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#### Abstract

W e suggest and discuss a sim ple $m$ odel of an ideal gas under the piston to gain an insight into the workings of the Jarzynski identity connecting the average exponential of the work over the non-equilibrium trajectories $w$ ith the equilibrium free energy. W e show that the Jarzynski identity is valid for our system due to the very rapid $m$ olecules belonging to the tail of the $M$ axw ell distribution. For the $m$ ost interesting extrem $e, w h e n ~ t h e ~ s y s t e m ~$ volum e is large, while the piston is $m$ oving with large speed (com pared to therm al velocity) for a very short tim e, the necessary num ber of independent experim ental runs to obtain a reasonable approxim ation for the free energy from averaging the non-equilibrium work grow s exponentially w ith the system size.


## 1 Introduction

The celebrated Jarzynsk i identity is perhaps the $m$ ost recently discovered $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1, n}\end{array}\right]$ sim ple general form ula in elem entary statisticalm echanics:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{W=k_{B} T} i=e^{F=k_{B} T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see below about sign convention). The claim of this charm ing simple formula is as follow $s$. Suppose we have an arbitrary system and let us consider two states of this system speci ed by param eters, say $A_{\text {in itial }}$ and $A$ nal; these could be volum es, magnetic elds, or just about anything else. If the system com es to them odynam ic equilibrium at $A_{\text {in itial, }}$ it has free energy $F\left(A_{\text {in itial }}\right)=F_{\text {in itial }}$; if the system is equilibrated at $A$ nal, its free energy is $F\left(A_{\text {nal }}\right)=F$ nal. The di erence of these free energies is $F=F$ nal $F_{\text {in itial }}$. A ccording to elem entary therm odynam ics, if we drive the system from in itial to nal state by a reversible process, such that the system rem ains at equilibrium at every stage, then we have to perform work, $W$, which is equal the free energy change:
$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{F}$; if, on the other hand, the process is not reversible, then the second law of them odynam ics tells us that h W i F.W e know, of course, that the second law of them odynam ics is of a statistical nature, and, therefore, from time to time, very infrequently, the uctuations occur in which $W<F$. These uctuationsm ight be very rare, but w ith large $W$ (strongly negative $W$ ) their contribution to the average of $e^{H}=k_{B} T \mathrm{~m}$ ight be signi cant. The Jarzynski form ula (11)) tells us precisely that: when all uctuations, including those violating $W$ W are taken into account, then the average of he ${ }^{W}=k_{B}{ }^{T}$ i reduces to $e^{F=k_{B} T}$.

It is worth repeating that $W$ is the work perform ed by an extemal force on the system ; in other words, $W$ is the work perform ed by the system itself. W e use th is sign convention (perhaps som ew hat non-standard) because it w ill m ake for positive W and save us som e writing in interesting cases below.

The tem pting use of th is result is to circum vent in com puter sim ulation or in real experim ent the often painful stage of equilibrating the system. Instead, it should be possible to run the system $m$ any tim es without any worry
of the equilibrium, repeatedly $m$ easure the work, $W$, and still obtain the equilibrium in form ation, $F$ from the form ula $\left[_{1}^{1} l_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The problem is that it requires exploration of all sorts of uctuations along the way and $m$ easurem ent of the work $W$ for every uctuation.

There are already quite a num ber of works exploring various aspects of the Jarzynski form ula. P apers [rin address $m$ athem atical foundations of the Jarzynski identity in the context of fundam ental statistical $m$ echan ics. Som e authors describe the Jarzynski identity in term s of transient violations of the second law of therm odynam ics' [161]. There is a history of cases when such uctuation e ects werem entioned under the nam e of tem porary violations of the second law ' $\underline{l n}^{\prime}, 1 / 8$ second law, even iftem porarily. H ere, we do not $m$ ake any $m$ com $m$ itm ent to any term inology in this sense, and just say that the Jarzynski identity is based on proper exploration of a representative set of uctuations. Various
 (see also extensive literature cited in these works).
$N$ evertheless, we found that one aspect is $m$ issing in the current literature, nam ely, the pedagogical aspect. The Jarzynski form ula appears so simple and so general that there ought to be a sim ple way to explain it and to gain an intuitive insight of it on a very elem entary level. This m otivated us to look for the sim plest possible exam ple in which the Jarzynski equation show s som e non-trivial results. Since the conceptually sim plest sub ject in statistical $m$ echanics is undoubtedly the classical idealgas, the goal of the present paper is to w ork out the application of the Jarzynski form ula to the ideal gas. Of course, we shall nd nothing really new in term $s$ of factual results, but we hope for a good new insight.

## 2 M odel and form ulation of the seem ing paradox

C onsider som e am ount of idealgas in a vesselunder a piston. E verything is supposed to be in accord w ith elem entary physics textbooks: ideal them oisolation, $m$ ass-less piston $m$ oving $w$ ithout any friction, etc. Suppose in itially the piston is som e distance $L$ from the bottom of the vessel, and that the gas tem perature is $T$. Let us now $m$ ove the piston by som e distance $L$ and stop it again, thus preparing the nal state.

The follow ing w ay of thinking seem s quite logical from a physicist point of view. To m ake the situation dram atic, let us suppose that we $m$ ove the piston at a very high speed, much faster than the speed of sound in the gas, or, in other words, m uch faster than the averaged therm alvelocity of the molecules. Then we can roughly say that no m olecules will be able to chase the piston while it is moving, no molecules will hit it, and there will be, therefore, no work. W hen the piston is stopped at the end, m olecules start arriving, they do bom bard the piston, but since the piston does not $m$ ove at this stage, the work is still zero. This logic leads to the conclusion that in this case $W=0$, implying he ${ }^{W}=k_{B} T i=1$, while obviously $F \in 0$, which seem $s$ to contradict the Jarzynski identity ( $\left.1 \underset{L}{ }\right)^{\prime}$.

To resolve this paradox we have to rem em ber about the tail of the $M$ axw ell distribution: how ever large is the speed of a piston, there is still som e probability of molecules moving fast enough to chase the piston and hit it while it is m oving. This already suggests that the Jarzynski identity ( $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{L}}^{1}$ ) has to do w ith the tails of the relevant distributions. To $m$ ake th is statem ent $m$ ore precise, we shall com pute the probability distribution of the work W for our elem entary model. This is obviously much more than just com puting the average involved in Jarzynski form ula [11).

In order to $m$ ake our article $m$ ore pedagogical, we shall start $w$ ith proving the very identity ( (in) for our speci $c$ system. W e shall also relegate cum bensom e calculations to the appendix.

## 3 C alculations

### 3.1 A verage value of $e^{W=k_{B} T}$

Since we plan to consider an ideal gas, all m olecules $w$ ill contribute to both $W$ and $F$ independently. Therefore, we can im agine the Jarzynski form ula [11) re-w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{W_{1}=k_{B} T} i^{N}=e^{F_{1}=k_{B} T}{ }^{N} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ are the work and the free energy change per one $m$ olecule. $W$ e see that for the ideal gas, quantities $W_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ satisfy the Jarzynski form ula looking identical to $\left.\mathcal{I}_{1}\right)$. We, therefore, restrict ourselves for sim plicity to the \ideal gas" of just one molecule, and also for sim plicity we suppress the index 1 in $w$ riting $W$ and F. Thus, we keep considering formula ( 12 , but we think now about just one molecule in an ideal gas.

Furtherm ore, to simplify writing, we assume that the tem perature is such that $k_{B} T=1$, the $m$ ass of the m olecule is $\mathrm{m}=1$, and the piston is m oving during the time interval $=1$.
$F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ illustrates the system consisting of a therm ally-isolated cylinder, a piston $m$ oving at speed $v_{p}$, and a single $m$ olecule initially at position $x$ with velocity $v$. The molecule bounces o the walls elastically, so we are concemed only with the one-dim ensionalm otion indicated. The space-tim e diagram depicts the trajectory of the piston and the trajectories for a molecule initially $m$ oving tow ard the piston (dashed lines) and for a molecule initially $m$ oving aw ay from the piston (thinner dashed lines).

Let us focus on the work $W$ done by the single $m$ olecule on the piston, in a tim e interval $=1$. The quantity $e^{W}$ ( $k_{B} T=1$ ) is to be averaged over the possible initial states ( $x ; v$ ) of the $m$ olecule draw $n$ from a $M$ axw ell $B$ oltzm ann distribution. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{W} i=\frac{R_{L} d x_{1}{ }^{R_{1}} \text { dve }{ }^{v^{2}=2} e^{w}(x ; v)}{{ }_{R_{L}}{ }_{0} d R_{1}{ }_{1} d^{(x v e} v^{2}=2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(x ; v)$ is the work done by the gas $m$ olecule given the initial coordinate ( $x ; v$ ) and tim e elapsed . To com pute this function $w(x ; v)$, we rst need to work out the collision tim es betw een the $m$ olecule and the piston and the work done after $n$ collisions.

Let us rst assum e a positive initial velocity, in which the $m$ olecule can strike the piston rst before hitting the left end of the cylinder. T he tim e taken for the rst collision $w$ ith the piston is $t_{1}=\frac{L}{v} v_{p}$. A fter the collision, the velocity of the $m$ olecule relative to the piston gets reversed and the speed of the $m$ olecule gets dim in ished to $v \quad 2 \underline{y}$ (assum ing $v>2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ). The tim e taken for the second collision w th the piston is given by $t_{2}=\frac{3 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{v}} 3 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}$. In general, for the ${ }^{\text {th }}$ collision

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}^{+}=\frac{(2 n 10) L}{v \quad(2 n \quad 1)_{\mathrm{Y}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, for a m olecule w ith a negative in itial velocity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{n}=\frac{(2 n \quad 1) L+x}{v \quad(2 n \quad 1) \mathbb{W}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations can be inverted to give conditions that should be satis ed by the speed of the $m$ olecule in order to result in exactly $n$ collisions $w$ ith the piston $w$ ith in a tim e interval $=1$. For positive in itial velocities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n \quad 1)(L+\varphi) \quad x<j j j<(2 n+1)(L+Y) \quad x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For negative in itial velocities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 n \quad 1)(L+\varphi)+x<j v j<(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)+x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The work done by the piston on the $m$ olecule after one collision is the change in $m$ om entum of the $m$ olecule tim es the velocity of the piston,

$$
\left.\mathrm{w}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v} & 2 \mathrm{y} \tag{8}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{v}\right)_{\mathrm{Y}}=2(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}
$$

In general, the w ork done after n collisions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{v} \emptyset \mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the work done can also be calculated from the change in kinetic energy after n collisions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad 2 \mathrm{n}_{\varphi}\right)^{2} \quad v^{2}=2=\quad 2 \mathrm{n} \varphi v+2 n^{2} v_{p}^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The work done by the molecule on the piston is positive for an expanding volum e.
W e are now facing the laborious task of calculating the integralin the num erator ofform ula ( $\overline{3_{1}^{1}}$ ). It is cum bersom e, because it m ust include the sum $m$ ation over all possible num bers ofbounces of ourm olecule from the piston. N ote that a large num ber of bounces correspond to a very large initial velocity of the molecule, as it has to have tim e to chase the piston for $n$ bounces, even though it looses $m$ om entum and gets slow er at every bounce. The actual


$$
\begin{align*}
h^{W} i & =\frac{R_{L+v_{p}} d x_{1}^{R_{1}} d v e v^{v^{2}=2}}{R_{L} d R_{1} R_{1} d v e v^{2}=2} \\
& =\frac{0}{L}+v_{p} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be recognized as the ratio of the partition functions at the nal (after tim $e=1$ ) and in itial volum es at the in itial tem perature $\mathrm{T}=1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{T}\right)=\mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{T})$. T his expression is also identical to that obtained from the Jarzynski identity.

### 3.2 P robability distribution of the work W

The prescription for evaluating the distribution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P(W)=\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{Z} d x \sum_{1}^{Z_{1}} d v e^{v^{2}=2} \text { (W) } W(x ; v)\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he calculations are presented in detail in appendix ${ }^{-1} \mathbf{B}$. W ith the expression (16) for $n$, the num ber of bounces, one can get rid of the sum $m$ ation over this num ber and the distribution function "- sim pli es to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\mathbb{W})=(\mathbb{W}) P_{0}+\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2} n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}{ }^{2}}}{2 n v_{p}} f(\mathbb{W}): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, $P_{0}$ is the probability to obtain vanishing work because the molecule is unable to chase the piston or hit it even once,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=\frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{Z} d x \underbrace{Z_{\left(L+v_{p}\right)}}_{\left(L+v_{p}\right)} d v e^{(v x)^{2}=2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the function $f(W)$, which we call the overlap factor, can be form ulated as follow (see also gure $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \quad(\mathrm{n} \quad 1) \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}+1+\frac{\mathrm{W}}{4 \mathrm{nv} \mathrm{~L}} \quad \mathrm{when} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1)\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}+2 \mathrm{~L}\right)<\frac{\mathrm{W}}{2 \mathrm{n} v_{p}} \quad(\mathrm{n}
\end{array} 1\right)(\mathrm{g}+2 \mathrm{~L})+2 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{n}+1) \frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}+1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{4 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~L}} \quad \mathrm{when} \quad(\mathrm{n} \quad 1)\left(\mathrm{\varphi}_{\mathrm{p}}+2 \mathrm{~L}\right)+2 \mathrm{~L}+2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}<\frac{\mathrm{W}}{2 n \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}} \quad(\mathrm{n}+1)\left(\mathrm{\varphi}_{\mathrm{p}}+2 \mathrm{~L}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere, the integer $n$ (which is the num ber of bounces by the molecule against the piston) is obtained in appendix $\mathbf{B r}_{-1}$ and is given by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=1+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{1+\frac{2 \mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)}} \quad 2 ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [: : :] m eans integer part of :: :. For exam ple, sim ple algebra indicates that as long as $W<4 v_{p}\left(2 L+v_{p}\right)$, we have just one collision, $n=1$. For the values of work $W$ in the next interval, $4 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)<\mathrm{W}<12 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(2 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, we have $n=2$, etc.

Thus, the probability distribution $P(W)$ consists of a -function peak at $W=0$ and a tail at positive $W$.

### 3.3 Lim it of large volum e and fast $m$ oving piston

A swe said in the beginning, the $m$ ost interesting case is $w$ hen the piston $m$ oves fast, such that hardly any $m$ olecule can chase it and produce non-zero work. That means, the Jarzynski identity in this case relies exclusively on the far tail of the $M$ axw ell distribution. Let us consider the probability distribution $P$ ( $W$ ) in this lim it, $V_{p} 1$.

It is reasonable to assum e sim ultaneously that the volume is large enough, such that $L \quad v_{p}$. Since in $m$ ore traditional units this condition reads $L \quad v_{p}$, it $m$ eans that the piston $m$ oves fast, but for a very short tim $e$.

In this case, the distribution is dom inated by the single bounce, that is, $n=1$. A ssum ing $n=1$ and $v_{p} \quad L$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~W})^{\prime} & \frac{\mathrm{W}}{4 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~L}} & \text { when } & 0<\mathrm{W}  \tag{17}\\
2 \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{p} L} & \text { when } & 4 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~L} L \\
4 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~W} & <8 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~L}
\end{array}
$$

which yields the probability distribution expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(W), \quad(W) P_{0}+\frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}+\frac{W}{2 v_{p}}{ }^{2}}{2 V_{p}} \frac{W}{4 v_{p} L}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid at $L \quad V_{p} \quad 1$ and $W<4 L v_{p}$. For the larger $W$ tail of the distribution $P$ ( $W$ ), we have to inchude the second line ofeq. ( $1-{ }^{-} / 1$ ), and for even larger $W$ also higher $n$ values. Luckily, there is no need to do that, because the sim ple approxim ation (18) is good enough to capture the Jarzynski result. A though the Jarzynski identity involves he ${ }^{W}$ i, which includes integration over all values of $W_{\text {_ }}$, the integral converges rapidly enough to yield the correct answer w ith in the region of applicability of form ula (18):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h e^{W} i=Z_{1}^{Z} P(W) e^{W} d W=P_{0}+\frac{1}{2 V_{p}} e_{0}^{\left.\frac{1}{8 v_{p}^{2}(W} \quad 2 v_{p}^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{W}{4 v_{p} L} d W \\
& =\quad Z_{0}^{L} \frac{d x}{L^{2}} P_{1}^{Z_{1}^{2}}{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} \text { dve } \frac{1}{2(v x)^{2}}+ \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2 v_{p}} e_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{\frac{1}{8 v_{p}^{2}}(W} \quad 2 v_{p}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{2 v_{p}^{2}}{4 v_{p} L} d W \\
& =1+\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}}{\mathrm{~L}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ ere, we m ade approxim ations in both the $P_{0}$ term, by extending the integral lim its to ( $1,1_{1}$ ), and in the tail term, by setting the integral low er lim it to 1 . This way, we do recover the Jarzynski form ula (11).

Let us also calculate the probability of obtaining non-zero work values (one or more collisions) as well as the average work done, i.e. the $0^{\text {th }}$ ( $w$ thout the $P_{0}$ term) and $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{m}$ om ents of the distribution. $U$ sing expression ( 18 ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{W}>0=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} P(W) d W=\frac{e^{v_{p}^{2}=2}}{4^{2} \frac{Z_{1}}{2 L v_{p}^{2}}} 0_{0} W e^{\frac{1}{2} W}{\frac{1}{8 v_{p}^{2}} W^{2}}^{D} W  \tag{19}\\
& h W i=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}^{0^{+}}} W P(W) d W=\frac{e^{v_{p}^{2}=2}}{4 \frac{Z^{2}}{2 L v_{p}^{2}}}{ }_{0} W^{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} W} \int^{\frac{1}{8 v_{p}^{2} W^{2}}} d W \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

For large $v_{p}$, we neglect the term $W^{2}=\left(8 v_{p}^{2}\right)$ in the exponents upon integration, yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{W}>0, \frac{1}{2 L v_{p}^{2}} e^{v_{p}^{2}=2}  \tag{21}\\
& h W i \quad \frac{1}{2 L v_{p}^{2}} e^{v_{p}^{2}=2}(4)=4 P_{W}>0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

To the sam e approxim ation, the probability to obtain zero work $W$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{0}=1 \quad \frac{1}{2 L v_{p}^{2}} e^{v_{p}^{2}=2}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s one could have expected, these probabilities are govemed by the tail of the M axw ell distribution.

### 3.4 C om parison with sim ulations

$M$ easurem ents were $m$ ade in com puter sim ulations and com pared with the results obtained in the previous sections. The conditions for the pulling' experim ents were as follow s: the pulling' time $=1$, the tem perature was set to $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=1$ (which sets the width of the gaussian distribution from which the in itial velocities were selected), and the num ber of trials or iterations used per $m$ easured average was 100;000. T he param eters that we varied were the piston velocity $v_{p}$ and the initial piston length (or volum e') L.

Figures ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ piston length. In the rst case, the piston velocity was set to $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}=0: 01$ and in the second case was set to $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}=1$. The e ect of the overlap factor is evident in the form er case of a slower moving piston.
$F$ igure ${ }^{3}$ presents data for the average hexp ( $W=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ ) i. The Jarzynski identity predicts that th is average should be $\left(1+v_{p}=\mathrm{L}\right)=2$.

F igure 家 presents data for the average work done. The expression corresponding to a free energy change at constant tem perature $T$, nam ely $\ln \left(1+V_{p}=L\right)=\operatorname{lnh} e^{W} i=\quad F$, is plotted, as wellas the expression for the average work done (22) for large $v_{p}\left(v_{p} \quad 1\right)$. A s the velocity $v_{p}$ increases, the average work done is seen to shift from one regime (in which hW i' $F$ ) to another (in which $\mathrm{hW} i<\mathrm{F}$ ). If we take $\mathrm{hW} i \quad \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{W}$ dis as some $m$ easure of dissipation', then it is also seen that this quantity increases as $v_{p}$ increases, although the di erence is not $m u c h m$ ore than $k_{B} T$.

In gure ${ }_{2}{ }_{1}$ the expression for the probability of obtaining non-zero work values in the high velocity lim it is com pared with the fraction of trials in which a collision occurred betw een $m$ olecule and piston. D ue to the rarity of collisions (and dom inance of single collisions) in the range of velocities tested ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}=1 ; 1: 5 ; 2 ; 2: 5 ; 3, \mathrm{~L}=1$ ), the fraction of trials $w$ th collisions is identical to the average num ber of collisions.

## 4 D iscussion and conclusion

Let us look closer at ourm ain results obtained in section one perform the experim ent ofm oving the piston in order to get a reasonable estim ate of the average he ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ i? At the very least, in order to get the non-zero answ er for the free energy di erence from Jarzynski form ula [1]), one has to get at least one case of non-zero work. For this, one has to perform about $1=P_{w}>0$ experim ents, which is already a very large num ber at $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}$ 1. In fact, as our calculations show, in order to recover the Jarzynski identity, we have to continue integration into the region where $W$ is as large as about $L v_{p}$. In practical term $s$, th is $m$ eans, we have to perform as $m$ any runs on the system as to get at least a few realizations $w$ th the work of th is order. A ccording to the form ula ( ${ }^{(18)}$ ), the corresponding probability is roughly proportional to $e^{2 L^{2}}$. In other words, this requires about $e^{+2 L^{2}}$ runs. Restoring the $m$ ore traditional notations $w i t h k_{B} T$ and, we estim ate the necessary num ber of runs (or trials) as $\exp m L^{2}={ }^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$. C learly, th is is a very large num ber.

In practical term $s$, one $m$ ay also want to know if the use of the Jarzynski identity is useful. At rst glance, it seem s extrem ely useful: one apparently does not have to equilibrate the system and by doing purely nonequilibrium m easurem ents, one nevertheless recovers the equilibrium free energy. O ur exam ple suggests that the situation $m$ ight be a little $m$ ore tricky. Indeed, to do equilibrium m easurem ents, one has to proceed very slow ly, to keep the system close to equilibrium all the tim e; for this, has to be larger than the system relaxation tim e, which grows w ith the system size $L$ (in our dim ensionless variables, this corresponds to the lim it $\left.v_{p} \quad L \quad 1\right)$. But, on the other hand, if one proceeds very rapidly, then one has to perform exponentially many experim ents in order to catch the exponentially rare but decisively im portant uctuations. T his consideration suggests that there $m$ ight be som e optim al strategy. For the ideal gas model, such optim al strategy is m ost likely the (classical) slow equilibrium' experim ent, because the tim e for such an experim ent grows only linearly with $L$, while the tim e for a 'fast' experim ent is exponential. For other system $s$, the optim al strategy $m$ ight be interm ediate between one very slow experim ent and very $m$ any rapid ones. Unfortunately, it is clear that such optim al strategy is highly sensitive to the particularities of the system in question. O ur model, which is an ideal gas, is a system with a at energy landscape. For other energy landscapes one $m$ ay w onder about the trajectories visiting various valleys. U nfortunately, the know ledge of these valleys is exactly what one $w a n t s$ to leam from $m$ aking $m$ easurem ents of equilibrium free energies. In any case, the insight we can gain from ourprim itivem odelis that rapid non-equilibrium $m$ easurem ents are not autom atically advantageous.

To conclude, we have presented a very naive sim ple model to look at the Jarzynski identity. W e do recover the identity for our $m$ odel, and we are able to dem onstrate that its validity relies on the far tail of the $M$ axw ell distribution, in the sense that the dom inant contribution is provided by the very rapidly moving $m$ olecules. W e are also able to estim ate how $m$ any independent experim ental runs are necessary to obtain the equilibrium free energy from the Jarzynski identity w ith a reasonable accuracy, this necessary num ber of trials appears exponential in the system size.
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## A C om puting the num erator in Equation

U sing the expression for the work done in $n$ collisions ( $(\underline{10})$ ) and in view of the inequalities $(\underline{\sigma})$ and ( $\left.\bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$ developed in section

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I=Z_{0}^{Z_{L}} Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d v e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} e^{w}=1(x ; v) \\
& Z^{0} \quad X^{1} Z_{(2 n+1)}\left(L+v_{p}\right) \quad x \\
& =\quad \int_{n=1}^{d x} \quad(2 n \quad 1)\left(L+v_{p}\right) \quad x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d x^{(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)+x} d v e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} e^{\left(2 v v_{p} n+2 v_{p}^{2} n^{2}\right)}+ \\
& 0 \quad n=1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 n & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(L+v_{p}\right)+x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{\text {L }} \quad Z_{\left(L+V_{p}\right)} x^{x} \\
& d x \quad d v e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} e^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =d x d v e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(v 2 v_{p} n\right)^{2}}+ \\
& Z_{(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)+x}^{d}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{2} 2 v_{p} n\right)^{2}}+ \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{n} \text { 1) }\left(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\mathrm{x} \\
& Z \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad\left(\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{x} \\
& d x \quad d v e \frac{1}{2} v^{2} \\
& 0\left(L+v_{p}\right) x
\end{aligned}
$$

Em ploying a change of variable, $v^{0}=v \quad 2 n y$,

I =

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d v^{0} e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{02}}+ \\
& Z \begin{array}{ccc}
Z_{L}^{(2 n} & 1) L+x & V_{p} \\
\mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{Z} & \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}
\end{array} \\
& d x \quad d v e e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} \\
& 0 \quad(L+x) \quad v_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $v_{p}$ is zero or absent, the separate integrals could be coalesced into a single integral of a gaussian from 1 to 1 and the result is trivial (identical to the denom inator in the average). T herefore, let us separate the excess' from the trivial result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{L} \quad Z_{1} \quad \text { dve }{ }^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d v+\quad d v+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 n+1) L+x \quad(2 n \quad 1) L+x \quad V_{p} \\
& Z_{L} x+v_{p} \quad Z \begin{array}{l}
L+x+V_{p}
\end{array} \\
& d v+\quad d v \quad e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} \\
& L x \quad L+x
\end{aligned}
$$

The nst, third, fth and sixth integrals after the sum $m$ ation symbol (those with upper lim its $\backslash:::+v_{p}$ ") can be com bined after $m$ aking the change of variables, $x^{0}=(2 n+1) L \quad x, x^{0}=(2 n+1) L+x, x^{0}=L \quad x, x^{0}=L+x$, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dx}^{\mathrm{Z}^{0}} \mathrm{x}^{0}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{dve} \mathrm{~m}^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the second and fourth integrals after the sum $m$ ation symbol (those with lower lim its $\backslash::$ : f ") in a sim ilar aw ay, after the change of variables $x^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 n & 1\end{array}\right) L \quad x, 8=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 n & 1\end{array}\right) L+x$, the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dx}^{0} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}^{0} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dve} \mathrm{~m}^{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Perform ing yet another change of variables, $v^{0}=v \quad x^{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dx}^{0}{ }_{0}^{Z_{v_{p}}} \mathrm{dv}^{0} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(v^{0}+x^{0}\right)^{2}}  \tag{27}\\
& I_{1}={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dx}^{Z_{0}^{0}}{ }_{v_{\mathrm{p}}}^{0} \mathrm{dv}^{0} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(v^{0}+x^{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

(N otice that the series of variable substitutions e ectively exchanged the in nite lim its associated with $v$ w ith the nite lim its associated with $x$.) A fter perform ing the change of variable for $I_{2}, v^{0}=v^{0}$, and com bining the two integrals,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}+I_{2}=Z_{1} d x^{Z^{0} v_{p}}{d v^{0}}^{0} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{0}+v^{0}\right)^{2}}+ \\
& Z_{1}^{0} \quad Z_{V_{p}}^{0} \\
& d x^{0} \quad d v^{\infty} e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{0} v^{00}\right)^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{Z}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}} \mathrm{dv}^{0} \mathrm{Z}^{0}{ }_{1} \\
& 0 \quad 1_{1} d x e^{\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{0} v^{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Exchanging the $\backslash$ roles" of $x^{0}$ and $v^{0}$, i.e. letting $v=x^{0}$ and $x=v^{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}+I_{2}=Z_{0}^{Z_{p}} d x Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d v e^{\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this sim pli ed result, the num erator in the average becom es

## B C om puting the probability distribution P (W ), Eq. (122)

The inequalities $(\overline{(G)}$ ) and $(\bar{i} \overline{1})$ developed in section 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 L} \int_{0}^{Z} d x X_{(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)+x}^{X^{1}} d v e^{v^{2}=2} \quad W \quad\left(2 v_{p} n \quad 2 V_{p}^{2} n^{2}\right)+ \\
& \left.Z_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{n}=1}{ }^{(2 \mathrm{n}} 1\right)\left(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+\mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

C all the rst term $I_{1}$ and the second term $I_{2}$ (the third term is trivial'). Perform ing a change of variable to rem ove x from the lim its, integrating over x and taking advantage of the delta function results in

$$
I_{1}=X^{X} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2} n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}}{ }^{2}}{2 n n v_{p}} \quad \frac{1}{2 L} \text { foverlap betw een }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}} ; n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}+L \\
& \text { and }[(2 n \\
& \left.1)\left(L+V_{p}\right) ;(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)\right] g
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\mathrm{I}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}= & X^{1} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2} n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}} \frac{2}{2 n} n v_{p}}{n=1} \frac{1}{2 L} \text { foverlap betw een } \\
& n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}} \quad L ; n \varphi_{\varphi}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}} \\
& \text { and }\left[(2 n \quad 1)(L+\varphi) ;(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)\right] g
\end{aligned}
$$

$I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ can be com bined as follow $S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}+I_{2}=X_{n=1}^{X} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2} n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}{ }^{2}}}{2 n v_{p}} \quad \frac{1}{2 L} \text { foverlap betw een } \\
& n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}} \quad L ; n \varphi+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}+L \\
& \text { and }\left[(2 n \quad 1)(L+\varphi) ;(2 n+1)\left(L+v_{p}\right)\right] g \\
& =X_{n=1}^{X} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n v_{p}+\frac{W}{2 n v_{p}}{ }^{2}}{2 n n v_{p}}}{} f(n ; W)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the overlap factor $f$ satis es $0 \quad f \quad 1$, since the range of the sm aller interval is at $m$ ost 2 L . f is also zero for negative $W$, or positive work values $W$ done by the piston.
$T$ he conditions that $m$ ust be satis ed by $W$ in order for the overlap associated $w$ ith integer $n$ to occur are

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n v_{p}(2(n \quad 1) L+(n \quad 1) y)<W<2 n v_{p}\left(2(n+1) L+(n+1) v_{p}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that the left boundary of the interval is a function of $n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1)\end{array}\right.$, while the right interval is a function of $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)$. Therefore the right boundary can_be transform ed into the leftboundary by $m$ aking the replacem ent $\mathrm{n}!\mathrm{n}$ 1. This im plies that the intervals $1(31)$ are contiguous and nonoverlapping and that at m ost one tem in the sum $m$ ation in $P(\mathbb{W})$ survives. O ne can solve for the integer $n$ by taking the integer part (or oor function) of a solution to a quadratic equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}>2 n v_{p}\left(2(n+1) L+(n+1) v_{p}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in form ula ( $\mathbf{( 1 \mathbf { 1 }} \mathbf{- 1}$ ) in the $m$ ain text.
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Figure 1: System and space-tim e diagram. Solid line - piston tra jectory; $T$ hick dashed line - $m$ olecule tra jectory w ith positive in itial velocity; $T$ hin dashed line -m olecule w ith negative in itial velocity.

$F$ igure 2: The structure of the overlap factor $f(W)$, which modulates the exponential in the distribution function. $T$ his factor becom es a rapidly oscillating function in the lim it of sm all piston velocities.


Figure 3: Sim ulation results (pluses + ) together with theoretical calculation (expression (13) , crosses ) for the work distribution. For each trial run, the cylinder volum e was doubled ( $v_{p}=0: 01, \quad=1, L=0: 01$ ). The bin width used was $w=k_{B} T=1000=1=1000$. The average num ber of collisions betw een m olecule and piston was about 20.


Figure 4: Sim ulation results (phuses + ) together w ith theoreticalcalculation (crosses ) for the work distribution. For each trialrun, the cylinder volum ew as doubled ( $v_{p}=1,=1, L=1$ ). Thebin width used was $w=k_{B} T=10=1=10$. $T$ he average num ber of collisions betw een $m$ olecule and piston was low, about $0: 08$.

$F$ igure 5: Evolution of the average of $\exp \left(w=k_{B} T\right) w$ th the num ber of trials.

$F$ igure 6: $P$ lot of $m$ easurem ents of the w ork done (pluses + ) together $w$ th the expression $\ln \left(1+v_{p}=L\right)$ (i.e. ' $F$ ', w ith $=1$ and $L=1$ ) and the expression for the average $w$ ork done for large $v_{p}(2,2)$.


Figure 7: P lot of the expression (212) (line), the fraction of trials w ith a collision (pluses + ) and the average num ber of collisions (crosses ). The latter tw o coincided.

