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#### Abstract

W e study the relation betw een the recently de ned localizable entanglem ent and generalized correlations in quantum spin system s. D i erently from the current belief, the localizable entanglem ent is alw ays given by the average of a generalized string. U sing sym $m$ etry argum ents we show that in $m$ ost spin-1/2 and spin-1 system $s$ the localizable entanglem ent reduces to the spin-spin or string correlations, respectively. W e prove that a generalclass of spin-1 system $s$, which includes the $H$ eisenberg model, can be used as perfect quantum channel. T hese conclusions are obtained in analytic form and con $m$ som e results found previously on num erical grounds.


PACS num bers: 75.10 Pq , 03.65 Ud , 03.67 M n

Entanglem ent is one of the $m$ ost speci c features of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics ( $Q M$ ), rst recognized by Schrodinger [1], that has been realized in recent tim es to open the way to som e of the $m$ ost im portant applications ofQ M like teleportation, dense coding, quantum cryptography and com putation [2]. Since quantum inform ation is based on qbits and, $m$ ore generally on nite-levelquantum system s , spin chains em erge as natural candidates for quantum deviges. M oreover, it has also been suggested recently that the quantum inform ation perspective could give a better understanding of the structure of the ground state and of possible quantum phase transitions in $C$ ondensed $M$ atter system $s[3,4,4]$. In this context Verstraete et al l have introduced the notion of localizable entanglem ent (LE) [6], which is a very attracting new concept for its possible applications to the study of quantum phase transition. In addition, the LE has a precise physicalm eaning to the extent that it can $m$ easure the perform ance of certain $k$ inds of quantum repeaters [7, 8].

The LE of an interacting $m$ ultipartite system is the $m$ axim um am ount ofentanglem ent that can be localized on two particles by perform ing local $m$ easurem ents on the rem aining (assisting) particles. H ere we stick to the class of pro jective $V$ on $N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents. The LE on the pair $i ; j$ of an $N+2$ particle state $j i$ is de ned as

$$
L_{i ; j}(j i)={\underset{f}{j \text { jig }}}_{\max }^{X} p_{s} E\left(\mathcal{J}^{\prime} i\right):
$$

Them axim um is taken over all localm easurem ent bases fjigig of the $N$ assisting particles, $\jmath^{\prime}{ }_{s} i$ is the norm alized tw o-qbit state at sites $i$ and $j$ obtained after perform ing the $m$ easurem ent jsi w ith outcom e probability $p_{s}$. A s a $m$ easure ofentanglem ent $E\left(\exists^{\prime}\right.$ si) for tw o-qbit states $\jmath^{\prime}{ }_{s}$ i we use here the concurrence, rst de ned in [q]. Finding the optim al basis which satis es Eq. (1) is in general a form idable task. H ow ever in [6] upper and low er bounds have been derived, the low er bound being given by the $m$ axim alconnected correlation betw een sites $i$ and
$j$, while the upper bound is the concurrence of assistance [10].

Interestingly, it has been observed num erically that in $m$ ost spin $-1 / 2$ system $s$ the LE equals the lower bound [11]. Sim ilar num erical studies have show n [11, 12] that the LE assum es its $m$ axim alvalue 1 for the ground state of the spin -1 H eisenberg $m$ odelw ith spin $1 / 2$ at the endpoints. The sam e result has been proved exactly [12] for the A eck-K ennedy-৬ieb-Tasaki (AK LT) m odel [13]. Instead the -deform ed AKLT model [12] exhibits an exponentially decaying LE w ith a nite entanglem ent length E , which is de ned in analogy w ith the correlation length. For the latterm odel the LE has been considered an essential indicator of the transition taking place at $=0$, since here e diverges while the standard correlation length is sm ooth. To rule out the possibility that the LE can be ascribed to the string order correlation, the authors of Ref. [11] have given an exam ple of a state for which e diverges even in absence of string order.

In this Letter, it is show $n$ that the LE is given by a generalized string whose form depends on the sym $m$ etry properties of the state. In particular the follow ing analytical results are given: i) in spin $1 / 2$ system s we give argum ents that show the tightness of the low er bound ii) for a large class of spin 1 system $s$, that include the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel, the LE is proved to be 1, i.e. $m$ aximal ; iii) in the -deformed AKLT modelwe show that the LE is connected w ith a string order correlation.

Throughout the text we consider a system of N assisting particles labeled from 1 to N and two qbits on which we localize the entanglem ent that, w thout loss of generality, we place at the endpoints $0 ; \mathrm{N}+1$.

O nce an optim albasis $f \dot{\operatorname{s} i}=\dot{\mathcal{\beta}}_{1}::: \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ig is found, the LE of an $N+2$ particle state $j i$ is given by

$$
L(j \quad i)={ }_{s}^{X} p(j \text { si)j; j} s i \quad h s j i ;
$$

where the preconcurrence $P$ of an unnorm alized tw o-qbit state $j i$, is de ned by $P(j i)=h \quad j y \quad y^{y} j$; and the com plex con jugate is taken in the standard basis. It
is then clear that the LE can always be written as an expectation value

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(j i)=h j_{0}^{Y} G(s) \underset{N}{\mathrm{Y}+1} \mathrm{j} i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $G^{(s)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(s)}={ }_{s}^{X} \quad \dot{j} i h s j \operatorname{jsign}(P(j \text { si)); } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sign function is sign $(z)=z=\dot{k} j$ for nonzero $z$, $\operatorname{sign}(0)=0$. A $s$ it is evident from the de nition, the $G{ }^{(s)}$ operator depends on the optim albasis as well as on $j i$. H ow ever, in $m$ ost cases $G{ }^{(s)}$ takes a m anageable form that depends on the sym $m$ etry property of the state. O $n$ top of that, if the signs in Eq. (3) factorize into local term S , then $\mathrm{G}{ }^{(s)}$ becom es a string $G{ }^{(s)}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{G}}$

In order to nd the optim albasis figig we take an innitesim al variation over all possible local unitary transform ations obtaining the follow ing set of extrem al equations:
X

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}[i(s) \operatorname{sign}(P(j s i))]=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

s

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(s)=0 ; \text { for } P\left(j s^{i}\right)=0 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned
and the 's are the generators of the unitary singlesite group SU (D) (D is the dim ension of the single site H ibert space), $=1 ;::: ; D^{2} \quad 1$ and $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{2}$.

In what follow s we shall discuss separately spin $1 / 2$, spin 1 and $m$ atrix product states.

Spin $1 / 2$. In this case the 's are the $P$ aulim atrioes. O n the basis of Eqs. (4) and (5), it is straightforw ard to show that if $j i$ is real and invariant under rotations about the -axis, $P=\underset{i=0}{Q_{N+1}}, \quad=x ; y ; z$ then an extrem al is provided by the basis. This result can readily be applied, for exam ple, to the Ising model in transverse eld and to the XXZ H eisenberg $m$ odel.

Let us consider rst the Ising $m$ odelin transverse eld:

$$
H=\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \underset{i}{x} \underset{i+1}{x} & X &  \tag{6}\\
i & & & \\
i
\end{array}:
$$

For nite $N$ the ground state $j i$ is unique for any and belongs to the $P^{z}=1$ sector. For $j j>1$ the gap betw een the low est state in the sector $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{z}}=1$ and the ground state vanishes exponentially w ith N and hence becom es degenerate $w$ ith it in the them odynam ic lim it. As the basis of ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ is extrem al, we calculate now $\mathrm{G}^{(\mathrm{z})}$. For $>0$ a M arshall'ssign theorem ensuresthat, in the ${ }^{\mathrm{z}}$ basis, all the coe cients of $j i$ in the sam e parity sector are nonzero and have the sam e sign. Then the preconcurrence $P(j, i)$ is positive (negative) according to whether the
parity of ji is 1 (1). The case $<0$ is reduced to the previous one by transform ing the $H$ am iltonian (6) with a rotation about the z axis on the even sites. C ollecting results, for any non-zero, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{(z)}=\operatorname{sign}()^{N+1} \stackrel{Y}{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}}: \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

This im plies at once that the LE calculated in this basis is $L^{(z)}(j i)=\operatorname{sign}()^{N+1} h j{ }_{0}^{x}{ }_{N}^{x}+1 j$ i, i.e. the $m a x-$ im al classical correlation, that is the low er bound. For $j j>1$, in the therm odynam ic $\lim$ it we must be cautioned that the LE depends on the particular com bination of the tw ofold degenerate ground states one chooses.

Let us discuss now the XXZ m odel

The H am iltonian com m utes w th all $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{x}}$ iy $; \mathrm{z}$ discrete rotations, m eaning that ${ }^{x} / s,{ }^{y}$ 's and ${ }^{z}$ s are all extrem al bases. W hich one yields the highest LE m ust be assessed by explicit calculation. Exploiting the $M$ arshalls sign property we get $G{ }^{(z)}=(1)^{N} P_{1 ; N}^{0}$, where $P_{1 ; N}^{0}$ is the pro jector onto the subspace of zero $m$ agnetization for the assisting spins. $T$ hism eans that the LE assum es the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(z)}(j i)=(1)^{N} h j_{0}^{Y} \underset{N+1}{Y} j i: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate $G^{(x)}$ we need the $M$ arshall's sign property in the x 's basis. This can be achieved with a local unitary transform ation which dependson the value of . For $>1$ the required rotation is ${ }_{j} \exp i \quad{ }_{2 j}^{x}=2$ which acts only on one sublattioe. Instead for $1<$ $Q^{<} 1$ wem ust further apply a uniform rotation of $=2$, ${ }_{j} \exp$ i ${ }_{j}^{x}=4$. For $N$ is even, the result is

$$
G^{(x)}=\quad(1)^{N=2}{\underset{j}{\mathrm{X}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} ; \mathrm{L}^{(\mathrm{x})}=\mathrm{h} j_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} \underset{\mathrm{~N}+1}{\mathrm{z}} j \text { i; (10) }
$$

for $>1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(x)}=I ; L^{(x)}=h j \underset{0}{y} \underset{N}{Y}+1 j ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j j<1$. C learly $L^{(y)}=L^{(x)}$ for sym $m$ etry.
In the critical regim $e, 1<\quad 1$, it is well know $n$ that the transverse correlations dom inate at least asym $p$ totically, while the z z correlations are the highest in the antiferrom agnetic phase $>1$. The conclusion is that for the ground state of the XXZ m odel the ${ }^{x}$ 's basis always yields the highest LE. As for the Ising m odel, the low erbound is attained, in agreem ent w ith the num erical sim ulations in Ref. [11], indicating that our local maximum is indeed a globalone. It is rem arkable that on the each side of the isotropic point $=1$ the LE at nite size is given by di erent classical correlators. In particular it
has been observed in nite size system s that the LE betw een nearest-neighbour sites displays a singularity [11]. In our opinion, this fact is due to the di erent transform ations required by the $M$ arshall's theorem and cannot be attributed to the Berezinskii-K osterlitz-T houless (BKT) transition occurring at the sam e point.

W ork is in progress to extend them ethod outlined here in presence of a magnetic eld that breaks the $P^{x}$ sym $m$ etry. N onetheless, the num erical com putation of [11] anticipates that for some values of the eld, the LE is strictly greater than its low er bound.

Spin 1. Here we consider spin one assisting particles $S_{i} ; i=1 ;::: ; N$, and two qbits at the endpoints. The extrem al equations are still given by Eqs. (4) and (5) where the 's are now the generators of SU (3), i.e. the eight G ell-M ann matrices . W hen both the state $j i$ and the basis jisi are real, it is enough to consider in the extrem al Eq. (4) only the restricted set of purely im aginary generators of $S U$ (3): ( ) ; = i ,where is the Levi-C ivita symbol.
$G$ iven the richer structure of $S U$ (3) $w$ ith respect to SU (2), the invariance of the state through a rotation of around -axis, $=e^{i S_{\text {tot }}}$, is not su cient to prove that the basis of $S$ is extrem al, at variance $w$ th the spin $1 / 2$ case. Instead, if the state $j i$ is sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to rotations of about two (and hence any) axes, using the follow ing (anti)com $m$ utation rules

$$
{ }_{1 ; 3} ; e^{i S^{z}}{ }^{\circ}={ }^{n} \boldsymbol{e}^{i S^{x i y}}={ }^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2} ;{e^{i S^{z}}}^{i}=0 ;
$$

Eq. (4) is satis ed provided that the $m$ easurem ent basis full 17

The solution of (12) is (apart from unim portant phase
 since the $m$ atrices $e^{i S^{x i y ; z}}$ are all diagonal in this basis.

Sunprisingly, w ithout $m$ aking any further assum ption on the state $j$ i it is possible to calculate exactly the LE in the basis fisig, nding that it reaches its $m$ axim al value, i.e. 1. The proof goes as follow s. W e write the state j ias

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i={ }_{s ;}^{X} C_{s ;} \dot{\mathcal{S}}_{1} S_{2} \quad{ }_{N} \text { isj } 0 \quad N+1 \text { i; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the two qbits. Due to the invariance of the state $w$ ith respect to rotations of about any axis, one has $e^{i S_{\text {tot }}}=p \quad ; p=1$ and the coe cients in (13) satisfy $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s} ;}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} 0 \mathrm{~N}+1(1)^{\mathrm{n}+(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(\mathrm{s})} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} ;$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$; $=$ $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}(1)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{n}_{0}(\mathrm{~s})} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$; . Here n ( s$)$ is the number of times $S_{i}=$ in the state $\dot{\mathcal{S}}_{1} S_{2} \quad N$ isand $j$ is obtained
by spin ipping the state $j$ i. The LE can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{~s})^{\mathrm{n}_{+}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{n}_{0}(\mathrm{~s})}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s} ; " \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s} ; \# \#}^{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{C}_{\left.\mathrm{s} ; " \# \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s} ; \# \#}\right):}\right. \\
& \text { s }
\end{aligned}
$$

N ow we use $\mathbb{C}_{s} ; C_{s} ; j_{j}=(1)^{n_{+}(s)+n_{0}(s)} C_{s} ; C_{s}$; and $(1)^{n_{+}(s)+n_{0}(s)}=h s j{ }_{i=1}^{N} e^{i} S_{i}^{x}$ si. Finally, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{(s)}()=p h \dot{e}^{i S_{t o t}} j i=1 ; \quad=x ; y ; z: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that Eq. (5) is autom atically satis ed. In this derivation it has not been necessary to com pute the $G$ operator which anyway is given by $G{ }^{(s)}=$
$p_{Y} P \xrightarrow[i=1]{N} e^{i} S_{i}^{Y} P$, where $P$ projects out the states for which the preconcurrence is zero. A long the sam e reasoning it can be shown that Eq. (14) rem ains valid for general com plex ji.

The nding of Eq. (14) dem onstrates that, in a spin 1 state the entanglem ent is fully localizable on the endpoints, w ith the only requirem ent of invariance w ith respect to rotation around any axis. This property is shared by ground states of a large class of system swhich can be regarded as perfect quantum channels.

An example is given by the $\mathrm{XXZ} S=1 \mathrm{H}$ eisenberg $m$ odelw th single-ion anisotropy:

$$
H={ }_{i}^{X} S_{i}^{x} S_{i+1}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{i+1}^{y}+S_{i}^{z} S_{i+1}^{z}+D\left(S_{i}^{z}\right)^{2^{i}}:
$$

This m odelexhibits a very rich ground state phase diagram (see [14,15] and references therein) w ith six di erent phases. A ccording to num erical studies [12], the LE is one at the isotropic $H$ eisenberg point. In the present work it is proved that the LE is alw ays one in the entire region of param eters, in spite of the com plexity of the phase diagram. O fcourse this $m$ akes unpractical the use of the LE for the detection of quantum phase transition in contrast to what suggested by som e authors [11, 12].

O ne $m$ ay wonder whether the $m$ axim al localizability of the entanglem ent is due to the presence of the two qbits at the borders. This occurs, for exam ple, in the large-D region where the asym pfotic ground state is (j";0;:::;0;\#i j\#;0;:::;0;"i)= $\overline{2}$ forwhich the LE is one, while in absence of the spin $1 / 2$ at the endpoints, the ground state tends to the unentangled state $j 0 ;::: ; 0 i$.

A little care is needed in the broken sym $m$ etry phases i.e. ferro- and antiferro-m agnetic regions where the ground states are tw ofold degenerate. H ow ever the requirem ent of invariance under xiy;z xes the combination of ground states in a sim ilar $m$ anner as in the $G$ reenberger $H$ ome-Zeilinger ( $\mathrm{GH} Z$ ) state $w$ ith $m$ axim al LE.

M atrix P roduct States. M atrix product states (M P S) are very interesting in $m$ any respects, in particular the
xed point of the density $m$ atrix renom alization group algorithm (DMRG) yields an MPS state [16]. As was shown in Ref. [12], it is possible to calculate exactly the LE for any MPS state. This opens up the possibility of calculating approxim ately the LE for any one dim ensional ground state. H ere we revisit the exam ples introduced in [12] in the fram ew ork ofour form alism. Thisw ill allow us to clarify the link betw een LE and correlations.

U sing the convention of [12] we write a general M PS state w th open boundary condition as

$$
F_{A} i=C{ }^{X} \quad j_{1} \quad{ }_{i} i \operatorname{II} \quad A{ }^{N} \quad{ }^{1} A j \quad i_{0 ; N+1} ;
$$

where the A 's are D 22 m atrioes which depend on the local basis $j_{i} i, C$ is a norm alization constant and $j \quad i_{0 ; N+1}=\left(j " \# i_{0 ; N+1} \quad j \# " i_{0 ; N+1}\right)=\overline{2}$ is the twoqbit singlet at the endpoints.

The optim al basis $\dot{\beta}_{i} i$ can be found via the $m$ ethod show $n$ in 12] and, since in this case the preconcurrence takes the form $\quad \operatorname{det}\left(A^{S_{N}} \quad{ }^{S_{2}} A^{S_{1}}\right)$, the $G$ operator factorizes into local term s reducing to

H ence the localizable entanglem ent is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(F i)=h F j{ }_{0}^{Y} G_{1} G_{2} \quad{ }_{N} G_{N+1}^{Y} F \quad i: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A well known exam ple of MPS state is the ground state of the spin-1 AK LT m odel, characterized by the presence of a gap, exponentially decaying spin-spin correlation function and hidden topological (string) order [13]. A s any M P S, the AK LT ground state can be alternatively w ritten in the valence bond solid (VBS) form alism. In this representation, every spin one at position $i$ is replaced by a pair of qbits $i$; $i$ each one form ing a singlet w ith its nearest neighbor, respectively $\bar{i} 1$ and i+ 1. The on-site couple of qbits is then pro jected back onto the spin-1 H ilbert space by $m$ eans of a single 34 $m$ atrix A, related to the 22 MPS matrices A via $h_{i}{ }_{i}=h \quad j_{i} A{ }^{i} \quad I_{i}$.

The -deform ed generalization $j v i$ [12] of the AK LT state is given by the $m$ atrix

$$
A=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & & & & \\
& \mathrm{e} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
@ & 0 & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & 0 & \bar{A} \\
& 0 & 0 & 0 & e
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he $\mathrm{J}=0 \mathrm{i}$ state is the rotation-invariant AKLT state, while a non zero breaks the $O$ (3) invariance dow $n$ to O (2) invariance. A s was show $n$ in [12] the optim albasis is $f$ jig $=f j 0 i ; j$ ig for any , which is the sameas in the previously considered $S=1$ case. It is straightforw ard to calculate the $G$ operator and the LE which now reads

$$
G^{(s)}(j V i)={ }_{i=1}^{Y^{Y}} e^{i S_{i}^{y}} ; L(\mathbb{V} \quad i)=h V \quad e^{i S_{t o t}^{y}} j V i ;
$$

For $=0$ the LE is equal to one, while for non zero it decays exponentially w ith a nite entanglem ent length
${ }_{\mathrm{E}}{ }^{1}=\quad \lim _{\mathrm{N}}!1 \ln (\mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{N}$. On the basis ofE $q$. (18), the connection betw een the LE and the string order param eter (SOP) in the $y$ direction becom es evident. G enerally the ( $m$ axim ally extended) SOP's $g(\mathbb{N})$ are de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(\mathbb{N})=h V \operatorname{j}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{N} y}{ }^{1} e^{i} S_{i} S_{N} J V i: \\
& \mathrm{i}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

In the isotropic case $=0, a l l g^{x i y i z}$ saturate to $4=9$ revealing a breaking of a hidden $Z_{2} \quad Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry [17]. $O n$ the other hand, for $\in 0$ the SOP in the $z$ direction tends exponentially to a non-zero value $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{z}}(1)=$
$q$ _ ${ }^{2}$
4 cosh $(2)+\cosh (2)^{2}+3$, while $g^{y}$ (and $g^{x}$ )
behaves as the LE decreasing exponentially to zero with correlation length E . In the $=0$ case the LE can be ascribed to the presence of string order. H ow ever in the deform ed case, it was argued in 11] that the LE is not connected to string order since the LE is short ranged while $g^{z}$ is non vanishing. A ctually our argum ents show that in this case a connection still exists betw een the LE and SOP, but in the $y$ channel.

An exam ple ofM PS state $j$ i forw hich the LE is identically one but all the SO P 's are zero w as conceived in 11] and is de ned as in (16) w ith $A^{1}={ }^{z}+{ }^{y} ; A^{2}={ }^{z} \quad$ iII; and $f j$ ig is the standard basis which in this case coincides w th the optim al one. It is straightforw ard to calculate the G operator for this state which tums out to be $\mathrm{G}=(1)^{\mathrm{N}} \mathbb{I}$ yielding a m axim alLE :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(j i)=(1)^{N} h j \underset{0}{\mathrm{Y}} \underset{\mathrm{~N}+1}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{j} \quad i=1: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that, in this case, the LE is not connected to string param eters but rather to a classical correlation that involves a com plex conjugation.

In conclusion, in this Letter we have considered the recently de ned LE and its relationship w ith correlations in spin system. In quantum inform ation theory the LE has a wellde ned physicalm eaning, while in condensed $m$ atter it has attracted much attention for its possible uses to detect and characterize quantum phase transitions. In particular, we have shown that for spin-1 system sparity sym $m$ etry along the three axes is su cient to assure that the LE reaches its $m$ axim al value one. $T$ his prom otes spin-1 system s as realizations of perfect quantum channels, but shows that the LE is insensitive to quantum phase transitions. U sing sim ilar sym $m$ etry argum ents we have put in evidence that, in the spin-1/2 case, the LE equals the $m$ axim al correlation. B oth of these ndings
have been obtained analytically con $m$ ing the num erical results of $R$ ef. [11]. W e believe that relaxing the sym $m e-$ try requirem ents and/or analyzing higher spin system $s$ opens the possibility of nding examples in which the LE does not reduce to known correlations.
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