hebert, B. Sapoval, S. Russ; *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 105, 1567 (1999).
- [6] P. J. R. Chens, M. V. Berry, *Physica 2D*, 495 (1981).
- [7] T. Cheon, T. Cohen; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 62, 2769 (1989).
- [8] A. Shudo, Y. Shimizu, P. Seba, J. Stein, H.-J. Stockmann, K. Zyczkowski; *Phys. Rev. E* 49, 3748 (1994).
- [9] Y. Hlushchuk, S. Russ; *Phys. Rev. E* 68, 016203 (2003).
- [10] D. Biswas, S. Sinha; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70, 916 (1993).
- [11] D. Biswas; *Phys. Rev. E* 54, R1044 (1996).
- [12] D. Biswas; *Pramana Journal of Physics*, 48, 487 (1997).
- [13] J. Mellenthin, S. Russ; *Phys. Rev. E* 70, 056205 (2004).
- [14] M. L. Mehta; *Random Matrices* (Academic Press, London, 1991), 2nd Ed.
- [15] M. V. Berry; *Proc. R. Soc. London A* 400, 229 (1985).
- [16] F. J. Dyson, M. L. Mehta; *J. Math. Phys.* 4, 701 (1963).