

Frequency-shift keying signal detection via short time stochastic resonance

Fabing Duan (1), Derek Abbott (2)

(1) Institute of Complexity Science, Department of Automation Engineering, Qingdao University,
Qingdao 266071, People's Republic of China

(2) Centre for Biomedical Engineering (CBME) and School of Electrical & Electronic Engineering,
The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

April 14, 2024

Abstract

A series of short time stochastic resonance (SR) phenomena, realized in a bistable receiver, can be utilized to convey train of information represented by frequency-shift keying (FSK) signals. It is demonstrated that the SR regions of the input noise intensity are adjacent for input periodic signals that differ in frequency appropriately. This establishes the possibility of decomposing M -ary FSK signals in bistable receivers. Furthermore, the mechanism of the M -ary FSK signal detection via short time SR effects is explicated in terms of the receiver response speed. The short time SR phenomenon might be of interest for neuronal information processing in non-stationary noisy environments, regardless of the short time scale or the frequency jitter of stimulus.

1 Introduction

Stochastic resonance (SR) is now a well established phenomenon wherein the response of a nonlinear system to a subthreshold periodic input signal can be enhanced by the assistance of noise [17]. Since a single-frequency sinusoidal input conveys little information content, this effect has been extended to aperiodic (i.e. broadband) input signals, leading to the term : aperiodic stochastic resonance (ASR) [16]. From the point of view of information transmission, the aperiodic information-bearing signal might be associated with analog (amplitude and frequency) modulated signals [17,21], or digitally modulated signals [10,13,21,25] within the context of SR effects. The digital pulse amplitude modulated signal is intensively investigated for revealing new ASR phenomena or novel applications [10,13,22,25]. Recently, a new type of electronic receiver based on SR properties has been proposed for retrieval of a subthreshold frequency-shift keying (FSK) digitally modulated signals [19,21].

In the present paper, the input information sequences are also represented by equal-energy orthogonal signal waveforms that differ in frequency, i.e. the FSK signals [19,21,30]. But, the prototype SR model, i.e. an overdamped bistable system [16,9,11,13,18,22,24,31,32], is adopted as a nonlinear receiver that decomposes the received signals. Usually, conventional SR or ASR characterizes the SR phenomenon with a statistical measurement, resulting from a long-term observational data [16]. In contrast, we are more interested in the noise-enhanced effects occurring in each short-term duration of each symbol interval as the noise intensity increases, what we call the short time SR phenomenon in this paper. The short time SR effect is consistent with detecting weak signals from a short data record [31], storing information in a short-term memory device [32] and exploring transient stimulus-locked coordinated dynamics in terms of mechanisms of short-term adaptation in sensory processing [33]. From a series of short time SR effects, the input information contents can be deciphered at the output of the bistable receiver in terms of different signal frequencies. The SR effects realized




Figure 1: Bistable receiver and demodulator for M-ary FSK signals.

in a nonlinear bistable receiver, as will be shown, are not sensitive to adjacent periodic signals with an appropriate frequency separation. In other words, the values of the noise intensity at resonance regions or points are close for two adjacent periodic signals. This nonlinear characteristic of a bistable receiver is then studied for transmitting M-ary FSK digitally modulated signals in detail. Furthermore, the response speed of bistable receivers, independent of the frequency of input signals, is theoretically deduced in Sec. 3. In view of the receiver response speed, the mechanism of the M-ary FSK signal detection is explained. Finally, we emphasize that the short time SR is not a trivial effect in signal detection, for example, in the detection of bipolar pulse signals with an unknown arrival time [27]. The short time SR effect may also be of interest in neurophysiology, even the stimulus exists in a short-term timescale or has a frequency jitter. Neuronal noise, in non-stationary noisy environments, might generically enhance the prediction of the arrival information via the short time SR effect, before the adaptive capabilities of neurons lowers their thresholds to maximize information transmission [28,29].

2 Bistable receiver and M-ary FSK signal transmission

An input information-bearing sequence $\{i\}$ is mapped onto the M-ary FSK signals $S(t)$ as

$$S(t) = A \cos(2\pi f_m t); m = 1; 2; \dots; M; \quad (1)$$

for $(n-1)T \leq t < nT, n = 1; 2; \dots$. Here, A is the amplitude, f_m denotes the set of M possible carrier frequencies corresponding to $M = 2^k$ possible k -bit symbols, and T is the symbol interval. The received signal $R(t)$ is

$$R(t) = A \cos(2\pi f_m t + \phi_m) + n(t); \quad (2)$$

where ϕ_m are the phase shifts of carrier frequencies f_m induced by the channel, and the background noise $n(t)$ is additive Gaussian white noise with autocorrelation $\langle n(t)n(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$ and zero-mean. Here, D denotes the noise intensity. Next, $R(t)$, as shown in Fig. 1, is applied to a bistable dynamic receiver given as

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -x(t) - \frac{x^3(t)}{X_b^2} + R(t); \quad (3)$$

with receiver parameters $a > 0$ and $X_b > 0$ [22]. Here, a is related to the system relaxation time. The dynamics of Eq. (3) is derived from the symmetrical double-well potential $V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^4 = (4X_b^2/3)x^2$, having the two minima $V_0(\pm X_b) = -X_b^2/4$. Parameters a and X_b have the units of time and signal amplitude respectively, and define natural scales associated to the process of Eq. (3) [23].

We are interested in recovering the successive input information bits, from the observation of the system state $x(t)$. Moreover, our focus is on the signal frequency rather than the waveforms at the output of bistable receiver, due to the information contents being represented by different frequencies. In this paper, we numerically integrate the stochastic differential equation of Eq. (3) using a Euler-Maruyama discretization method with a small sampling time step Δt [37]. The demodulation method, as shown in Fig. 1, is adopted with the zero crossing times N_m . If the bistable receiver follows the subthreshold periodic signal correctly by the assistance of noise, the zero crossing times N_m of modulated signals $S(t)$ should




Figure 2: Time evolution of binary FSK signal transmission in a bistable receiver. (A) $S(t) = 50 \cos(8\pi t)$ representing binary digit 1 (or 0). $S(t)$, plotted by solid and dotted curves alternately in each symbol interval of $T = 1$ s, should be considered as segment signals rather than a continuous one in the whole transmission time. (B) The received signal $R(t)$. Here, the phase shift ϕ_m induced by the channel is $\phi_m = \pi/6$ and the noise intensity $D = 0.8 \text{ V}^2/\text{Hz}$. (C) The bistable receiver output signal $x(t)$ with parameters $\tau_a = 1/3000$ s and $X_b = 150$ V. The decoded binary bits are depicted in terms of the zero crossing times. The sampling time $t_s = 10^{-3}$ s.

be $2f_m T$ in each symbol interval T . At the output of the bistable receiver, however, the zero crossing times N_m will be in the vicinity of $2f_m T$ even in the resonance region of noise, as shown in Fig. 2 (C). For simplicity, the bytes represented by the corresponding input signals with frequency f_m are decoded as

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{m-1} + f_m)T < N_m < (f_m + f_{m+1})T; \\ \text{or } (2f_m - f)T < N_m < (2f_m + f)T; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with the frequency separation $f = f_{m+1} - f_m$ for $m = 1; 2; \dots$. Then, the output information sequence Y is decoded. Now, this system of Eq. (3) with input digits and output digital readings, can be viewed as an information channel transmitting digital data. By comparing sequences I and Y , the measure of the percentage P of bytes correctly decoded will be used to quantify the performance of this nonlinear information channel. We shall show that this transmission of information can be assisted by additive noise addition in each symbol interval, a property we interpret as a short time SR effect.

In Fig. 2, tuning the noise intensity D so that the switching between wells is made to agree closely with the input periodic signal, resulting from the combined action of noise and periodic signal in a bistable receiver. This synchronization phenomena in each symbol interval of T is called the short time SR effect. In Fig. 2 (A), the input periodic signals in each short time data record of T have the same frequency, which can represent a particular input binary sequence $I = [11 \dots 1]$ or $I = [00 \dots 0]$. The resonance curves, illustrated in Fig. 3, are distinct from the previous conventional SR form: the SR effect is explored in each symbol interval T , rather than the entire transmission time, recording the binary information. Numerical results of Fig. 3 show that the percentage P of correct switching events, as the noise intensity D increases, presents a typical SR characteristic. Especially worthy of note is that the resonant regions or points of two adjacent frequencies are close. This indicates that the bistable receiver is not sensitive to the frequency jitter of input periodic signals. Thus, it is possible to use a bistable receiver to decode binary or 4-ary information represented by periodic signals with different frequencies, i.e. FSK digitally modulated signals. This possibility is immediately demonstrated in Figs. 4 and 5 with numerical experiments. In different symbol intervals of T , the bistable receiver can follow the input periodic signals




Figure 3: The percentage P of correctly decoded bytes as a function of noise intensity D . In each symbol interval of T , the input signals $S(t) = 50 \cos(2\pi f_m t)$ has frequency f_m (as marked in legends). The statistical values of P are computed numerically from 5000 transmitted codes. The bistable receiver is with parameters $\tau_a = 1=3000$ s and $X_b = 150$ V. The symbol interval $T = 1$ s and the sampling time $t = 10^{-3}$ s.




Figure 4: Plots of the percentage P as a function of D for the binary FSK signal transmission in bistable receivers. The statistical values of P are obtained from 5000 transmitted codes. The corresponding carrier frequencies are given in plots. (A) The receiver parameters $\tau_a = 1=3000$ s and $X_b = 150$ V. $T = 1$ s and $S(t) = 50 \cos(2\pi f_m t)$. (B) The receiver are with parameters $\tau_a = 1=10000$ s and $X_b = 380$ V. $T = 0.1$ s and $S(t) = 140 \cos(2\pi f_m t)$. The sampling time $t = 10^{-5}$ s.




Figure 5: Plots of the percentage P as a function of D for the 4-ary FSK signal transmission in bistable receivers. The corresponding carrier frequencies are given in plots. (A) and (B) are with the same parameters as in Fig. 4, respectively.




Figure 6: A sinusoidal signal with the zero-hold sampling.

with adjacent frequencies in an appropriate noise intensity region. With the decision rule of Eq. (4), the percentage P is a non-monotone function of noise intensity D , resulting from a series of short time SR effects. For $M > 4$, the carrier frequency distance increases and a bistable receiver is not sufficient for decoding the received FSK signals. Naturally, a parallel bank of bistable receivers can be designed for this complicated task, with different receiver parameters and improved deciphered scheme.

3 The mechanism of the FSK signal detection in a bistable receiver

In this section we shall now attempt to explore the physical mechanism of the FSK signal detection in a bistable receiver, related to an approximation of the nonstationary probability density of Eq. (3) and its temporal relaxation. The temporal relaxation of nonstationary probability density, termed the receiver response speed τ_1 , will be demonstrated being independence of the input signal frequency. The study of system response speed allows us to explicitly have a deeper understanding of the FSK signal transmission in a nonlinear bistable receiver.

In numerical simulations, we numerically sample a sinusoidal signal with zero-hold and sampling time $t_a \in [0, 22[24, 27]$. Hence, in a sampling interval of t , the system of




Figure 7: The system response speed λ_1 (Hz) as a function of signal amplitude A (V) and noise intensity D ($V^2=Hz$) for the receiver with parameters (A) $\tau_a = 1=3000$ s and $X_b = 150$ V and (B) parameters $\tau_a = 1=10000$ s and $X_b = 380$ V.

Eq. (3) is subjected to a constant amplitude $s(t) = A_i$ ($i t \leq (i+1) t, i = 0;1;2; \dots$), as shown in Fig. 6. In the presence of noise $\xi(t)$, the statistically equivalent description for the corresponding probability density $\rho(x;t)$ is governed by the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho(x;t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V^0(x) + \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \rho(x;t); \quad (5)$$

where $V^0(x) = -\frac{1}{2} (x - X_b)^2 + A_i$ and the Fokker-Planck operator $L_{FP} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V^0(x) + \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$. $\rho(x;t)$ obeys the natural boundary conditions that it vanishes at large x for any t [40]. The steady-state solution of Eq. (5), for a constant input at A_i , is given by

$$\rho(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x;t) = C \exp \left[-\frac{a}{D} V(x) \right]; \quad (6)$$

where C is the normalization constant [40]. As the sampling amplitude A_i varies, we encounter the nonstationary solution $\rho(x;t)$ of the Fokker-Planck equation, i.e. Eq. (5). This analysis is performed in Appendix A. We show in Appendix A that the nonstationary solution $\rho(x;t)$ can be expanded as an asymptotic representation by eigenfunctions $u_i(x)$ and eigenvalues λ_i

$$\rho(x;t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i u_i(x) \exp \left[-\lambda_i V(x) \right] \exp \left[-\lambda_i t \right]; \quad (7)$$

where C_i are normalization constants for $i = 0;1;2;\dots$.

Specifically, λ_1 , termed the receiver response speed, is a measure of the slowest time taken by the bistable receiver to tend to the steady-state solution of Eq. (6). In other words, λ_1 is the speed of bistable receivers tracing the variety of input signals, whence our term "receiver response speed." Figure 7 shows the behavior of the receiver response speed in the related regions. Note that the receiver response speed λ_1 , for a fixed bistable receiver with parameters τ_a and X_b , is a monotonically increasing function of signal amplitude A and noise intensity D , but independent of the input signal frequency f_m , as indicated in Eq. (15). When the noise intensity D is too small, the receiver cannot follow the input signal correctly, as shown in Fig. 8 (B). However, in the resonance regions of noise intensity D , λ_1 is large enough to make the receiver output $x(t)$ reach the steady-state, whereas the signal amplitude continually changes from A to A at different but adjacent carrier frequencies f_m . This indicates detecting weak FSK modulated signals is possible in a bistable receiver, as seen in Fig. 8 (C). After the noise intensity D is beyond the resonance region, λ_1 is too fast to catch up with the fluctuations induced by noise, resulting in the loss of synchronization, as shown in Fig. 8 (D).




Figure 8: (A) A 4-ary FSK signal $S(t) = 140 \cos(2 f_m t)$ with carrier frequencies 40 Hz, 60 Hz, 80 Hz and 100 Hz, representing 4-ary code words 00, 01, 10 and 11, respectively. The receiver with parameters $\tau_a = 10^{-4}$ s and $X_b = 380$ V. (B), (C) and (D) are plots of receiver outputs $x(t)$ at $D = 0.25$ V²/Hz, $D = 1.0$ V²/Hz and $D = 2.4$ V²/Hz. The erroneous decoded bytes are bracketed. Here, $T = 0.1$ s and $\tau = 10^{-5}$ s.

The receiver response speed τ_1 contributes to the mechanism of transmitting weak FSK modulated signals in a bistable receiver: Within a reasonable region of noise intensity, the receiver responds synchronically to the input periodic signal, regardless of the short-term timescale of symbol interval T or the frequency jitter of signals (i.e. the different carrier frequencies f_m). Therefore, the SR effect realized in a symbol duration T , i.e. the short time SR, can be utilized to convey or store the digital data [31,32]. Additionally, noise-enhanced frequency discrimination was reported in Ref. [26], and the frequency robust characteristic of bistable model is also and verified in Ref. [27] in detail.

4 Discussion

A detection strategy of subthreshold FSK digitally modulated signals in a nonlinear bistable receiver is analyzed. It was numerically demonstrated that the resonance values of noise intensity appear closely for FSK modulated signals with adjacent frequencies. Assuming a demodulation method of the zero crossing times, a series of SR effects appearing in each symbol interval, what we call the short time SR, provide the possibility of detecting sub-threshold M-ary FSK signals in bistable receivers. In order to understand the mechanism of the FSK signal detection more deeply, we introduce the receiver response speed, i.e. a theoretical measure for the receiver tending to a steady-state. In the resonance region, the receiver response speed is fast enough to trace the variety of input periodic signals, regardless of the frequency difference and the short-term timescale of symbol interval.

Finally, we argue that the short time SR effect might be an optional detection strategy in neurodynamics. Neural noise will play a positive beneficial role for information processing, in the case of the stimulus exists in a short time duration or has a jitter in frequency [26,31,32]. Even under the assumption that neurons have sufficient adaptability in sensory systems [28,29], neural noise might provide an enhancement via the short-time SR phenomenon before the neuron adaptability acts. This is an open question and currently under study.

Acknowledgements

Funding from the Australian Research Council (ARC) is gratefully acknowledged. This project is also sponsored by Scientific Foundation for Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars,

Ministry of Education, and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of P. R. China.

A Receiver response speed and nonstationary probability density model

In Eq. (5), the Fokker-Planck operator $L_{FP} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} V^0(x) + \frac{D}{a} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ is not a Hermitian operator [40]. We rescale the variables as

$$\begin{aligned} X_b = X_b &= \sqrt{\frac{D}{a}} x; A_i = A_i = \sqrt{\frac{D}{a}} x; \\ &= t_a; y = x = \sqrt{\frac{D}{a}} x; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Eq. (5) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \psi(y)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} V^0(y) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \psi(y); \quad (9)$$

where $V^0(y) = (y^2 - X_b^2 + A_i)$. The steady-state solution of Eq. (9) is given by

$$\psi(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(y) = C \exp[-V(y)]; \quad (10)$$

where C is the normalization constant. A separation ansatz for $\psi(y)$ [40],

$$\psi(y) = u(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] \exp(\dots); \quad (11)$$

leads to

$$Lu = -u; \quad (12)$$

with a Hermitian operator $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{4} V''(y) - \frac{1}{2} V'(y)$. The functions $u(y)$ are eigenfunctions of the operator L with the eigenvalues λ . Multiplying both sides of Eq. (12) by $u(y)$ and integrating it, yields

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2(y) dy + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2(y) \left[\frac{1}{4} V''(y) - \frac{1}{2} V'(y) \right] dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2(y) dy}; \quad (13)$$

where eigenfunctions $u(y)$ satisfy the boundary conditions of $u(y)$ and $u'(y)$ tending to zero as $y \rightarrow \pm \infty$. The eigenvalue problem of Eq. (12) is then equivalent to the variational problem consisting in finding the extremal values of the right side of Eq. (13) [24, 40]. The minimum of this expression is then the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_0 = 0$, corresponding to the steady-state solution of Eq. (10) [40]. We adopt here eigenfunctions $u(y) = p(y) \exp[-V(y)/2]$ and $p(y) \neq 0$, Eq. (13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^2(y) dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^2(y) V''(y) dy \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^0(y) p^2(y) dy \exp[-V(y)/2] dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^2(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] dy; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^0(y) p^2(y) dy \exp[-V(y)/2] dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V^0(y) p^2(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] dy \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^2(y) V''(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^2(y) V''(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] dy; \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (14) can be rewritten as

$$= \frac{\int_0^{R+1} p^2(y) \exp[-V(y)] dy}{\int_0^{R+1} p^2(y) \exp[-V(y)] dy} \quad (15)$$

Assume $p(y) = d_0 + d_1 y + \dots + d_n y^n$ and the order n is an integer, we obtain

$$([K] - [M]) \mathbf{f} = 0; \quad (16)$$

with eigenvectors $\mathbf{f} = [d_0^i; d_1^i; \dots; d_n^i]$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\lambda_i = [0; -1; \dots; -n]$ for $i = 0; 1; \dots; n$. The integer n is not increased in the iterative process until the preceding values of λ_i approximate the next ones within the tolerance error. The elements of matrices $[M]$ and $[K]$ are

$$m_{ij} = \int_0^{Z+1} y^{i+j} \exp[-V(y)] dy > 0;$$

$$k_{ij} = \int_0^{Z+1} i j y^{i+j-2} \exp[-V(y)] dy \geq 0;$$

where $i, j = 0; 1; \dots; n$. The matrix $[M]$ is positive definite and the matrix $[K]$ is semipositive definite. The minimal eigenvalue λ_0 is zero. The minimal positive eigenvalue λ_1 describes the main speed of the system tending to the steady state solution of Eq. (10), what we call the receiver response speed.

From Eq. (16), we can obtain the eigenfunctions $u_i(y) = p_i(y) \exp[-V(y)/2]$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i for $i = 0; 1; \dots; n$, where $p_i(y) = d_0^i + d_1^i y + \dots + d_n^i y^n$. The eigenvectors $\mathbf{f} = [d_0^i; d_1^i; \dots; d_n^i]$ are normalized. Because L is a Hermitian operator, eigenfunctions $u_i(y)$ and $u_j(y)$ are orthogonal

$$\int_0^{Z+1} u_i(y) u_j(y) dy = \delta_{ij}; \quad (17)$$

where $i, j = 0; 1; \dots; n$. Hence, $\psi(y)$ can be expanded, according to eigenfunctions $u_i(y)$ and eigenvalues λ_i , as

$$\psi(y) = \sum_{i=0}^N C_i u_i(y) \exp[-V(y)/2] \exp[\lambda_i t];$$

where C_i are normalization constants deduced from the orthogonal condition of eigenfunctions [40]. Note the scale transform in Eq. (8), $(x; t)$ can be represented as

$$(x; t) = \sum_{i=0}^N C_i u_i(x) \exp[-\alpha V(x)/2] \exp[\lambda_i t]; \quad (18)$$

with the real eigenvalues $\lambda_i = -\alpha \lambda_i^0$ in the time scale of t and λ_i^0 derived from Eq. (16).

References

- [1] R. Benzi, A. Sutera, A. Vulpiani, "The mechanism of stochastic resonance," J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 14 (1981) L453
- [2] K. Wiesenfeld and F. Moss, "Stochastic resonance and the benefits of noise: from ice ages to crayfish and SQUIDS," Nature (London) 373 (1995) 33
- [3] A. R. Bulsara and L. Gamaitoni, "Tuning in to noise," Phys. Tod. 3 (1996) 39
- [4] L. Gamaitoni, P. Hanggi, P. Jung and F. Marchesoni, "Stochastic resonance," Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 223

- [5] F. Moss, L. M. Ward, and W. G. Sannita, "Stochastic resonance and sensory information processing: a tutorial and review of application," *Clinical Neurophysiology* 115 (2004) 267
- [6] G. P. Hamer, B. R. Davis and D. Abbott, "A review of stochastic resonance: circuits and measurement," *IEEE Trans. Instrumentation and Measurement* 51 (2002) 299
- [7] G. P. Hamer and D. Abbott, "Motion detection and stochastic resonance in noisy environments," *Microelectronics J.* 32 (2001) 959
- [8] J. J. Collins, C. C. Chow, T. T. Imho, "Aperiodic stochastic resonance in excitable systems," *Phys. Rev. E* 52 (1995) R3321
- [9] J. J. Collins, C. C. Chow, A. C. Capela and T. T. Imho, "Aperiodic stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. E* 54 (1996) 5575
- [10] F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Noise-enhanced capacity via stochastic resonance in an asymmetric binary channel," *Phys. Rev. E* 55 (1997) 2016
- [11] K. Wiesenfeld and F. Jaramillo, "A review of stochastic resonance," *Chaos* 8 (1998) 539
- [12] I. Goychuk and P. Hanggi, "Stochastic resonance in ion channels characterized by information theory," *Phys. Rev. E* 61 (2000) 4272
- [13] S. Barbay, G. Giacomelli and F. Marin, "Experimental evidence of binary aperiodic stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 (2000) 4652
- [14] L. B. Kish, G. P. Hamer, and D. Abbott, "Information transfer rate of neurons: stochastic resonance of Shannon's information channel capacity," *Fluctuation and Noise Lett.* 1 (2001) L13
- [15] G. P. Hamer and D. Abbott, "Simulation of circuits demonstrating stochastic resonance," *Microelectronics J.* 31 (2000) 553
- [16] M. D. McDonnell, N. G. Stocks, C. E. M. Pearce, and D. Abbott, "Stochastic resonance and the data processing inequality," *Electronics Letters (IEE)* 39 (2003) 1287
- [17] V. S. Anishchenko, M. A. Safonova and L. O. Chua, "Stochastic resonance in Chua's circuit driven by amplitude or frequency modulated signals," *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* 4 (1994) 411
- [18] A. N. Grigorenko, P. I. Nikitin, and G. V. Roshchepkin, "Frequency mixing in a bistable system in the presence of noise," *J. Experimental and Theoretical Physics* 85 (1997) 343
- [19] S. Morfu, J. M. Bilbault and J. C. Comte, "Digital information receiver based on stochastic resonance," *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* 13 (2003) 233
- [20] K. Park, Y. Lai, Z. Liu, A. N. Achman, "Aperiodic stochastic resonance and phase synchronization," *Phys. Lett. A* 326 (2004) 391
- [21] J. C. Comte and S. Morfu, "Stochastic resonance: another way to retrieve subthreshold digital data," *Phys. Lett. A* 309 (2003) 39
- [22] X. Godivier, and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Stochastic resonance in the information capacity of a nonlinear dynamic systems," *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* 8 (1998) 581
- [23] F. Duan, D. Rousseau, and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, "Residual aperiodic stochastic resonance in a bistable dynamic system transmitting a suprathreshold binary signal," *Phys. Rev. E* 69 (2004) 011109

- [24] B. Xu, F. Duan, and F. Chapeau-Blondeau, \Comparison of aperiodic stochastic resonance in a bistable system by adding noise and tuning system parameters," *Phys. Rev. E* 69 (2004) 061110
- [25] J. Mazon, J.F. Lindner, W. Ditto, J. Ne, A. Bulsara, and M. Spano, \Pulse-enhanced stochastic resonance," *Phys. Lett. A* 277 (2000) 13
- [26] F. Zeng, Q. Fu, and R. Morse, \Human hearing enhanced by noise," *Brain Research* 869 (2000) 251
- [27] F. Duan, D. Abbott, and Q. Gao, \Evaluation of bistable systems versus matched filters in detecting bipolar pulse signals," *Fluctuation and Noise Letters* (In Press)
- [28] A.R. Bulsara and A. Zador, \Threshold detection of wideband signals-a noise-induced maximum in the mutual information," *Phys. Rev. E* 54 (1996) R2185-R2188
- [29] N.G. Stocks and R. Mannella, \Generic noise-enhanced coding in neuronal arrays," *Phys. Rev. E* 64 (2001) 030902
- [30] J.G. Proakis. *Digital communications* (Third Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1995)
- [31] A.S. Aidi and A.H. Tewfik, \Detection of weak signals using adaptive stochastic resonance," *IEEE Int. Confer. Acoustic, Speech and Sig. Proc.*, 2 (1995) 1332
- [32] M.F. Carusela, R.P.J. Perazzo, and L. Romaneli, \Stochastic resonant memory storage device," *Phys. Rev. E* 64 (2001) 031101
- [33] P.A. Tass, \Stochastic phase resetting of stimulus-locked responses of two coupled oscillators: Transient response clustering, synchronization, and desynchronization," *Chaos* 13 (2003) 364
- [34] M. Morillo, and J. Gomez-Ordonez, \Amplification and distortion of a periodic rectangular driving signal by a noisy bistable system," *Phys. Rev. E* 51 (1995) 999
- [35] J. Casado-Pascual, J. Gomez-Ordonez, and M. Morillo, \Two-state theory of nonlinear stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91 (2003) 210601
- [36] W. Zheng, \Square-wave-driven stochastic resonance," *Phys. Rev. A* 44 (1991) 6443
- [37] T.C. Gard. *Introduction to Stochastic Differential Equations* (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1998).
- [38] L. Gammaitoni, F. Marchesoni and S. Santucci, \Stochastic Resonance as a Bona Fide resonance," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 74 (1995) 1052
- [39] G. Giacomelli, F. Marin and I. Rabbiosi, \Stochastic and Bona Fide resonance: an experimental investigation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999) 675
- [40] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications*, Springer Series in Synergetics, vol.18, Sec. Ed., Springer-Verlag Berlin (1989)