Finite-size-scaling analysis of the XY universality class between two and three dimensions: An application of Novotny's

transfer-m atrix m ethod

Yoshihiro Nishiyama

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Okayama 700-8530, Japan. (Dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

Based on N ovotny's transferm atrix m ethod, we simulated the (stacked) triangular Ising antiferrom agnet embedded in the space with the dimensions variable in the range 2 d 3. Our aim is to investigate the criticality of the X Y universality class for 2 d 3. For that purpose, we employed an extended version of the nite-size-scaling analysis developed by N ovotny, who utilized this scheme to survey the Ising criticality (ferrom agnet) for 1 d 3. D iagonalizing the transfer m atrix for the system sizes N up to N = 17, we calculated the d-dependent correlation-length critical exponent (d). Our simulation result (d) appears to interpolate sm oothly the known two limiting cases, namely, the KT and d = 3 X Y universality classes, and the interm ediate behavior bears close resemblance to that of the analytical form ula via the 1=N -expansion technique. M ethodological details including the modi cations speci c to the present m odel are reported.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In analytical approaches, the spatial dimension d is treated as a continuously variable parameter, and correspondingly, various quantities such as the critical indexes are expressed explicitly in terms of the parameter d. Such an approach allows us to see how the criticality changes from the classical (mean eld like) one as the spatial dimension deviates from an either lower or upper critical dimension gradually. However, it is not quite obvious that such an analytical form ula could be justimed (realized) by actual rst-principles simulations (and hopefully by experiments) with respect to realistic lattice models. In fact, in conventional computer-simulation approaches, one has to x the (embedding) spatial dimension to a certain integral value, and thus the analysis on criticality has been restricted to the integral values of d inevitably.

An attempt to circum vent such a restriction was made by Novotny [1, 2, 3, 4]. His approach stem s on a very form al expression for the transfer matrix so that the embedding spatial dimension can be varied continuously. (We explain his method in the next section. As anticipated naturally, this method is also of use in studying high dimensional (d 3) system s. We refer readers to the references [1, 5] for this development.) Based on this form ulation, he perform ed an extensive com puter sim ulation, and surveyed the criticality of the Ising ferrom agnet for 1 3. A stonishingly enough, he found that the num erical d result is well described by both the 1 + and 4 expansion formulas. In other words, his result clari es that the analytical formulas for fractional values of d are meaningful in the sense that they are reproduced by the st-principles-simulation scheme. (Strictly speaking, he utilized two distinctive approaches to control the embedding spatial dimension. In Ref. [2], he varies the \mod of the lattice, whereas in Refs. [3, 4], he twists the boundary condition to control the magnetic-dom ain-wall undulations.) Here, we stress that the Novotny approach is not a mere dimensional interpolation (crossover) that has been studied extensively in the past study [6, 7].

In this paper, we apply N ovotny's method to the \stacked" triangular Ising antiferromagnet [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] embedded in the space with the variable dimensions 2 d 3. Because of its Z_6 invariance, the model should exhibit the X Y universality class at the magnetic transition point [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Our aim is to exam ine whether his method is applicable to generic problem s other than the Ising univer-

2

sality class. We calculate the d-dependent correlation-length critical exponent. Thereby, we will show that the simulation result is comparable with the analytical 1=N -expansion result up to 0 (1=N) [27, 28, 29, 30].

In fairness, it has to be mentioned that the critical phenom ena for non-integer dimensions were studied extensively in the past [31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. In these works, the authors set up their lattice models on a fractal structure (the Sierpinski gasket) in order to realize a magnetism in the fractional spatial dimensions. Here, we stress that in our approach, the spatial dimension can be varied continuously within a range.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we explain how we constructed the transfer matrix for the stacked triangular antiferrom agnet in 2 d 3. In Sec. III, we present the numerical results. Managing an extended nite-size scaling analysis, we estimate the correlation-length critical exponent in the range 2 d 3. In the last section, we present summary and discussions.

II. CONSTRUCTION OF THE TRANSFER MATRIX FOR THE \STACKED " TRIANGULAR ANTIFERROMAGNET IN 2 d 3

In this section, we set up the transfer matrix form alism to simulate the stacked" triangular antiferrom agnet embedded in the dimensions 2 d 3. Our form alism is based on Novotny's idea [1], with which he studied the Ising ferrom agnet on (hyper) cubic lattices; in our preceding paper [5], we adopted his idea to the d = 3 Ising ferrom agnet with plaquette-type interactions. Before going into details, we rst set up a basis of our scheme; namely, we dwell on the particular d = 3 case. Then, we extend this prelim inary basis to incorporate the embedding-spatial-dimension variation. We will also provide a number of technicalmodi cations to improve the eciency of the numerical simulation.

W e decom pose the transferm atrix into the following two contributions,

$$T = T^{?} T^{k}; \qquad (1)$$

where the symbol denotes the H adam and (element by element) matrix multiplication; note that the multiplication of local B oltzm ann factors yields the global B oltzm ann weight. A s explained below (see also F ig. 1 for the geometrical structure of our nite-size cluster), the decomposed parts T[?] and T^k account for the contributions from the intra and inter plane (triangular lattice) interactions, respectively.

First, let us consider the component T^k. The matrix elements are given by the form ula,

$$T_{ij}^{k} = hijA jji = W_{S(i;1)S(i;2)}^{S(j;1)S(j;2)}W_{S(i;2)S(j;3)}^{S(j;2)S(j;3)} = W_{NN(S(i;1))}^{S(j;1)};$$
(2)

where the indexes i and j specify the Ising spin con guration for both sides of the transferm atrix slice; see F ig. 1. M ore speci cally, we consider N spins for the transfer-m atrix slice, and the index i denotes a spin con guration fS (i;1);:::;S (i;N) g arranged along the \leg." The factor W $_{S_1S_2}^{S_3S_4}$ stands for the local Boltzm ann weight for a unit cell of the triangular lattice with the corner spins fS₁;S₂;S₃;S₄g. Explicitly, it is given by the following form,

$$W_{S_{1}S_{2}}^{S_{3}S_{4}} = \exp - \frac{1}{T} - \frac{J}{2} (S_{1}S_{2} + S_{2}S_{4} + S_{4}S_{3} + S_{3}S_{1}) + JS_{1}S_{4} \quad : \tag{3}$$

(The denom inator of the coupling constant is intended to avoid double counting.) Here, the parameter T denotes the tem perature, and the parameter J stands for the intra-plane antiferrom agnetic interaction constant. Hereafter, we choose J as the unit of energy; namely, we set J = 1. It is to be noted that the component T^{k} (with $T^{?}$ ignored) leads to the transferm atrix for a sheet of triangular antiferrom agnet. In other words, the remaining component $T^{?}$ should raise the dimensionality to d = 3 through introducing the inter-plane interactions. This is an essential idea of the N ovotry method [2].

Second, we consider the component $T^{?}$ that accounts for the inter-plane interaction. The explicit matrix elements are given by the following formula,

$$T_{ii}^{?} = hi \beta P^{\vee} j i ; \qquad (4)$$

with the interaction distance v. The matrix B is given by the formula,

hiß
$$jji = W \stackrel{?}{}_{s(i;1)s(j;1)} W \stackrel{?}{}_{s(i;2)s(j;2)} \stackrel{?}{}_{s(j;2)s(j;2)} \stackrel{?}{}_{s(j;3)s(j;3)};$$
 (5)

with W $_{S_1S_2}^{?} = \exp(jS_1S_2=T)$ and the inter-plane interaction j. The matrix P denotes the translational operator: That is, with one operation of P, a spin arrangement fS (i;m)g shifts to fS (i;m + 1)g; note that the periodic boundary condition is imposed. An explicit representation of P is given in our preceding paper [5]. Because of the insertion of P^v, the interaction B bridges the vth neighbor pairs along the leg, and so it brings about the desired inter-plane interactions. As a matter of fact, in Fig. 1, we notice that the alignment of spins is folded into a rectangular shape with the edge lengths v and N =v. It is an essential idea of N ovotny that the operation P^{v} is still meaningful, although the power v is not an integral value. This rather remarkable fact renders freedom that one can construct the d = 3 transferm atrix system atically with arbitrary number of spins N.

Based on the above form alism, we readily simulate the stacked triangular antiferrom agnet in d = 3. In the following, we propose a scheme to tune the embedding spatial dimension continuously. Moreover, we will also provide a number of technical modil cations, aiming to improve the end ciency of the simulation.

There are two controllable parameters for the dimension variation. That is, the interplane interaction j and the interaction distance v: Apparently, the limit j! 0 reduces the system to a sheet of triangular lattice. On the other hand, for large v, the stack width N = v decreases, and eventually, at v = N, the system reduces to a sheet of triangular lattice as well; note the identity $P^{N} = 1$ owing to the periodic boundary condition. In this paper, we adopt the form er scheme. Namely, we will tune the parameter j, xing the interaction distance v to a moderate value v = 0.27N. This choice is based on our observation that the nite-size-scaling behaviors become quite system atic for v N = n (n: integer), particularly at n = 4.

Lastly, let us explain a num ber of technical modi cations to improve the e ciency of the simulation: W e propose the following replacement,

$$T_{ij}^{?} = hi \mathcal{B} P^{\vee} j i ! \quad T_{ij}^{?} (v) = hi \mathcal{B} P^{\vee} j i h \mathcal{P}^{\vee} B j i :$$
(6)

(Note that correspondingly, we need to replace the temperature T with 2T in order to compensate the duplication.) W ith this trick, the transfer-matrix elements become real: O therwise, the elements are complex for even values of N. As a matter of fact, in the past simulations [1, 2, 5], those cases of even values of N were excluded. Such an exclusion is obviously disadvantageous in the subsequent data analysis, because the available system s sizes are restricted severely. In our simulation, because of the above trick, we are able to consider arbitrary system sizes. In addition to this, we symmetrize the transfermatrix [2] with the following replacement,

$$T^{?}(v) ! T^{?}(v) T^{?}(v)$$
: (7)

(Sim ilarly as the above, we need to re-de ne the tem perature T ! 2T.) W ith this sym – metrization, the sym metry of the descending (j = N; N 1; ...) and ascending (j = 1; 2; ...)

directions along the leg become completely restored. We observed a signi cant improvement of the nite-size scaling behavior due to this symmetrization.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the preceding section, we developed a transferm atrix form alism for the stacked triangular antiferrom agnet embedded in the fractional spatial dimensions 2 d 3. In this section, we present the numerical results calculated by means of the exact-diagonalization method for the system sizes up to N = 17. We analyze the data with the extended nite-size scaling analysis [2] which allows us to estimate the \e ective" dimension $d_{\rm ff}$. The elective dimension plays a signil cant role in the subsequent analysis of the criticality of the magnetic transition.

A. E ective dim ension: Extended nite-size scaling analysis [2]

Before going into detailed analysis on the criticality, we need to estimate the elective dimension d_{eff} [2]: At the critical point, the correlation length should be comparable to the linear dimension of the nite cluster. Hence, the correlation length should obey the form ula $N^{1=(d_{eff}-1)}$; note that a transfer-matrix slice contains N lattice points, and its embedding spatial dimension should be d_{eff} 1. This form ula immediately yields an estimate for the elective dimension,

$$d_{eff}^{N,N^{0}}(T) = \frac{1}{\ln(N(T) = N^{0}(T)) = \ln(N = N^{0})} + 1;$$
(8)

for a pair of system sizes (N ; N⁰). By m eans of the transfer-m atrix m ethod, the correlation length is calculated in m ediately: U sing the largest and the next-largest eigenvalues, nam ely, $_1$ and $_2$ of the transfer m atrix, we obtain the correlation length as $= 1 = \ln (_1 = _2)$. P rovided by this, according to R ef. [2], we are able to determ ine both the critical tem perature $T_c (N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4)$ and the elective dimension $d_{ff} (N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4)$ so that they satisfy the following equation,

$$d_{eff} (N_{1}; N_{2}; N_{3}; N_{4}) = d_{eff}^{N_{1}; N_{2}} (T_{c} (N_{1}; N_{2}; N_{3}; N_{4})) = d_{eff}^{N_{3}; N_{4}} (T_{c} (N_{1}; N_{2}; N_{3}; N_{4}));$$
(9)

for the set of the system sizes $(N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4)$. To summarize, in the extended nitesize scaling analysis, the spatial dimension is not a given constant, but a parameter that is to be determined a posteriori with the data analysis of the correlation length . As mentioned in the above, in the transferm atrix method, the correlation length is calculated quite straightforwardly. In that sense, the transferm atrix approach is suitable to this type of nite-size-scaling analysis.

In order to exam ine the validity of the scaling param eters, d_{eff} and T_c , determ ined with the above method, we plotted, in Fig. 2, the scaled correlation length $(T T_c)N^{1=((d_{eff} 1))} - = N^{1=(d_{eff} 1)}$ for $d_{eff} = 2.50$, $T_c = 5.13$, 1 = 1.36, j = 1.5, and N = 13;14;:::;17. These scaling param eters, namely, d_{eff} and T_c , are determined from the set of system sizes (14;16;13;16) via the extended nite-size-scaling analysis. (We explain how we determined 1 = 1.36 afterward.) We see that the scaled data collapse into a scaling function quite satisfactorily. Hence, we come that the scaling param eters, $d_{ff} = 2.50$ and $T_c = 5.13$, are indeed meaningful. More signing cantly, we stress that our simulation data should be described under the assumption that the exception takes such a fractional value.

In order to analyze the criticality further in detail, we calculated the Room any-Wyld approximative function, which is given by the following formula [36],

$$_{N \not N} \circ (T) = \frac{1}{P} \frac{(d_{eff} \quad 1) \ln (N = N^{\circ}) = \ln (N = N^{\circ})}{\overline{\theta_{T \quad N}} (T) \overline{\theta_{T \quad N}} \circ (T) = N^{\circ} (T) = N^{\circ} (T)} :$$
(10)

In Fig. 3, we plotted $_{14;16}$ (T) for the same parameters as those of Fig. 2. The functional form of this function seems to be almost straight, indicating that the corrections to the nite-size scaling are almost negligible. This fact accounts for the good data collapse of Fig. 2 shown above. From the slope of this function at the transition point $T = T_c$, we are able to estimate the inverse of the correlation-length critical exponent as $1 = _{14;16} = 1.36$. To sum marize, we estimated the exponent $1 = _{N,N}$ from the set of system sizes (N; N⁰). In prior to this analysis, we should determ ine the scaling parameters, d_{eff} and T_c, from the extended

nite size scaling analysis for $(N_1; N_2; N_3; N_4)$. We will exploit the d_{eff} -dependence of 1= in the next subsection.

Lastly, we exploit the region in close vicinity of the lower critical dimension d = 2, at which the KT-type singularity should emerge. In Fig. 4, we present the function $_{14;16}$ (T) for j = 0.9, $T_c = 2.74$, and $d_{eff} = 2.07$; these scaling parameters were determined from the set of system sizes (14;16;15;17). In contrast to the behavior shown in Fig. 3, the function is curved particularly in the vicinity of the transition point. A ctually, we estimate the slope (critical exponent) as $1 = _{14;16} = 0.804$ which is considerably suppressed, compared with that

of Fig. 3. This feature indicates that an essentially singular-type critical behavior emerges as d_{eff} ! 2. For T < T_c, the function starts to increase, and eventually, it becomes even positive in the low-temperature regime. Such a feature may relect an instability to the Z₆-symmetry-broken phase. Actually, it has been known [22] that right at d = 2, an additional phase transition of the KT type takes place at a low temperature, where the Z₆-symmetry-breaking eld becomes marginally relevant. The simulation data around this regime may be a lected by the notorious logarithm is corrections to the nite-size-scaling behavior, that are inherent to the KT-type critical phenomenon.

B. Correlation-length critical exponent 1= (d_{eff}) for d_{eff}

In the above, we analyzed the criticality of the magnetic transition for j = 1.5 and 0.9 in term softhe elective dimension d_{ff} . Managing the similar analysis for various j, we are able to survey the d_{eff} -dependence of the critical exponent $1 = (d_{eff})$. In Fig. 5, we plotted the critical exponent 1 = for various d_{eff} . The exponent was determined from the set of system sizes, () (14;16), () (15;17), () (14;16), and (2) (13;17), for respective symbols, and the corresponding scaling parameters, namely, d_{eff} and T_c , had been determined from the set of system sizes () (14;16;15;17), () (15;17;13;17), () (14;16;13;16), and (2) (15;17;13;17), respectively. We also plotted a result 1 = 1:48909 (60) for the $d = 3 \times Y$ universality class [37] with the symbol + . We notice that our numerical results interpolate sm oothly the known limiting cases of KT (1 = 0) and $d = 3 \times Y$ universality classes. As for a comparison, with a dotted line, we presented the 1=N -expansion-approximation result up to 0 (1 = N) [27, 28, 29, 30],

$$\frac{1}{2} = d + \frac{2(3)(2)}{N(4)} + \frac{4\sin\frac{1}{2}(2)}{(1-\frac{1}{2})(2-\frac{1}{2})};$$
(11)

with N = 2 and = d - 2. Rather remarkably, we see that the simulation data and the 1=N -expansion result exhibit similar intermediate behaviors. In other words, we can make contact with such a dimensional-regularized analytical expression via the computer simulation calculation. On closer inspection, however, it seems that our rst-principles simulation predicts even more convex-like functional form. A ctually, our simulation result suggests a notable steep increase around d = 2.

It is to be noted that our data extend to the regime exceeding the threshold d = 3. As

a matter of fact, we intended to cover the parameter range 2 d 3, when we constructed the transfer matrix in Sec. II. However, such a feature was also observed in the preceding study of the Ising ferrom agnet [3]. In the study, the author reported that the e ective dimension does exceed the intended range, and astonishingly enough, the data are still in good agreement with the (4)-expansion result. We expect that our result makes sense even for $d_{eff} > 3$ as well. Our data seem to approach to the mean eld value 1 = 2 as d = 4 rather directly than the 1 = N -expansion-approximation result.

In close vicinity of the lower critical dimension, particularly, around d_{eff} 2.3, the numerical data turn out to be scattered. As noted in the preceding subsection, this data scatter should be attributed to the logarithm ic corrections to the nite-size scaling, which are inherent to the KT-type critical behavior.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we developed a transfer-m atrix scheme to simulate the \stacked" triangular antiferrom agnetem bedded in 2 d 3.0 ur scheme is based on Novotny's idea, which has been applied to the Ising ferrom agnet (universality class) in 1 d 3 successfully [2, 3, 4]. Here, we studied the X Y universality class in 2 d 3; the triangular antiferrom agnet should belong to the X Y universality class due to the Z_6 symmetry [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. The numerical data are analyzed in terms of the extended nite-size-scaling method [2], which allows us to estimate the elective dimension $d_{\rm eff}$. Thereby, we obtained the $d_{\rm eff}$ dependent correlation-length critical exponent 1= $(d_{\rm eff})$; see Fig. 5. We notice that our

rst-principles data interpolate smoothly the known limiting cases of both KT and d = 3 XY universality classes. Furtherm ore, we found that the interm ediate behavior bears close resemblance to that of the analytical formula via the 1=N -expansion technique. In other wards, by means of the computer simulation method, we are able to check (support) the validity of the dimensional-regularized formulas with the and 1=N expansion techniques. On closer inspection, our simulation result suggests a notable steep increase around d = 2.

The present study on the stacked triangular antiferrom agnet shows that the Novotny method would be generic, and it should be applicable to a wide variety of universality classes other than the Ising universality. So far, the transferm atrix approach has been restricted to the problem s in two dimensions, because it requires huge computer memory space as the

system size increases. (A lthough the density-m atrix-renorm alization-group m ethod resolves this di culty to a considerable extent, its extension to d = 3 is still a current topics underway [38].) W ith the aid of the N ovotny m ethod, we are able to construct the transfer m atrix for d > 2 quite system atically with m odest (actually, arbitrary) number of constituent spins. M oreover, we can survey the criticality even in the fractional dimensions by m eans of the extended nite-size-scaling analysis. This opens a way to re-exam ine the longstanding problem s in three dimensions such as the chiral universality [39] and the Lifshitz-type m ulticritical phenom enon [40].

One may wonder what a ects the variation of d_{ff} most signi cantly: Actually, there have been known two approaches in order to control d_{eff} in the past studies of the Ising ferrom agnet. In Ref. [2], the interaction distance v, in other words, the connectivity of the nite-size cluster, is tuned carefully. On the other hand, in Refs. [3, 4], the boundary condition is twisted so as to control the therm al undulations of the magnetic domain walls. In our scheme, as explained in Sec. II, we xed the lattice connectivity v, and rather varied the inter-plane interaction j: In this sense, we took an advantage that our system (stacked triangular antiferrom agnet) is, by nature, spatially anisotropic, and so we are able to tune the inter-plane interaction freely. We suspect that our case may belong to the latter category. That is, the inter-plane interaction, som enow, controls the dom ain-wall undulations e ectively. This interpretation is based on the fact that our system is subjected to the magnetic frustration due to the triangular antiferrom agnetism, and the dom ain walls should be created inevitably. It is desirable that this mechanism would be exploited in the fiture study.

A cknow ledgm ents

Thiswork is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (No. 15740238) from Monbukagakusho, Japan.

- [1] M.A.Novotny, J.Appl. Phys. 67, 5448 (1990).
- [2] M.A.Novotny, Phys.Rev.B 46, 2939 (1992).
- [3] M.A.Novotny, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70, 109 (1993).

- [4] M A Novotny, Computer Simulation Studies in Condensed-Matter Physics IV, edited by D.
 P.Landau, K.K.Mon, and H.-B.Schuttler (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1993).
- [5] Y.Nishiyama, Phys.Rev.E 70, 026120 (2004).
- [6] D.P.Landau and K.Binder, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4633 (1990).
- [7] C.Ruge and F.W agner, Phys.Rev.B 52, 4209 (1995).
- [8] D.P.Landau, Phy. Rev. B 27, 5604 (1983).
- [9] H.K ttataniand T.Oguchi, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 1344 (1988).
- [10] S.M iyashita, H.K itatani, and Y.Kanada, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn., 60, 1523 (1991).
- [11] S.L.A.deQueiroz and E.Dom any, Phys. Rev. E 52, 4768 (1995).
- [12] S.M iyashita, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 3411 (1997).
- [13] D.Blankschtein, M.Ma, A.N.Berker, G.S.Grest, and C.M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B 29, R 5250 (1984).
- [14] O.Heinonen and R.G.Petschek, Phys.Rev.B 40, 9052 (1989).
- [15] J.-J.K im, Y.Yam ada, and O.Nagai, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4760 (1990).
- [16] R.R.Netz and A.N.Berker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 66, 377 (1991).
- [17] A.Bunker, B.D.Gaulin, and C.Kallin, Phys. Rev. B 48, 15861 (1993)
- [18] B.D.Gaulin, A.Bunker, and C.Kallin, Phys. Rev. B 52, 1415 (1995).
- [19] M.L.Plumer, A.Mailhot, R.Ducharme, A.Caille, and H.T.Diep, Phys. Rev. B 47, 14312 (1993).
- [20] M.L.Plumer and A.Mailhot, Phys. Rev. B 52, 1411 (1995).
- [21] D.Dantchev and M.Krech, Phys.Rev.E 69, 046119 (2004).
- [22] J.V. Jose, L.P. Kadano, S. Kirkpatrick, and D.R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 16, 1217 (1977).
- [23] J.Tobochnik, Phys. Rev. B 26, 6201 (1982).
- [24] P.Rujan, G.O.W illiam s, H.L.Frisch, and G.Forgacs, Phys. Rev. B 23, 1362 (1981).
- [25] M.S.S.Challa and D.P.Landau, Phys. Rev. B 33, 437 (1986).
- [26] P.D.Scholten and L.J. Irakliotis, Phys. Rev. B 48, 1291 (1993).
- [27] S.Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 1311 (1972).
- [28] R.Abe, Prog. Theor. Phys., 48, 1414 (1972).
- [29] M. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. 42A, 5 (1972).
- [30] R.A. Ferrell, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 413 (1972).
- [31] Y. Gefen, A. Aharony, Y. Shapir, and B. B. Mandelbrot, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17, 435



FIG.1: A schem atic drawing of the construction of the transferm atrix for the \stacked" triangular antiferrom agnet. Our scheme is based on Novotny's idea [1]. The sheet of triangular antiferrom agnet extends along the \leg." The leg is folded into a rectangular shape: To be specific, with use of the translation operator P^{v} (v: interaction distance), we build a bridge between the vth neighbor spins along the leg.

(1984).

- [32] B.Lin and Z.R.Yang, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 19, L49 (1986).
- [33] Y. Taguchi, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20, 6611 (1987).
- [34] U.S.M.Costa, I.Roditi, and E.M.F.Curado, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 20, 6001 (1987).
- [35] L.Hao and Z.R.Yang, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 20, 1627 (1987).
- [36] H.H.Room any and H.W. Wyld, Phys. Rev. D 21, 3341 (1980).
- [37] M. Campostrini, M. Hasenbusch, A. Pelissetto, P. Rossi, and E. Vicari, Phys. Rev. B 63, 214503 (2001).
- [38] N.Maeshima, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.73, 60 (2004).
- [39] H.Kawamura, J.Phys.Condens.Matter 10, 4707 (1998).
- [40] H.W.Diehl, cond-mat/0407352.



FIG. 2: Scaling plot of the correlation length (T T_c)N^{1= (d_{eff} 1)} = =N^{1=(d_{eff} 1)} is shown for j = 1:5 and the system sizes N = 13;14;:::;17. The symbols + , , , 2 , and denote the system sizes of N = 13, 14, 15, 16, and 17, respectively. The scaling parameters are set to be 1= = 1:36, $d_{eff} = 2:50$, and $T_c = 5:13$; see text for details. W e see that a good data collapse is achieved under a fractional value of the elective dimension $d_{eff} = 2:50$.



FIG.3: We plotted the beta function, $_{14;16}$ (T) (10), for the same parameters as Fig. 2. From the slope at the transition point, we estimate the correlation-length critical exponent as 1 = 1.36.



FIG.4: The beta function, $_{14;16}$ (T) (10), is plotted for j = 0.9 and d_{eff} = 2.07. We notice that for d_{eff} 2, the beta function gets curved, indicating that a nonstandard (essentially singular) criticality emerges. From the slope at the transition point, we estimate the correlation-length critical exponent as 1= = 0.804.



FIG.5: The inverse of the correlation-length critical exponent $1 = (d_{eff})$ is plotted for the e ective dimension d_{eff} . The exponent is determined from the pair of system sizes, () (14;16) under the extended scaling analysis [2] for (14;16;15;17), () (15;17) with (15;17;13;17), () (14;16) with (14;16;13;16), and (2) (13;17) with (15;17;13;17), for respective symbols; see text for details. We also plotted the 1=N -expansion-approximation result up to 0 (1=N) [27, 28, 29, 30]. The symbol + denotes a result for the d = 3 X Y m odel [37].