T.A. Aslanyan

Institute for Physical Research, Armenian National Academy of Sciences, Ashtarak-2, 378410 Armenia

T. Shigenari and K. Abe

Dept. of Appl. Phys. and Chem., University of Electro-communications, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182, Japan

(Received)

We show that the method of calculations of the Debye-Waller factors for IC structures by J.D. Axe, Phys. Rev. (1971), B 21, 4181, is not applicable for such calculations. The set of variables, which should be used for such calculations, is the phase φ and the amplitude of the IC modulation, but not the real and imaginary parts of the complex IC modulation function.

The Debye-Waller (D-W) factors for incommensurate structures (IC) were first calculated by Overhauser¹. From these calculations followed that the D-W factors are tending to zero with approaching the second order phase transition, and in some temperature range close to the transition the IC satellite reflections should not be observed. However, in some tens of the discovered IC structures the IC satellites were distinctly observed, and their intensity do not tend to zero, as it was predicted by Overhauser. The latter became the main motivation for the calculations by Axe², who derived that the D-W factors for IC structures are always close to unity, including very close ranges near phase transition. However, in the paper by Axe^2 was not pointed out the reason of such a discrepancy between the two results, and we consider that this problem is steel in order. We note that the result by Axe was reproduced in the book by Bruce and $Cowley^7$, and the result by Overhauser in the book by Krivoglaz³.

Below we compare the calculations of the D-W factors by Axe^2 with those by Overhauser¹ and show that the variables used by Axe are not applicable for such calculations, and therefore the calculations by Axe can not be treated as an alternative to those by Overhauser. The starting point of the discussion should be the expression for the thermodynamic potential:

$$\Phi = \int d\mathbf{R} \{ \frac{\alpha}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{g}{2} (\nabla \eta)^2 + \frac{g_1}{2} (\nabla^2 \eta)^2 + \frac{b}{4} \eta^4 \}$$
(1)

where the condition for the IC transition assumes that the coefficients g < 0 and $g_1 > 0$, and the value of the IC wavevector k is given by $k^2 = -g/2g_1$. The IC structure which appears below the transition may be described using two geometrically equivalent forms of the modulation function:

$$\eta(R) = (\eta_0 + \delta\eta)\cos(\mathbf{kR} + \varphi) \tag{2}$$

where η_0 is the equilibrium IC amplitude, and $\delta \eta$ is its fluctuation. In the equivalent form:

$$\eta(R) = \eta_1 \cos \mathbf{kR} + \eta_2 \sin \mathbf{kR} \tag{3}$$

where η_1 and η_2 are the real and imaginary components of the complex IC wave's amplitude $Q_{\mathbf{k}}$, i.e.,

$$\eta(R) = Q_{\mathbf{k}}e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} + Q_{-\mathbf{k}}e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}} \tag{4}$$

and $\eta_1 = (Q_{\mathbf{k}} + Q_{-\mathbf{k}})/2$, $\eta_2 = i(Q_{\mathbf{k}} - Q_{-\mathbf{k}})/2$, and besides $\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = (\eta_0 + \delta \eta)^2$, $\eta_2/\eta_1 = \tan \varphi$.

It should be emphasized that for the geometrical description of the fluctuation-induced distortions of the IC modulation wave the both sets of variables, namely $\delta \eta$ and φ eq.(2) (the IC amplitude and the IC phase), and η_1, η_2 eq.(3), are equivalent. However, calculations of the statistical averages using these sets of variables give significantly different results. The set of the variables $\delta \eta$ and φ was used in the calculations of the D-W factors for the IC structures by Overhauser,¹ Krivoglaz³ and also by the present authors⁸. The variables η_1 and η_2 were introduced for description of the IC modulation fluctuations (phasons) by Bruce and Cowley,⁶ and they were used in the calculations of the D-W factors by Axe^2 . In what follows we explain why the variables η_1 and η_2 can not be used for such calculations.

Now we introduce the variables η_1 and η_2 in the thermodynamic potential for further calculation of the statistical averages. We should substitute the IC modulation function eq.(3) in the thermodynamic potential (1). In order to keep our expressions simple we use for the IC modulation (3) the form:

$$\eta(R) = (\bar{\eta}_1 + \delta \eta_1) \cos \mathbf{kR} + \delta \eta_2 \sin \mathbf{kR}$$
(5)

where $\bar{\eta}_1$ is the equilibrium value of η_1 and $\delta \eta_1$ is its fluctuation. In other words, we assume that the equilibrium value of η_2 is equal to zero (i.e., $\bar{\eta}_2 = 0$ and $\delta \eta_2$ is its fluctuation). Therefore, $\bar{\eta}_1$ is the equilibrium IC amplitude $|\bar{\eta}_1| = \eta_0$, and the initial phase $\varphi_0 = \arctan(\bar{\eta}_2/\bar{\eta}_1) = 0$. Substituting (5) into eq.(1) one obtains:

$$\Phi = \int d\mathbf{R} \{ \frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{2} \delta\eta_1^2 + \frac{D}{2} (\nabla \delta\eta_1)^2 + \frac{D}{2} (\nabla \delta\eta_2)^2 + \frac{b}{32} (3\delta\eta_1^4 + 3\delta\eta_2^4 + 12\bar{\eta}_1\delta\eta_1^3 + 6\delta\eta_1^2\delta\eta_2^2 + 8\bar{\eta}_1\delta\eta_1\delta\eta_2^2) \}$$
(6)

with $\tilde{\alpha} = -(\alpha + gk^2 + g_1k^4)/2 > 0, D = (g + 6g_1k^2)/2 > 0,$ $\bar{\eta}_1^2 = -\tilde{\alpha}/b.$

The harmonic part of eq.(6) (given by the first line of eq.(6)), in fact, was used by Axe² for calculations of the D-W factor. As easy to see, this harmonic part is a diagonalized quadratic form with respect to $\delta \eta_1$ and $\delta \eta_2$. Transferring in eq.(6) to the Fourier-components (using only its harmonic part) one can calculate in the harmonic

approximation the fluctuations:

$$<\eta_{1k}\eta_{1-k}> = \frac{T}{V}\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha} + Dk^2}$$
$$<\eta_{2k}\eta_{2-k}> = \frac{T}{V}\frac{1}{Dk^2}$$
(7)

Since, as it follows from this equations, the mode η_2 is a gapless mode, it was interpreted by Axe^2 as a phason mode, and the mode η_1 , correspondingly, as an amplitude mode.

Now we demonstrate that the harmonic part of eq.(6) can not be used for such calculations in the Landau theory. Let us calculate the fluctuation correction to the harmonic part of eq.(6) from the inharmonic terms of the same potential⁹. For example, a correction from the inharmonic term $b\bar{\eta}_1\delta\eta_1\delta\eta_2^2$ can be calculated after presenting this term in the Fourier components as:

$$b\bar{\eta}_1 V \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} \eta_{1\mathbf{q}} \eta_{2\mathbf{k}} \eta_{2-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}$$

In the second order of expansion over this inharmonicity one will obtain, in particular, the correction

$$\Delta \sim \frac{V^3}{T} b^2 \bar{\eta}_1^2 |\eta_{1q}|^2 \int d\mathbf{k} < |\eta_{2\mathbf{k}}|^2 > < |\eta_{2\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}|^2 > \sim \frac{VTb^2}{D^2} \bar{\eta}_1^2 |\eta_{1q}|^2 \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q})^2} \quad (8)$$

The angle brackets $\langle \rangle$ in eq.(8) denote statistical averaging with distribution function $\exp[-H_0/T]$, where H_0 is the harmonic part of eq.(6) in the Fourier-form. This correction renormalizes the coefficient at the term $\eta_{1q}\eta_{1-q}$ in the thermodynamic potential, i.e., for $q \to 0$ it renormalizes the coefficient $\tilde{\alpha}$ in the expansion (6). Eq.(8) can be presented also by the graph⁹

where the outgoing lines correspond to the mode η_1 and the internal coupled lines to the mode η_2 .

As easy to see, for the wavevector $q \to 0$ or q = 0 the correction Δ diverges as

$$\Delta \sim \int_{0}^{k_0} \frac{dk}{k^2} \sim \frac{1}{0} \tag{9}$$

regardless of the temperature or closeness to the transition. The latter means that the harmonic part of eq.(6) can not be used for any calculations, since the inharmonic correction to it is not small (it is diverging). One may expect that calculation of all the series of the diverging graphs would cancel this divergency. However, there is no reason to expect that the residual of such a cancellation could be neglected.

We give an example to demonstrate that the calculations using variables η_1 , η_2 give physically inconsistent results. Since the IC modulation function $\eta(R)$ itself is directly a component of the crystal's electron density function it is meaningful to discuss diffraction (of X-rays) directly by it, and calculate the corresponding D-W factor. Let us calculate the statistical average of the modulation function (5) using distribution function $\exp[-H_0/T]$, where H_0 is the harmonic part of eq.(6). Since $\langle \delta \eta_1 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \delta \eta_2 \rangle = 0$, the result of such averaging for eq.(5) will be $\langle \eta(R) \rangle = \bar{\eta}_1 \cos \mathbf{kR}$. In other words, statistical averaging (in the approach adopted by Axe) does not reduce (or affect) the amplitude $\bar{\eta}_1$ of the IC modulation. Since the first order IC satellite reflection intensity is proportional to $\bar{\eta}_1^2$, the latter means that the D-W factor is always equal to unity for all temperatures. In other words, even at temperatures 10^5 K the D-W factor appears to be equal to unity, when it is expected to be zero, since at very high temperatures all the Bragg-reflection intensity is expected to transfer into the diffuse scattering.

The calculations of the D-W factors by Overhauser¹, in fact, are based on the averaging of the modulation function $\eta(R)$ given by eq.(2). Taking into account that the average⁵

$$\langle \exp[ikR + \varphi] \rangle = \exp[ikR] \exp[-\langle \varphi^2 \rangle/2]$$

one can see that the average of the modulation function eq.(2) takes the form

$$<\eta(R)>=\eta_0\cos\mathbf{kR}\exp[-\langle\varphi^2\rangle/2],$$

where the exponent is the D-W factor (it is less than unity) which reduces the IC amplitude η_0 and subsequently the IC satellite reflections. The local fluctuation of the phase $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ was calculated by Overhauser using the thermodynamic potential as a function of the phase φ and amplitude $\delta \eta$ (see also the paper by Golovko and Levanyuk⁴, where a more distinct procedure is used for deriving of that potential). The fluctuation $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ according to Overhauser diverges as T/η_0^2 with approaching the phase transition with $\eta_0 \rightarrow 0$. As a result, the D-W factor is tending to zero and attenuating the intensity of satellite reflections. The D-W factors for IC structures are calculated also in the paper by the present authors⁸ in frame of the Landau theory using the variables φ and $\delta\eta$. Our result significantly differs from that by Overhauser, and it gives not so strong divergence of the local fluctuation $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \sim T^{1/2}/\eta_0$.

In conclusion we note that though the use of the variables φ and $\delta\eta$ is consistent with the Landau theory (in contrast to the variables $\delta\eta_1$ and $\delta\eta_2$), the limits of the Landau theory applicability to the IC transitions are not defined. One may assume that in some region close to the transition the Landau theory is not applicable. However, there is no reason to expect that the divergence of the local fluctuation $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ will stop on approaching the transition.

- ¹ A. W. Overhauser, Phys. Rev. B **3**, 3173, (1971).
- ² J. D. Axe, Phys. Rev. B **21**, 4181, (1980).
- ³ M. A. Krivoglaz, Diffuse Scattering of X-Rays and Neutrons by Fluctuations, (Springer, 1996).
- ⁴ V.A. Golovko and A.P. Levanyuk, Sov. Phys., JETP, 54, 1217, (1981), and in *Light Scattering Near Phase Transitions*, edited by H.Z. Cummins and A.P. Levanyuk, Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences, Vol.5 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).
- ⁵ L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Contin*-

- uous Media, (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1984).
- ⁶ A.D. Bruce and R.A. Cowley, J. Phys. C, Vol. 11, 3609, (1978)
- ⁷ A.D. Bruce and R.A. Cowley, *Structural Phase Transitions*, Taylor and Francis LTD, London, (1981).
- ⁸ T. A. Aslanyan, T. Shigenari and K. Abe, J. of Phys.: Condensed Matter, **10**, 21, 4565-4576, (1998).
- ⁹ A.Z. Patashinski, V.L. Pokrovski, *Fluctuation Theory of Phase Transitions*, Nauka, Moscow, (1982)