1=f noise in experim ental Sinai quantum billiards

E.Faleiro¹, U.Kuh², R.A.Molina³, A.Relano⁴, J.Retamosa⁴, and H.-J.Stockmann²

¹ Departamento de F sica Aplicada, E.U.I.T. Industrial,

Universidad Politecnica de Madrid,

E-28012 Madrid, Spain

² Fachbereich Physik, Philipps-Universitat, Renthof 5,

D-35032 Marburg, Germany

³ Max-Planck-Institut fur Physik Komplexer Systeme

Nothnitzer Str. 38, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

⁴ Departamento de F sica Atomica, Molecular y Nuclear,

Universidad Complutense de Madrid,

E-28040 M adrid, Spain

It was recently conjectured that 1/f noise is a fundam ental characteristic of spectral uctuations in chaotic quantum systems. In this Letter we show that the level uctuations of experimental realizations of the Sinai billiard exhibit 1/f noise, corroborating the conjecture. A ssum ing that the statistical properties of these systems are those of the G aussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE), we compare the experimental results with the universal behavior predicted in the random matrix framework, and nd an excellent agreement. The deviations from this behavior observed at low frequencies can be easily explained with the semiclassical periodic orbit theory. In conclusion, it is shown that the main features of the conjecture are a ected neither by non-universal properties due to the underlying classical dynamics nor by the uncertainties of the experimental setup.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.45.Tp, 05.45Mt, 05.45Pq

Q uantum chaos is a well established subject since the pioneering work of Bohigas and collaborators [1] (for further review see, for example, [2, 3]). Recently, a di erent approach to quantum chaos has been proposed [4]. Considering the sequence of energy levels as a discrete time series, and the appropriate statistic related to the uctuations of the excitation energy, it was conjectured that chaotic quantum systems are characterized by 1=f noise, whereas integrable ones exhibit $1=f^2$ noise. Since chaotic spectra behave like antipersistent time series, and regular ones as neither persistent nor antipersistent time series, it was possible to establish a relationship between spectral rigidity and antipersistence. This conjecture is supported by numerical calculations which involve atom ic nuclei and the classical R andom M atrix Ensembles (RM E) [4]. Later, theoretical expressions were derived in Ref. [5] using random m atrix theory. They explain, without free param eters, the universal behavior of the excitation energy uctuations power spectrum . The theory gives excellent agreem ent with numerical calculations. It also reproduces the 1=f power law characteristic of chaotic system s and the $1=f^2$ law characteristic of integrable system through several orders of m agnitude of the frequency interval.

A llthese results were obtained in the R andom M atrix Theory (RM T) and N uclear ShellM odel fram eworks. These m odels allow an accurate statistical analysis since very long sequences can be obtained with high precision; m oreover, RM T is the usual theoretical model in studying quantum chaos, and the high excited states of the atom ic nuclei follow RM T predictions alm ost perfectly [6, 7]. How ever, other quantum chaotic system s cannot provide such quality of data. Experiments usually provide short level sequences with some precision problem s: if two resonances are separated by a distance sm aller than the experimental line width, they are registered as one; in m icrow ave billiard experiments, certain resonances are m issing if the emitting antenna is positioned close to a nodal line. Q uantum system s with a classical analogue can provide very long sequences, but they present some non-universal features that spoil the universal behavior of long-range correlations [8, 9], which reveal some dynam ic properties of the classical analogue {the behavior of the shorter periodic orbits of the system. Therefore, analyzing whether experimental lime itations and the existence of short periodic orbits modify our previous result becomes an important issue.

In this Letter we study the spectral uctuations of experim entally modeled quantum Sinai billiards. We nd that the excitation energy level uctuations of these system s are in clear agreem ent with the theoretical expressions found in Ref. [5]. Therefore, they exhibit 1=f noise, in spite of sm all deviations due to experim ental limitations and non-universal properties due to short periodic orbits. Moreover, we present a simple analysis of these anom alies using periodic orbit theory.

In the present work, quantum billiards have been substituted by suitably shaped m icrowave resonators, whose eigenfrequencies are m easured. This kind of experiment uses the fact that the time-independent Schrodinger equation and the wave equation are m athem atically equivalent. The data we analyze in this work has already been used in Refs. [10, 11], although in a di erent context.

To be specic, we have used a quarter Sinaibilliard varying the values of the length las depicted in Fig. 1. The




FIG.1: Sketch of the quarter Sinai billiard with varying length printed in scale. The length of the billiard was varied from 1 = 480 mm to 460 mm in steps of 0.2 mm. The width b = 200 mm and the radius of the quarter circle r = 70 mm.

experim ental setup m akes it possible to m easure resonances in the frequency range 14.5 to 15.5 G hz, where there are about 120 eigenvalues for each value of the parameter 1. We have considered about 100 of such sequences as realizations of a stochastic process representative of the billiard, as we have previously done in num erical experiments [4].

P rior to the statistical analysis, we have to rem ove the m odulation induced by the m can level density in the spectra. This procedure is called unfolding; it consists in locally m apping the actual energy levels E_i into new dimensionless levels $_i$

$$E_{i} !_{i} = \overline{N} (E_{i}); i2 [1; ;N];$$
 (1)

where N is the dimension of the spectrum and \overline{N} (E) is a smooth approximation to the actual accumulated density. Quite generally, \overline{N} (E) can be calculated with the aid of the following rule: in the limit of large E, the average density of eigenstates is proportional to the volume of the phase space with energy less than E [12]. For quantum billiards we can use an improved version of this result, which is also valid near of the ground-state [9]:

$$\overline{N} (E) = \overline{N}^{W \text{ eyl}}(E) + \overline{N}^{bb}(E):$$
(2)

 \overline{N}^{w} ^{ey1} is the so called W ey1 law; it includes a main term related to the volum e of the phase space, and two correction terms related to the surface and the curvature of the boundary [13]. \overline{N}^{bb} (E) is a further correction term which takes into account the sm ooth trend produced by a set of non-isolated marginally stable periodic orbits bouncing between the two straight sides of the billiard.

A fler perform ing the unfolding procedure, we can calculate the nearest neighbor level spacings de ned by $s_i = i+1$; i2 [1; ;N 1]; since the unfolded spectrum has a mean level density equal to 1, their average value is hsi = 1. To study the long-range correlation structure, we use the n statistic [4, 14] de ned by

$$= (s_{i} \quad hsi) = "_{n+1} \quad "_{1} \quad n; n \geq [0; ; N \quad 1]:$$
(3)

This statistic represents the deviation of the excitation energy of the (n+1)th unfolded level from its mean value n, and it is closely related to the spectrum uctuations. Indeed, we can write $n = \mathbb{N}^{2} (\mathbb{E}_{n+1})$ if we appropriately shift the ground state energy; thus, n represents the cumulated level density uctuations. If we consider the index n as the analogue of a discrete time [16], we can analyze n with numerical techniques norm ally used in the study of complex system s. The most simple is the analysis of the power spectrum obtained from the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) [17] of the signal

n

$$b_{k} = \frac{1}{\frac{N}{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp \left(\frac{2 i k n}{N}\right); \quad k \ge [0; ; N = 1]; \quad (4)$$

$$S(!_{k}) = b_{k}^{2};$$
 (5)

where

$$!_{k} = \frac{2 k}{N}$$
(6)

and is the sam pling interval of the signal.

Here we face the following problem. The experiment cannot provide all energy levels in the interval 14.5 and 15.5 GHz: there are a few missing eigenvalues due to nodal lines of the wavefunction at the position of the antenna. Nevertheless, due to the level dynamics measurement, one can detect where a certain level is missing. A comparison with eq. (2) shows that all levels are accounted for. Thus, we are able to arrange the known energy levels as $f''_{p_1}; ''_{p_2}; p_1; ''_{p_M}$ g, where M (N) represents the total number of levels whose energy is known, and fp1; p2; M g, pare their positions in the spectrum. Therefore, the n function can only be calculated for certain whole numbers. Taking " p_1 as a ctitious ground state, we have

$$n_{j} = "_{p_{j+1}} "_{p_{1}} n_{j}; n_{j} = p_{j+1} p_{j}; j \ge [0; ;M 1];$$
 (7)

Consequently, n_j is not a standard time series, but an unevenly spaced time series.

There are some obvious ways to get an evenly sampled signal from the unevenly spaced p_j points. Interpolation is one possible way, but generally speaking, interpolation techniques perform poorly. Therefore, we have decided to proceeded in a di erent way to analyze the experimental data. For an arbitrarily sampled time series the power spectrum can be estimated by the periodogram, $S(!) = {}^{b}(!)^{2}$, where ${}^{b}(!)$ is given by

$$b(!) = \frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{M}} \int_{j=0}^{M_X - 1} \exp(-i! n_j):$$
(8)

Eq. (8) is defined for any frequency !. However, since the original signal is discrete, one should be able to chose a nite set of frequencies to evaluate the Fourier transform, and then using the values of eq. (8) at these frequencies, recover the original signal. For evenly spaced time series of length N eq. (6) provides a natural set of frequency values. This set has been built from a fundamental frequency $!_1 = 2 = (N)$, which defines a sine wave of period equal to the whole interval length; the remaining frequencies are multiples of it. As commented above, the set $f^{\rm b}(!_k)$ g, where k 2 [0;1; ;N 1], is known as the D iscrete Fourier transform of the signal.

In our case we have generated an appropriate set of frequencies as follows:

(i) Since we know the smooth part of the level density (even if there are some m issing levels), we can perform the unfolding of the spectrum, leading to a constant average level density. Thus, we can set the fundamental sampling interval to = 1.

(ii) G iven a window N N, we select all the experimental billiards for which there is at least a pair of measured levels at positions p_a and p_b , satisfying that N = p_b p_a .

(iii) We consider the following frequency set $!_k = 2 \text{ k=N}$ with k = 1;2;. This is probably the best choice because the fundamental frequency, $!_1 = 2 = N$, corresponds to a sine wave of period equal to the whole energy interval " p_b " p_a ' N ' p_b p_a : (Remember that after the unfolding procedure < s > = 1.)

D ue to the de nition of our periodogram and to the selected frequency set, we have that S(! + 2) = S(! - 2) = S(!). For this reason the power spectrum is de ned in the basic interval [0;). For evenly sampled series the frequency ! = is known as the N yquist frequency and a change of trend in the power spectrum can be observed near this upper lim it.

(iv) F inally, the average power spectrum of the $_n$ signal is calculated using the selected billiards, and the experimental results are compared with the theoretical expression found in Ref. [5]. A ssum ing that N 1, and that the statistical properties of the spectral uctuations are those of the G aussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE), the standard m odel for time-reversal invariant systems, the universal behavior of the $_n$ power spectrum is given by

$$hS(!_{k})i = \frac{K - \frac{!_{k}}{2}}{!_{k}^{2}} + \frac{K - 1 - \frac{!_{k}}{2}}{(2 - !_{k})^{2}} + \frac{1}{4\sin^{2} - \frac{!_{k}}{2}} + ; !_{k} 2 (0;); \qquad (9)$$

Here,

$$K_{GOE}() = \begin{cases} 2 & \log(2+1); & 1\\ 2 & \log\frac{2+1}{2}; > 1 \end{cases}$$
(10)

is the spectral form factor of GOE, whose analytical expression is well known [14]. The constant shift rejects that $_{n}$ is not a continuous two-point measure (de ned for every energy interval), but a discrete function (de ned only for each energy level). For GOE = 1=12, provided that the whole sequence of $_{n}$ values is included in the calculation of the power spectrum. In this case, we cannot evaluate $_{n}$ for all n, but only for some energy levels. This feature entails that the value of should be a ected by the number M of levels whose energy is known. When ! $_{k}$, eq. (9) reduces to

hS
$$(!_k)i = \frac{1}{!_k}; !_k;$$
 (11)

showing that for small frequencies the excitation energy uctuations exhibit 1=f noise in GOE-like systems.




FIG.2: A veraged power spectra of the $_n$ function for the Sinaim icrow ave billiards (led circles) compared with the theoretical prediction (solid line) as given by eq. (9). The dashed straight line is the 1=f noise predicted by the approximated expression (11). Vertical arrows indicate the frequencies of the two shortest periodic orbits of the billiard.

In the forthcom ing analysis we have chosen N = 85 since it is large enough to obtain signi cant results, and it is possible to nd measured levels at positions pa and pb fulling pb $p_a = 85$ in alm ost every sequence of levels; in fact there are 97 sequences satisfying this condition. Using a log-log scale, Fig. 2 compares the average power spectrum of n and the theoretical predictions of eq. (9) with 1=38. This value was obtained by means of a least-squares t to the num erical data. In spite of the experim ental limitations, the agreem ent between the num erical and experim ental results is very good. Moreover, it is clearly seen that the spectral uctuations of these systems show a 1=f power law. The signi cant power dam ping observed at low er frequencies can be understood by a sim ple analysis of the contribution of the bouncing ball orbits to the power spectrum. If we consider < 1 > = 470 mm and < b > =200 mm as the average length of the straight sides of the billiards, the frequencies corresponding to these orbits are ! = 0:09 and ! = 0:21. These values de ne approximately the interval where the power is signi cantly diminished; therefore, we can conclude that this phenom enon is due to the rem oval of the contribution of bouncing ball orbits to the level density. All this is consistent with the idea that long period classical orbits give rise to an universal behavior for integrable and ergodic system s regardless of the system speci cities [18]. Only when the main contribution comes from the scarce short periodic orbits, deviations from the universal behavior m ight be observed.

In sum mary, we have studied the spectral uctuations of quantum Sinai billiards modeled experimentally. We have considered the $_n$ statistic, that characterizes the uctuations of the excitation energy, as a discrete time series. Using about one hundred di erent lengths of the straight sides of the billiard, the average power spectrum of $_n$ was calculated. Recall that performing an average is very important to reduce the uctuations of these systems exhibit a trend of the $_n$ function. The main result of this analysis is that the spectral uctuations of these systems exhibit a clear 1=f noise through alm ost the whole frequency domain. We have also compared the theoretical predictions of eq. (9) for GOE-like systems with these results, nding an excellent agreement except in the low er frequency region. Nevertheless, a simple study reveals that these deviations from the universal behavior predicted by RM T are due to the rem oval of the bouncing ball orbits during the unfolding procedure; therefore there is no contribution to the power spectrum in the low frequency dom ain. Last but not least, we can say that $_n$ statistic is not a ected by the experimental limitations, therefore we can conclude that it is a quite strong statistic.

Prior to this work, we have found 1=f noise in energy spectrum uctuations for random matrix ensembles and atom ic nuclei; these results allowed us to conjecture that 1=f characterize spectral uctuations of chaotic quantum systems. The new results obtained in this Letter corroborate this conjecture and give us a hint on the non-universal features that can appear in the power spectrum at lower frequencies due to the short periodic orbits.

This work is supported in part by Spanish G overnm ent grants BFM 2003-04147-C 02 and FTN 2003-08337-C 04-04. The experim ents have been supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft via several grants.

- [1] O.Bohigas, M.J.Giannoni and C.Schm it, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1 (1984).
- [2] T.Guhr, A.Muller-Groeling and H.A.Weidenmuller, Phys. Rep. 299, 189 (1998).
- [3] H.J. Stockmann, Quantum Chaos, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [4] A.Relario, J.M.G.Gomez, R.A.Molina, J.Retamosa, and E.Faleiro, Phys. Rev. Lett 89, 244102 (2002).
- [5] E.Faleiro, J.M.G.Gomez, L.Muroz, R.A.Molina, A.Relaro, and J.Retamosa, Phys. Rev. Lett 93, 244101 (2004).
- [6] R.U.Haq, A.Pandey, O.Bohigas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1086 (1982).
- [7] Phys. Rev. C 69, 057302 (2004); and references therein.
- [8] M.V.Berry, Proc.R.Soc.Lond.A 400, 229 (1985).
- [9] H.D.Grafet al, Phys. Rev. Lett 69, 1296 (1992).
- [10] M.Barth, U.Kuhl, and H.J.Stockmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2026 (1999).
- [11] M.Barth, U.Kuhl, and H.J.Stockmann, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 8,733 (1999).
- [12] M.C.Gutzwiler, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics, Springer-Verlag, New York (1990).
- [13] R.Balian and C.Bloch, Ann. Phys. 60, 441 (1970).
- [14] M.L.Mehta, Random Matrices, (A cadem ic Press, 1991)
- [15] O.Bohigas, P.Leboeuf and M.J.Sanchez, Physica D 131, 186 (1999).
- [16] The analogy of the dimensionless n index of $_{n}$ and a time leads to a quite confusing term inology, because there is also a physical time (the period of each classical periodic orbit) which plays a fundamental role in sem iclassical theory. If we do not state explicitly the opposite, we shall use the word frequency to refer to the conjugate variable in the $_{n}$ Fourier transform.
- [17] J.D. Scargle, Ap. J. Suppl. 451 (1981), Ap. J. 263, 835 (1982) and Ap. J. 343, 874 (1989).
- [18] J.H.Hannay and A.M.Ozorio de Almeida, J.Phys. A 17, 3429 (1984).