

Importance of individual scattering matrix elements at Fano resonances

P. Singha Deo

S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Kolkata 98, India.

(Dated: January 9, 2022)

Single particle resonances in quantum wires are generally Fano resonances. In case of Fano resonances, the scattering phase shift in some channels show sharp phase drops and that in the other channels do not. Phase shift in a particular channel can be measured and can yield information about the integrated charge localized around the scatterer. This paper tries to analyze if some channels are more informative than the others, so that an experimentalist can measure the phase shift in only those channels.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Miniaturization of devices is an ever pressing demand. It is also obvious that to sustain miniaturization, we have to use systems that are so small that the laws of physics is determined by Quantum Mechanics. So understanding the properties of so called mesoscopic systems is also very demanding [1]. Circuits of future devices will be made up of quantum wires. Junctions in such circuits also has to be understood from Quantum mechanics. Such a simple junction is what is referred to as a three prong potential [2] and it is schematically shown in Fig. 1. There are several papers that explore the importance of such a junction in a mesoscopic system [3, 4].

While bound states are important to understand the thermodynamic properties of a sample [5], the scattering states are important to understand the transport properties [6]. However, it is not always necessary to solve the bound states and the scattering states separately. Both these states are solutions of the same Hamiltonian but with different boundary conditions. It is possible to solve only the scattering states and thereby also understand the bound states as an analytical continuation of the scattering states. At the heart of this principle is the Friedel sum rule [7, 8] that states that for non-polarizable leads [7]

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} [\rho(E) - \rho_0(E)] \quad (1)$$

The correction terms are small in WKB regime [7]. Here

$$f = \frac{d}{dE} \frac{1}{2i} \log \det S; \quad (2)$$

E is the incident electron energy, S is the scattering matrix, $\rho(E)$ is the density of states (DOS) at energy E in presence of scattering, $\rho_0(E)$ is DOS in absence of scattering. f is referred to as the Friedel phase. The RHS of Eq. 1 is therefore related to the integrated charge localized around the scatterer and this can be determined from the S matrix.

A number of experiments [9, 10, 11] show that the phase of a particular scattering matrix element can be

measured. Yeyati and Buttiker [12] first proposed that such phases can be understood from the Friedel sum rule (FSR). It was later found that transmission zeroes play a special role [3, 13, 14] and change our understanding of the FSR.

II. THE PROBLEM

Normally, in mesoscopic systems, S is a large but finite matrix, and often all the matrix elements are not completely independent. So, it may not be necessary to evaluate all the elements of S , in order to calculate f . Moreover, in mesoscopic systems, it is possible to measure a particular matrix element of S . One can measure the phase as well as the amplitude of the matrix element [9]. For a large dimensional S matrix, it may not be possible to measure all the elements of S . So, it is important to know what kind of physical information can be obtained from the phase of a particular matrix element and which are the important matrix elements. For example, in case of a one dimension (1D) system, the scattering matrix is 2×2 , and [15]

$$\frac{d}{dE} \arg(T) = \frac{d_f}{dE} \quad (3)$$

where T is the transmission amplitude and $\arg(T) = \text{Arctan} \frac{\text{Im}[T]}{\text{Re}[T]}$. Situation is complicated in quasi-1D where a single transverse mode is populated and the scattering matrix is 2×2 . This is because of the presence of discontinuous changes in scattering phase shifts at Fano resonances [3]. For such systems it was shown [8]

$$\frac{d}{dE} \arg(T) = \frac{d_f}{dE} (E - E_0) \quad (4)$$

Here, E_0 is the Fermi energy at which $T = 0$. $T = 0$ occur because of Fano resonance and is a general feature of quasi-1D [14]. Situation is further complicated in multichannel quasi-1D where the dimensionality of S matrix is more than 2×2 . In case of a multichannel Fano resonance, there are sharp continuous phase drops at the minima of certain scattering probabilities, while not so for others [7]. For example, in case of the function potential in a multichannel quantum wire, there are sharp

drops in $\arg(T_{m,n})$ versus incident energy, when $\arg(T_{m,n})$ is minimum. But, this does not happen for $\arg(T_{m,n})$ or $\arg(R_{m,n})$ or $\arg(R_{m,m})$. There it was shown [7]

$$\frac{d}{dE}\arg(R_{m,n}) = \frac{d}{dE}\arg(R_{m,m}) = \frac{d}{dE}\arg(T_{m,n}) = \frac{d\phi}{dE} \quad (5)$$

where $m \neq n$, $R_{m,n}$ is reflection amplitude from m th transverse channel to n th transverse channel, $R_{m,m}$ is reflection amplitude from m th transverse channel to m th transverse channel and $T_{m,n}$ is transmission amplitude from m th transverse channel to n th transverse channel. Eq. 5 also applies to the potentials studied in Ref. [8] but eq. 4 does not apply to the multi channel wire with a δ function potential.

Which means charge transfer is determined by the energy derivatives of those $\arg(S)$ that do not show a drop. Their derivatives are identical to the derivatives of the Friedel phase ϕ . However, delta function potential, as well as the potentials studied in Ref. [8] are all point scatterers and it is not known if this feature is general. This motivates us to study an extended potential that can exhibit multi channel Fano resonance.

So in this paper we study the system shown in Fig. 1, as it is an extended potential. We shall show that it can exhibit multi channel Fano resonance. It is a simple model, that allows analytical understanding and allows us to check if the S matrix elements whose phase do not show a negative slope carry the information about the DOS. Besides, the potential considered here has certain subtleties. A different kind of subtlety exists [7] for a potential in quasi-1D, namely there is an exponentially decaying local density of states (LDOS) in the leads due to the evanescent modes. One has to be careful about interpreting what is a non-polarizable lead that normally means that the integrated LDOS in the leads are not be considered in (1). But in quasi 1D it is not possible to separate the LDOS in the leads and the LDOS in the sample. The exponentially decaying LDOS in the leads are to be included in (1) but the non-decaying LDOS in the leads should not be included in (1) [7]. As a result, the correction terms to Eq. (1) are negligible in WKB regime is no longer a correct statement [7]. In the present case, the subtlety arises with the interpretation of ρ_0 as will be explained in section IV.

III. THE SCATTERING SOLUTION

Consider the geometry, schematically shown in Fig. 1. The thin lines are semi-infinite 1D quantum wires (that successfully models a quasi 1D quantum wire with a single transverse mode populated) with quantum mechanical potential $V = 0$, while the thick lines are quantum wires with a potential $V \neq 0$. An incident electron is shown by the arrow head and the quantum mechanical wave functions in the different regions I, II, III, IV, V

and VI are written below.

$$\psi_I = e^{ik(x-l_1)} + R_{11}e^{-ik(x-l_1)} \quad (6)$$

$$\psi_{II} = Ae^{iqx} + Be^{-iqx} \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_{III} = Ce^{iqy} + De^{-iqy} \quad (8)$$

$$\psi_{IV} = Fe^{iqz} + Ge^{-iqz} \quad (9)$$

$$\psi_V = T_{13}e^{ik(z-l_3)} \quad (10)$$

$$\psi_{VI} = T_{12}e^{ik(y-l_2)} \quad (11)$$

l_1, l_2 and l_3 are defined in Fig. 1. These wave functions have to be continuous and current has to be conserved at the junctions. From this we get a set of 9 linear equations from which we can solve the 9 unknown coefficients that are $A; B; C; D; F; G; R_{11}; T_{12}$ and T_{13} . Similarly, we have to solve the scattering problem when the incident electron is from the top, in order to obtain $R_{22}; T_{21}$ etc. Thus we can determine the scattering matrix. It is a three channel problem and the scattering matrix is 3×3 as shown below.

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ R_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} \\ T_{21} & R_{22} & T_{23} \\ T_{31} & T_{32} & R_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

It is known that [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E \rangle &= \frac{1}{-i} \text{Im Tr} [G^{\text{ret}}(r; r^0; E)] = \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \int_{l_1}^{l_2} \langle E | E_{k,l} \rangle \int_{l_1}^{l_2} dr j_{k,l}(r) j_l^{\dagger} = \langle W \rangle(E) + \\ &+ \frac{2}{\hbar v} \int_{l_1}^{l_2} dx + \frac{2}{\hbar v} \int_{l_2}^{l_3} dy + \frac{2}{\hbar v} \int_{l_3}^{l_4} dz + \\ &+ \frac{2\Re j}{\hbar v} \int_{l_1}^{l_2} \cos(2kx + l_1) dx + \frac{2\Re j}{\hbar v} \int_{l_2}^{l_3} \cos(2ky + l_2) dy \\ &+ \frac{2\Re j}{\hbar v} \int_{l_3}^{l_4} \cos(2kz + l_3) dz \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here $G^{\text{ret}}(r; r^0; E)$ is the retarded Green's function and $\langle W \rangle(E)$ is the integrated local DOS in the thick region

of Fig. 1. Allowed modes in the system are denoted by momentum index $k = \frac{2\pi}{L} (E - V)$ and l can take three values (1, 2 and 3), corresponding to incident electron from three possible directions. Here m is electronic mass and v is $\frac{\hbar k}{m}$. $\Gamma_1 = \arg(R_{11})$ and so on. Here

$$\Psi^{(W)}(E) = \Psi_1^{(W)}(E) + \Psi_2^{(W)}(E) + \Psi_3^{(W)}(E) = \sum_{l=1}^3 \Psi_l^{(W)}(E) \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^{(W)}(E) &= \frac{1}{\hbar v} \left[\int_{-l_1}^0 A e^{iqx} + B e^{-iqx} dx + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^{l_2} C e^{iqy} + D e^{-iqy} dy + \int_0^{l_3} F e^{iqz} + G e^{-iqz} dz \right] \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

That is $\Psi_1^{(W)}(E)$ is calculated with the incident electron from the left. $\Psi_2^{(W)}(E)$ is calculated with the incident electron from the top. And $\Psi_3^{(W)}(E)$ is calculated with the incident electron from the right. Substituting eq. 13 in eq. 1 and considering the mesoscopic leads to be unpolarizable (which means the last 3 terms on the RHS of eq. 13 are to be ignored. Actually even if one does not want to invoke the non-polarizability of the leads, one can evaluate those indefinite integrals and see that these terms are negligibly small in the regime of interest, which is the WKB regime. This is the regime where transport occurs) we get

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} [\Psi^{(W)}(E) - \Psi_0^{(W)}(E)] \quad (16)$$

IV. ELIMINATION OF $\Psi_0^{(W)}(E)$

In case of the three prong potential, it is not possible to realize the state of "absence of scattering". Even if we make the potential V in Fig. 1, equal to 0, we get $T_{12} = T_{13} = 2/3$ and $R_{11} = 1/3$ for $l_1 = l_2 = l_3$. Even for unequal l_1, l_2 and l_3 , there is always some reflection and scattering, in absence of the potential. This is often encountered in case of scattering by topological defects where there is no continuous way of going to the defect free regime. So first we have to eliminate $\Psi_0^{(W)}(E)$, i.e., the DOS in absence of scattering. This is dealt with in this section.

One can say that when $V = 0$, then

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} [\Psi^{(W)}(E) - \Psi_0^{(W)}(E)] \quad (17)$$

Here, $\Psi_0^{(W)}$ stands for the thick region in Fig. 1 with V set to 0. $\Psi_0^{(W)}$ is calculated from the S matrix when $V = 0$. $\Psi_0^{(W)}(E)$ is the DOS of the unknown system, that is the

defect free version of the scattering system being studied. $V = 0$ situation yields a S matrix given below.

$$S^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (1=3)e^{2ikl_1} & (2=3)e^{2ikl_2} & (2=3)e^{2ikl_3} \\ (2=3)e^{2ikl_1} & (1=3)e^{2ikl_2} & (2=3)e^{2ikl_3} & A \\ (2=3)e^{2ikl_1} & (2=3)e^{2ikl_2} & (1=3)e^{2ikl_3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (18)$$

From this it can be proved that

$$\frac{d_f^0}{dE} = \frac{d}{dE} \left(\frac{1}{2i} \log \det[S^0] \right) = \frac{2l_1}{\hbar v} + \frac{2l_2}{\hbar v} + \frac{2l_3}{\hbar v} \Psi^{(W^0)}(E) \quad (19)$$

Similarly, when $V \neq 0$,

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} [\Psi^{(W)}(E) - \Psi_0^{(W)}(E)] \quad (20)$$

From 17 and 20, we find that

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} - \frac{d_f^0}{dE} [\Psi^{(W)}(E) - \Psi_0^{(W)}(E)] \quad (21)$$

Thus using 19 we get

$$\frac{d_f}{dE} \Psi^{(W)}(E) \quad (22)$$

Or

$$\frac{d_f}{dk} \sim v \Psi^{(W)}(k) \quad (23)$$

With the choice of wavefunctions in (6) - (11), this is also what we get for potential scattering. This is because the S matrix elements of the three prong potential for $V \neq 0$, does not have any non-trivial energy dependence (energy dependence is same as that of a free particle). But this method of elimination can be applied to complicated junctions where we will get situations not encountered with potential scattering.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We first show that the three prong potential can exhibit multichannel Fano resonance. In Fig. 2, we show that $\arg(T_{13})$ drops sharply when $|T_{13}|^2$ minimizes. In Fig. 3, we show that $\arg(R_{11})$ drops sharply when $|R_{11}|^2$ minimizes. These are typical signatures of Fano resonances [7]. These phase drops can be sharper than any scale present in the system as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. These phase shifts can be detected experimentally and it is important to investigate the physical informations that can be obtained from such phase shifts. In the following we will show that $\arg(T_{12})$ does not show any drop and also calculate the DOS explicitly to check if DOS or Friedel phase is related to $\arg(T_{12})$. Our previous experience, as discussed in section II, suggests that this could be the case.

In Fig. 4, we plot $\frac{d}{d(kL)} \arg(T_{12})$ as a function of kL . It shows sharp peaks and is positive everywhere, implying

that unlike in $\arg(T_{13})$ or $\arg(R_{11})$, there are no drops or negative slopes in $\arg(T_{12})$. Also $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{12})$ is very close to $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{13})$ and $\sim v^{(W)}(kl)$. Here l is taken to be the unit of length. However, unlike the case of the function potential in Q1D, $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{12})$ is not identical to $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{13})$. They are indeed very close to each other and identical for practical purposes when the Fano resonances are long lived. However, for Fano resonances with short life times, there are quantitative differences, although there is qualitative similarity, as shown in Fig. 5.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Fano resonances occur very frequently in mesoscopic systems. Not much is known about scattering phase shifts for Fano resonances. We show that the three prong potential is a simple model that gives multichannel Fano resonance. Unlike, previously studied potentials that show Fano resonances, this is an extended potential. In case of Fano resonances, scattering phase shifts in only some particular channels show sharp phase drops while others do not. The channels that do not show the

phase drops seem to be the more informative channels and hence very special channels. It seems that DOS is related to the scattering phase shifts of these special channels. As, this is exact for point scatterers, we were tempted to check it for an extended scatterer that exhibit Fano resonance. The three prong potential studied shows that this is true when the Fano resonances are long lived. In all the experiments so far [9, 10, 11] one has encountered long lived Fano resonances where the phase drops are very sharp. As the life time of the resonances decrease, the energy derivative of the scattering phase shifts in these special channels and integrated charge inside the scatterer start deviating from each other. However, they are qualitatively similar. The phase shift in the channels that exhibit the phase drops do not give any information about the DOS, qualitatively or quantitatively, for long lived resonances or short lived resonances. So far, experiments [9, 10, 11] and theories [8, 13] mostly focused on the phase shifts of these non-informative channels. We hope that this will give some clues to future works to find a mathematical proof of this fact. Such a proof should be consistent with the features observed in the three prong potential and in the function potential in a quantum wire.

-
- [1] S. Datta, *Electronic transport in mesoscopic systems*, Cambridge university press, 1995.
 - [2] A. Gangopadhyay, A. Pagnamenta, and U. Sukhatme, *Journ. of Phys. A* 28, 5331 (1995); M. Buttiker, Y. Imry and M. Ya Azbel, *Phys. Rev. A* 30, 1982 (1984).
 - [3] P. Singha Deo, *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 15447 (1996).
 - [4] M. Pascaud and G. Montambaux, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82, 4512 (1999); E. V. Anda, C. Busser, G. Chiappe, and M. A. Davidovich, *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 035307 (2002); M. Buttiker and C. A. Staord, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 76, 495 (1996). S. Y. Cho, K. Kang, C. K. Kim, and C. M. Ryu, *Phys. Rev. B* 64, 033314 (2001).
 - [5] E. Akkermans, A. Auerbach, J. E. Avron, and B. Shapiro *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 66, 76 (1991)
 - [6] M. P. Das and F. Green, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 15, L687 (2003).
 - [7] Swamali Bandopadhyay and P. Singha Deo, *Phys. Rev. B* 68 113301 (2003); P. Singha Deo, Swamali Bandopadhyay and Sourin Das, *International Journ. of Mod. Phys. B*, 16, 2247 (2002)
 - [8] T. Taniguchi and M. Buttiker, *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 13814 (1999).
 - [9] R. Schuster et al, *Nature* 385, 417 (1997).
 - [10] K. Kobayashi, H. Aikawa, S. Katsumoto and Y. Iye, *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 235304 (2003)
 - [11] K. Kobayashi, H. Aikawa, A. Sano, S. Katsumoto and Y. Iye, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 035319 (2004)
 - [12] A. L. Yeyati and M. Buttiker, *Phys. Rev. B* 52, R14360 (1995)
 - [13] A. L. Yeyati and M. Buttiker, *Phys. Rev. B* 62, 7307 (2000)
 - [14] P. Singha Deo, *Solid State Commun.* 107, 69 (1998)
 - [15] W. A. Harrison, in *Solid State Theory* (Dover, NY, 1979).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the system studied. Three semi-infinite quantum wires meet at a point B. In the thin regions the quantum mechanical potential V is zero but in the thick regions it is not zero. The arrow shows the direction of propagation of an incident electron.

Fig. 2. $\arg(T_{13})$ [solid curve] decreases sharply but continuously, when $|T_{13}|^2$ [dotted curve] minimizes. This is a signature of multichannel Fano resonance. l is the unit of length.

Fig. 3. $\arg(R_{11})$ [dotted curve] decreases sharply but continuously, when $|R_{11}|^2$ [solid curve] minimizes. This is a signature of multichannel Fano resonance. l is the unit of length.

Fig. 4. $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{12})$ [solid curve] is positive everywhere, implying that there are no negative slopes in $\arg(T_{12})$. $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{12})$ [solid curve] and $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{13})$ [dotted curve] are very close to each other, implying that $\arg(T_{12})$ should carry all the information about DOS. Explicit calculations of $\sim v^{(W)}(kl)$ [dashed] curve confirms this. l is the unit of length.

Fig. 5. Here we plot the same things as in Fig. 4. Difference is that the resonances are short lived as compared to that in Fig. 4. The peaks in $\sim v^{(W)}(kl)$ are broader shallow as compared to that in Fig. 4. $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{12})$ is qualitatively similar to $\frac{d}{d(kl)}\arg(T_{13})$ or $\sim v^{(W)}(kl)$. There are quantitative differences.

This figure "fig1.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0504050v1>

This figure "fig2.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0504050v1>

This figure "fig3.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0504050v1>

This figure "fig4.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0504050v1>

This figure "fig5.JPG" is available in "JPG" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0504050v1>