# Quantum Dynam ics of a N anom agnet in a R otating Field.

C. Calero<sup>1</sup>, E. M. Chudnovsky<sup>1</sup>, and D. A. Garanin<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Physics Department, Lehman College, City University of New York, 250 Bedford Park Boulevard W est, Bronx, New York 10468–1589, U.S.A. <sup>2</sup>Institut fur Physik, Johannes-Gutenberg-Universitat, D-55099 Mainz, Germany (Dated: April 14, 2024)

Q uantum dynamics of a two-state spin system in a rotating magnetic eld has been studied. A nalytical and num erical results for the transition probability have been obtained along the lines of the Landau-Zener-Stueckelberg theory. The e ect of various kinds of noise on the evolution of the system has been analyzed.

PACS numbers:  $75.50 \times x$ ,  $03.65 \times p$ 

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular magnets with high spin and high magnetic anisotropy can be prepared in long-living excited quantum states by simply applying a magnetic eld [1]. In a time dependent magnetic eld, they exhibit stepwise magnetic hysteresis due to resonant quantum tunneling between spin levels [2]. This phenomenon has been intensively studied theoretically within models employing Landau-Zener-Stueckelberg (LZS) e ect [3, 4, 5]. The formulation of the problem, independently studied by Landau [3], Zener [4], and Stueckelberg [5] at the inception of quantum theory is this. Consider a system characterized by quantum states 11 and 12i with energies  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  respectively. Let the system be initially prepared in the lower-energy state, jli, and the eld be changing such (due to, e.g., Zeem an interaction of the magnetic eld with a spin) that  $E_1$  is shifting up while  $E_2$  is shifting down. A fter the levels cross and the distance between them continues to increase, the system,  $^{2}=2~v$ ), remains in with LZS probability,  $P = \exp($ the state jli. Here is the tunnel splitting of jli and j2i at the crossing, and v is the rate at which the energy bias between jli and j2i is changing with time. This picture, of course, does not take into account any disturbance of the quantum states, jli and jli, by the dissipative environm ent. Application of the conventional LZS e ect to molecular magnets was initially suggested in Refs. 6, 7. Its dissipative counterpart was developed in Refs. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16. The am azing property of the LZS formula is that it is very robust against any e ect of the environment [11, 13, 17]. This has allowed experim entalists to use the LZS expression to extract in molecular magnets from bulk magnetization m easurem ents [18, 19, 20, 21].

The purpose of this paper is to elucidate the possibility of a detailed study of quantum spin transitions and the e ect of the environment in experiments with a rotating magnetic eld. Quantum tunneling rates for a spin system in a rotating eld have been studied before [22]. Here we are taking a di erent angle at this problem, by computing the occupation numbers for quantum spin states. This approach can be useful for the descrip-

tion of experiments that measure the time dependence of the magnetization. A weak high-frequency rotating 10 e, can be easily achieved electronically, eld, H<sub>0</sub> by applying  $H_x = H_0 \cos(!t)$  and  $H_z = H_0 \sin(!t)$ . The rotating eld of large am plitude can be achieved by rotating the sample in a constant magnetic eld. In a typical molecular magnet, a rotating eld not exceeding a few k0 e will result in the crossing of two spin levels only, preserving the two-state approximation. We will com pute the time evolution of the probability to occupy one of the two spin levels after a number of revolutions. We will dem onstrate that this evolution depends crucially on whether the system is subject to the dissipative noise and that it depends strongly on the frequency of the noise. The equivalent of the LZS e ect in the rotating eld is studied in Sec. III. The e ect of slow and fast noise on such probability in the rotating eld is considered in Sec. IV . Consequences for experiment are discussed at the end of the paper.

#### II. HAM ILTONIAN

W e shall start with the Ham iltonian

$$H = D S_z^2 g_B H S; \qquad (1)$$

where D is the uniaxial anisotropy constant, g is the gyrom agnetic factor,  $_{\rm B}$  is the Bohr magneton, and H is the magnetic eld, and S is an integer spin. We shall assume that the magnetic eld rotates in the X Z-plane,

$$H = H \sin (!t)e_{z} + H \cos (!t)e_{x} :$$
(2)

At H = 0, the ground state of the model is double degenerate. The lowest energy states correspond to the parallel (m = S) and antiparallel (m = S) orientation of S with respect to the anisotropy axis, with m being the magnetic quantum number for S. The e ect of the external magnetic eld is twofold. The Z -com ponent of the eld rem oves the degeneracy. The X -com ponent produces a term in the H am iltonian that does not com m ute with S<sub>z</sub>. Consequently, at H<sub>x</sub>  $\in$  0 the jn i states are no longer the eigenstates of the system . How ever, at

we can treat the non-commuting term in the H am iltonian as a perturbation. Throughout this article it will be assumed that the system is prepared initially in one of the saturated magnetic states, say j Si for certainty. This can be easily achieved at low temperature in molecular magnets with high easy-axis anisotropy.

For the perturbation V (t) =  $g_B H$  S the timedependent perturbation theory gives the following expression for the transition amplitude from the initial state, j Si, to any  $jn^0i$  state with  $m^0 \in S$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_{m} \circ (\mathbf{t}) &= e^{-\frac{\mathbf{i}^{E_{m}0^{t}}}{2}} & \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \operatorname{hm}^{0} \mathbf{jg}_{B} \operatorname{H} S_{x} \mathbf{j} \operatorname{Si} \\ & Z_{t} \\ & dt_{1} e^{\mathbf{i}^{(E_{m}0 - E_{s})t_{1}}} \cos(!t_{1}) + -\frac{\mathbf{i}^{2}}{2} \\ & X^{0} \\ & \operatorname{hm}^{0} \mathbf{jg}_{B} \operatorname{H} S_{x} \mathbf{jn}^{0} \mathbf{i} \operatorname{hm}^{0} \mathbf{jg}_{B} \operatorname{H} S_{x} \mathbf{j} \operatorname{Si} \\ & Z_{t}^{0} \\ & dt_{1} e^{\mathbf{i}^{(E_{m}0 - E_{m}0)t_{1}}} \cos(!t_{1}) \\ & Z_{t_{1}}^{0} \\ & dt_{2} e^{\mathbf{i}^{(E_{m}0 - E_{s})t_{2}}} \cos(!t_{2}) + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j} \end{aligned}$$
(4)

where  $E_m = Dm^2$  are the eigenstates of  $H_0 = DS_2^2$ . When !  $(E_m \circ E_S) =$  for all  $m^0$ , then the perturbation can be treated adiabatically. This requires the condition

that will be used throughout this paper. In molecular magnets, D is of the order of 1K.Consequently, any ! at or below GHz range satis es Eq. (5).

At T = 0, equations (3) and (5), and the initial condition, allow one to limit the consideration by the two lowest states,  $\mathfrak{F}i$  and  $\mathfrak{j}$  Si, of the unperturbed H am iltonian. Time-independent perturbation theory based upon the condition (5) permits the usual reduction of the spin H am iltonian to the elective two-state H am iltonian for the tunnel split states originating from  $\mathfrak{m} = S$ ,

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}h_0 \sin()_z + \frac{1}{2}()_x :$$
 (6)

Here  $_{z ix}$  are the Paulim atrices,  $h_0 = 2Sg_B H$  is the amplitude of the energy bias, = !t is dimensionless time, and () is the tunnel splitting of  $\beta$  i and j S i due to the transverse eld  $H_x$  () [23],

$$() = {}_{0} \cos^{25} ();$$
 (7)

where

$$h_0 = \frac{8S^2D}{(2S)!} \frac{h_0}{4DS}^{2S}$$
 : (8)

An important observation that follows from Eq. (7) is that at  $_n = (2n + 1) = 2$  (with n = 0; 1; 2; ...) the splitting is exactly zero. According to Eq. (7), at large



FIG.1: Time dependence of the energy levels (norm alized by D) of the Ham iltonian (6) (solid line) at S = 4 and  $g_B H = D = 3:1$ . Dash line shows the distance between the energy levels if they were unperturbed by the second term in Eq. (6).

S, it decreases very fast as one moves away from the level crossing, that occurs at  $_n = n = 2$ . This guarantees that the transitions between the two states are localized in time at the level crossing. This is clearly seen in Figure 1 that shows the elect of the perturbation on the energy levels.

#### III. DYNAM ICSW ITHOUT NO ISE

## A. A single revolution

In order to describe the evolution of the magnetization in a magnetic eld rotating in the X Z -plane, we shall compute rst the probability of staying at the initial state after a rotation by 180 degrees, when a single level crossing takes place.

The Schrödinger equation for the coe cients of the wave function,

can be expressed as:

$$i \sim \frac{d}{dt} e_{s}(t) = \frac{(t)}{2} e_{s}(t)$$
  
 $i \sim \frac{d}{dt} e_{s}(t) = h_{0} \sin(!t) e_{s}(t) + \frac{(t)}{2} e_{s}(t)$  (10)

where  $\mathbf{e}_{i}(t) = c_{i}(t) \exp \left[\frac{i}{2^{2}} \frac{R_{t}}{t_{0}} dt^{0}h_{0} \sin(!t^{0})\right]$ . In terms of the dimensionless variable,

$$u = \frac{h_0}{0}; \qquad (11)$$

$$i\frac{d}{du}e_{s}(u) = \frac{2}{2}\cos^{2s}(u)e_{s}(u)$$

$$i\frac{d}{du}e_{s}(u) = -\sin(u)e_{s}(u) + \frac{2}{2}\cos^{2s}(u)e_{s}(u)$$
(12)

The problem is now de ned by two dimensionless param eters:

$$\sim = \frac{0^2}{\sim ! h_0};$$
 (13)

which is sim ilar to the parameter used in the LZS theory [6, 24], and

$$=\frac{0}{h_0}$$
; (14)

which is a measure of the magnitude of the magnetic eld. Notice that this parameter equals  $_c = !t_c$ , where  $t_c = _0 = (!h_0)$  is the characteristic time of crossing the resonance. Thus, the condition 1 is needed for the crossing to be well localized in time on the time scale of one revolution. This condition is required for the self-consistency of the method.

From Eqs. (12) one can compute num erically the time evolution of the coe cients c  $\,_{\rm S}\,$  and  $\,c_{\!\rm S}$  , and, thus, the tim e evolution of the occupation num bers for any ~; . In the fast (~ 1) and slow (~ 1) rotation regimes, analytical form ulas for the occupation probabilities can be obtained. These form ulas are useful for further analysis. Inspection of Eqs.(12) reveals that the deviation from the LZS result for slow rotation is small, since within the rel-1 and () is nearly evant time of the transition u constant. On the contrary, for the fast rotation regime, ~ 1=2. 1), the relevant time interval is wider, u (~ This allows a signi cant change of during the transition and makes possible a considerable deviation from the LZS result.

### 1. Fast rotation (~ 1)

Following the procedure devised by G aranin and Schilling [24], we can obtain the probability of staying at the initial state after a 180-degree rotation of the external magnetic eld. We choose the direction of the magnetic eld to be initially antiparallel to the Z-axis. In the zero-th order of the perturbation theory  $c_1(u) = 1$ . In the rst order, such probability is then given by

$$P = 1 \quad \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{\sim}{-} \frac{Z}{z} \cos^{2S} (z) \exp i \frac{-}{2} \cos(z) dz \quad (15)$$

It is clear from this expression that for 1, only jzj 1 contribute to the integral. This is in accordance with the fact that the transition takes place during the



FIG.2: Probability of staying at j Si, P, as a function of parameter ~ at = 0:1 and S = 5. The solid line represents the numerical data, the short-dash line is the analytical result, Eq. (17), and the long-dash line is the LZS result (see explanation in the text).

time interval  $_0=h_0!$ , which is of order compared with the time of the integration. Consequently, one can approximate  $\cos(z)$  by

$$\cos(z) = 1 \frac{z^2}{2}; \quad \cos^b(z) = e^{\frac{b}{2}z^2};$$
 (16)

where the exponential form is chosen to insure fast convergence of the integral, and set in nite integration limits in Eq. (15):

$$P = 1 \quad \frac{1}{4} \stackrel{Z}{-} \frac{1}{1} \exp \frac{ix}{2} + S \quad z^{2} \quad dz^{2}$$
$$= 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{1 + (2S^{2} = x)^{2}} : \qquad (17)$$

The probability, P, of staying at the initial state j Si after a 180-degree rotation is shown in Fig. 2 for di erent values of  $\sim$ . In the gure, the num erical results are com pared with the result given by Eq.(17), and with the LZS result,

$$P_{LZS} = \exp[--2]$$
: (18)

Note that Eq. (18) would be our result for the probability if we applied the LZS theory to the version of the H am iltonian (6) that is linearized on . As can be seen from Fig. 2, at ;~ 1, Eq. (17) provides a good approxim ation. The di erence between the num erical result and the LZS result is considerable. Fig. 3 shows P for di erent at ~ = 0:1. Here again Eq. (17), but not the LZS form ula, provides a good approxim ation for the -dependence of the staying probability.

## 2. Slow rotation (~ 1)

In the case of a slow rotation it is convenient to seek the solution of the Schrödinger's equation in the adiabatic



FIG.3: Probability of staying at the initial state, P, as a function of  $at \sim = 0.1$  and S = 5. The solid line represents num erical data, the short-dash line is the analytical result Eq.(17), and the long-dash line is the LZS result.

basis of the two-state H am iltonian. Then, one can follow a procedure similar to that used for the fast rotation regime. The adiabatic basis is given by:

$$j = \frac{1}{2} (k j Si + k jSi)$$
 (19)

where

k () = 
$$1 \frac{W()}{W^{2}() + 2()}$$
: (20)

with W ( ) =  $h_0 \sin()$  and ( ) =  $_0 \cos^{2S}()$ . The corresponding adiabatic energy levels are

E () = 
$$\frac{1^{p}}{2} \overline{W^{2}() + 2^{2}()}$$
: (21)

Expressing the wave function as

S

$$ji = c_{+} j_{+} i + c j i$$
 (22)

we can now write the Schrodinger equation for

$$e(t) = \exp \frac{i}{2} dt E(t) c(t)$$
 (23)

in terms of the dimensionless variable u de ned above:

$$\frac{d}{du} e_{+} = i (u) e_{+} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dw = du}{(1 + w^{2})} e_{+}$$

$$\frac{d}{du} e_{-} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dw = du}{(1 + w^{2})} e_{+}; \qquad (24)$$

where

(z) = 
$$\frac{r}{\frac{1}{2}\sin^2(z) + \cos^{4S}(z)}$$
 (25)

and w (z) = W (z) = (z).



FIG. 4: Probability of staying at the initial state, P, as a function of the parameter ~ at = 0:1 and S = 5. The solid line represents the num erical data, the short-dash line is the analytical result, Eq. (27), and the long-dash line is the LZS result

The asymptotic behavior of  $c_{(u)}$  is  $c_{(u)}$ ! 1 for ~! 1.At ~ 1 the coe cient  $c_{(u)}$  remains close to 1.A lso, far from the crossing point,  $c_{+}$  (u)  $c_{s}$ , as can be seen from Eqs. (19) and (20). These two facts allow one to obtain the probability of staying at the initial state in the rst order of perturbation theory:

$$P = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{Z}{2}} dz \frac{dw = dz}{(1 + w^{2})} \exp i - \int_{0}^{\frac{Z}{2}} dz^{0} (z^{0})$$
(26)

At 1 the integral is dom inated by z close to zero. The correct prefactor can be obtained by applying the procedure outlined in Ref. 24. W ith the accuracy to  $^2$  this gives:

$$P = \exp -\frac{\sim}{2} 1 + S -\frac{1}{8} 2$$
 (27)

This probability is shown in Fig. 4 for di erent values of  $\sim$ . The numerical results are compared in the gure with the result given by Eq. (27), and with the LZS result. As can be seen from Fig. 4, at 1, Eq. (27) provides a good approximation for  $\sim > 5$ .

### B. Continuous Rotation

O urtreatm ent of the continuous rotation is based upon the sm allness of the transition time in comparison with the period of the rotation. Periodically driven two-state system s of that kind have been studied before [14].

The individual crossing is described by the transfer  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{m}}\xspace$  atrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{\overline{P}} & e^{i p_{\overline{1}}} \\ M & p_{\overline{P}} & P_{\overline{P}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_{\overline{P}} \\ A & P_{\overline{P}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

where is the Stokes phase given by:

$$= \frac{1}{4} + \arg 1 \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{1}{4} = 1$$
 (29)

This matrix transforms a given initial state into the after-crossing nalstate in terms of the unperturbed basis. The above expression corresponds to the crossing in which the j Si level moves up towards the  $\beta$ i level that is moving down. In the opposite case, M should be replaced by the transpose matrix, M<sup>T</sup>.

To describe the evolution of the system between crossings it must be noted that, as we have shown before, far from the crossings the unperturbed basis  $j \, Si; ji$ almost coincides with the adiabatic basis j + i; j i, see equations (19) and (20). In this region, the evolutions of  $j \, Si$  and ji are then considered independent, so that they can be described by the propagator

$$G_{n} = \begin{array}{c} \exp[(1)^{n+1}i] & 0\\ 0 & \exp[(1)^{n}i] \end{array}$$
(30)

where

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{D}{\sim !} \frac{d^{2}}{d} \frac{p}{W} \frac{1}{(2)^{2} + (1)^{2}} = (31)$$

W ith the help of Eq. (28) and Eq. (30) one can compute the time evolution of the coe cients c  $_{\rm S}$ ; c<sub>s</sub> in Eq. (9). Starting with the initial state, the state of the system after the n-th crossing can be obtained by the successive action of M; M<sup>T</sup> and G<sub>n</sub>. The time-dependence of the probability of nding a continuously rotating system in the initial state j Si is shown in Fig. 5. The gure shows good agreement of the above analytical method with numerical calculation. It is important to notice that in the absence of dissipation the system does not arrive to any asymptotic state at ! 1. The behavior of the probability shows a long-term memory of the initial state, which is som ewhat surprising. This prediction of the theory can be tested in real experiment.

# IV. DYNAM ICSW ITH NOISE.

W hen considering the e ect of the noise, it is in portant to distinguish between the following three regimes:

where is the characteristic frequency of the noise. The rst of these conditions corresponds to the situation when a few revolutions may occur before any contribution of the noise becomes apparent. Consequently, during the time interval satisfying t < 1 one can use the results for the probability obtained in the previous section. Under the condition (33), one can use the previously obtained results for a singular crossing but needs to take



FIG. 5: Time dependence of the probability of nding a continuously rotating system in the initial state j Si for  $\sim = 0.04$ ; = 0.06 and S = 5. The solid line represents numerical data. The dash line shows analytical result obtained by successive application of M; M<sup>T</sup> and G<sub>n</sub>.



FIG.6: T in e dependence of the probability of nding a particle in the state j S i in the presence of a low -frequency noise for  $\sim = 1=3$ ; S = 10, and = 0.01. The plotted probability is the average over an ensemble of 20 two-state dissipative system s.

into account the destruction of the relative phase of the two states by the noise before the next crossing takes place. Under the condition (34) the results of the previous section do not apply because the coherence of the quantum state is destroyed by the noise on a timescale that is less than the crossing time.

#### A. Low-frequency noise, != 1

The situation corresponding to the condition (33) can be easily described by the H am iltonian

$$H_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}h_0 \sin()_z + \frac{1}{2}()_x z_z; \quad (35)$$

where ( )  $h_{\rm 0}$  is a random magnetic eld in the Z -direction, with the correlator

h () (
$$^{0}$$
)i =  $^{2}_{0}$  [(! = ) j  $^{0}$ j]; (36)

being the theta-function.

The time dependence of the probability of nding the system in the state j Si is shown in Fig. (6) for  $\sim = 1=3; S = 10$ , and = 0.01. In accordance with the expectation, the probability for the system to occupy the state with m = S (or m = S), after going through a few oscillations, tends to the asymptotic value of 0.5. For m olecular m agnets, only the average of the probability over an ensemble of two-state dissipative systems is of practical im portance. The probability shown in Fig. (6) is such an average.

#### B. High-frequency noise, !=

1

In this lim it the coherence is completely suppressed, by, e.g., interaction with phonons, and the evolution of the population of energy levels must be described by the density matrix. In this case the population, N $_{\rm S}$ , of the initially occupied state j Si is given by [13, 25]

$$\frac{dN_{S}}{dt} = \frac{(t)^{2}}{2} \frac{\sim}{W_{C}(t)^{2} + (\sim)^{2}} (N_{S} N_{S}) : (37)$$

The solution is

N<sub>S</sub> = 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 1 + exp  $\frac{1}{2}$  ; (38)

where g(z;b; ) is given by

$$g(z;b;) =$$
 (39)  
(2n + 2)f<sub>1</sub>( $\frac{-}{2}$ ) f<sub>1</sub>  $\frac{-}{2}$ ; if  $z = (2n + 1)\frac{-}{2}$  +

$$g(z;b;) = 2ni_1(\frac{1}{2}) + i_1(); \text{ if } z = n + ; (40)$$

where n = 0; 1; 2:.., 0 < < =2,

$$f_{1}(z) = F_{1} \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} = b; 1; \frac{3}{2}; \sin^{2}(z); \frac{\sin^{2}(z)}{2} \frac{\sin(z)}{2};$$
(41)

and  $F_1(a;b_1;b_2;c;x;y)$  is the Appell hypergeometric function of two variables.

The time-dependence of the occupation of the state j Siisshown in Fig.7 for  $\sim = 1=3$ ; S = 10;  $\sim =h_0 = 0.01$ . As in the case of a low-frequency noise, the probability to occupy either of the two levels tends to 0.5 after a few revolutions. The di erence between the two regimes is that in the case of the high-frequency noise the probability monotonically approaches the asymptotic value without exhibiting any oscillation.



FIG.7: Time dependence of the probability of nding a particle in the state j Si in the presence of a high-frequency noise for S = 10, ~ = 1=3 and  $\frac{1}{h_0}$  = 0.01.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

W e have studied the equivalent of the LZS e ect for a spin system in a rotating magnetic eld. Typical time dependence of the probability of staying at the initial state has been computed for three di erent situations. The rst is the situation when the noise is irrelevant on the time scale of the measurement, Fig. 5. In this case the system exhibits coherent behavior and long-term memory e ects. The second situation corresponds to the noise that decohere quantum states within the time of each revolution but is slow enough to provide pure quantum dynam ics during the level crossing, Fig. 6. The third situation corresponds to a very fast noise that does not allow the use of wave functions for the description of the crossing and requires the density-m atrix form alism, Fig. 7. W hen the noise becom es im portant, the occupation probability of each level approaches 1=2 after several revolutions. However, the asymptotic behavior depends on the frequency of the noise. The three regim es discussed above are given by equations (32), (33), (34). O nem ust be able to switch between di erent regim es by changing the angular velocity of the rotating eld and/or tem perature. Experiments of that kind can shed light on the e ect of dissipative environm ent on the resonant spin tunneling in m olecularm agnets. To be on a cautious side, one should notice that the evolution of the magnetization in a crystal of m agnetic m olecules also depends on the dipolar interactions between the molecules [26, 27, 28]. Our results are likely to be relevant to molecular magnets when the am plitude of the rotating eld signi cantly exceeds dipolar elds. A candidate for such a study would be, e.g., an uniaxial N i-4 m olecular m agnet that has no nuclear spins and that, with good accuracy, is described by the Ham iltonian studied in this paper.

This work has been supported by the NSF G rant No. E IA -0310517.

- [L] R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi and M. A. Novak, Nature (London) 365, 141 (1993).
- [2] J.R.Friedman, M.P.Sarachik, J.Tejada and R.Ziolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3830 (1996).
- [3] L.D. Landau, Phys. Z. Sow jetunion 2, 46 (1932).
- [4] C.Zener, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 137, 696 (1932).
- [5] E.C.G.Stueckelberg, Helv.Phys.Acta 5, 369 (1932).
- [6] V. V. D obrovitskiand A. D. Zvezdin, Europhys. Lett. 38, 377 (1997).
- [7] L.Gunther, Europhys. Lett. 39, 1 (1997).
- [B] V L. Pokrovsky and N. A. Sinitsyn, Phys. Rev. B 67, 144303 (2003).
- [9] V L. Pokrovsky and N. A. Sinitsyn, Phys. Rev. B 69, 104414 (2004).
- [10] V L. Pokrovsky and S. Scheidl, Phys. Rev. B 70, 014416 (2004).
- [11] M .N.Leuenberger and D.Loss, Phys.Rev.B 61, 12200
  (2000)
- [12] N.A. Sinitsyn and V.V. Dobrovitski, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174449 (2004).
- [13] E M . Chudnovsky and D . A . G aranin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 187203 (2001).
- [14] See, e.g., Y. Kayanuma, Phys. Rev. B 47, 9940 (1993), and references therein.
- [15] Y.K ayanum a and H.N akayam a, Phys. Rev. B 57, 13099 (1998).
- [16] D.A.Garanin, Phys. Rev. B 68, 014414 (2003).

- [17] N. A. Sinitsyn and N. Prokofev, Phys. Rev. B 67, 134403 (2003).
- [18] W .W emsdorfer and R. Sessoli, Science 284, 133 (1999).
- [19] W. W emsdorfer, S. Bhaduri, C. Boskovic, G. Christou and D. H. Hendrickson, Phys. Rev. B 65, 180403 (2002).
- [20] K. M. Mertes, Y. Suzuki, M. P. Sarachik, Y. Paltiel, H. Shtrikman, E. Zeldov, E. Rumberger, D N. Hendrickson and G. Christou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 227205 (2001).
- [21] E. del Barco, A. D. Kent, E. M. Rum berger, D. N. Hendrikson and G. Christou, Europhys. Lett. 60, 768 (2002);
  E. del Barco, A. D. Kent, S. Hill, J. M. North, N. S. Dalal,
  E. M. Rum berger, D. N. Hendrikson, N. Chakov and G. Christou, arX iv cond-m at/0404390 (2004).
- [22] J.L. van H em m en and A. Suto, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 9 3089 (1997).
- [23] D.A.Garanin, J.Phys.A 24, L61 (1991).
- [24] D.A.Garanin and R.Schilling, Phys. Rev. B 66, 174438 (2002).
- [25] D A. Garanin and E M. Chudnovsky, Phys. Rev. B 56, 11102 (1997).
- [26] N.V. Prokof'ev and P.C.E. Stamp, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5794 (1998).
- [27] J.F.Fernandez and J.J.A lonso, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 047202 (2003).
- [28] D. A. Garanin and R. Schilling, ArX is condmat/0501089 (2005).