Entangled networks, synchronization and optim al network topology

Luca Donetti,¹ Pablo I. Hurtado,² and M iguel A. M unoz¹

¹ Departamento de Electromagnetismo y Fisica de la Materia and Instituto Carlos I de Fisica Teorica y Computacional

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

²Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

A new family of graphs, entangled networks, with optim alproperties in m any respects, is introduced. By de nition, their topology is such that optim izes synchronizability for m any dynam ical processes. These networks are shown to have an extrem ely hom ogeneous structure: degree, nodedistance, betweenness, and loop distributions are all very narrow. A lso, they are characterized by a very interwoven (entangled) structure with short average distances, large loops, and no well-de ned com m unity-structure. This family of nets exhibits an excellent perform ance with respect to other ow properties such as robustness against errors and attacks, m inim al rst-passage tim e of random walks, e cient com m unication, etc. These rem arkable features convert entangled networks in a useful concept, optim al or alm ost-optim al in m any senses, and with plenty of potential applications com puter science or neuroscience.

PACS num bers: 89.75 H c,05.45 X t,87.18 Sn

The ubiquitous presence of networks in Nature and social sciences is one of the main ndings in the study of complex systems. The topology of such networks has been profusely studied [1] and som e basic architectures have been discovered. The scale-free one, characterized by a power-law connectivity-distribution, is probably the most widely studied and celebrated, while other exam ples are sm all-world, hierarchical, A pollonian, static networks, etc [1]. Right after the st topological studies, the interest has shifted to the analysis of functional or dynam ical aspects of processes occurring on networks, the evolution of the network topology, and the interplay between these last two dynam ical features. Indeed, this \network perspective" has become a new paradigmatic way to look at complex systems. One particular issue that has attracted much interest because of its conceptual relevance and practical in plications is the study of the synchronizability of individual dynam ical processes occurring at the vertices of a given network. How does synchronizability depend upon network topology? This problem is much more general than it seems at rst sight, as it is directly related to the question of how di cult it is to transm it inform ation across the net or how di cult is for the sites to \talk" to each other. For example, a recently addressed in portant task is to determ ine the most e cient topology for com munication networks both with and without tra c congestion [2]. O ther problem s as the minimization of rst-passage times of random walkers on networks, the optim altopology in social networks to reach consensus, or the perform ance optim ization of Hop eld neural-networks [3, 4] are also sim ilar in essence. Hence, the issue of synchronizability is linked to many speci c problem s in di erent disciplines as com puter science, biology, sociology, etc. [2, 5]. Som e aspects of these problem s have been already tackled; a key contribution is due to Barahona and Pecora (BP) [5] who established

a criterion based on spectral techniques to determ ine the stability of synchronized states on networks.

The criterion is as follows. Consider a dynamical process $\underline{x}_i = F(x_i) \int_{j} L_{ij}H(x_j)$, where x_i with i2 1;2;:::;N are dynamical variables, F and H are evolution and coupling functions respectively, is a constant, and L_{ij} is the Laplacian matrix, de ned by $L_{ii} = k_i$ (the connectivity degree of node i), $L_{ij} = 1$ if nodes i and j are connected, and $L_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. A standard linear stability analysis can be performed by i) expanding around a synchronized state $x_1 = x_2 = :::= x_N = x^s$ with x^s solution of $x^s = F(x^s)$, ii) diagonalizing L to

nd its N eigenvalues 0 = 1 < 2::: _N , and iii) writing equations for the norm alm odes yi of per-_iH⁰(x^s)]y_i which have all turbations $y_i = \mathbb{F}^0(x^s)$ the same form but di erent e ective coupling = i. BP observed that the maximum Lyapunov exponent is in general negative only within a bounded interval [A; B], and a decreasing (increasing) function below (above) (see g. 1 in [5]). Requiring alle ective couplings to lie within such an interval, $_{\rm A}$ < 2 ::: N < в, it is straightforward to conclude that a synchronized state is linearly stable on a network if and only if $\frac{N}{N} < \frac{B}{N}$: Notice that the left hand side depends only on the network topology while the right hand side depends exclusively on the dynamics (through F and G, and x^s). M oreover, the interval in which the synchronized state is stable is larger for smaller eigenvatios N = 2, whence one concludes that a network exhibits better synchronizability if the ratio Q = N = 2 is as small as possible, independently of the dynam ics.

This letter is devoted (i) to build-up networks with a xed number of nodes N and average connectivity hki, exhibiting a degree of synchronizability as high as possible (i.e. m inim izing Q), (ii) to explore the topological features converting them into highly synchronizable, and

(iii) to highlight their connection to networks optim izing other ow or connectivity properties relevant in neurocom puting, com puter science, or graph theory.

First, we overview how Q behaves in som e well-known topologies. For networks with the small-world property [1] Q is smaller than for determ inistic graphs or purely random networks [5]. This was attributed to the existence of short characteristic paths between sites. How - ever, N ishikawa et al. in a study of other small-world networks concluded that Q decreases as som e heterogeneity m easures decrease, even if the average distance increases [6]. A lso, H ong et al. concluded that Q decreases whenever the betweenness heterogeneity decreases [7]. In order to extend and system atize these results and construct optim al synchronizable networks, and in the absence of a better strategy, we de ne a num erical algorithm able to m inim ize Q and search for such optim al nets.

Our optimization algorithm is a modi ed simulated annealing initialized with a random network with N nodes and an average connectivity-degree hki. At each step the number of rewiring trials is random ly extracted from an exponential distribution. Attempted rew irings are (i) rejected if the updated network is disconnected, and otherwise (ii) accepted if $Q = Q_{final}$ $Q_{initial} < 0$, or (iii) accepted with probability [8] $p = m in 1; [1 (1 q) Q = T]^{1 = (1 q)}$ (where T is a tem perature-like param eter) if Q 0. In the $q \leq 1$ lim it the usual M etropolis algorithm is recovered, while we choose q = 3 as it gives the fastest convergence (though results do not depend on this, as already veri ed in [8]). The rst N rewirings are performed at T = 1 , and they are used to calculate a new T such that the largest Q among the rst N ones would be accepted with large probability; in particular, we take $(Q_n)_{ax}$. T is kept xed for 100N T = (1)q) rew iring trials or 10N accepted ones, whichever occurs rst. Then, T is decreased by 10% and the process iterated until there is no change during 5 successive tem perature steps, assuming that a (relative) minimum of Q has been found. M ost of these details can be changed without a ecting signi catively the nal results, while the main drawback of the algorithm is that the calculation

of eigenvalues is slow. The network found by di erent runs of the algorithm is unique (in most of the cases) as long as N is small enough (N . 30), while they are slightly di erent if N is larger (N = 2000 is the larger size we optim ized). This indicates that the eigenvalue-ratio absolute m inim um is not always found, and that the evolving network can rem ain trapped in som e \m etastable" state. N evertheless, the nalvalues of Q are very sim ilar from run to run as shown in g.1. This fact m akes us con dent that a reasonably good and robust approxim ation to the optim al topology is obtained in general, though, strictly speaking, we cannot guarantee that the optim al solution has been actually found. To gain som e insight into the topologi-




FIG.1: Eigenvalue ratio, Q as a function of the number of algorithm ic iterations. Starting from di erent initial conditions, with N = 50, and hki = 4, the algorithm converges to networks, as the depicted one, with very similar values of Q.




FIG. 2: Relation between the ratio Q and (i) nodeconnectivity standard deviation, (ii) betweenness standard deviation, (iii) average node-distance, and (iv) average betweenness. The subscript \norm " stands for norm alization with respect to the respective m ean-values, centering all the m easured quantities around 1.

caltraits favoring a sm allQ, we measure som e quantities during the evolution and plot them versus the changing eigenratio. It turns out (as shown in g.2) that there is a strong correlation between the tendency of Q to decrease and an increase in the hom ogeneity (low ering variances) of the degree, average-distance and betweenness distributions. In a nutshell, the more synchronizable the network the more hom ogeneous it is. A lso, the average distance and betweenness tend to dim inish with Q, though these quantities are much less sensitive that their corresponding standard deviations (g.2). The emerging narrow betweenness distribution is in sharp contrast with that of networks with a strong community structure [9]. Indeed, a well known method to detect communities consists in removing progressively links with the largest betweenness [9]. The m ethod leads to sound results whenever the betweenness is broadly distributed. Hence, well-de ned com m unities do not exist in the em erging optim al net.

Further inspection of these networks reveals another signi cant trait: the absence of short loops. This can be quanti ed by the girth (length of the shortest loop) or m ore accurately by the average length, h'i, of the shortest loop passing through each node. In general, the clustering coe cient vanishes, as loops are larger than triangles. Indeed, for sm all values of N and k, it is possible to identify the resulting optimized networks, as they have been studied in the m athem atical literature: some of them are cage graphs. Let us recall that a (k;g)-cage graph is a k-regular graph (i.e. with a delta-peaked connectivity distribution) of girth g having the m inimum possible number of nodes. For k = 3 and N = 10;14, and 24, respectively, the optim alnets found by the algorithm are cage-graphs with girth 5, 6, and 7 (called P etersen, H eaw ood and M cG ee graphs) respectively (see g.3 and [10]). For other values of N cage graphs do not exist but, in all cases, networks with very narrow shortest-loop distributions, with large m ean values, are the optim alones.

In general, we call the emerging structures entangled networks: all sites are very much alike (superhom ogeneity) and the links form a very intricate or interwoven structure (no community-structure, poormodularity, and large shortest-loops). Every single site is close to any other one (short average distance) ow ing not to the existence of intermediate highly connected hubs, as in scale-free nets [1], but as the result of a very \democratic" or entangled structure in which properties such as site-to-site distance, betweenness, and m inim um -loopsize are very hom ogeneously distributed (see gs. 1, 3).

W e have tried to use our (so far, partial) understanding of the entangled-topology to generate them more efciently. For example, the constraint of hom ogeneity in the degree distribution can easily be implemented by starting up the simulation with regular graphs (or alm ost regular graphs) and perform ing changes respecting such a property (by random ly selecting pairs of links and exchanging their endpoints). A much faster convergence to optimal nets is obtained in this way. O ther topological constraints are not so easy to implement. We have perform ed simulations using target functions di erent from Q in the optim ization algorithm. Functions as the average distance, average betweenness, or hom ogeneity measures (such as the distance variance or the betweenness variance), or h'i are not su cient: they need to be optimized simultaneously, in some proper way, to obtain reasonable outputs. W e have tried di erent com binations of these quantities. The best convergence and results are obtained for the following combination of the betweenness, b, the betweenness variance, b and h'i: $U = \frac{((b)^2 + hbi^2)}{b}$ h'i. The optimization of U is much faster than the minimization of the eigenratio as U is faster to compute than Q. For small networks the nal result is as good as the one of the original method but, unfortunately, when N increases results worsen, though the computational time is always relatively small. This failure means that a full topological understanding of (large) entangled networks has not been reached yet.

In order to put our ndings into context, we discuss some connections with known concepts in graph-theory. General considerations show that $_{\rm N}$ 2 [k;2k] for regular graphs [11, 12]. As the variability of $_{\rm N}$ is very limited, optimizing Q is almost equivalent in most cases to maximizing $_2$ (also called spectral gap), as we have veri ed num erically. It is also known that for any family of regular graphs, $G_{\rm m}$ (m is the family index), in which

the size N_p goes to in nity for large m the inequality k 2 k 1 holds asymptotically, providing an up-2 per bound for the spectral gap. Finally, it can be shown that for any family G_m in which the girth goes to in nity for large m , alm ost all eigenvalues are asym ptotically larger or equal to k 2 k 1, m eaning that the optim algap value is typically obtained whenever the girth diverges for large N [11, 12]. These results are in accordance with our observation of large girths and large h'i for entangled networks (even if they are not at the large-N limit). Another link with graph theory is provided by the concept of expanders. These are highly connected sparse graphs, with applications which include the design of super-e cient com m unication networks and derandom ization of random algorithm sam ong m any others [12, 13], and are de ned as follows [11, 12]. G iven a subset S of nodes in a graph G, its \edge boundary" is the set of links between nodes in S and nodes in its com plement. The \expansion parameter" h of a graph G is the m in im um ratio of the edge-boundary of a set and the set itself. A sequence of regular graphs G_m is a fam ily of expanders if its size N diverges for large m and h is alw ays larger than a given positive constant. This means that the boundary of any subset is always a non-vanishing fraction of the subset itself. Note that a large value of h corresponds to a very intricate (entangled) network, where it is not possible to isolate subsets with a small boundary (or, in other words, where communities are poorly de ned). A lso, the expansion property is strictly 2k 2, related to the spectral gap [12]: $\frac{2}{2}$ h(G) meaning that (fam ilies of) entangled networks are expanders. Ram anujan graphs [12] are de ned as k-regular $2^{\frac{1}{k}}$ k 1. Hence, these graphs of size N with 2 k graphs are optim al expanders [12]. A fam ily of entangled networks, will typically be a Ram anujan one (as 2 tends to be maxim ized) and, therefore, a (close to optim al) fam ily of expanders. The explicit construction of expanders and Ram anujan graphs is a currently active eld in graph-theory [12, 13], and it could serve as a

starting point for explicit entangled-network design.

Som e properties of entangled networks as related to other optim ization or ow problem s follow:

i) In a recent paper [14], the optim ization of network robustness against random and/or intentional rem oval of nodes has been studied. It was concluded that for generalized random graphs in the lim it N ! 1 the most robust topology (maxim izing the percolation threshold) is characterized by a degree distribution with no more than 3 distinct node connectivities; i.e. with a rather hom ogeneous degree-distribution. To study the possible connection with our super-hom ogeneous entangled networks, let us recall that the initial topology we have considered (i.e. k-regular graphs) is already the optimization for robustness-optimization against errors and attacks in random networks [14]. A natural question to ask is whether further Q-optimization has some e ect




FIG. 3: Cage graphs for k = 3 and (a) g = 5 (Petersen) and (b) g = 7 (M cG ee). Right panel: Percolation threshold (m ain) and average rst-passage time (inset) as a function of the eigenratio Q, as obtained during the optimization of a network with 500 nodes and hki = 3. The initial network corresponds to a 3-regular graph with N = 500.

on the network robustness. The answer is yes, as shown in g. 3 where the percolation threshold for random or intentional attacks (which coincide for regular graphs), f_c , is plotted versus Q for a particular Q-optim ization run. This further in provem ent of the robustness is possible because entangled networks include correlations, absent in random graphs [14]. This tendency is maintained for increasing N, con m ing that entangled networks are also extrem ely e cient from the robustness point of view (this rem ainstrue for reliability against link rem oval [15]).

ii) The problem of optim al topologies for local search with congestion has been tackled recently [2], with the conclusion that when the density of inform ation-packets traveling through a network is above a given threshold, the optim altopology is a highly hom ogeneous one, where all the nodes have essentially the same degree, betweenness, etc [2]. Again, we encounter super-hom ogeneity, revealing that entangled networks are also optim al for packet ow and local searches with congestion.

iii) A typicalm easure of the network perform ance for ow properties is the average rst-passage time, , of random walks. It is de ned as the average time it takes for a random walker to arrive for the rst time to a given node from another one. For a k-regular graph, can be expressed in terms of the Laplacian eigenvalues as /

 n^{1} , where the sum runs over all non-zero eigenvalues [16]. The largest contribution comes from 1 = 2, therefore minimizing Q guarantees a small (see inset in g. 3), providing more evidence that entangled nets exhibit a very good perform ance for ow problem s.

iv) Recently K in concluded that neural networks with lower clustering coe cient exhibit much better performance than others [4]. Entangled nets have a very low clustering coe cient as only large loops exist and, therefore, they are natural and excellent candidates to have a good perform ance and large capacity.

All these features suggest that entangled networks, de-

ned here as networks which optim ize synchronizability, are also extrem ely good with respect to many highly de-

sirable properties in networks. This allows us to state the following conjecture: Given N and an average num ber of links per site k, there exists a network topology (entangled nets) with m any optim al (or alm ost optim al) features, characterized by hom ogeneous degree, betweenness, and distance distributions, large girths, large average shortest bops, no community-structure, and small diam eters. A more precise topological characterization of entangled graphs, as well as the de nition of an algorithm ic procedure to build them up (sim ilar to those existing for expanders and R am anujan graphs), rem ain open and challenging problem swith a huge am ount of potential applications for com m unication and technological networks. It seems that these networks do not abound in the realworld; this could be due to the fact that optim al topologies are not easily reachable within growing network processes. Identifying examples of these nets and constructing evolution-reachable optim al networks are fundam ental tasks to further gauge the relevance of this topology in Nature.

W e acknow ledge useful discussions with D.Cassi, and P.L.Krapivsky, as well as nancial support from the spanish MCyT (FEDER) under project BFM 2001-2841, the MECD, and the EU COSIN-project-IST 2001-33555.

- S.H. Strogatz, Nature 410, 268 (2001). A.L. Barabasi, Rev.M od.Phys. 74, 47 (2002). M.E.J. Newman, SIAM Review 45, 167 (2003).
- [2] R.Guimera et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 248701 (2002).
- [3] J.J.Torres et al. N eurocom puting, 58-60, 229 (2004).
- [4] B.J.K im, Phys.Rev.E 69, 045101(R) (2004).
- [5] M. Barahona and L. M. Pecora, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 054101 (2002). L. M. Pecora and T. L. Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2109 (1998).
- [6] T.Nishikawa et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 014101 (2003).
- [7] H.Hong et al. Phys. Rev. E. 69, 067105 (2004).
- [8] T.J.P.Penna, Phys.Rev.E 51, R1 (1995).
- [9] M.G irvan, M.E.J.Newman, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 99, 7821-7826 (2002).
- [10] See http://m athworld.wolfram .com /C ageG raph.htm 1
- [11] B. Bollobas, Extrem al Graph Theory A cadem ic Press, New York. 1978. W . Tutte, Graph Theory As I Have Known It, Oxford U. Press, New York, (1998).
- [12] P. Samak, Notices Amer. M ath. Soc. 51, 762 (2004).G. Davido, P. Samak and A. Valette, E lementary Number Theory, G roup Theory and Ramanujan G raphs, London M ath. Soc. Students Texts, 55, C am bridge (2003).
- [13] O. Reingold, S. Vadhan, and A. W igderson, Ann. of M ath. 155, 157 (2002).
- [14] A X C N .Valente, A .Sarkar, and H A .Stone, Phys.Rev. Lett. 92, 118702 (2004).
- [15] W . M yrvold, P roceedings of the 8th Int. Conf. on G raph T heory, C om binatorics, A lgorithm s, and A pplications, Vol. II, pag. 650 (1998).
- [16] L.Lovasz, in C om binatorics, P aul E rdos is E ighty (V 2), K eszthely, H ungary (1993).