Quantum logical states and operators

for Josephson-like system s

Lara Faoro

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, 136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway 08854, New Jersey, USA

Francesco A. Ra a^y Dipartimento di Meccanica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

Mario Rasetti⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

Abstract

W e give a form al algebraic description of Josephson-type quantum dynam ical systems, i.e., Ham iltonian systems with a cos#-like potential term. The two-boson H eisenberg algebra plays for such systems the role that the h (1) algebra does for the harm onic oscillator. A single Josephson junction is selected as a representative of Josephson systems. W e construct both logical states (codewords) and logical (gate) operators in the superconductive regime. The codewords are the even and odd coherent states of the two-boson algebra: they are shift-resistant and robust, due to squeezing. The logical operators acting on the qubit codewords are expressed in terms of operators in the enveloping of the two-boson algebra. Such a scheme appears to be relevant for quantum information applications.

PACS numbers: 03.65 Fd, 03.67 Lx

E lectronic address: faoro@physics.rutgers.edu

^yE lectronic address: francesco.ra a@ polito.it

^zE lectronic address: m ario rasetti@ polito.it

I. IN TRODUCTION

The term Vosephson system s' denotes here all quantum systems, whose H am iltonian is characterized by a nonlinear $\cos \#$ potential term, regardless of the speci c details of their experimental realization. In the eld of quantum information this broad de nition encom – passes physical systems which are considered good candidates for the actual implementation of quantum computer components, such as in superconducting nanocircuits [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], split Bose-E instein condensates [6, 7, 8, 9] and molecules or ions in Paul traps [10, 11, 12].

In this Letterwe dealw ith Josephson systems from an algebraic point of view. The outline of our approach is as follows: we perform, with respect to systems with cos# potential, a theoretical analysis closely analogous to the well-known Fock space description of systems with a quadratic potential, as it is carried out through the algebraic theory of the harm onic oscillator. M one de nitely, we show that the two-boson H eisenberg algebra, which is a special case of multi-boson algebra [13, 14, 15], plays for Josephson systems the same nole that the h (1) algebra does for the harm onic oscillator. This is done starting from the exact expression of both the nonlinear H am iltonian and its energy eigenvalues in term s of characteristic energy parameters: the ensuing Fock space structure is proved to be given by the direct sum of even and odd subspaces.

We then prove that this very algebra is relevant also for quantum computing applications. Indeed it allows for the construction of shift-resistant, squeezed logical states (codewords) and the corresponding logical (gate) operators. Moreover such codewords and gates appear to be natural for the realization of quantum error-correcting codes and in quantum search problem s.

Finally, the squeezing properties of the codewords are obtained connecting the displacem ent' and m om entum ' variables describing the system with the creation and annihilation operators of the two-boson algebra. This bridges our representation with the continuous variables quantum computation scheme.

Since we describe fully nonlinear Ham iltonian systems in algebraic terms, any Josephson system would tour theoretical scheme. Here, for de niteness, we consider a single low-capacitance Josephson junction circuit, whose quantum dynamics is governed by the

2

Ham iltonian (see, e.g., [2, 16])

$$H_{J} = E_{C} (\hat{N} n_{g})^{2} + E_{J} 1 \cos f :$$
 (1)

In this context, in the quadratic kinetic part of equation (1) $E_c = (2e)^2 = 2(C_J + C_g)$ is the electrostatic energy of the junction, e, C_J and C_g being electron charge, junction and gate capacitance, respectively; \hat{N} is the operator corresponding to the number N of excess C cooper pairs between the superconductive islands, $n_g = C_g V_g = (2e)$, which plays the role of an external charge' [17], is the polarization charge (in units of 2e) induced by the gate voltage source V_g . W hereas in the periodic potential term E_J is usually referred to as the Josephson coupling energy and the phase operator \hat{F} corresponds to the phase di erence between the condensate wavefunctions of the islands. N and \hat{F} are canonically conjugated operators, i.e., $[\hat{F}; \hat{N}] = i$.

Two di erent regin es for the junction can be identied, depending on the ratio = $E_J = E_C$. In the charge regin e, 1 ($E_C = E_J$), the charge number operator \hat{N} is sharp while, due to the uncertainty principle, the phase operator \hat{F} is undeterm ined. On the other hand, in the superconductive regin e, 1 ($E_J = E_C$), the uctuations of \hat{N} are so large that the phase operator \hat{F} is assumed to be the good quantum number.

II. ENERGY SPECTRUM AND TWO-BOSON ALGEBRA

W ith reference to the superconductive regime we not prove that the energy spectrum of the Ham iltonian (1) is given by an appropriate perturbative expansion and that the corresponding diagonalization of the Ham iltonian is obtained in quite a natural way in a suitable Fock space by resorting to the algebra of two-boson operators. We work in the phase representation, therefore \hat{N} ! i@=@# in equation (1). The eigenvalue equation for H_J , $H_J_k(#) = E_k_k(#), with_k(#) = h#j_ki$ the eigenfunction and E_k its associated energy (k 2 N is the eigenstate label), becomes, under the gauge transformations $_k(#)$? $\int_k^0 (#) =$ $exp(in_g#)_k(#) = H_J H_J exp(in_g#) = E_k \frac{d^2}{d#^2} + E_J (1 \cos #),$ $H_J K_k(#) = E_k \int_k^0 (#), with \int_k^0 (#) = h#j_k^0 i; nam ely$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\#^2} \qquad \cos \# \quad {}^{0}_{k}(\#) = \frac{E_{k}}{E_{C}} \quad {}^{0}_{k}(\#) : \qquad (2)$$

In equation (2) the potential energy is periodic of period 2 and B loch's theorem (which is the physicists' version of the F loquet theorem [18]) in plies that its general solution can be written as ${}^{0}_{k}(\#) = \exp(ir_{k}\#)_{k}(\#)$, where ${}_{k}(\#+2) = {}_{k}(\#)$. The allowed values of r_{k} are here determ ined by the periodicity condition of the physical wave function, ${}_{k}(\#+2) =$

 $_k$ (#). The gauge transform ation and B loch's theorem show that such periodicity condition in plies r_k + n_q 2 Z .

Setting: $2z = \#, Q = 2, m = 4 \text{ (E} = E_J) = E_C, y(z) = \int_{k}^{0} (\#)$, the eigenvalue equation (2) is recognized to be the canonical M athieu di erential equation as it is usually written in textbooks [18]: $y^{(0)}(z) + 2Q \cos(2z)y(z) = m y(z)$. The eigenvalues m can be conveniently separated into two major subsets, a(;Q) and b(;Q), related to even and odd M athieu functions, respectively, depending on the characteristic exponent $(2\pi_k, The condition for the periodicity of k(#) is thus \frac{1}{2} + n_g 2 Z$. In view of the degeneracy for large Q between a(;Q) and b(+ 1;Q), such condition constrains the parameter to assume only a set of discrete values k; k 2 N. For such set, which is not determ ined univocally, the follow ing consistent form is proposed here

$$_{k} = 2 \frac{k+1}{2} \qquad 2 n_{g} + ()^{k} 2 R ;$$
 (3)

the symbol [x] denoting the maximum integer x [19].

For large Q the eigenvalues E_k can therefore be calculated from $E_k = (E_c = 4)a(_k;Q) + E_J$, where only $a(_k;Q)$ is kept, since in the asymptotic regime, as mentioned above, the two eigenvalue branches $a(_k;Q)$ and $b(_k + 1;Q)$ coincide; indeed the asymptotic expansion (Q = 1) of $a(_k;Q)$, known for $_k$ integer (=k) (see, e.g., [18], 20.2.30), holds, according to [21], for non-integral values of $_k$ as well. Such expansion can be utilized in the present case, where Q < 0, because of the symmetry properties with respect to Q of the M athieu eigenvalues. The spectrum of (2) can then be calculated in principle to any desired order. A dopting = 1 = (8 = 2) as the perturbative parameter and truncating the expansion to 0 (2), which is su cient here to account for all the interesting features, one obtains, with $h_k = _k + \frac{1}{2}$

$$E_{k} = \frac{P}{2E_{C}E_{J}} h_{k} \qquad h_{k}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}$$
 : (4)

All higher order terms in depend only on $_k$ [22].

It is worth mentioning that our diagonalization procedure, here explicitly carried out for large values of Q, can be applied also for small values of Q: working again in the phase representation, one should only modify the de nition of the perturbative parameter (e.g., $\stackrel{:}{=} 2$) and utilize the appropriate series expansion of the M athieu eigenvalues, e.g., the single formula reported in [21], which holds once more for non integral values of the characteristic exponent.

We prove now that Ham iltonian H_J^0 can be diagonalized over a suitable Fock space $F = \text{span fjnijn } 2 \text{ N}; \hat{n} \text{ jni} = n \text{ jni}; \hat{n} \stackrel{:}{=} a^y a; [a; a^y] = 1g$. Equations (3) and (4) suggest that the diagonalized Ham iltonian should distinguish the parity of the eigenvalue index k.

A natural pathway to diagonalization is then provided by the two-boson Heisenberg algebra generated by operators A_2 and A_2^y , designed in such a way as to annihilate and create two bosons at a time, respectively, and $\hat{N}_2 \stackrel{!}{=} A_2^y A_2$. In term s of a; a^y ; fi [14]

$$A_{2}^{y} = F_{2}(\hat{n}) a^{y^{2}} ; F_{2}(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2} \frac{(\hat{n} 2)!}{\hat{n}!} 2\hat{n} 1 \dot{e}^{\hat{n} \frac{1}{2}} :$$
(5)

The experimental realization of such operators cannot therefore be done resorting simply to down-conversion, because $A_2^{y} \in a^{y^2}$, but requires the intensity-dependent modulation of the amplitude described by $F_2(\hat{n})$. Indeed, equation (5) denes the two-boson realization of h(1) and not of su(1;1), as $fa^2; a^{y^2}; \hat{n}g$ would do. Notice that the bosonic excitations described by $fa; a^{y}; \hat{n}g$ or $fA_2; A_2^{y}; \hat{N}_2g$ or yet $fa^2; a^{y^2}; \hat{n}g$, when thought of in terms of the original dynamical variables \hat{N} , #, are nonlinear quantum soliton-like objects, unrelated to the physical particles (C coper pairs in the Josephson junction case, created and annihilated by $b^{y}; b$, where $b^{y}b = \hat{N}$) designed to fully account the states' parity.

The two-boson h (1) algebra is related to the usual h (1) generated by $a;a^{y};\hat{n}$ by $[\hat{N}_{2};\hat{n}] = 0; [A_{2};\hat{n}] = 2A_{2}; [A_{2}^{y};\hat{n}] = 2A_{2}^{y}$. With $\hat{D}_{2} = \hat{n} 2\hat{N}_{2}$, one can see that, for each integer n 2s + t, where $s = \frac{1}{2}n$ and $t = \hat{n}g_{2}$ is the residue of n (m od2), the action of \hat{N}_{2} and \hat{D}_{2} on the Fock states jni is given by \hat{N}_{2} jni = s jni and \hat{D}_{2} jni = t jni. This action therefore depends on the parity of the Fock states: \hat{N}_{2} jn + i = n jn + i, \hat{D}_{2} jn + i = jn + i, = 0; 1.

H $_{\rm J}^0$ can thus be written as a perturbative expansion in $\,$, diagonal in F.W ith H $_{\rm J}^0$ denoting the diagonalized H am iltonian, one has

$$H_{J}^{00} = {}^{p} \frac{1}{2E_{C}E_{J}} H^{r} H^{r^{2}} + \frac{1}{4} + O({}^{2});$$
(6)

where the dimensionless operator H is

$$H = 2 \hat{N}_2 + 3\hat{D}_2 \qquad n_g + \frac{3}{4} \ 1 :$$
 (7)

C learly, in F, H $_{J}^{00}$ jni = E n jni. The proposed diagonalization corresponds to splitting F into the direct sum F = F_{even} F_{odd}, where the even and odd subspaces are obtained from F by the action of \hat{D}_{2} , i.e., $F_{odd} = \hat{D}_{2}$ F, $E_{ven} = (1 \quad \hat{D}_{2})$ F. A sH[; \hat{D}_{2}] = 0, we can state that in F the parity of the number states is a conserved quantity for H $_{J}^{00}$. We emphasize that the expansion in , reported here only to the rst order for the sake of sim plicity, can be readily extended to any order, in no case being the algebraic structure a ected by the order at which the perturbative expansion is truncated.

III. CODEW ORDS AND GATE OPERATORS

We can now use the conservation of the number states parity to encode a qubit in the in nite-dimensional system described by the Hamiltonian (6). The qubit codewords are dened as the odd and even coherent states of the two-boson algebra. To this aim, we start from the highest weight vector of such algebra, $j! i = \cos' j! i + i \sin' j! i$. The coherent states are dened by the action of the coset element of the corresponding group with respect to the stability subgroup on the highest weight vector as $j ;' i = \exp(\frac{1}{2}j \frac{2}{3}) \exp(A_2^{y}) j! i$. 2 C is the coherent state label. A₂ j ;' i = j ;' i. One can then dene the even and odd

coherent states, j i ; = , as follows

$$ji = e^{i'} + e^{i'}$$
 (j;'i+ j; 'i): (8)

States (8), whose explicit expression is $ji = \exp(\frac{1}{2}j^2) \exp(A_2^y) \frac{1}{2}(1)$ i = $\exp(\frac{1}{2}j^2) \frac{p}{p} \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{2}k \frac{1}{2}(1)i$, are superpositions of num ber eigenstates periodically spaced with period 2, and constitute an orthonormal set. They are also eigenstates of A_2 with eigenvalue A_2j i = j i, their parity being xed since A_2 (as well as A_2^y) preserves parity: A_2 ; $2ni = \frac{p}{n}$; $2n + 1i = \frac{p}{n}$; 2n + 1i [23].

condition M jvi = jvi for all M 2 S implies that jvi 2 Q. Q is the joint + 1-eigenspace of the operators in S. The group $P_b \stackrel{!}{=} f$ 1; ig _E is called the Pauli group: S is an Abelian subgroup of P_b .

G ottesm an and K nill theorem [26] states that if state j i can be generated from the all-jDi state by just CNOT, H adam and, and phase gates, then j i is stabilized by P $_{\rm b}$. The stabilizer group is generated by the corresponding tensor products in $E_{\rm b}$. Indeed, j i is uniquely determined by these generators.

G iven the above prem ise, it is then su cient now to realize unitarily the logical operations necessary to generate the whole Pauli group. To this aim we have to de ne the operators Z and X that satisfy the conditions

$$Zji = ji ; Xji = j i:$$
 (9)

It is readily checked that such an action is realized by

$$X = 1 \hat{D}_2 (1 + \hat{n})^{\frac{1}{2}} a + H c; ; Z = e^{i \hat{n}};$$
 (10)

as X $\frac{1}{2}$ ni = $\frac{1}{2}$ n + 1i and X $\frac{1}{2}$ n + 1i = $\frac{1}{2}$ ni. X and Z, unitary, are Hermitian in F. With Y = $\frac{1}{2}$ [X;Z] = $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ + $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ + H c; the triple fX;Y;Zg provides the desired spin $\frac{1}{2}$ representation of the Pauli operators algebra su (2) over the codew ords space. Notice that L = $\frac{1}{2}$ (X + $\frac{1}{2}$), R = $\frac{1}{2}$ L^Y are the projection on F_{even} of the annihilation and creation operators, respectively, of in nite statistics particles [27, 28]. D ue to equations (9), codewords j i are shift-resistant in the sense of G ottesm an, K itaev and P reskill [29], as they are clearly invariant under the action of Z² and X²: therefore they generate the code basis described in [29] for the qubit, though here the nonlinearity of the H am iltonian is fully taken into account.

We emphasize that the standard approaches utilized to implement a qubit using either the charge or the ux states of Josephson junctions (see, e.g., [16, 30, 31]) aim to realize an e ective two-level system by properly tuning the external control parameters. However it is well-known that two-level systems are not the only possible choice to perform quantum computation. For instance it has been shown that multi-level systems allow for the realization of quantum error-correcting codes in which the state of a qubit is protected by encoding it in a higher-dimensional quantum system [29] and are of interest to solve database search problems using quantum algorithms [32]. In this context our theoretical approach suggests that any Josephson system (for example, the Josephson junction in superconductive regime) can be regarded as a system to encode quantum information in N (numerable in nite-dimensional qubit) rather than in Z_2 (binary qubit). A ctually codewords (8) correspond to an electively two-dimensional quantum system embedded in the in nite-dimensional Hilbert space of the system.

IV . $\operatorname{SQ} \operatorname{U} \operatorname{E} \operatorname{E} \operatorname{Z} \operatorname{IN} \operatorname{G}$

We now show that the above codew ords are squeezed with respect to the physical variables of the system, which means that the above encoding can be carried out, in principle, in quite a robust way. The form alism we utilize shows that the scheme proposed is an elective in plementation of a full edge quantum computation over continuous variables [33]. To this aim, we notice that an expansion in terms of two-boson operators can be obtained also for # and \hat{N} , by resorting to the dynamical quantum algebra (with identity) generated by the dimensionless operators x;p;H, related to the junction dynamical variables. Such an algebra is [34] the deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of h (1), with deformation parameter $q = \exp(iw)$, $w = (2 =)^{\frac{1}{4}} = 4^{p-1}$. The relevant commutation relations are

$$[x;p] = i\mathbb{1}; [H;p] = i\frac{\sin w}{w} x_{q}; H;x = ip; \qquad (11)$$

where $x_q \stackrel{:}{=} (q^x q^x)(q q^1)^1$. For the generators we set $x \stackrel{:}{=} w^1 \#$, $p \stackrel{:}{=} wN$ and $H \stackrel{:}{=} (w^2 E_J)^1 [\frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{16} (1 \cos \frac{p}{4} - x)]$. A ssum ing rst order perturbative expansions for x and p of the form $x = x_0 + x_1 + 0$ (²), $p = p_0 + p_1 + 0$ (²), and solving equations (11) (since it is su cient for our purposes we present here only rst order results) leads to the follow ing expansions

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 + \frac{5}{12}\mathbf{x}_0^3 + \frac{3}{4}\mathbf{p}_0\mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{p}_0 + O(2); \qquad (12)$$

$$p = p_0 \qquad \frac{1}{4}p_0^3 + \frac{5}{4}x_0p_0x_0 + O(2); \qquad (13)$$

where 'position' and 'm om entum' operators x_0 and p_0 are the custom ary harm onic oscillator observables de ned here in terms of the annihilation and creation two-boson-h (1) operators

$$x_0 = \frac{A_2^{y} + A_2}{P\overline{2}}$$
; $p_0 = i \frac{A_2^{y} - A_2}{P\overline{2}}$: (14)

It can be readily checked, indeed also at higher orders in , that with $\hat{\#}_0 \stackrel{:}{=} 4^{P-x_0}$, $\hat{N_0} \stackrel{:}{=} 4^{P-1} p_0$, commutation relations (11) are satisfied and are consistent with the same expansions as in (12) and (13) provided one replaces H with H⁰_J given by (6), (7). This establishes the important feature that the unitary transformation U that diagonalizes H: UHU^Y = 16 E_J ¹ H⁰_J is but the (unitary) implementation of the map (homeon omorphism) M :h(1) 7 h(1) that corresponds to M_F: $a_{j}a^{y}$; fi 7 A_{2} ; A_{2}^{y} ; \hat{N}_{2} , with $a = (\hat{\#} + i\hat{N}) = \frac{P}{2}$, $a^{y} = (\hat{\#} - i\hat{N}) = \frac{P}{2}$. De nitions (14) imply the factor $\frac{P}{2}$ in the expressions of a, a^{y} , as well as the rescaling of H of a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the calculations.

It should be noticed that M $_{\rm F}$ is invertible only in $\rm F_{even}$ and $\rm F_{odd}$ separately

$$a^{2} = A_{2} \frac{1}{F \ G^{1} \ \hat{N}_{2}} = 2^{1} \frac{1}{\hat{N}_{2} + \hat{D}_{2} + \frac{3}{2}} A_{2} ; \quad \hat{n} = 2\hat{N}_{2} + \hat{D}_{2} ; \quad (15)$$

where G¹ (\hat{N}_2) is the inverse function of G (n) = $\frac{\ln n}{2}$ ⁱⁱ. The requirement of parity conservation, manifest in equation (15), according to which only a^2 and not a is provided by M_F, shows that the formal structure one is passing through in this construction is indeed the non-compact algebra su(1;1): in F_{even} and F_{odd}, M_F is a (non-linear) invertible map of D⁽⁾ su(1;1), with = $\frac{1}{4}$; $\frac{3}{4}$, into h(1). The W eyl ideal of h(1), generated by a; a^y ; I, in this picture would further require the (intertwining) map between F_{even} and F_{odd}. It is again to be emphasized that the complexity of the whole scheme is attributable to the fact that $a^2 \notin A_2$.

It is also worth m entioning that upon inverting expansions (12) and (13) the two-boson operators A_2 , A_2^y could be expressed in terms of the physical operators \hat{N} , #, thus realizing the necessary link between the structure of the two-boson algebra and directly m easurable quantities. This implies that one could in principle explicitly construct U in terms of the two-boson algebra generators and hence the physical states U¹ jni, jni2 F.

Squeezing in # and \hat{N} produced by the codewords j i can be better described in terms of x and p, since $\hat{N} = p x$ and x and p are readily expressed in terms of two-boson operators from equations (12)-(14). The relevant variances with respect to both j i prove then to be [35]

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} x \\ p \end{array}\right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad 1 + 2j \ j + \frac{1}{2}Re^{-2} \qquad : \qquad (16)$$

In equations (16) the numerical factor in square brackets is real and positive 8 . If the squeezing condition is defined by the requirement that the variance of x or p be smaller than its coherent state value, then, to the rst order in , we have squeezing with respect to p as (p)² < $\frac{1}{2}$; besides (x)² (p)² = (#)² (\hat{N})² = $\frac{1}{4}$. One can expect squeezing for codewords j i at higher orders in to depend on the value of [36, 37].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We form ulated a theoretical, algebraic approach to Josephson systems, with full consideration of their nonlinear potential term. The two-boson Heisenberg algebra proved to be the appropriate algebraic tool fram ework for such class of systems, in analogy to what h(1) algebra is for systems with quadratic potential.

As far as quantum computing is concerned, we constructed codewords, de ned as the normalized xed-parity even and odd coherent states of the two-boson algebra, and proved that such states are squeezed. We gave also the explicit construction of the logical operators realizing the required action on the codewords; such operators belong to the enveloping algebra of the two-boson algebra.

W hile our analysis was carried out with respect to the example of a Josephson junction in superconductive regime, we emphasize that the results here reported apply equally well to any Josephson system.

LF. adknow ledges support from NSF DMR-0210575. FAR. and MR. adknow ledge the nancial support of M inistero dell'Istruzione, dell'Universita e della Ricerca (MIUR).

- [1] Shnim an A, Schon G and Herm on Z 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2371
- [2] Makhlin Y, Schon G and Shnim an A 1999 Nature 398, 305
- [3] Mooij J E, O rlando T P, Levitov L, T ian L, van der W al C H and Loyd S 1999 Science 285, 1036
- [4] D ziam aga J, Sm erziA, Zurek W H and Bishop A R 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 167001

- [5] A biez M, GatiR, Folling J, Hunsmann S, CristianiM and Oberthaler M K 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 010402
- [6] CataliottiF S, Burger S, Fort C, M addaloniP, M inardiF, Trom bettoniA, Sm erziand InguscioM 2001, Science 293, 843
- [7] de O liveira M C 2003 Phys. Rev. A 67, 022307
- [8] Kuang L M and Zhou L 2003 Phys. Rev. A 68, 043606
- [9] Haine S A and Hope J J 2005 Preprint quant-ph/0504069
- [10] PaulW 1990, Rev. M od. Phys. 62, 531
- [11] Cirac J I and Zoller P 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4091
- [12] Garcia-Ripoll J J, Zoller P and Cirac J I 2005 J. Phys. B 38, S567
- [13] Brandt R A and Greenberg O W 1969 J.M ath. Phys. 10, 1168
- [14] Katriel J, Solom on A I, D'Ariano G and Rasetti M 1987 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 10, 1728
- [15] RasettiM, TagliatiE and Zecchina R 1997 Phys. Rev. A 55, 2594
- [16] Makhlin Y, Schon G and Shninm an A 2001 Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 357
- [17] Schon G and Zaikin A D 1990 Phys. Rep. 198, 237
- [18] Abram ow itz M and Stegun IA (Eds.) 1972 Handbook of M athem atical Functions (New York: D over Publications)
- [19] Notice that condition (3) is dimension the analogous one reported in [20], which does not satisfy the requirement $_k=2 + n_g 2 Z$.
- [20] Cottet A 2002 Implementation of a quantum bit in a superconducting circuit, PhD Thesis, University Paris VI
- [21] FrenkelD and PortugalR 2001 J. Phys. A 34, 3541
- [22] $_{k}$ is a wave-num ber-like variable: for = 0, $_{k} = k$ and the discrete eigenvalue of # in state $_{k}^{0}(\#)$ is $\#_{k} = k + \#_{0}$, $= \frac{p}{2}$ 1 being the 'quantum of phase' and $\#_{0}$ a constant depending on the parity of k.
- [23] The question concerning the experimental preparation of the codewords j i for a single Josephson junction remains open because the elementary excitations described by fa; a^{y} ;fig are related in a complex nonlinear way to N_{2} and $\hat{\#}$ (see equations (12) (14) below). We mention that in [24] a scheme for generating even and odd coherent states similar to j i is proposed with reference to the motion of a single ion trapped in a harmonic potential and interacting with laser light; we point out that such proposal generates states whose sim metry

is di erent from that of the codewords j i (su(1;1) instead of h(1), since $A_2 \in a^2$). The experimental preparation of even and odd coherent states more similar to j i might possibly be obtained utilizing the quantum electrodynamical setting realized in [25], where a two-level system (a Cooper pair box) is coupled to a microwave photon by a scheme analogous to the one analyzed for ion traps in [24].

- [24] de M atos Filho R L and Vogel W 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 608
- [25] Wallra A, Schuster DI, Blais A, Frunzio L, Huang RS, Majer J, Kumar S, Girvin SM and Schoelkopf RJ 2004 Nature 431, 162
- [26] Gottesm an D 1996 Phys. Rev. A 54, 1862
- [27] AgarwalG S 1991 Phys. Rev. A 44, 8398
- [28] Gerry C C 2005 J. Phys. A 38, L213
- [29] Gottesm an D, Kitaev A and Preskill J 2001 Phys. Rev. A 64, 12310
- [30] V ion D, Aassim e A, C ottet A, Joyez P, Pothier H, Urbina C, E steve D and D evoret M H 2002 Science 296, 886
- [31] Martinis JM, Nam S, Aumentado J and Urbina C 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 117901
- [32] Lloyd S 1999 Phys. Rev. A 61, 010301
- [33] Lloyd S and Braunstein S L 1999 Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1784
- [34] CeleghiniE, Faoro L and RasettiM 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62, 3054
- [35] W e m ention that the extension of the present analysis to the second perturbative order is reported in [36], where the link between the theoretical construction of the codewords and logical operators given here and the ferm ionization of bosonic systems is also discussed.
- [36] Ra a F A and Rasetti M 2005 J. Opt. B 7, S539
- [37] CeleghiniE, RasettiM and Vitiello G 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2056