

Leggett-Rice Systems in Harmonic Traps

R. Ragan^a and J. Baggett^b

University of Wisconsin at La Crosse, La Crosse WI 54601 USA

^aDepartment of Physics

^bDepartment of Mathematics

We present two results concerning the spin (or pseudo spin) dynamics of trapped quantum gases in the hydrodynamic regime described by the Leggett equations. First, we apply perturbation theory to extend the “bounded diffusion” description to trapped systems for small field inhomogeneities. Second, we study the formation of long-lived domains with a numerical stability analysis of the lowest-lying longitudinal diffusive modes. We also use computer simulations of $\pi/2$ -pulse experiments to determine the range of experimental parameters where the formation of domains can be observed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent experiments at JILA¹ and subsequent analyses² have demonstrated the identical spin rotation effect (ISRE) in the pseudo spin dynamics of trapped ultra-cold atoms with two internal states. The ISRE in spin-polarized quantum gases³ and analogous mean-field effects in liquid helium⁴ give rise to interesting behavior such as spin waves, instability against transverse fluctuations, and spontaneous formation of magnetic domains. Fuchs *et al.*⁵ have shown that simulations of the appropriate kinetic equations with JILA parameters reproduce the observed pseudo-spin wave oscillations. Kuklov and Meyerovich⁶ have noted that Castaing instabilities⁷ and domain wall solutions should be present in trapped gases as well. Recently, Fuchs *et al.*⁸ have investigated these instabilities by performing simulations of the kinetic equations with large initial longitudinal polarization gradients. Although the Castaing instability is washed out for low collision frequencies, they found that the stability should be observable in trapped gases in, or near, the hydrodynamic regime.

In this paper, we restrict our attention to the hydrodynamic regime

R. Ragan and J. Baggett

(collision time $\tau \rightarrow 0$) where the equations of motion originally derived by Leggett and Rice⁹ (LR) for spin-polarized systems apply, and where the non-linear dynamics are most evident. In the first part, we analyze the behavior of trapped systems with small linear and quadratic field inhomogeneities, although the results are readily generalized for arbitrary field inhomogeneities (and for finite τ , for that matter). In the second part, we carry out a numerical stability analysis of the longitudinal diffusion modes, and use computer simulations to study the formation of domain walls in JILA-type $\pi/2$ -pulse experiments.

For cigar-shaped traps situated along the z -axis the LR equations of motion reduce, in the Larmor frame of the center of the trap, to

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = G_k z^k \hat{z} \times \vec{M} - \frac{\partial \vec{J}_z}{\partial z} \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{J} = - \left(D \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} + \Omega z M \right) \hat{e} - D \frac{M}{1 + \mu^2 M^2} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{e}}{\partial z} + \mu M \hat{e} \times \frac{\partial \hat{e}}{\partial z} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{M} = M \hat{e}$, \vec{J} is the current density, G_k is the linear ($k = 1$) or quadratic ($k = 2$) strength of the field inhomogeneity, D is the spin diffusion coefficient, μM is the spin-rotation parameter, and $\Omega = \omega_z^2 \tau$, where ω_z is the axial trap frequency. We do not take any polarization or density dependence of the transport coefficients into account.

These equations can be put into dimensionless form by writing $\Omega t \rightarrow t$, $z \sqrt{\Omega/D} \rightarrow z$, $\vec{m} \equiv \vec{M}/M_0$, $\vec{j} \equiv \vec{J}/(M_0 \sqrt{D\Omega})$, $\alpha \equiv \mu M_0$, $g_1 \equiv G_1 \sqrt{D/\Omega^3}$, and $g_2 \equiv DG_2/\Omega^2$, where M_0 is the equilibrium magnetization at the center of the trap. In spherical coordinates, we have

$$\dot{\vec{m}} = \dot{m} \hat{e} + m \dot{\theta} \hat{\theta} + m \sin \theta \dot{\phi} \hat{\phi} = g_k z^k m \sin \theta \hat{\phi} - \vec{j}' \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{j}' = - (m' + zm) \hat{e} - \frac{m}{1 + \alpha^2 m^2} \left[(\theta' - \alpha m \sin \theta \phi') \hat{\theta} + (\sin \theta \phi' + \alpha m \theta') \hat{\phi} \right] \quad (4)$$

where dots (primes) denote temporal (spatial) partial derivatives. After a $\pi/2$ pulse the initial conditions are $m = \exp(-z^2/2)$, $\theta = \pi/2$, and $\phi = 0$.

2. SMALL FIELDS ($\alpha g_k \ll 1$)

For small values of the parameter αg_k the system is in the bounded diffusion regime¹⁰ and the external field can be treated as a perturbation of the tipped equilibrium magnetization. In this case the field inhomogeneity gives rise to (1) transients after the $\pi/2$ -pulse that oscillate at the zero-field spin-wave frequencies and (2) a slow decay of the magnetization. The

Leggett-Rice Systems in Harmonic Traps




Fig. 1. (a-b) The real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalue spectrum of Eq.5. (c) $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ eigenfunctions $m\psi_n$ for $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, (d) Evolution of m_z at the center of the trap, using only the $n=2$ mode, for JILA parameters $n = 1.8 \times 10^{18} \text{cm}^{-3}$, $\nu_{diff} = 0.07 \text{Hz}$ ($\alpha = 4$, $g_2 = 0.25$). A bias Larmor frequency of $-2g_2$ (-1.5Hz) has been added to simulate a Gaussian field inhomogeneity.

linearized equation of motion is obtained by substituting $\theta = \pi/2 + \delta\theta(t)$, $\phi = \delta\phi(t)$ into Eqs.(3-4), giving

$$m\dot{\psi} = \lambda m\psi = \left(\frac{m\psi'}{1 - i\alpha m} \right)' + ig_k z^k m \rightarrow \frac{i\psi''}{\alpha} + ig_k z^k m, \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$

where we have introduced the complex phase $\psi = \delta\theta(t) + i\delta\phi(t)$. The spectrum of the zero-field modes, shown in Figs. 1a-b, is obtained by setting $g_k = 0$ and solving Eq.(5) with the boundary conditions $m\psi' \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $m = \exp(-z^2/2)$. For $\alpha = 0$ the solutions are diffusive modes of alternating parity with $\lambda_n = -n$. For larger values of α some modes of opposite parity coalesce into modes localized on the left or right of the trap. These modes decay rapidly, however, and are not excited by small fields. Only the first two modes with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \approx -1.71/\alpha^2 - 1.32i/\alpha$ and $\lambda_2 \approx -7.65/\alpha^2 - 4.20i/\alpha$ are appreciably excited. The analysis is greatly simplified for large α in which case the RHS of Eq.(5) is Sturm-Liouville op-

R. Ragan and J. Baggett

erator with kernel m . To analyze the transients after a $\pi/2$ pulse, we write $\psi = \sum c_j(t)\psi_j$ and dot Eq.(5) with ψ_n , giving

$$\dot{c}_n = i\omega_n c_n + ig_k b_{kn} \quad (6)$$

where $b_{kn} = \int z^k m \psi_n dz$. This has the solution

$$c_n(t) = \alpha g_k d_{kn} (\exp i\omega_n t - 1) \quad (7)$$

where $d_{kn} = b_{kn}/(\alpha\omega_n)$. This solution is still valid for small damping with the replacement $i\omega_n \rightarrow \lambda_n$. After the transients the long-lived mode can be found from $\psi = \alpha g_k \sum_n d_{kn} \psi_n$. Alternatively, we set $j_e = 0$ and $j_\theta = 0$ in Eq.(4). This gives

$$\theta' = \alpha m \sin \theta \phi' = \alpha m \phi' \quad (8)$$

Substituting this into Eqs.(3-4) gives equations of motion for the long-lived mode that are identical to the $\alpha = 0$ case. These can be solved exactly,¹¹ and for small g_k give $\delta\phi = \omega_{k0}t + g_k z^k$, where $\omega_{10} = 0$ and $\omega_{20} = g_2$, with m decaying at a rate $\lambda_0 = g_k^2$.

The m_z profile is found by integrating Eq.(8) and using $\int m_z dz = 0$. For linear and quadratic fields we get, respectively,

$$m_z = \alpha g_1 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) \quad (9)$$

$$m_z = \alpha g_2 \left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) \quad (10)$$

which are essentially identical to the $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ zero-field modes (see Fig. 1c.). We note that for small g_k and large α the largest corrections to the hydrodynamic results are $O(\omega_n \tau \propto \Omega \tau \alpha)$. Fig. 1d shows the evolution m_z at the center of the trap, which is qualitatively similar to the evolution for larger fields (compare to Fig. 1 in Ref. 5 where $\alpha g_2 = 4.8$).

3. LARGE FIELDS ($\alpha g_k \gg 1$)

For larger values of αg_k the modes are strongly coupled and higher-orders are evident in the transients. Even so, a linear analysis (which we do not provide here) involving the energy of the undamped modes correctly predicts $n_{max} \approx \sqrt{\alpha g_k}$ for the number of orders observed in simulations (see Fig. 2a). Also, for large αg_k we can treat the trap as a perturbation for short times, giving $t \sim (\alpha/g_k)^{1/2}$ as the time for the boundary conditions to propagate to the center of the trap (i.e. $|z| \ll 1$). Before this time the

Leggett-Rice Systems in Harmonic Traps




Fig. 2. (a) Evolution of $m_z(z, t)$ for $\alpha = 15$ and $g_1 = 5$ showing the higher-order transients and the formation of a domain wall. (b) $g_k - \alpha$ stability diagram. The small symbols show the results of the numerical stability analysis of the $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ longitudinal modes. The larger symbols indicate whether a domain wall forms (black), or not (white), (gray=marginal), in simulations of $\pi/2$ -pulse experiments.

magnetization at the center of the trap is effectively unbounded, and for large α evolves according to $\phi = g_1 z t$, $\theta = \pi/2$ ($k = 1$); or $\phi = g_2 z^2 t$, $\theta = \pi/2 + 4g_2^2 t^2 / \alpha$ ($k = 2$).

For large values of αg_k a $\pi/2$ pulse can result in the formation of a long-lived domains. These structures, which have been extensively studied in liquid helium,^{12,13} are formed as the z -component of the spin current j_z drives the dipole ($k=1$) or quadrupole ($k=2$) longitudinal modes. As the transients decay, the transverse magnetization ($m^+ \equiv m_x + im_y$) becomes confined near the zeroes of m_z . Normally, the field gradient dephases m^+ rapidly and m_z decays via ordinary diffusion. However, if α is large enough, the longitudinal spin current is unstable, and m^+ develops into coherent domain walls, dividing m_z into two ($k=1$) or three ($k=2$) domains that decay via transverse diffusion across the domain walls. For large αg_k the domain wall solution is given by¹² $\theta'' = \alpha(\omega_k - g_k z^k) \sin \theta$, where $\omega_1 = 0$ and $\omega_2 = g_2 z_0^2$, and z_0 is a zero of the z -magnetization given by $\text{erf}(z_0/\sqrt{2}) = 1/2$. This description is valid so long as the width of the domain wall $\approx (\alpha g_k)^{-1/3} \ll 1$.

For large gradients the walls are destroyed for $g_k > \alpha^3$ as found in Ref. 14 for uniform j_z . To find the stability threshold for smaller fields, we performed a numerical stability analysis of the $n = 1$ ($m_z = z m$) and $n = 2$ ($m_z = (1 - z^2)m$) longitudinal modes against transverse perturba-

R. Ragan and J. Baggett

tions. There is a complication that the longitudinal modes decay at rates $\lambda_n = -n$. For a given g_k , we defined the threshold of stability of the n th mode as the value of α where the perturbations grow at the rate $+n$. The results are summarized in Fig. 2b. Determining the minimum α for the formation of domain wall after a $\pi/2$ pulse is difficult because the magnetization decays in a rather complicated manner before the longitudinal modes are established. Thus, we carried out simulations of $\pi/2$ pulse experiments for various values of α and g_k . The presence of a domain wall is signaled by its slow decay rate¹² $\lambda \approx \alpha^{-2} \int m^{-1}(\theta')^2 dz \approx 2.5g^{1/3}/\alpha^{5/3}$ that is much slower than the longitudinal diffusion rate. The results, which are summarized in Fig. 2b, indicate that domains will not be observable in quadratic (or Gaussian) fields for $\alpha < 20$. However, for linear fields (perhaps in optical traps) domains should be observable for $\alpha \sim 10$.

Recently, domains have been observed¹⁵ in normal trapped ^{87}Rb , but since the lifetime of the domains was comparable to their formation time, the experiment corresponds to the ($n = 2$) marginal category in Fig. 2b.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by NSF Grant DMR-0209606.

REFERENCES

1. H.J. Lewandowski, D.M. Harber, D. L. Whitaker and E.A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 07403 (2002).
2. M.Ö. Oktel and L. Levitov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 230403 (2002); J. Williams, T. Nikuni and C.W. Clark, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 230405 (2002).
3. C. Lhuillier and F. Laloë, *J. Physique* **43**, 197, 225 (1982).
4. A.J. Leggett, *J. Phys. C* **3**, 448 (1970).
5. J.N. Fuchs, D. Gangardt and F. Laloë, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 230404 (2002).
6. A. Kuklov and A.E. Meyerovich, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 023607 (2002).
7. B. Castaing, *Physica* **126B**, 212 (1984).
8. J.N. Fuchs, O. Prévoté, and D.M. Gangardt, cond-mat/0209506.
9. A.J. Leggett and M. Rice *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20**, 586 (1968).
10. R.J. Ragan, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **98**, 489 (1995).
11. P. Le Doussal and P.N. Sen, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 3465 (1992).
12. I.A. Fomin, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **101**, 749 (1995).
13. V.V. Dmitiev, V.V. Moroz, and S.R. Zakazov, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **101**, 141 (1995).
14. R.J. Ragan and D.M. Schwarz, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **109**, 766 (1997).
15. J. M. McGuirk, D. M. Harber, H. J. Lewandowski, and E. A. Cornell *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 150402 (2003).