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#### Abstract

W e consider a particle di using in the $y$-direction, $d y=d t=\quad(t)$ where $\quad(t)$ is $G$ aussian white noise, and sub ject to a transverse ow eld in the $x$-direction, $d x=d t=f(y)$, where $x \quad 0$ and $x=0$ is an absorbing boundary. W e discuss the tim e-dependence of the survivalprobability of the particle for a class of functions $f(y)$ that are positive in som e regions of space and negative in others.


PACS num bers: $02.50 .-1$, $05.40 .-\mathrm{a}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In a recent paper [in] w e considered a class of stochastic processes de ned by the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{y}=\quad(t) ;  \tag{1}\\
& \underline{x}=f(y) ; \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(t)$ is $G$ aussian white noise $w$ ith $m$ ean zero and
 represent a particle which di uses (perform sà random walk) in the y-direction but is sub ject to a determ in istic drift in the $x$-direction $w$ ith a $y$-dependent driff velocty. W e consider the case where there is an absorbing boundary at $\mathrm{x}=0$, and we are interested in the survival probability of the particle. Two previously studied $m$ odels are the cases $f(y)=y$ and $f(y)=\operatorname{sgn}(y)$. The form er is equivalent to the random acceleration process, $\mathrm{x}=\quad(\mathrm{t})$, while the latter is the w indy cli ' m odel introduced by Redner and K rapivsky [ $\overline{[2}]$. For both these m odels, the survivalprobability of the particle decays as $t^{1=4}$ for large time $t$, and it has been argued [1] [1] that this is a generic result for odd functions $f(y)$. It should be noted that, in general, such problem s are highly nontrivial and exact solutions are available in only a sm all num ber of cases.

In ref. [1]1], we raised the question of how the survival probability decays when the function $f(y)$ is not an odd function. W e considered a class ofm odels where $f(y)=v_{+} y$ for $y>0$, and $f(y)=v(y)$ for $y<0$. H ere the drift takes the particle aw ay from the absonbing boundary for $y>0$, and tow ards it for $y<0$, but not in a sym $m$ etrical way: the function $f(y)$ is odd only when $\mathrm{V}_{+}=\mathrm{v} \cdot \mathrm{U}$ sing an extension of a technique developed by B urkhardt $\left[\begin{array}{ll}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ in connection $w$ th the random acceleration process, we showed that the survival probability decays ast for large $t$, where the exponent (the persistence exponent') is nontrivial and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \tan ^{1} \frac{\mathrm{v}_{+} \quad \mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}_{+}+\mathrm{v}} \tan \frac{}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1=(2+)$. This reducesto $=1=4$ for $v_{+}=v$ but lies in the range $0 \ll 1=2$ in general. W hen
$\mathrm{v}_{+}>\mathrm{v}$, the drift away from the boundary is weakly dom inant. The decay of the survival probability still has a power law form, but <1=4, while the converse occurs for $v_{+}<v$ : the drift tow ard the boundary is weakly dom inant and $>1=4$. The fact that $1=2$ is an upper bound for is clear when one recalls that the probability that the particle stays in the region $y>0$ (and therefore never encounters the drift tow ards the absorbing boundary) decays ast ${ }^{1=2}$ [4]

It is worth em phasising that the process de ned by Eqs. (11, ) and ( $\overline{2}$ ) is non-G aussian except when $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$ is linear. Eq. (îl) is a rare exam ple of an exactly calculable persistence exponent for a non-G aussian process.

In [1]-1] we speculated about the behavior of the system when the function $f(y)$ is described by di enent exponents, + and , for $y>0$ and $y<0$ respectively. $W$ e argued that for $+>$ the drift aw ay from the boundary is strongly dom inant, leading to a non-zero survival probability at in nite time (i.e. $=0$ ), while for $+\quad$ the drift tow ards the boundary is strongly dom inant and obtains its $m$ axim um value of $1=2$.

In the present work we obtain som e exact result pertinent to the latter question. Looking at the case $+>$
, we show explicitly that the survival probability approaches a non-zero value at in nite tim e and we obtain a closed-form expression for this value.

In the second part of the paper we revisit the issue of whether the decay exponent takes the value $1=4$ for all odd functions $f(y)$, as has been assum ed up to now. W e considerm odels where the ow eld takes a periodic, banded form w th $f(y)$ taking the values $v_{+}$and $v$ in altemate bands of $w$ idth $b_{+}, b$ respectively. $W$ e dem onstrate num erically that for the case $b_{+}=b$ and $v_{+}=v$ which, w th appropriate cloige of the $y=0$ axis, represents an odd function, $=1=2$, not $1=4$ and we argue that $=1=2$ whenever there is no net drift, i.e. when $\mathrm{v}_{+} \mathrm{b}_{+}=\mathrm{v} \mathrm{b}$. In these cases we argue that an e ective di usion in the $x$-direction, resulting from the stochastic $m$ ovem ent betw een the altemating bands, dom inates over the drift and naturally accounts for the exponent $1=2 . W$ e obtain an analytical result in the lim it b! 0 , v ! 1 , w ith $\mathrm{b} v$ held $x e d$ and equal to $\mathrm{b}_{+} \mathrm{v}_{+}$, and we verify that $=1=2$ in this case.

## II. M O D ELAND CALCULATION

The m odel we consider initially is de ned by Eqs. (1, 1 ) and $(\underline{\overline{2}})$, w ith the function $f(y)$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f(y)= & v_{+} y^{+} ; & y>0 ;  \tag{4}\\
v(y) & y<0:
\end{array}
$$

We work in the regime $+>$, where we expect a non-zero survival probability. The probability, $Q(x ; y)$ that the particle survives to in nite time satis es the backward FokkerP lanck equation (BFPE) D @ ${ }_{\text {yy }} \mathrm{C}+$ $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) @_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Q}=0$. In the present context it is convenient to work instead w th the killing probability', $\mathrm{P}=1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$, which obviously satis es the sam e equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D @_{y y} P+f(y) @_{x} P=0 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

but w ith di erent boundary conditions. T he boundary conditions on $P(x ; y)$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
P(1 ; y) & =0 ;  \tag{6}\\
P(x ; 1) & =0 ;  \tag{7}\\
P(0 ; y) & =1 ; y<0 ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

the last of these follow ing from the fact that, for an initial condition $w$ ith $x=0$ and $y<0$, the ow im mediately takes the particle on to the absorbing boundary.

Inserting the form $\left.\overline{4}^{-1}\right)$ for $f(y)$ in ( $\bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), the equation can be solved separately in each regim e by separation ofvariables. Im posing the boundary conditions $(\underline{\bar{G}})$ and $(\underline{\bar{T}}$,$) the$ result takes the form (setting $D=1$ for convenience)

$$
\begin{align*}
& P(x ; y)=P_{\bar{Y}}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k a(k) K+2+\frac{p}{k v_{+}} y^{1=2}+e^{k x} \text {; } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { for } y<0 \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1=(2+\quad) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J(z)$ is a Bessel function and $K(z)$ a m odi ed B essel function.

Eqs. $(\overline{\underline{9}})$ and $\left(\overline{1} \overline{\underline{1}} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$ contain the three undeterm ined functions a $(\bar{k}), b(k)$ and $c(k)$. T he last tw o can be expressed in term $s$ of $a(k)$ by im posing suitable continuity conditions at $y=0$. From the BFPE, Eq. ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), 让 follow that both $P(x ; y)$ and $@_{y} P(x ; y)$ are continuous at $y=0$. Im posing these conditions gives the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.b(k)=k_{b} k^{(+} \quad\right)=2 \\
c(k) & \left.=k_{c} k^{( } \quad+\right)=2  \tag{13}\\
a(k) ;
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{(1+)}{2 \sin (+)} \frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{V}_{+}}}+\right.}{(1++)} ;  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{2}(+)(1 \quad) \frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{V}_{+}}}+}: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

F inally, a (k) is determ ined by the boundary condition (佂). This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& +c(k) J \quad 2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{kv}}(\mathrm{y})^{\mathrm{L}=2} \quad \text {; } \mathrm{y}<0 \text { : } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

In principle, Eqs. (1-1), (1-1) and (1-G) com pletely deter$m$ ine the functions $a(k), b(k)$ and $c(\bar{k})$. In practice, how ever, we have been unable to extract these functions explicitly. W e can, how ever, determ ine the sm all-k form $s$. $T$ hese are su cient to dem onstrate ourm ain claim $s$.

To determ ine the sm all-k behavior of a (k) we consider Eq. (1-1 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ in the $\operatorname{li} \operatorname{tr} y!1$, since the integral is dom inated by sm all values of $k$ in that lim it. $W$ e $m$ ake the ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(k) \quad A k^{a} ; k!0: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the small-k form $s$ of $b(k)$ and $c(k)$ are xed by equations (12-i) and (13"). Since $+<, b(k) \quad c(k)$ for $k!0$, so the rst term, involving $b(k)$, in Eq. (1-1 $)$ dom inates for large negative $y$, and the term involving $c(k)$ is negligible. In this lim it, therefore, Eq. (1] 1 ) reads

Evaluating the integral xes the values of the exponent $a$ and the am plitude A in Eq. (171):

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =\frac{+}{2}  \tag{19}\\
A & =\frac{\left(p \frac{1}{v}\right)}{K_{b}(\quad)} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Inserting the $s m$ all-k results for $a(k), b(k)$ and $c(k)$ in Eqs. ( $\overline{-1})$ ) and (11 $(\underline{1})$ determ ines $P(x ; y)$ in the entire regim $e$ where $x$ or $y$ (or both) are large. E specially sim ple is the lim it of large $x$ at $y=0$, where one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; 0) \frac{(+)(\quad+)}{2 K_{b}(\quad)} \frac{(P \bar{V})}{\left(+P_{\overline{V_{+}}}\right)+} \frac{1}{x} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

forx! 1 . This result dem onstrates that there is a nonzero in nite-tim e survivalprobability provided $>+$, i.e. + > . The spatial decay exponent, + , should have (w efeel) a sim ple physicalderivation, but so far it has eluded us.

## III. PERIOD IC FLOW FIELDS

In the rem aining part of this paper we consider the case where $f(y)$ is a periodic function of $y$, $w$ ith period 2b. In particular, we consider the case

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(y)= & v ; \quad \begin{array}{c}
2 n b<y<(2 n+1) b ; \\
v ;
\end{array}(2 n \quad 1) b<y<2 n b: \tag{22}
\end{array}
$$

for all integers $n$. This function $f(y)$ is odd, but we shall show that the survivalprobability decays asym ptotically ast ${ }^{1=2}$.

W e rst present, in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{1}{ }_{1}^{\prime}$, the result of a num erical sim ulation for di erent values of the width, b, of the altemating bands. In each case the particle is initially located at $\mathrm{x}=0$, on the interface betw een tw o bands. To avoid immediate absorption, the rst step is taken into the band with positive velocity. For early tim es, $t \quad b^{2}=D$, the particle explores the tw o bands either side of the initial position and the decay exponent $1=4$, appropriate to in nitely wide bands is obtained. At tim e $t \quad b^{2}=D$, how ever, where the particle starts to explore m any bands, there is a clear crossover from the initial $t^{1=4}$ decay to an asym ptotic $t^{1=2}$ decay.


FIG.1. D ouble logarithm ic plot of the survival probabilty $Q$ against timet for a range of band $w$ idths $b$. The data show, after a short-tim e transient, an in itialt ${ }^{1=4}$ decay crossing over to a $t^{1=2}$ decay at later tim es. The dashed lines with slopes $1=4$ and $1=2$ are guides to the eye.

To understand these results, we rst recall the use of the Sparre A nderson theorem $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ \hline 1\end{array}\right]$ to dem onstrate that
$=1=4$ for odd functions $f(\bar{y})$. First suppose that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})>0$ for $\mathrm{y}>0$ and $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})<0$ for $\mathrm{y}<0$. We focus on crossings of the $x$-axis and regard the steps betw een crossings as the elem entary steps of a one-dim ensional random walk on the $x$-axis. The steps are clearly (i) independent, and (ii) draw $n$ from a sym $m$ etric distribution. $T$ he Sparre A nderson theorem states that the probability
that the process has not crossed the absorbing boundary at $x=0$ after $N$ steps decays as $Q_{N} \quad N^{1=2}$ for large $N$. Since the number of crossings in time $t$ scales as $N \quad t^{=2}$, this im plies Q ( $t$ ) $\quad t^{1=4}$.

Let us exam ine this argum ent $m$ ore carefully. It's validity clearly requires that a crossing of the absorbing boundary at $x=0$ can occur at $m$ ost once betw een two consecutive crossings of the $x$-axis. This $w i l l$ alw ays be the case if $f(y)$ takes only one sign for $y>0$ and the other sign for $y<0$. In the periodic case, how ever, $f(y)$ takes both signs for $y>0$ and both signs for $y<0$. T he process can therefore cross the absorbing boundary, from $x>0$ to $x<0$, and cross back again without crossing the $x$-axis. Such absonption process $w i l l$ be $m$ issed in a description which focuses only on crossings of the $x$-axis. Such a description w ill typically underestim ate the value of (it gives a low er bound on ).

A better way of looking at this problem is as follow s. $T$ he probability $Q(x ; y ; t)$ that the particle survives until tim e $t$, given that it started at $(x ; y)$, is periodic $w$ ith period 2 b . In particular, the partialderivative $@_{y} Q$ vanishes by sym $m$ etry at the center of any band. It therefore sufaes to solve the problem in the region $\mathrm{b}=2 \quad \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{b}=2$, x 0 , which de nes a sem i-in nite strip, w ith boundary conditions $Q(0 ; y ; t)=0, \varrho_{y} Q(x ; b=2 ; t)=0$. The ow velocity is $v$ in the upper part of the strip $(y>0)$ and $v$ in the low er part. T his strip problem has been discussed by Redner and K rapivsky [/]l, who argue as follow s. T he typical tim e betw een crossings of the center line, $y=0$, is $\quad B=D$. The typical distance travelled, in the $x-$ direction, in this time is $l \quad v b^{2}=D . T$ he two cases $x \quad l$ and $x \quad l$, where $x$ is the initial displacem ent of the particle, have to be analysed separately. T he follow ing discussion is based on reference $\overline{2}]$.

## A. The regim ex l

The crossings of the $x$-axis de ne a sym $m$ etric onedim ensional random walk w ith step length $\quad$ land time step $\quad$. The e ective di usion constant for $m$ otion in the $x$-direction is, therefore, $D_{k} \quad \vec{z}=\quad \vec{z} b^{2}=D$. The survivalprobability for this random walk is given by the well-known result [屯屯]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x ; y ; t) \quad \frac{x}{\overline{D_{k} t}} \quad \frac{x}{b v}{ }^{r} \frac{\bar{D}}{t}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the $y$-dependence is not determ ined by this argum ent. It is intuitively clear, how ever, that the result is insensitive to $y$ at large $x$.

C om paring th is result w ith F igure ${ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1$, w e note that the am plitude of the $t^{1=2}$ behaviour in the late-tim e regim e increases w ith increasing $b$ in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{11}$, w hereas E q. (23) predicts a decreasing am plitude with increasing b . T he data, how ever, were obtained w ith the initial $x$ equal to
zero (w ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{v}$ after one tim e step). To $m$ ake $m$ eaningful com parisons $w$ th the data, therefore, we need to analyse the opposite regin ex $\quad l$.

$$
\text { B. The regim e } x \quad l
$$

For $\mathrm{x} \quad 1$, one can argue as follows. At short times, $t$, the behaviour $w$ ill be the same as for strips of in nite width, $Q(t) \quad(x=v t)^{1=4} \quad$ [2] . At later tim es, this result $w$ ill be $m$ odi ed by a function of $t=$, $Q(t)=(x=v t)^{1=4} f\left(D t=b^{2}\right)$. For $t \quad=b^{2}=D$, there should be a crossover to the ${ }^{1=2}$ decay calculated above, since if the particle survives for time, it will typically reach a distance $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{v}=1$. The scaling function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ therefore behaves as $z^{1=2}$ for large $z$, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x ; 0 ; t) \quad{\frac{x b^{2}}{v D}}^{1=4} \frac{1}{t^{1=2}} ; \quad t \quad b^{2}=D: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two results Eqs. (2 $2_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and $\left[2 \underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ atch, as required, at $x \quad l=v b^{2}=D$, where both reduce to $Q \quad b=\overline{D t}$. The scaling form $Q(t) \quad t^{1=4} f\left(D t=b^{2}\right)$ is tested in $F$ igure $\overline{1}, \overline{1}$, where $t^{1=4} Q$ is plotted against $t=b^{2}$ on a double logarithm ic plot. A fter the initial transients, there is a good collapse of the data onto a scaling curve, in which an initialslope of zero givesway, att' $b^{2}$, to a nalslope of $1=4$, corresponding to the regim es $Q(t) \quad t^{1=4}$ and $Q$ ( $t$ ) $\quad t^{1=2}$ respectively.


FIG.2. D ouble logarithm ic plot of the survivalprobability $Q$ against time $t$ plotted in the scaling form $t^{1=4} Q$ against $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{b}^{2}$. The dashed line w ith slopes $1=4$ is a guide to the eye.
$W$ e can intenpret the asym ptotic result $Q \quad t^{1=2}$ as follow s. If we coarse grain in the $y$-direction, the average determ inistic drift is zero, and the nom inally subdom inant di usive $m$ otion in the $x$-direction $\underline{2}-2, w$ ith di $u-$ sion constant $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}$, plays an im portant role. The e ective
coarse-grained dynam ics corresponds to anisotropic twodim ensional di usion. If the absorbing boundary is the $w$ hole $y$-axis, di usion in the $y$-direction is irrelevant (except for its role in inducing di usion in the $x$-direction) and the standard one-dim ensional result for the survival probability, Eq. (2-3), is obtained. O ne can now discuss, how ever, other types of absorbing boundary. First we consider absorption on the half-line $x=0, y<0 . N u m e r-$ ical sim ulations, presented in Figure ' ${ }_{-1}^{1}$ ', suggest $=1=4$ for this case, while for absorption on the two half lines $x=0, y<0$ and $y=0, x<0$, which de ne an absorbing wedge of angle $=2$, the data suggest (for $x>0$ initially)
$=1=3$.
These seem ingly $m$ ysterious exponents are readily understood in the context of the underlying an isotropic tw odim ensional di usion process. For isotropic di usion inside a wedge of opening angle, w ith absorbing boundaries on the edges of the wedge, the survival probability is known to decay as a power law, $Q$ ( $t$ ) $t$, with
 $\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{y}<0$ corresponds to opening angle $=2$, gíving $=1=4$, while the absorbing boundary on the two half-lines $\mathrm{x}=0, \mathrm{y}<0$ and $\mathrm{y}=0, \mathrm{x}<0$ corresponds to $=3=2$, giving $=1=3$. A though the e ective diffusion process is here anisotropic, it can be transform ed into an isotropic process by rescaling the x or y variable. Since this rescaling does not change the geom etry of the tw $\circ$ absorbing boundaries considered, the results $=1=4$ and $1=3$ respectively are not changed.


FIG.3. D ouble logarithm ic plot of the survival probability $Q$ against tim et for the band $m$ odel, for di erent types of absorbing boundary. The dashed lines with slope $1=4, \quad 1=3$ and $\quad 1=2$ are guides to the eye. In order of increasing steepness, the corresponding data refer to absorbing boundaries given by (i) the negative $y$-axis, (ii) the negative $y$-axis and the negative $x$-axis and (iii) the whole $y$-axis. Least squares ts to the data give slopes $-0.254,-0.333$ and -0.501 respectively, consistent w ith the predicted values.

It is straightforw ard to apply the sam e general reasoning to the band $m$ odelw here the positive- and negativeow bands are inequivalent. C onsider a model where bands of $w$ idth $b_{+}$and $f(y)=v_{+}$altemate $w$ th bands of w idth $b$ and $f(y)=v$. For the special cases where $\mathrm{b}_{+} \mathrm{v}_{+}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{v}$ there w ill be no m acroscopic ow , and a coarse-grained description will lead to an anisotropic di usion $m$ odel as before, $w$ th the same values of , e.g. $=1=2$ if the whole $y$-axis is absorbing (indeed,
$=1=2 \mathrm{w}$ ill hold for any periodic $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{w}$ ith zero m ean). If $\mathrm{b}_{+} \mathrm{v}_{+} \mathrm{bv}>0$, the coarse-grained m odel has a $m$ ean ow away from the absorbing boundary, and the in nite-tim e survival probability is non-zero, while for $\mathrm{b}_{+} \mathrm{v}_{+} \quad \mathrm{bv}<0$ themean ow is tow ards the boundary and the survival probability decays exponentially with time. In the follow ing subsection we provide an exact solution for the case $\mathrm{b}_{+} \mathrm{v}_{+}=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{v}$, in the lim it b ! 0 , v ! 1 , with $u=b \mathrm{v}$ held xed.

## C.A solvable m odel

The m odelwe study is de ned by a periodic function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})$, w ith period b, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)=v \quad u \quad(y) ; \quad b=2 \quad y \quad b=2 ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $f(y+b)=f(y)$ forally. Them arginalcase of interest, corresponding to no net ow, is given by $u=b v$. It is convenient, in the rst instance, to com pute the killing probability $P(x ; y ; t)$. The periodicity im plies that we need only consider the regim e $b=2 \quad y \quad b=2$, $w$ ith the boundary conditions $@_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{b}=2 ; \mathrm{t})=@_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{b}=2 ; \mathrm{t})=$ 0 . The function $P$ obeys the BFPE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{D} \varrho_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u} \quad(\mathrm{y})\right] @ \mathrm{P}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Laplace transform w ith respect to tim e , and exploiting the initial condition $P(x ; y ; 0)=1$ for all $x>0$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{D} @_{\mathrm{YY}} \mathrm{P}^{\ulcorner }+\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{u} \quad(\mathrm{y})\right] @ \mathrm{P}^{\ulcorner } \quad \Phi^{\Upsilon}=0 ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{\sim}(x ; y ; s)=\begin{gathered}R_{1} \\ 0\end{gathered} d t \exp (s t) P(x ; y ; t)$. The generalsolution satisfying the given boundary conditions at $y=b=2$ is (setting $D=1$ for convenience)

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{\sim}(x ; y ; s)=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k a(k ; s) e^{k x} \\
& \cosh ^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{kv}+\mathrm{s}} \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2} \quad \dot{\mathrm{y}} \mathbf{j} ; \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

for $y \in 0$. Im posing the discontinuity in $@_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{P}^{\sim}$ at $\mathrm{y}=0$ im plied by Eq. $\left(2 \overline{2}_{-}\right), \varrho_{y} P^{\sim}(x ; 0+; s) \quad @_{Y} P^{\sim}(x ; 0 ; s)=$ $u @_{x} P^{\sim}(x ; 0 ; s)$ for all $x>0$ and all $s$, leads to the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh =\frac{\mathrm{ub}}{\mathrm{v}} \frac{2}{\mathrm{~b}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{4} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{bp}}{2} \overline{\mathrm{kv}+\mathrm{s}} \text { : } \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. $\left(22_{1} g_{1}\right)$ show s that only a single value of $k$ is possible for each $s$. Specialising to the $m$ arginal case $u=b v$, th is equation sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tanh =\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{~s}}{4}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The large-tim e behavior is govemed by the sm all-s sohation of Eq. [31 1 ): $\quad\left(3 b^{2} s=4\right)_{p}^{1=4}$. Inserting th is into Eq. (3q) gives, for m all $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k} \mathrm{m}^{2} \overline{3 \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{bv}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{kv}+\mathrm{s}}$, $(3 s)^{1=4} \quad 2=\mathrm{b}$. P utting these results into Eq. (2d) gives

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P(x ; y ; s)=a(s) \exp \quad \frac{2^{p} \frac{1 s}{3 s} x}{b v} x \\
\cosh \frac{(3 s)^{1=4}}{\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{b=2}} \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2} \quad \dot{y} j \quad ; \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

fors! 0 .
The amplitude a(s) is xed by the boundary condition, $P(0 ; 0 ; t)=1$ for all $t_{p}$ which gives $\mathrm{P}^{r}(0 ; 0 ; s)=1=s$ and $a(s)=\mathrm{fscosh}\left[(3 \mathrm{~s})^{1=4} \frac{\mathrm{~b}=2}{\mathrm{~b}}\right]{ }^{1}$ for sm all s . Since $Q(x ; y ; t)=1 \quad P(x ; y ; t)$, we have $Q(x ; y ; s)=1=s$ $\mathrm{P}^{\sim}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{s})$. The sm all-s result for $\mathrm{P}^{\sim}$ determ ines the large$t$ form of $Q(x ; y ; t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q(x ; y ; t)=\frac{2^{p} \overline{3}}{b} \frac{x}{t}+\frac{1}{2} \dot{y} j(b \quad \dot{y}\rangle\right) ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\dot{y} j \quad b=2$. This result con m s , for this special case, the result $Q$ ( $t$ ) $\quad t^{1=2}$ obtained above using general heuristic argum ents for the case where there is no net ow . N ote that Eq. (33) has the sam e form as Eq. (23), and that this form holds for all values of $x=1$, where $l=v b^{2}=D$, i.e. there is no analogue, in this m odel, of Eq. (24) for $x \quad 1 . T h i s$ is because in the present $m$ odel there is no regim e in which the system s resem bles a tw oband model.

## IV . D ISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this paper we have obtained further results on the rst-passage properties of a particle di using in the $\mathrm{y}^{-}$ direction and subject to a determ inistic drift velocity, $f(y)$, in the $x$-direction, $w$ ith an absorbing boundary at $\mathrm{x}=0$.

In the rst part of the paper we considered the case $w h e r e f(y) / y^{+}$for $y>0$ and $f(y) / \quad \dot{y} j$ for $y<0 . W$ e con $m$ ed the con jecture proposed in [1] that
the larger power is strongly dom inating' (in contrast to the weakly dom inating' behaviour obtained when the exponents + and are equalibut the am plitudes are di erent [[]] ${ }_{[1]}^{\top}$ ). W e showed explicitly that for $+>$ the survival probability approaches a non-zero lim iting valle, and we obtained an expression for this value.

In the second part of the paper we considered the case $w$ here $f(y)$ has a banded periodic form, w th altemating bands of equal and opposite drift velocity. W e presented num erical data, supported by a heuristic argum ent, to show that the asym ptotic persistence exponent is $=1=2$ in this case, and obtained a generalisation for di erent form $s$ of absorbing boundary. W e argued that the result generalises to any model where the coarse-grained ow eld vanishes, and exem pli ed this result through a soluble m odel.
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