The Angularm on entum aspect of Shift in FQHE

DiptiBanerjee Department of Physics RishiBankim Chandra College Naihati,24-Parganas(N) Pin-743 165, West Bengal INDIA

A bstract

The angular momentum of the H all particles acquiring Berry phases from quantization, is the very source of unifying the physics of IQ H E and FQ H E. The origin of Shift quantum number lies in the change of angular momentum of the H all particles from higher to low er Landau level. Here the non-vanishing e ect of Shift in 2D has been evaluated in the fram ework of Z_p spin system and in view of these, Ising m odel and X Y m odel are studied.

In a magnetic eld B, when a particle is transported over a closed contour, a non-integral phase is developed known as D irac phase that is determined by the ux through this contour[1].

$$_{D} = \frac{e}{ch}^{Z} A dr = \frac{e}{ch}^{Z} F dS = \frac{e}{ch}^{Z} B dS = \frac{e}{ch}$$
 (1)

This phase of D irac is similar to the Berry phase [2] when the eigenvectors of a particle are described by the parameter dependent Hamiltonian. The nontrivial connection A under the parallel transport of the eigenvectors acquire the phase.

The curvature F associated with Berry connection A turns out to be nonzero in the adiabatic approximation and occurs for loops in the space in the manner as the magnetic eld B does. This gauge eld is the origin of the Chem-Sim ons term in both 2D and 3D cases. The e ect of the Chem-Sim ons term is to associate with each particle a magnetic ux e= . It may be viewed as if a certain number of ux quanta is attached to each electron leading to a gauge theoretic extension. This is the picture of composite particles in condensed matter Physics. This CS term itself generates a phase factor, in addition to the one generated by the Aharonov-Bhom factors associated with d^3x $A^{3}A^{2}A^{3}$ [3]. There is a signi cant di erence between the statistics of ordinary charge/ ux tube composites, and that of objects where the charge- ux relation is determined dynamically by the equation of motion of the gauge eld. In fact it is the induced charge that is proportional to the induced ux.

q_{ind} ()d

As a result the amount of charge present is less than the nalamount and the resulting angular momentum is less than before.

This above analysis is relected in the works of B andyopadhyay [4] where it has been shown that the charged particle in the 3D anisotropic space (in an intense magnetic eld) has the conserved angular momentum M

$$J = r \quad p \quad r \tag{3}$$

where is associated with the induced e ect of ux in the angular momentum.

In a Landau problem, when 2D electron gas is taken to reside on the surface of a 3D sphere of large radius R in a radial (monopole) strong magnetic eld, particles achieve quantization acquiring the Berry phase through chiral symmetry breaking [6]. The situation arises when the system H_{o} interacts with certain internal H am iltonian h_{int} to have $H = H_{o} + h_{int}$. The response of the internal system corresponding to the change of the external canonical system becomes a part of the action as to associate the change of elds by [7]

$$S_{eff} = S_0 + h (C)$$
(4)

The geom etrical phase (C), is analogous to the magnetic ux associated with the induced vector potential $A = i < 0 \text{ jd} \cdot j$ > which emerges from Dirac pole. This eventually causes the deformation of the symplectic structure and the canonical variables originally de ned are no longer canonical.

It has been pointed out by Bandyopadhyay [8] that the Berry phase may be associated with the deformation of the symplectic structure which can be linked up with the various quantization procedure. Indeed the relativistic generalization of the quantization procedure can be formulated in terms of gauge theoretic extension of the relativistic quantum particle. The inclusion of constraint of area preserving di om orphism in the Landau problem of 2D electron gas may be considered as the magnetic translations leading to the quantization of the system. This suggests that there is a dual relationship between the deformation parameter q of the symmetry group (algebra) with the Berry phase parameter where the phase is given by $\exp 2$ i . Bandyopadhyay pointed out that the deformation through the anisotropy in space-time can be realized in any dimension, with the connections between the Chem-Simons term in the (2 + 1) dimension, the chiral anomaly in (3 + 1) and the conformal eld theory in 1 + 1-dimension.

In the light of Haldane [9], we rst consider the statistical interaction in 3 + 1 dimension. Thus, the 2D Hall surface is a boundary of a 3D sphere having radius R, in a radial (m onopole) m agnetic eld $B = \frac{hS}{eR^2}$. This 2S = N is an integer which de nest the total number of m agnetic ux through the surface. For parent state and the hierarchical state, the respective uxes are

$$S = 1=2m (N = 1)$$

 $S = 1=2m (N = 1) = 1=2(\frac{N}{D} + 1)$

These show that the lling factor satis es a slightly deviated relation as

$$2S = N = {}^{1}N S$$
(5)

Physicists identied this S as Shiff, a topological quantum number developed due to the coupling between the orbital spin and curvature of the space. This orbital spin is dierent from the spin of electrons and is associated with orbital angular momentum in cyclotron motion. In thermodynamic limit, this Shift becomes insignicant leading the lling factor in each Landau level by $=\frac{N}{2S}=\frac{N}{N}$.

In this anisotropic space, the chiral sym metry breaking originates from the curvature F $\,$ arises from induced ux

$$F = [0 A (0 A + [A; A])$$
 (6)

The vector potential A SL(2C) is responsible for the deformation which can be linked up with the chiral anomaly. This non-dynamical gauge A is associated with the ux which in 2 + 1 dimension is the very cause of Chem-Sim on term appeared in the Lagrangian.

$$L_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad A @ A \tag{7}$$

This licenses a conservation of topological current J which include a topological invariant term in the (2 + 1) dimension

$$H = \frac{Z}{2} d^3 x A J$$
 (8)

in the action. In fact it is the H opf invariant describing basic m aps of S³ to S². If denotes a four dimensional index then we nd [5]

which connects the H opf invariant with chiral anomaly. This H opf term plays a role som ew hat sim ilar to the role played by the W ess-Zum ino interaction in connection with $3 + 1 \dim$. Skyrm ion term.

We have pointed out earlier[10] that the quasi-particles in the hierarchies are form ed when additional ux is attached with quantized particles, which in the effective theory is visualized through the additional CS terms in the Lagrangian on (3+1)dimension. Thus quantization is the very cause of anisotropy on the Hall surface along with the internal anisotropy of the particles. Apart from the gauge extension A induced by the external strong magnetic eld strength F , each particle have its own internal gauge C $_{\rm SL}$ (2C) which is visualized through the eld strength F $^{\sim}$.

$$F' = Q C Q C + [C ; C]$$
 (10)

These two gauges A and C act as breast each point of base space occupied by Hall particles. Hence the quantization of particles in Hall hierarchies involve gauges which can be visualized through their change of coordinates from x to x + i(A + C).

On the surface of 3D sphere the chiral sym m etry breaking of composite ferm ion in Hall uid is associated with internal and external gauge elds F and F^{\sim} and their physics can be described by the topological Lagrangian [10]

$$L = \frac{0}{16^{2}} TrF F = \frac{0}{16^{2}} TrF F = \frac{0}{16^{2}} TrF F$$
(11)

Here every term corresponds to a total divergence of a topological quantity, known as Chem-Sim ons secondary chracteristics class de ned by

$$_{e} = \frac{1}{16^{2}}$$
 Tr[A F 2=3(A A A)] (12)

$$\sim = \frac{1}{16^{2}}$$
 Tr[C F 2=3(C A A)] (13)

$$_{i} = \frac{1}{16^{2}}$$
 Tr[C F 2=3(C C C)] (14)

A ssum ing a particular choice of coupling $= {}^{0} = {}^{"}$ in the Lagrangian the topological part of the action in (3+1) dimension become

$$W = 2(_{e} + _{i} + ~)$$
 (15)

It gives rise the topological phase of Berry on the parallel transport over a closed path of a H all hierarchy state.

$$_{B} = W = 2 \sim_{eff} = 2 (_{e} + _{i} + \sim)$$
 (16)

Here the rst term $_{e}$ is associated with Berry Phase (BP) factor due to the anisotropy in the external space. The second term gives rise to the inherent BP factor $_{i}$ associated with the chiral anomaly of a free electron for its internal anisotropy and the third one ~ e ectively relates the coupling of the external eld with the internal one. It forces the H all particles in the presence of perpendicular magnetic eld to m ove around the quantized circular orbit. The angular m om entum of the rotating H all particles varies with the radius of the orbit. Hence BP varies directly with angular m om entum . With these views, we in our previous works are able to unify the physics of IQ H E, FQ H E [5] through this angular m om entum factor of the H all particles acquiring Berry Phase by 2 i having = 0; 1=2; 1; 3=2::::: Further their hierarchies are studied [10] through the angular m om entum aspect of Berry's topological phase. Hence the topological phase of the quantized H all quasi-particles is

$$_{\rm B} = 2 \ (_{\rm eff} + S)$$
 (17)

representing the solid angle subtended by their gauges (acting as vortex) with the axis of quantization about which the composite particles precesses. This is a 3D m anifestation of the topological phase O n 2D the Berry Phase ism anifested through angles. The Shift extends these angles by introducing som e deform ations.

It has been pointed out earlier[10] that the $_{eff}$ appeared in topological phase (eqn.17) actually visualizes the lling factor through the relation $=\frac{n^0}{2}$, where n^0 denotes the n⁰th Landau level. In fact this $_{eff}$ satis es the D irac quantization condition

$$e^{0}_{eff} = \frac{n^{0}}{2}$$
(18)

where $n^0 = 1;2;3::$ denotes the hierarchy levels. Each quasiparticle in the n^{th} Landau level having charge e^0 behaves as a composite ferm ion. It will behave as ferm ion only when

$$e(_{eff} \quad \frac{n^0 \quad 1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (19)

Here the + () sign indicates the orientation of the vortex line. The $(n^0 \ 1)=2$ is the magnetic strength of the additional quanta which is associated with the composite ferm ion in the higher Landau level to change it ferm ion in ground level. For = 1=2; 3=2; ... the quanta behaves like ferm ion and = 1; 2; ... it shows bosonic behavior. Hence the behavior of composite particle will be ferm ionic provided it follows

$$\mathbf{e}^{\sim} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{20}$$

having charge e and $ux \sim .$ These composite particles satisfying eqn.(20) not always an elementary ferm ion possessing unit electronic charge (e = 1) and m agnetic charge (= 1=2). Shift is visualizing this change of m agnetic charge by

$$2 \sim = S = 2_{eff} (n^0 1)$$
 (21)

In other words,

$$S = J_{CF}$$
 (n⁰ 1) (22)

where the angular m om entum of the composite ferm ion $J_{CF} = 2_{eff}$ is associated with the attached induced ux $_{e}$ in addition with internal ux $_{i}$. It may be noted that the angular m om entum of a quantized H all particle is $J = _{i}$ and following H aldane [9] the Landau lling factor = 1=m of parent state in the H all uid is the relative angular m om entum $m = J_{ij} = J_i + J_j$ of two H all particles [5].

W ith these ideas the lling factor of the composite particle is

$$_{CF} = \frac{n^0}{2_{eff}} = \frac{n^0}{J_{CF}}$$

and leads us to realize S by the ferm ionic lling factor whose relationship with the composite ferm ionic behavior of the Hall particles becomes.

$$_{\rm F}^{1} = n^{0} {}_{\rm C\,F}^{1} (n^{0} 1)$$
 (23)

In 2D Hall surface, W en [11] pointed out vanishing e ect of Shift. It seems to us that the e ect of Shift will not vanish completely on 2D, since anisotropy incorporates some deformation of symplectic structure on Hall surface visualized through curvature of space-time. This physics can be recast through the representation of Hall particles as Z_p spin system. Indeed, the Z_p spin model can be well described by a system of scalar particles, each having an orbital angular momentum J = 1-p, can form only one of the p discrete angles $_m = 2 m$ -p with some xed direction in the space of internal degrees of freedom. This gives rise to the behavior of such particles having fractional statistics [12]. An equivalent relationship between the anyon statistical formulation of the hierarchical states depicted in the continued fraction scheme e and Jain classi cation scheme based on the composite ferm ion m odel has been derived [13] in the background of Z_p spin system.

The statistics of the particles in this Z_p spin system is related to the angular momentum by J β].

$$\exp^{2 i J} = \exp^{i}$$
(24)

Here the statistical param eter is represented by

$$= [1 \quad \frac{1}{n}]$$
 (25)

where n = 1 corresponds to a ferm ion and n = 1 a boson leading to their respective statistics = and = 0. We nd using J = 1=p that n is related to p through the relation

$$n = \frac{p}{p - 2}$$
(26)

For p = 2 and n = 1 the system is Z_2 equivalent to a ferm ionic gas. Also for p = 3 and 4 results n = 3 and 2, respectively, the system can be represented by ferm ions of fractional ferm ion number O n the other hand as p + 1 we have n = 1 system represents plane rotor m odel (XY m odel). This analysis suggests that we can go gradually from the Ising m odel (Z_2) to the XY m odel through changing p values.

As a ferm ion moving with angular momentum J = 1=2 departs from its pure ferm ionic character to Z_p spin system, it acquires the angular momentum J = 1=p.T his leads to the change of angular momentum by

$$J = 1=2 \quad 1=p = \frac{p-2}{2p} = \frac{1}{2n}$$
(27)

which further indicate a shift in statistics

If the composite ferm ion is in Z_2 spin system, changes to ferm ion in the ground state, there exists a shift in angular momentum by

$$J = 1=2$$
 $1=2 = 0$

leading to change of statistics = 0. Sim ilarly for the composite ferm ion in the XY model, the deviation of angular momentum becomes

$$J = 1=2$$
 $1=1 = 1=2$

resulting the corresponding change in statistics of the H all particles in the X Y m odel to ferm ionic m odel is = .

These deviation in angularm on entum can be visualized through the Shift quantum number in the frame work of Z_p spin system. Earlier we have studied [13] the Hall particles in hierarchies by attachment of extra strength of vortex and arrived at the Jain classi cation scheme from the equivalent relationship between fractional statistics and Z_p spin system where the composite ferm ion lling factor is given by

$$_{CF}^{1} = = +mr = \frac{2}{p} + mr = \frac{2mn+1}{n} = \frac{2mn^{0}}{n^{0}} = 2_{eff} = n^{0}$$
 (29)

where m is an even number in connection with the ux attached with the composite particles.

In the light of Z_P spin system, the Shift in hierarchies from eqn 21 becomes

$$S = n^{0} \left(\frac{2}{p} + mr\right) \quad (n^{0} \quad 1)$$
(30)

in which using eqn. 27, for the release of the quanta the Shift is

$$S = n^{0} \left(\frac{2}{p} + m\right) \quad (n^{0} \quad 1) = 2n^{0} J + 2m n^{0} \quad 1 = 2n^{0} J + n^{0} {}_{CF} \quad 1 = 2n^{0} J + J_{CF}$$
(31)

On the other hand during acceptance of quanta, it becomes

$$S = n^{0}(\frac{2}{p} + m) + (n^{0} \quad 1) = 2n^{0}(J + 1) + 2m n^{0} \quad 1 = 2n^{0}(J + 1) + J_{CF} \quad (32)$$

It seems from above, that Shift in both the cases depend on angular momentum of composite ferm ion and it's change. The Shift during acceptance of quanta is more than that of release, by the term $2n^0$ which yields no extra e ect in the appearance of phase as seen from eqn.17.

A non-vanishing e ect of Shift in 2D is obtained for its origin lying in the deviation of angular momentum of the Hall particles from the higher to lower Landau level. We further realize that the di erence in angular momentum or the change in statistics is the very source of the elective ux N (eqn5) present in any Landau level by either

$$2n^{0} J$$
 or $2n^{0} (J+1)$ (33)

depending on the respective accept or release of quanta by the H all particles.

If the Hall uid represent a plane rotor model(X Y model), for p ! 1 in the Z_p system the S becomes from eqn.(30)

$$S = (2m n^{0} 1) n^{0} = n^{0} {}_{CF} {}^{1} n^{0} = J_{CF} n^{0}$$
(34)

which indicates 4 J = 1=2 and 4 =

On the other hand for Hall system behaving an Ising model, the Shift results

$$S = n^{0} {}_{CF} {}^{1} + n^{0} {}^{n} {}^{0}$$
(35)

following proper physics for the change in angular momentum 4 J = 0, 4 = For acceptance or release of quanta the respective values of S are

$$S = 2m n^{0} \quad 1 = n^{0} c_{F}^{-1} = J_{CF}^{-1} \text{ and } S = n^{0} c_{F}^{-1} + 2n^{0} = J_{CF}^{-1} + 2n^{0}$$
(36)

in ply identical e ect since $2n^{0}$ produces no extra e ect in the topological phase. The e ective ux associated with the respective XY m odel and Ising m odel becomes

$$n^{0}$$
; $3n^{0}$ and 0 ; $2n^{0}$

indicating a successive occurrence with the change of p values in H all system .

We conclude by mentioning that we have given here a new de nition of Shift from the point of view of angular momentum. It indicates the connection between ferm ionic and composite ferm ionic behavior of Hall particles in FQHE. A non-vanishing e ect of Shift in 2D is shown here through the consideration of Hall particles as Z_p spin system. We have also shed light on the elective ux associated

with each Landau level. In addition we are successful in studying the physics of Hall system behaving as the Ising model and XY model through Shift quantum number and e ective ux which re-open a new idea of FQHE from the topological aspect.

A cknow ledgem ent

I like to express my gratitude specially to Prof. P. Bandyopadhyay and all the authors cited in my references.

References

- 1 K.YuBliokh and YuPBilokh,quant-ph/0404144.
- 2 M N Berry; ProcRoySocLondon; A 392, 45 (1984), Proceedings of a NATO Advanced Research W orkshop on Fundam ental A spects of Quantum Theory, held in Italy, Sep. 1985;
- 3 F W ilczek; Fractional Statistics, A nyon Superconductivity, W orld Scienti c, 1990.
- 4 P B andyopadhyay, G eom etry, Topology and Q uantization (kluwer, D ordrecht, T he N etherlands, 1996).
- 5 D Banerjee and P Bandyopadhyay M od PhysLett-B 8, (1994), 1643.
- 6 D Banerjee; Fort Der Physik 44 (1996) 323-370.
- 7 H K uratsuji and S Jida; PhysRev D 37, (1988),441.
- 8 PB andyopadhyay; Int.JM od Phys-A 15, (2000), 1415.
- 9 F D M Haldane, PhysRevLett 51, 605 (1983).
- 10 D Banerjee; PhysRevB 58, (1998), 4666.
- 11 X G W en; Adv.in Phys. 41, (1995) 405.
- 12 G.Goswamiand PBandyopadhyay; JM ath Phys. 33 (1992), 1090.
- 13 D Banerjee and P Bandyopadhyay; Phys. Lett-A 246, (1998)181.