# C oupling betw een static friction force and torque for a tripod 
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If a body is resting on a at surface, the $m$ axim al static friction force before $m$ otion sets in is reduced if an extemal torque is also applied. T he coupling betw een the static friction force and static friction torque is nontrivial as our studies for a tripod lying on horizontal at surface show. In this article we report on a series of experim ents we perform ed on a tripod and com pare these w ith analytical and num erical solutions. It tums out that the coupling betw een force and torque reveals in form ation about the $m$ icroscopic properties at the onset to sliding.

PACS num bers: $46.55 .+\mathrm{d}, 81.40 . \mathrm{Pq}, 45.70 . \mathrm{n}, 81.05 \mathrm{Rm}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

E ven though m ost of the everyday facts about dry m acroscopic friction have been know $n$ since the w orks of Leonardo da V inci, Am ontons, C oulom b and Euler $[11]$, the physics of static friction, especially at the onset to sliding, is not yet fully understood. T his applies in particular to bodies undergoing a sim ultaneous translationaland rotationalm otion which, on account of friction, exhibit nontrivialdynam ics [1N if the contact is also spinning w ith relative angular velocity $!_{n}\{$ a phenom enon which plays an im portant role in various gam es such as curling or ige hockey $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right.$ ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
"=\frac{V_{t}}{!_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{R}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ denotes the radius of the disk and $v_{t}$ is the tangential relative velocity at the center of the contact area. $B$ ased on the C oulom b friction law one obtains a sliding friction force

$$
\begin{equation*}
F j={ }_{d} N F(") \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a friction torque

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 仃 } j={ }_{d} N R T(") ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the dynam ic friction coe cient and $N$ is the integrated norm al force acting on the contact area. A part from the lim it of pure sliding "! 1 , where F ! 1, the functions F (") and $T$ (") depend on the pressure distribution across the contact area. A ssum ing uniform pressure over the area of the disk these functions have been evaluated analytically and com pared w ith experim ents $\sqrt{3}]$. A $n$ interesting phenom enon occurs in the case of sliding cylinders: due to an asym $m$ etry in the pressure exerted on the track, which arises from the torque which tends to tip the cylinder, an analogon to the $M$ agnus e ect appears, causing the cylinder to describe curved tra jectories $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1}$

A nother class of system swhich has been studied extensively in a series ofpapers by Shegelskiand cow orkers is that ofsliding tripods w ith sym m etrically placed legs ( $2=3$ radians apart from each other, see $F$ ig. $\overline{1} 1$ given in itialconditions, tra jectories w hich are senpentine\{ like (albeit w ith low lateralde ection), to curved tra jectories w ith very large lateral de ections (curling). T he translationalkinetic energy may increase and decrease during a full rotation (the overall kinetic energy decreases with tim e). In this situation one still has a param eter analogous to " as in Eq. (11) but now there is a nontrivial dependence on which is the angle the leg m akes w ith the horizontalx 1 axis of som e xed laboratory coordinate system.

T he dynam ics of rotating and sliding bodies under the action of friction forces is by now fairly well understood. N ot so m uch can be said about the statics of bodies sub ject to a torque and a force. H ere one im portant question is the $m$ inim al force and torque necessary to set the body into $m$ otion and how these are coupled. T hat there $m$ ust


F IG . 1: The tripod experim ent: bolts are evenly arranged around the perim eter and m ly attached to the disk surface. In the absence of extemal forces the load is equally distributed am ong the bolts.
be som e coupling betw een them one know s from daily experience: if a heavy ob ject is to be m oved across the oor, it is easier to do so if one applies a torque while pushing it. Som e previous analytical studies on disks show ed that this is indeed the case but, contrary to the dynam ical case, the static situation depends strongly on the $m$ odel one uses for $m$ icroscopic displacem ent: due to the inhom ogeneity of the local displacem ent at each $m$ icrocontact, som $e$ of them are subject to greater lateral stresses than others. O ne $m$ ay then picture two scenarios. In the rst one, a threshold is reached rst at those $m$ icrocontacts where the local stress is maxim al. These then break irreversibly and the released stress is distributed am ong the rem aining $m$ icrocontacts. A s som e of these cannot sustain this increase in stress they also break, triggering an avalanche\{like process where eventually all contacts detach and the disk starts to $m$ ove. In the other scenario the broken $m$ icrocontacts $m$ ay im $m$ ediately rearrange and form new contacts, redistributing the released stress over the rem aining and the new ly form ed contact points. $T$ his $m$ icroscopic stick \{slip creeping continues until all contact points self-organize in such a way that they sustain on average the sam e stress. $T$ herefore, by increasing the extemal force or torque, all m icrocontacts of a perfectly rigid slider reach the threshold of detachm ent sim ultaneously.

R ecent experim entalstudies by $R$ ubinstein and cow orkers who used photoarrays to $m$ on itor the dynam ics of contact points at the onset of sliding seem to favor the rst scenario $\left.{ }_{[1}^{9}\right]$. In their experim ents a pexiglass slab was pushed in a straigh line over a $m$ acroscopically at surface. Initially they observed that the $m$ icrocontacts give way sequentially, propagating from the trailing edge \{ where the force w as applied \{ to the front edge. The front starts propagating with about half the R ayleigh speed, accelerates and then splits up into a subsonic and an intersonic front. The tim e scale the contacts give w ay is extrem ely short. H ow ever, linear sliding has an intrinsic asym m etry since for an elastic body one expects the $m$ icrocontacts to break where the force is applied (as the above mentioned experim ents con m ) and $i t$ is not clear whether this asym $m$ etry is in the last instance responsible for the observed phenom enon.

In this paper we study the interplay of static forces and static torques for a tripod both theoretically and experi$m$ entally. In the next section we present experim ental estim ates on the theshold force and torque needed to set the tripod into $m$ otion. This is followed by a theoretical section, where starting from the idea that all three feet reach the onset of sliding sim ultaneously, the threshold force and torque are calculated analytically. W e conclude our paper w ith a discussion of our results and som e perspectives. An appendix on an exactly solvable case is included at the end.

## II. EXPERIMENTS

In order to determ ine the critical line of the onset of sliding we perform ed a series of experim ents where a pulling force and a torque were applied sim ultaneously to a tripod standing on a horizontal surface.

E xperim ents were carried out using a circular disk made of steel with radius of 86 mm . The m ass was $1 ; 123 \mathrm{~g}$. $T$ he three feet, which were $m$ echanically polished, w ere evenly spaced around the perim eter and placed at a distance of $R=80 \mathrm{~mm}$ from the center of the disk, to which they were m ly attached. The tripod was provided w ith hooks,


FIG. 2: M easured values of torque and force for a tripod at the onset of sliding, com pared w ith the theoretical predictions (solid line; see text for details). P ositive values of $F$ correspond to the direction of the force as shown in $F$ ig. $\underline{I}_{1} 1$, negative ones to the opposite direction. $s$ is the static friction coe cient and $N$ the weight of the tripod.
which were placed at a height of $h=19 \mathrm{~mm}$ above the track (see Fig. was placed on a xed and $m$ acroscopically at horizontal surface. Force $m$ eters were then attached to the hooks.

O nce the tripod was on the surface a torque $T$ (as indicated in $F$ ig. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ by the force pair $K$ and $K$ ) was applied. $T$ he disk was slow ly pulled $w$ ith force $F$ until it started $m$ oving. The force $m$ eters were set to register the $m$ axim um applied pulling force $F_{c}$. For each xed value of the torque a set of readings for the $m$ axim al force $w a s m$ ade. The experim ents were repeated several tim es under sim ilar tem perature and hum idity conditions. W e note that for very sm all pulling forces (large applied torques), the procedure was inverted: we xed the force and varied the torque. $T$ his is necessary since around the region where slide sets the range of the torque values becom es extrem ely narrow and as it tumed out, it was extrem ely di cult to $x$ the torque $w$ ithout displacing the disk. Steel and plastic surfaces were used and results tumed out to be $m$ aterial $\{$ independent.

C ontrary to the case of a disk surface in direct contact with the track, in the tripod con guration the observed Force Torque relationship depends not only on the $m$ icroscopic scenario but also on the position of the hook points relative to the position of the bolts, i.e. on the point where the pulling force is applied. For the sake of conciseness we present in Fig. in $F$ ig. II'. N egative values of $F$ correspond to the case when the force $w$ as applied in the diam etrically opposed direction.

## III. THEORETICALPREDICTIONS

Let us consider a tripod ofm ass $M$ which rests on three sym $m$ etrically arranged legs, as sketched in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\overline{1} / \mathbf{1}}$. U sing a coordinate system w th origin at the center of the tripod, these legs are located at unit distance $R=1$ at

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=\left(\cos _{i} ; \sin i ; 0\right) ; \quad i=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=0 ; \quad 2=2=3 ; \quad 3=4=3: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $m$ ass distribution of the tripod is sym $m$ etric, i.e., in the absence of extemal forces all legs carry the sam e w eight.
A s show $n$ in $F$ ig ${ }_{r}^{111}$, the tripod is sub jected to extemal forces, nam ely, a linear force $F$ ext applied at the center of the disk and at height h above the track, as well as an extemal torque T ext in form of a pair of two oppositely oriented


F IG . 3: G eom etry for the calculation of the critical torque and force for a tripod (see text).
lateral foroes $K$ and $K . W$ e assum e the tripod to be perfectly rigid. B efore sliding sets in, the forces $w$ ill displace and rotate the tripod in nitesim ally, leading to individualm icroscopic displacem ents $\mathrm{d}_{1} ; \mathrm{d}_{2} ; \mathrm{d}_{3}$ of the contact points. $G$ enerally the displacem ent of the disk is a combination of a translation and a rotation $w$ ith respect to the origin which \{ according to a well-know $n$ theorem in classicalm echanics $\{m$ ay be view ed as a pure rotation by an angle ! around som e point

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(c_{x} ; c_{y} ; 0\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his point $m$ ay lie inside or outside the perim eter of the tripod (see Fig. $\overline{4}$ (1) and can be used to param etrize the possible displacem ents at the contact points

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=w \quad\left(r_{i} \quad c\right) \quad i=1::: 3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=(0 ; 0 ;!)$. N ote that in the case of a sliding disk studied in $\overline{13} \overline{1}]$, the diplacem ents are controlled by only
 to be replaced by a param eter $=\frac{j c j}{R}$. The tripod, how ever, is no longer rotationally sym $m$ etric and thus we have to introduce two param eters ( $C_{x} ; C_{y}$ ).

A s outlined in the Introduction, any theory ofstatic friction has to $m$ ake assum ptions about the e ectivem icroscopic $m$ echanism that com pensates the extemal foroes before sliding sets in. H ere we use the collective breaking scenario discussed previously [10]. In this scenario it is assum ed that each bolt establishes m icrocontacts w ith the track, whose num ber is proportionalto the norm al force. E ach of these $m$ icrocontacts can be thought of as an elastic spring. $T$ he $m$ icroscopic displacem ent $d_{i}$ deform $s$ these springs, generating a certain restoring force in the xy plane. For sm all displacem ents these restoring forces are assum ed to follow H ooke's law. H ow ever, when the restoring force at a spring reaches a certain threshold, the $m$ icrocontact breaks and im $m$ ediately reattaches to the surface, redistributing its stress am ong the other $m$ icrocontacts. This leads to a $m$ icroscopic stick \{slip creeping $m$ otion by which the stress is continuously redistributed am ong the $m$ icrocontacts until all of them sustain on average the sam e stress (even if their individual displacem ents $d_{i}$ are di erent). Sliding sets in as soon as this redistributed average stress exceeds the threshold sim ultaneously at all contact points. This critical state at the onset to sliding de nes a curve in the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{T}$ diagram. The shape of this curve is nontrivial and reveals inform ation about the $m$ icroscopic $m$ echanism at the transition from static to sliding friction.

To determ ine this critical curve let us introduce the norm al forces at the contact points

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\left(0 ; 0 ; \quad N_{i}\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the collective breaking scenario described above the displacem ents of the contact point at the onset of sliding induce a restoring force

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}={ }_{s} N_{i} e_{i} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 4: Possible position of the coordinates $c_{x} ; G_{y}$ (solid line) for di erent values of the critical pair $F$; $T$ under the condition $F_{y}=0$, i.e., for a horizontal force. T he dotted circle is the tripod's perim eter while the sm all circles represent the tripod's feet. $T$ he asym ptotes $y!1$ correspond to the case of a pure translation ( $T=0$ ), which can be interpreted as a rotation around a point at in nity. N ote that for a particular pair ( $F ; T$ ) the vector coincides $w$ ith the position of the upper foot of the tripod. $T$ his special situation accounts for the linear segm ent of the $F-T$ curve on the left\{hand side of $F$ ig. 2 l . The point where $c=0$ corresponds to the case of a pure torque ( $F=0$ ).
$w$ here $e_{i}=d_{i}=j d_{i} j$ are the unit vectors along the direction of displacem ent (in which ! cancels out) and $s$ is the static friction coe cient. T he resulting individual forces at the three legs

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=N_{i}+R_{i} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

give rise to a total restoring force and a restoring torque

At the threshold of static friction, i.e. im $m$ ediately before sliding sets in, these foroes have to balance the extemal forces and weight of the disk, leading to the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {rest }}+F_{\text {ext }} \quad M \mathrm{ge}_{\mathrm{z}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\text {rest }}+\mathrm{T}_{\text {ext }}+\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\text {ext }}=0 ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\text {ext }}=(0 ; 0 ;)$ is the torque applied at a height $h$ above the track and $F_{\text {ext }}=\left(F_{x} ; F_{y} ; 0\right)$ is the extemal force applied at the point $h=(0 ; 0 ; h)$. Eqs. (12) and (13른) form a system of six equations. Choosing a rotation center $\mathrm{c}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}} ; 0\right)$ these equations allow one to determ ine the six unknowns $\mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2} ; \mathrm{N}_{3} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and .

Three of the six equations, nam ely, the third com ponent of Eq. (1~근) as well as the rst and second com ponents of Eq. (13), are linear and can be solved in order to detem ine the nom al foroes. The result reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(M g+2 h F_{x}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& N_{2}=\frac{1}{3} M g+h\left(\bar{p} \overline{3} F_{y} \quad F_{x}\right)  \tag{15}\\
& N_{3}=\frac{1}{3} M g \operatorname{h}\left(\overline{3} F_{y}+F_{x}\right): \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

A s can be seen, the extemal force $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ext }}$ applied at nonzero height $\mathrm{h}>0$ leads to an additional torque and hence to di erent norm al forces at the three bolts. A s expected, for $h=0$ one obtains $N_{1}=N_{2}=N_{3}=M \quad g=3$.
 solved. Solving the rst tw o com ponents of (12) $\overline{\mathrm{W}}$ ith M athem atica one obtains a highly com plex non-linear expression for $F_{\text {ext }}$ and sim ilarly, by inserting this solution into the third com ponent of q . (13 ${ }^{-1}$ ), an expression for as a function of $c=\left(c_{x} ; c_{y} ; 0\right)$. Therefore, scanning all possible values of $c_{x}$ and $c_{y}$ for which $N_{i} 0$, one obtains the criticalcurve $F=F_{\text {ext }} \mathbf{j}$ versus $T=\boldsymbol{T}$ ext $\mathfrak{j}$.

This curve is ploted in Fig. points in horizontaldirection. O ne $m$ ay notice in this gure that there is an asym $m$ etry in the data $w$ ith respect to re ections $F!\quad F$, which is con $m$ ed by our num erical solution. The reason is that the extemal force is applied at height $h>0$, im posing an additional torque leading to di erent nom al forces. Interestingly, this gives rise to a

 happens in a notioeable range of values of $\left(F ; T\right.$ ) for which the values of $c_{x}$ and $c_{y}$ happen to be exactly under the foot. This corresponds to the region of linear dependence between force and torque. $W$ e note that for $h=0$ the theory would predict a sym $m$ etric curve $w$ thout such a linear segm ent.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we studied both experim entally and theoretically the coupling between static friction and torque for a tripod in dry contact w ith a track. O ur results indicate that there is a nontrivialcoupling betw een F and T . These results are of relevance particularly in the eld of program $m$ ed $m$ otion, where one needs to program into a $m$ achine the exact forces and torques to get som e task accom plished (like moving a heavy ob ject across the oor).

Recent experim ents by $R$ ubinstein and cow orkers have show $n$ the role ofpropagating fronts ofbroken $m$ icrocontacts at the transition from static to sliding friction $\left[\frac{9}{[1]}\right.$. H ow ever, due to the inhom ogeneity of their experim ental setup it is not clear how im portant a role elasticity plays. In order to assess this question one could think of repeating the photoarray experim ent with a cylinder or a tripod sub jected sim ultaneously to a torque and a pulling force. In this case each $m$ icrocontacts are characterized by di erent displacem ents so that the elasticity of the body should play a $m$ inor role.
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APPEND IX A: THESPECIALCASE $\quad 1==6 ; \mathrm{h}=0$ :

A nalyzing the equations that determ ine the critical curve for a generalangle ${ }_{1}>0$ betw een the rst bolt and the $x$-ax is in the special case of zero height $h=0$ we realized that the choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
1==6 ; \quad 2=5=6 ; \quad 3=9=6 \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is special in so far as the vector pointing to the center of rotation $c$ and the total restoring force $F_{\text {rest }}$ are alw ays orthogonal and aligned w ith the coordinate system, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=0: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the equations (12 $\overline{1}$ ) and (13) can be sim pl ed and solved analytically. The result $\{$ now param etrized by a single param eter $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}$ \{ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \text { 1 } \\
& F_{x}=\frac{1}{3} @ \frac{1}{1 C_{y}+c_{y}^{2}} \quad \operatorname{sgn}\left(1+c_{y}\right)^{A}  \tag{A3}\\
& 0 \text { 1 } \\
& \mathfrak{j} j=\frac{1}{3} @ \frac{2 C_{y}}{1 C_{y}+c_{y}^{2}}+\operatorname{sgn}\left(1+c_{y}\right)^{A}: \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

D epending on the sign of $1+c_{y}$ the param eter $c_{y}$ can be elim inated. In the resulting expression one has to distinguish three di erent cases:

$$
\mathcal{T} j=\begin{array}{ll}
8  \tag{A5}\\
\frac{1}{2} F_{x}+1+p \frac{p^{2}}{3} F_{x} \quad 3 F_{x}^{2} & \text { if } 1 \quad F_{x}<\frac{1}{3}(\overline{3} 1) \\
& \text { if } \frac{1}{3}(\overline{3} 1) \quad F_{x} \quad \frac{1}{3}(\overline{3}+1) \\
\frac{1}{2} F_{x}+1+p \frac{p F_{x}}{} 3 F_{x}^{2} & \text { if } \frac{1}{3}(\overline{3}+1)<F_{x} 1
\end{array}
$$

In the rst and third case all bolts of the tripod start sliding while in the second case the tripod starts to rotate around the bolt located at $r_{3}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$.
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