Random Aharonov-Bohm vortices and some funny families of integrals

Stéphane OUVRY^a

February 11 2005

^a LPTMS, UMR 8626-CNRS Bât 100, Université d'Orsay, F-91405 Orsay, France

Abstract

A review of the random magnetic impurity model, introduced in the context of the Quantum Hall effect, is presented. It models an electron moving in a plane and coupled to random Aharonov-Bohm vortices carrying a fraction of the flux quantum. Recent results on its perturbative expansion are given. In particular, some funny families of integrals show up to be related to the Riemann (3) and (2).

1 Introduction :

The single Aharonov Bohm (A-B) flux line (infinitely thin and impenetrable vortex) piercing the plane at a given point was considered in a seminal paper in 1959 [1]. A more complex system consists of several A-B lines piercing the plane at different points [2]. A simplification arises when the locations of the punctures are random : consider the Random Magnetic Impurity model [3] introduced in the context of the Integer Quantum Hall Effect. It consists of an electron coupled to a Poissonian distribution of A-B lines (the so-called magnetic impurities) having a mean density and carrying a fraction of the electron flux quantum o. Periodicity (2 D;1) and symmetry considerations (no priviledged orientation to the plane) allow to take 2 D;1=2]. The Poissonian disorder defines the infinitesimal probability dP (N; \mathbf{r}_1^0 ; \mathbf{r}_2^0 ; ...; \mathbf{r}_N^0) of finding N impurities at position \mathbf{r}_1^0 ; \mathbf{r}_2^0 ; ...; \mathbf{r}_N^0

$$dP (N; r_1^0; r_2^0; :::; r_N^0) = e^{-V} \frac{(V)^N}{N!} \frac{\Psi}{\sum_{i=1}^N} \frac{dr_i^0}{V}$$

where is the mean impurity density : in the thermodynamic limit N ;V ! 1 (V the area of the plane), $= \langle N \rangle = V$.

ouvry@lptms.u-psud.fr

The model has two parameters, and . Periodicity ! + 1and symmetry around = 1=2 in the spectrum imply that the density of states and the partition function are invariant under ! 1, thus depend in fact on and (1).

It has been shown via path integral random walk simulations that, when ! 0, the average density of states of the electron narrows down to the Landau density of states for the average magnetic field $< B > = ___o$ with broadened Landau levels (weak disorder). On the contrary, when ! 1=2, the density of states has no Landau level oscillations and rather exhibits a Lifschitz tail at the bottom of the spectrum (strong disorder).

In the path integral formulation, the average partition function rewrites as an average over C, the set of closed Brownian curves of a given length t (the inverse temperature)

$$< Z > = Z_{o} < e^{P_{n} S_{n} (e^{i2 n} 1)} > fCg$$

where S_n is the arithmetic area of the n-winding sector (i.e. the sector wounded around n times by the path) of a given path in fC g (Z_o is the free partition function). It amounts to say that the Poissonian A-B lines couple to the S_n 's, a different (intermediate) situation from the single A-B line, which couples to the angle spanned by the path around it, and from the homogeneous magnetic field, which couples to the algebraic area enclosed by the path. The average partition function rewrites as Z

$$< Z > = Z_{\circ}$$
 e $t(S + iA) P$ (S; A) dS dA

where $S = \frac{2}{t} \frac{P}{n} S_n \sin^2(n)$ and $A = \frac{1}{t} \frac{P}{n} S_n \sin(2n)$ are random Brownian loop variables and P(S;A) is their joint probability distribution. Since [4] S_n scales like t-in fact $\langle S_n \rangle = t = (2 n^2)$, even more, for n sufficiently large, $n^2 S_n ! \langle n^2 S_n \rangle = t = (2)$, the variables S and A are indeed tindependent.

In fact, very little is known on the joint distribution function P (S;A): i) when ! $0; < Z > ! Z_{\circ} < e^{i < B > n S_n} >_{fCg}$, which is,as

i) when $! 0; < Z > ! Z_{\circ} < e^{i < B > n \ln S_n} >_{fCg}$, which is, as expected, the partition function for the homogeneous mean magnetic field < B >, since nS_n is indeed the algebraic area enclosed by the path.

ii) when $! = 2; < Z > ! Z_{\circ} < e^{2^{P_{n \circ dd} S_{n}}} >_{fCg}$, implying that, for the average density of states (in terms of $_{\circ} (E)$) the free density of states)

< (E) >=
$$_{\circ}$$
 (E) P (S⁰)dS⁰

Here P (S⁰) is the probability distribution for the random variable S⁰ = $\frac{2}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{t} S_n$, n odd.

Turning now to a quantum mechanical formulation, the average partition function is

$$< Z >= e^{-v} \frac{X}{N} \frac{(V)^{N}}{N!} < Z_{N} >$$

$$= Z_{0} 1 + V \left(\frac{< Z_{1} >}{Z_{0}} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{2!} (V)^{2} \left(\frac{< Z_{2} >}{Z_{0}} - 2\frac{< Z_{1} >}{Z_{0}} + 1\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{3!} (V)^{3} \left(\frac{< Z_{3} >}{Z_{0}} - 3\frac{< Z_{2} >}{Z_{0}} + 3\frac{< Z_{1} >}{Z_{0}} - 1\right) + :::$$

where $\langle Z_N \rangle$ is the average N impurity partition function

$$\langle Z_N \rangle = \frac{Z_{i} Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{dr_{i}^{0}}{V} T re^{-H_{i}}}$$

for the N -impurity quantum Hamiltonian H $_{N}$.

The partition function of the mean magnetic field hB i = $_{\circ}$ is reproduced as a power series in $(_{P})^{n}$ (mean field approximation where the local magnetic field B (\mathbf{r}) = $\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{r}_{i}^{0})$ is replaced by its mean value). Perturbative corrections $^{n-m}$;m > n to the mean magnetic field expansion originate from disorder: in the 1-impurity case the correction is known [5] < $Z_{1} > = Z_{\circ} + ((1)=2;$ in the 2-impurity case one encounters non trivial Feynman diagrams at order $^{2-4}$, i.e. an electron interacting with 2 impurities 4 times, at order $^{2-6}$, i.e. an electron interacting with 2 impurities 6 times, etc...

In the symmetric gauge and in configuration space

$$H_{N} = \frac{1}{2} p \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=1}} \frac{\tilde{K} (r r_{i}^{0})^{2}}{(r r_{i}^{0})^{2}} + \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=1}} (r r_{i}^{0})$$

The nonunitary wavefunction redefinition

$$(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r}_{i}^{0} \mathbf{j} \sim (\mathbf{r})$$

results in the Hamiltonian H_N acting on ~ (z complex coordinates)

$$H_{N}^{*} = 2\theta_{z}\theta_{z} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} X^{N} \\ z \end{pmatrix}_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{z z_{i}^{0}}\theta_{z} = H_{o} + \tilde{V}_{N} \quad (z)$$

where quadratic interactions in the vector potential have disappeared.

where $G^{(\circ)} = e^{-H \circ}$ is the free propagator. The partition function at order n follows as

$$Z_{N}^{(n)} = TrG^{(n)} = TrG^{(n)} = (1)^{n}Tr d_{1} d_{2} d_{n}$$
$$V_{N}G_{12}^{(0)} = V_{N}G_{+n-1}^{(0)}$$

In the configuration space one has

with the average over disorder

$$\begin{array}{cccc} z_{i}^{2} & z_{i}^{0} & \frac{1}{z_{1} & z_{i}^{0}} & = & z_{1} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Note that if at first order in an ambiguity arises since ∇ (z_1) is a differential operator acting on the constant $G^{(o)}$ (z_1 ; z_1), this ambiguity can be lifted by a long distance harmonic well regularization or by other means (see for example [3]).

In momentum space one has (p complex coordinate)

$$G^{(o)}(p_{1};p_{2}) = hp_{1} je^{H_{o}} jp_{2} i = e^{\frac{jp_{1}j^{2}}{2} 2}(p_{1} p_{2})$$
$$hp_{1} jy_{N} jp_{2} i = 2 \frac{\chi^{N}}{\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}} p_{2}} e^{\frac{j}{2}(p_{1} p_{2})z_{1}^{0} + h:c:}$$

with the average over disorder implying momentum conservation.

With these perturbative Feynman rules at hand, one can proceed with the perturbative expansion of the average partition function. The first non trivial diagram occurs at order ² ⁴. Denoting the temperature differences as a = 1 2; b = 2 3; c = 3 4; d = 4 1, the momentum integration yields an integral over the temperatures

Integrating on 4, 3, etc..., leads for the non trivial part to [3]

where \sim (3) = 7 (3)=2. One can go a bit further : first rewrite this integral as

where d = (a + b + c) is understood. This is

$$Z_{1} = \frac{d(a + c)(b + d)}{abc + bcd + cda + dab} \quad (a + b + c + d))$$

or, using obvious symmetries,

$$Z_{1} = \frac{ab}{a,b;c;d=0} (a+b+c+d)$$
 ((a+b+c+d))

Therefore (3) becomes

$$\int_{a,b;c;d=0}^{1} da \, db \, dc \, dd \frac{ab}{abc+bcd+cda+dab} \quad ((a+b+c+d)) = \int_{16}^{2} \frac{1+2}{16} \frac{1+2}{16}$$

Then Laplace transform (4) $\begin{pmatrix} R_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} d e \overset{s}{:::}$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{s^3} \sum_{a,b;c;d=0}^{2} da db dc dd \frac{1}{cd(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d})} e^{s(a+b+c+d)} = \frac{2}{s^3} \frac{1 + (3)}{16}$$

Fix s = 1 and, in the denominator, exponentiate $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 1 \\ da \, db \, dc \, dd \\ a_{,b;c;d=0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 & 1 \\ da \, db \, dc \, dd \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} dt \frac{1}{cd} e^{-(a+b+c+d) - t(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}+\frac{1}{d})} = 2\frac{1+\sim(3)}{16} \end{array}$$

Change variable $u = 2^{p} \overline{t}$ and use

$$a^{n-1}e^{-a-\frac{t}{a}} = 2K_{n}(u)(\frac{u}{2})^{n}$$

where the K (u)'s are modified Bessel functions [6], to finally obtain

$$\int_{0}^{Z_{1}} u du (uK_{1}(u))^{2} K_{0}(u)^{2} = \frac{1 + (3)}{16}$$
(5)

which also been derived in a different context [7].

It can be generalized [8] : the family of integrals

$$p_n (0000) = \int_{0}^{Z_1} du \, u^{n+1} K_0 (u)^4 \quad n = 0$$

$$p_{n} (0011) = \begin{array}{c} U_{1} \\ U_{1} \\ U_{2} \\ U_{1} \\ U_{2} \\$$

 $\begin{array}{l} (n \ {\rm even}) \\ {\rm and} \end{array}$

$$i_{n} (0001) = \begin{array}{c} Z_{1} \\ du u^{n+1} K_{0} (u)^{3} K_{1} (u) & n \\ Z_{1}^{0} \\ i_{n} (0111) = \begin{array}{c} du u^{n+1} K_{0} (u) K_{1} (u)^{3} & n \\ \end{array}$$

(n odd) can be shown to be again related to (3), i.e. of the form (5). To show this, integrate by parts (use dK_0 (u)=du = K_1 (u) and $d(uK_1$ (u))=du = uK_0 (u)) to obtain

$$p_n (0000) = \frac{4}{n+2} i_{n+1} (0001) \quad n \quad 0$$

$$p_n (0011) = \frac{2}{n} (i_{n+1} (0001) + i_{n+1} (0111)) \quad n \quad 2$$

$$p_n (1111) = \frac{4}{n-2} i_{n+1} (0111) \quad n \quad 4$$

which implies

$$2np_n (0011) = (n + 2)p_n (0000) + (n - 2)p_n (1111) n - 4$$

Therefore i_{n+1} (0001); i_{n+1} (0111); and p_n (0011) are obtained from p_n (0000) and p_n (1111) which remain to be determined: further integration by parts gives

$$i_{n} (0111) = \frac{1}{n - 1} (p_{n+1} (1111) + 3p_{n+1} (0011)) \quad n = 3$$
$$i_{n} (0001) = \frac{1}{n + 1} (p_{n+1} (0000) + 3p_{n+1} (0011)) \quad n = 1$$

thus the recurrence relation acting on a 2 dimensionnal vector space

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_{n+2} (0000) \\ p_{n+2} (1111) \end{array} = \frac{1}{2^5 (n+2)} & (n+2)^2 (5n+4) & 3n^2 (n-2) \\ 3 (n+2)^2 (n+4) & n (n-2) (5n+16) \\ (6) \end{array} \qquad p_n (0000) \\ p_n (1111) \end{array}$$

for n 4. For 0 n < 4 direct computations (one has to rewrite these

simple integrals in terms of multiple integrals) give

$$p_{0}(0000) = \frac{\sim (3)}{2^{2}}$$

$$i_{1}(0001) = \frac{\sim (3)}{2^{3}}$$

$$p_{2}(0000) = \frac{3 + \sim (3)}{2^{4}}$$

$$p_{2}(0011) = \frac{1 + \sim (3)}{2^{4}} \text{ know n} \quad (5)$$

$$i_{3}(0001) = \frac{3 + \sim (3)}{2^{4}}$$

$$i_{3}(0111) = \frac{1}{2^{2}} \text{ obvious}$$

and the n = 4 initial conditions for the recurrence are

$$p_{4} (0000) = \frac{3^{3} + 7^{\sim} (3)}{2^{6}}$$

$$p_{4} (1111) = \frac{53 \quad 3^{2}^{\sim} (3)}{2^{6}}$$
(7)

For example, in terms of the corresponding multiple integral, $p_0 (0000) =$ ~ (3)=2² is nothing but

$$Z_{1} = \frac{1}{abc;d=0} da db dc dd \frac{1}{abc+bcd+cda+dab} e^{-(a+b+c+d)} = 7$$
(3)

If one sets n=2k and defines $q_k\left(0\right)=p_{2k}\left(0000\right){=}\left(2k\right)!$ and $q_k\left(1\right)=p_{2k}\left(1111\right){=}\left(2k\right)!$ the recurrence (6) becomes

$$\begin{array}{l} q_{k+1}\left(0\right) \\ q_{k+1}\left(1\right) \end{array} = \frac{1}{2^{4}\left(2k+1\right)} & (5k+2) & 3k^{2}\left(k-1\right) = (k+1)^{2} & q_{k}\left(0\right) \\ & 3\left(k+2\right) & k\left(k-1\right)\left(5k+8\right) = (k+1)^{2} & q_{k}\left(1\right) \\ & \left(8\right) \end{array}$$

k = 2. In the asymptotic regime $k \neq 1$

$$\frac{1}{2^5}$$
 $\frac{5}{3}$ $\frac{3}{5}$

diagonalizes as

with eigenvalues 1=4 and 1=16. It is a remarkable fact that the initial conditions (7), that is for the q's

$$q_2(0) = \frac{27 + 7^{(3)}}{(3)(2^8)}; \quad q_2(1) = \frac{53 \quad 9^{(3)}}{(3)(2^8)}$$

are such that the asymptotic behavior of q_k (0) and q_k (1) happens to be governed by the smallest eigenvalue, i.e. $\lim_{k!=1} q_k$ (0) ' $(1=16)^{k-2}$ and $\lim_{k!=1} q_k$ (1) ' $(1=16)^{k-2}$.

This is not the end of the story: pushing the perturbative expansion at order 2 6 [9] one encounters the integral $^{0}_{0}$ du I₁ (u)K $_{0}$ (u)² (uK $_{1}$ (u)), where I₁ (u) is again a modified Bessel function [6]. This integral is found to be

$$\sum_{0}^{2} du I_{1}(u)K_{0}(u)^{2}(uK_{1}(u)) = \frac{2}{8}$$
 (9)

It is not an isolated case: the family of integrals

$$p_{n}^{0}(000) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)K_{0}(u)^{3}u^{2}$$

$$p_{n}^{1}(000) = du u^{n} I_{1}(u)K_{0}(u)^{3}u^{2}$$

$$p_{n}^{1}(000) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)K_{0}(u)^{2}(uK_{1}(u))$$

$$p_{n}^{0}(001) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)K_{0}(u)^{2}(uK_{1}(u))$$

$$p_{n}^{0}(011) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)K_{0}(u)(uK_{1}(u))^{2}$$

$$p_{n}^{1}(011) = du u^{n} I_{1}(u)K_{0}(u)(uK_{1}(u))^{2}$$

$$p_{n}^{0}(111) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)(uK_{1}(u))^{3}$$

$$p_{n}^{0}(111) = du u^{n} (I_{0}(u)u)(uK_{1}(u))^{3}$$

$$p_{n}^{1}(111) = du u^{n} I_{1}(u)(uK_{1}(u))^{3}$$

(n 0;n even) can be shown to be also related 1 to (2) (note that (9) is p_0^1 (001) = (2)=8).

Indeed, integration by part (this exercice is left to the interested reader, use $\frac{dI_0(u)}{du} = I_1(u)$ and $\frac{d(uI_1(u))}{du} = uI_0(u)$) gives [10] that

$$p_{n+2}^{0}(000) = \frac{1}{2^{5}(n+4)} \qquad (n+4)^{2}(5n+14) \qquad 3(n+2)^{2}(n) \qquad p_{n}^{0}(000) = \frac{1}{2^{5}(n+4)} \qquad 3(n+4)^{2}(n+6) \qquad (n+2)(n)(5n+26) \qquad p_{n}^{1}(111) = \frac{1}{2^{5}(n+4)} \qquad (10)$$

and that all other integrals are given in terms of p_n^0 (000) and p_n^1 (111). For example

$$2^{5} (n + 3) (n + 4) p_{n+2}^{0} (011) = + 8 (n + 2)^{2} (n + 4)^{2} p_{n}^{0} (011) (n + 4)^{2} (3n^{2} + 15n + 14) p_{n}^{0} (000) + n (n + 2) (3n^{2} + 27n + 58) p_{n}^{1} (111)^{1}$$

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{du} \ (\mathrm{I_0} \ (\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{u}) \mathrm{K_0} \ (\mathrm{u})^3 \ = \ 3 \ (2) \\ \mathrm{but}, \ \mathrm{surprisingly}, \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{R_1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{du} \ \mathrm{I_1} \ (\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{K_0} \ (\mathrm{u})^3 \ \mathrm{is \ not \ related \ to} \ (2). \end{array}$

Amusingly enough, the recurrence (10) is identical to (6) up to n ! n+2 and to the off-diagonal global sign, the origin of which being easily tractable to the sign difference in the differentiation relations between I_0 and I_1 on the one hand, and K $_0$ and K $_1$ on the other hand.

Setting as above n = 2k;k = 0 and redifining

$$q_{k}^{0}(0) = \frac{p_{2k}^{0}(000)}{(2(k+1))!}$$
$$q_{k}^{1}(1) = \frac{p_{2k}^{1}(111)}{(2(k+1))!}$$

the recurrence becomes

$$\begin{array}{l} q^0_{k+1}\left(0\right) \\ q^1_{k+1}\left(1\right) \end{array} = \frac{1}{2^4\left(2k+3\right)} \quad \begin{array}{l} (5k+7) & 3k\left(k+1\right)^2 = (k+2)^2 \\ 3\left(k+3\right) & k\left(k+1\right)\left(5k+13\right) = (k+2)^2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} q^0_k\left(0\right) \\ q^1_k\left(1\right) \end{array}$$

with again the eigenvalues 1=4 and 1=(16) for the asymptotic matrix. The initial conditions are (by direct computation)

$$q_0^0(0) = (2)$$

$$q_0^1(0) = \frac{1}{8} + 3^{\sim}(2)$$

where $\sim (2) = 3 (2) = 2^{2}$.

To conclude a few remarks can be made:

-in both recurrences, the building blocks, $\sim (2) = 3$ $(2)=2^{\circ}$ and $\sim (3) = 7$ (3)=2, are not pure (n)'s, but rather $(2^{\circ} 1)$ (n)'s. This indicates that one deals with alternated Euler sums,

$$a(n) = \frac{X}{p=1} \frac{(1)^{p}}{p^{n}}$$

or

$$(n) = \frac{(n) + a(n)}{2} = \frac{2^n 1}{2^n}$$
 (n)

-it has been impossible so far to generalize to (4); (5); :: in terms of simple integrals on the product of modified Bessel functions². May be one should look at double nested integrals as indicated [9] by computation at order 2 ⁶.

 $^2 \rm Note that integrals on a product of four modified Bessel functions seem so far needed: for example <math display="inline">$Z_{\rm c}$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} du \, uK_{0} (u)^{3} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{p=0}^{N} \frac{1}{(3p+1)^{2}} - \frac{2}{3} (2)$$

is not simply given in terms of 's.

References

- Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. 115 (1959) 485; for an earlier description of the same effect see: W. Ehrenberg, R. W. Siday, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, sec. B 62 (1949) 8
- [2] P. Stovicek, Phys. Lett. A 142 (1989) 5; S. Mashkevich, J. Myrheim and S. Ouvry, Phys. Lett. A 330 (2004) 41
- [3] J. Desbois, C. Furtlehner and S. Ouvry, Nuclear Physics B [FS] 453 (1995) 759
- [4] Alain Comtet, Jean Desbois and Stéphane Ouvry, J. Phys. A 23 (1990) 3563; Wendelin Werner, Thèse, Université Paris 7 (1993) and Probability Theory and Related Fields (1994) 111
- [5] Alain Comtet, Yvon Georgelin and Stéphane Ouvry, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 (1989) 3917
- [6] see Gradshteyn and Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Fifth Edition, Academic Press, 8.432 1. and 8.432 6
- [7] L. Samaj and I. Travenec, J. Stat. Phys 101 (2000) 713
- [8] Cyril Furtlehner and Stéphane Ouvry, math-ph/0306004, "Integrals involving four Macdonald functions and their relation to 7 (3)=2"
- [9] Cyril Furtlehner and Stéphane Ouvry, in preparation
- [10] This was shown in collaboration with Guillaume de Montlaur, stage de Maîtrise Paris VI (summer 2004)