On the distribution of high-frequency stock market traded volume: a dynamical scenario

S lvio M. Duarte Queiros
Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas F sicas, 150,
22290-180, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil
Electronic mail address: sdqueiro@cbpf.br

(D ated: January 25, 2022)

This manuscript reports a stochastic dynamical scenario whose associated stationary probability density function is exactly a previously proposed one to adjust high-frequency traded volume distributions. This dynamical conjecture, physically connected to superstatiscs, which is intimately related with the current nonextensive statistical mechanics framework, is based on the idea of local uctuations in the mean traded volume associated to nancial markets agents herding behaviour. The corroboration of this mesoscopic model is done by modelising NASDAQ 1 and 2 minute stock market traded volume.

PACS numbers: 05.90.+m, 05.40.-a, 89.65.Gh.

K eyw ords: nancial m arkets; traded volum e; nonextensive statistical m echanics

In the last years we have assisted to a rapidly growing interesting of physicists in the analysis of nancial systems and the description of their statistical and dynam ical properties [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. A ctually, some of the so-called stylised facts such as non-G aussianity in relative price change (the return) probability density functions (PDFs) [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11], multi-fractal behaviour of return time series [12, 13, 14], asymptotic power-law like auto-correlation funtion in the standard deviation (the volatility) of return [15, 16], among many others, were (also) veried in a physical context. Besides their own relevance, these empirical observations were important to the establishment of either new theoretical models, which min ic reality much better than previous ones [16, 17, 18, 19], or connections between nancial systems and already existing statistical frameworks [10, 20, 21], particularly, the current non-extensive statistical mechanics [5], based on T sallis entropy [22], which, e.g., allowed the derivation of a new and closed model for option-pricing [23, 24, 25].

Additionally to macroscopic observables as the return and the volatility, mentioned above, another signicant quantity in nancial markets is the traded volume, V, which corresponds to the number of shares traded (in a determined period of time, T). This is, in fact, along with volatility, an important parameter in trading strategies assumed by many brokers [26, 27]. In g. 1 is presented, as mere illustration, the daily normalised traded volume of Microsoft Corp. in NASDAQ exchange from 2000 to the beginning of 2005.

In a previous work, P.G opikrishnan et al. [28] reported the evidence, for large volum es, of a power-law behaviour in the stationary PDF. The analysis of traded volum e stationary PDF was later extended to all range of values by R. O sorio, L.B orland and C.T sallis (OBT) [10], who proposed a tring expression that presents an amazing precision. The respective formula is,

$$p(v) = \frac{1}{Z} - \exp_q - \frac{v}{z};$$
 (1)

where v represents the traded volume expressed in its mean value unit (hV i), i.e.,  $v_t = \frac{V_t}{hV i} Z = \frac{R_1}{0} \quad \underline{v} \quad \exp_q \quad \underline{v} \quad dv$ , and are positive parameters and

$$\exp_{q}(x)$$
 [1 + (1 q) x]  $\frac{1}{q}$ ; (exp<sub>1</sub>(x) = e<sup>x</sup>); (2)

is the q-exponential function, which emerged in the context of the non-extensive statistical mechanics [5].

In the sequel of this article, through a set of liable arguments, we will introduce a stochastic dynamical mechanism, which has eq. (1) as stationary solution for its probability density function and then compare both analytical and simulation results using values obtained from NASDAQ stock market.

Consider the following stochastic di erential equation,

$$dv = v \frac{+1}{-} dt + 2v - dW_t;$$
 (3)




FIG. 1: Evolution of M icrosoft Corporation daily normalised traded volume in NASDAQ exchange from the  $21^{st}$  January 2001 to the  $21^{st}$  January 2005. Each tick represents 6 m onths.

 $W_t$  represents a regular  $W_t$  iener process following a normal distribution  $W_t$  in hull mean and unitary variance) as the traded volume updating law  $^1$ . As can be seen, eq. (3) is composed by a deterministic term, similar to a restoring force and a stochastic term, which is a typical example of multiplicative noise very usual in problems presenting clustering probles, like the one treated in this manuscript (see g. 1), intermittence [30] or turbulence [31, 32]. The rest term can be interpreted as a restoring market mechanism (with constant ), which aims to keep the traded volume of the stock at some typical value  $= \frac{+1}{2} \cdot 2$ . The second one rejects stochastic memory, and mainly, the upshot of large traded volumes. In eject, large traded volumes will induce large values in the stochastic term, but, due to  $W_t$  random character (sign), it could lead to an increase  $W_t > 0$ ) or a decrease  $W_t < 0$  of  $W_t < 0$  of  $W_t < 0$ .

For eq. (3) we can write the corresponding Fokker-P lanck equation [33],

$$\frac{\partial p(v;t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad v \qquad \frac{+1}{2} \quad p(v;t) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \quad v - p(v;t) \quad ; \tag{4}$$

and determ ining its stationary solution we obtain,

$$p(v) = \frac{1}{[1+1]}(v) \exp(v) (> 1; > 0);$$
 (5)

which is just the G am m a distribution, with mean value, hvi =  $\frac{1+a}{2}$ , standard deviation, hv hvii<sup>2</sup> =  $\frac{1+a}{2}$  and most probable value, v =  $-\left(\frac{dP}{dv}\right)_{v=v} = 0$ .

A ssum e now that, instead of constant in time, is now random by varying on a time scale much larger than the time

A ssum e now that, instead of constant in time, is now random by varying on a time scale much larger than the time scale of order  $^{1}$  necessary by eq. (4) to reach stationarity, hypothesis that is equivalent to consider local uctuations in the mean value of v [34], akin to the proposal of moving average in the volatility [35]. These uctuations can be microscopically associated to changes in the volume of activity defined as the number of traders who performed transactions of the stock at that time [36]. Furthermore, let us assume that follows a Gamma (stationary) PDF,

$$P () = \frac{1}{[]} - \exp - (> 0; > 0):$$
 (6)

This assumption transforms eq. (5) meaning from the absolute probability of v into the conditional probability of v given ,

$$p(v) ! p(vj) = \frac{1}{[1+1]} (v) exp(v):$$
 (7)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eq. (3) is in fact de ned in m athem atics as Feller process [29] A coording to its de nition the traded volum e V is an integer quantity. However, the normalised traded volume is a rational quantity and so perfectly compatible with a continuous approach.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Following v de nition, should equals 1. Nonetheless, we will keep it in the presented form for reasons that will become clear later on.

It is noteworthy that the problem of macroscopic non-equilibirium systems with complex dynamics in stationary states with uctuations of intensive quantities on long time scales and its connection with non-extensive statistical mechanics, based on entropy [22],

$$S_{q} = \frac{1}{q} \frac{P_{i} [p_{i}]^{q}}{q};$$

 $(S_1 = {}^p_i p_i p_i p_i S_{BG})$  where BG stands for Boltzmann-Gibbs) was rstly presented by G.Wilk and Z.W lodarczyk [37], later applied by C.Beck to the case of turbulent uids [38] and nally extended and dened by C.Beck and E.G.D.Cohen as superstatistics [39, 40].

For this case, we have that the joint probability of obtaining a certain value of v and certain value of v, is v (v) = v (v) = v (v) = v (v) and the marginal probability of having som v value v is given by

$$P (v) = P (v; ) d = P (v; ) P ( ) d :$$
 (8)

U sing eq. (6) and eq. (7) in eq. (8) and perform ing the integration we get,

$$P (v) = \frac{[1 + v]}{[1 + v]} (v) (1 + v)^{1} (v + v)^{1}$$
 (9)

Doing the change in parameters

$$=\frac{q}{1}; \qquad =\frac{1}{q} \qquad 1; \tag{10}$$

one can write eq. (9) as

$$P (v) = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} (q & 1)^{+1} & \frac{1}{q1} \\ \frac{1}{q1} & 1 & [+1] \end{pmatrix}}{\frac{1}{q1}} v \begin{pmatrix} h \\ 1 + (q & 1) \end{pmatrix} v^{i_{1=(1 q)}};$$

or, using the q-exponential function de nition, eq. (2),

$$P (v) = \frac{(q - 1)^{+1} \frac{h}{q \cdot 1}}{h} \frac{i}{q \cdot 1} = \frac{v}{q} \exp_{q} \frac{v}{q}; \qquad (11)$$

which is exactly the expression introduced by OBT.Due to its structure, PDF (11) might be called q-generalised G amma probability density function. The traditional G amma PDF, eq. (5), can be recovered from eq. (11) by considering q=1 limit, which is equivalent to assume the inexistence of uctuations in and so P () equals the D irac delta function centred in  $^{-1}$ . For small values of v, P (v) goes to zero as a power law, P (v) v, and for large values of v, it behaves asymptotically as another power law, P (v)  $v^{-(1-q)}$ . As a matter of fact, this is an appealing form, since it congregates, in a single expression, the two power laws used in nancial practice.

In order to verify the correctness of the result, we performed a set of numerical realisations, using an algorithm based on eq. (3) and on a random generator for whose associated probability is eq. (6). The values of parameters , and , presented in table I, were obtained after thing the 1 m inute and 2 m inute traded volume probability density functions of the ten high-volume stocks in the NASDAQ exchange during 2001, g.2, with eq. (1) (equivalent to eq. (11)). The values of q and are obtained using the relations presented in eq. (10).

The num erical realisations for 1 m inute and 2 m inute traded volume are depicted in q.3 and q.4.

In g.1, g.3 and g.4 (Centre plots) it is clear the clustering behaviour, very similar to volatility time series, typical of a multiplicative noise dynamics. In g.3 and g.4 (Right plots), we can compare numerically obtained relative frequency, H (v), with analitycal results corresponding to values presented in table I. As it is straightforwardly visible, numerical and analytical results are in perfect accordance. Besides the possibility of m in it traded volume time series, this dynamical conjecture can certainly be used in other problems with time varying positive quantities associated to a PDF (1), such as granular material systems [41, 42].

To sum m arise, in this m anuscript we presented a dynam ical conjecture, based on a m ultiplicative noise m echanism and on the uctuating character of some parameter of that system, which is able to provide the stationary probability





FIG. 2:1 m inute (Left plot) and 2 m inute (Right plot) traded volume probability density function P (v), for the ten high-volume stocks in NASDAQ exchange during 2001 vs. v. The symbols represent real data and lines p(v) from eq.(1), with q, , values shown in table I (After OBT in ref.[10]). The  $^2$  (per point) error function values are 1.8  $^3$  and 6.5  $^3$  and 6.5  $^4$ , respectively. For very low volumes is visible a small discrepancy, due to lack of small transactions (statistical e ect).

TABLE I: Fitting param eters obtained in g.2 and dynam ical param eters obtained through eq.10.

	q				
1 m in	1:19	0:93	0:23	0:826	3:33
2 m in	1:16	1:36	0:2	0:8	3:89

density function previously introduced to shape the high-frequency traded volume stationary probability distribution of shares in stock markets. The present scenario, related with superstatistics and thus with non-extensive statistical mechanics, contains two ingredients that are often considered as elemental in the dynamics of such complex systems: memory (via multiplicative noise) and microscopic mechanisms of herding between traders (through the local temporal uctuations of or the vmean value) caused, e.g., by news, rum ours or simply price movements. The description of a completely related return-volatility-volumememesoscopic dynamical theory, within non-extensive statistical mechanics framework, for nancial markets is currently in progress.

SMDQ would like to thank to C. T sallis for his continuous incentive and relevant conversations and suggestions; EP.Borges is acknowledged for preliminary discussions about PDF form (1) possible origins and comments made on previous versions of this manuscript as well as LG. Moyano. This work was developed with infrastructural support






FIG. 4: Num erical realisation for 2 m inute traded volume of the ten high-volume stocks in NASDAQ exchange during 2001 using the values of table I. Left Plot: temporal evolution of parameter; Centre Plot: temporal evolution of traded volume, v. Right Plot: Relative frequency H (v) vs. v. The symbols were obtained numerically and the line from  $\begin{bmatrix} R_{v+} & v \\ v & v \end{bmatrix}$  P (v) dv with v=5 10  $^3$ . The ratio between updating time and stationarity time scale of v is  $10^2$ .

from CNPq and PRONEX/MCT (Brazilian agencies) and nancial support from FCT/MCES (Portuguese agency; contract SFRH/BD/6127/2001).

- [1] J.P. Bouchaud and M. Potters, Theory of Financial Risks: From Statistical Physics to Risk Management, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [2] R N. M antegna and H. E. Stanley, An introduction to Econopysics: Correlations and Complexity in Finance, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [3] J.D oyne Farm er, Int. J. Appl. Finance 3, 311 (2000).
- [4] J. Voit, The Statistical Mechanics of Financial Markets (Texts and Monographs in Physics), (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003).
- [5] M .G ell-M ann and C .T sallis (eds.), Nonextensive Entropy Interdisciplinary Applications, (O xford University Press, New York, 2004).
- [6] R. N. M. antegna and H. E. Stanley, Nature (London) 376, 46 (1995).
- [7] V.Plerou, P.Gopikrishnan, LA.Amaral, M.Meyer and H.E.Stanley, Phys.Rev.E 60, 6519 (1999).
- [8] F.M. Ramos, C. Rodrigues Neto, R.R. Rosa, L.D. Abreu Sa and M. J.A. Bolzam, Nonlinear Analysis 23, 3521 (2001).
- [9] M . Ausloos and K . Ivanova, Phys. Rev. E 68, 046122 (2003).
- [10] R.O. sorio, L.Borland and C.T. sallis in Nonextensive Entropy Interdisciplinary Applications, M.G. ell-Mann and C.T. sallis (eds.) (Oxford University Press, New York, 2004).
- [11] S.M. Duarte Queiros, Physica A 344, 279 (2004).
- [12] S.Ghashghaie, W. Breymann, J. Peinke, P. Talkner and Y. Dodge, Nature 381, 767 (1996).
- [13] J.P. Bouchaud, M. Potters and M. Meyer, Eur. Phys. J. B 13, 595 (1999).
- [14] A.A meodo, J.F.M uzy and D. Somette, Eur. Phys. J.B 2, 277 (1998).
- [15] Z.Ding, C.W. J.Granger am d.R.F.Engle, J.EmpiricalFinance 1,83 (1993).
- [16] M . Potters, R . C ont and J.P . Bouchaud, Europhys. Lett. 41, 239 (1998).
- [17] L.Borland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 098701 (2002).
- [18] M. G. Daniels, J.Doyne Farmer, L. Gillemot, G. Iori and E. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 108102 (2002).
- [19] J.D oyne Farm er, L.G illem ot, F.Lillo, S.M ike and A Sen, Quantitative Finance 4, 383 (2004).
- [20] L.Borland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 098701 (2002).
- [21] S.M. Duarte Queiros, submitted to Quantitative Finance, 2004.
- [22] C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988). A regularly an updated bibliography on the subject is avaible at http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm.
- [23] L.Borland, Quantitative Finance 2, 415 (2002).
- [24] L.Borland and J.P.Bouchaud, cond-mat/0403022 Preprint, 2004.
- [25] L.Borland, cond-mat/0412526 Preprint, 2004.
- [26] P.W illm ott, Paul W illm ott on Quantitative Finance, (W iley, Chichester, 2000).
- [27] M. Ausloos and K. Ivanova, in Application of Econophysics: Proceedings of the Second Nikkei Econophysics Symposium, H. Takayasu (ed.) (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003).
- [28] P.Gopikrishnan, V.Plerou, X.Gabaix and H.E.Stanley, Phys.Rev.E 62, R4493 (2000).
- [29] W .Feller, Ann. of M ath. 54, 173 (1951).
- [30] H. Takayasu, A. H. Sato and M. Takayasu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 966 (1997).

- [31] U. Frisch, Turbulence: The Legacy of A. Kolmogorov, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997).
- [32] C.Beck, G.S.Lew is and H.L.Sw inney, Phys.Rev.E 63, R035303 (2001).
- [33] H .R isken, The Fokker-P lanck Equation: M ethods of Solution and Applications,  $2^{nd}$  edition (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [34] S.M. Duarte Queiros, unpublished working paper avaible at http://br.arxiv.org/abs/physics/0411111, 2004.
- [35] J. Perello, J. M asoliver and J.P. Bouchaud, Appl. M ath. Fin. 11, 24 (2004).
- [36] T. Lux and M. Marchesi, Nature 397, 498 (1999).
- [37] G.Wilk, Z.W lodarczyk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2770 (2000).
- [38] C.Beck, Phys.Rev.Lett.87, 180601 (2001).
- [39] C.Beck and E.G.D.Cohen, Physica A 322, 267 (2003).
- [40] C.T sallis and A M  $\mathcal L$  Souza, Phys.Rev.E 67,026106 (2003).
- [41] E.Kolb, T.Mazozi, E.Clement and J.Duran, Eur. Phys. J.B 8, 433 1999.
- [42] E.P.Borges, Manifestacees dinâmicas e termodinâmicas de mecânica estat stica nao-extensiva (Doctor Thesis), (CBPF, Rio de Janeiro) 2004.