D i raction of a Bose-E instein condensate from a M agnetic Lattice on a M icro Chip

A.Gunther, S.Kraft, M.Kemmler, D.Koelle, R.Kleiner, C.Zimmermann, and J.Fortagh

Physikalisches Institut der Universitat Tubingen,

Auf der Morgenstelle 14, 72076 Tubingen, Germany

(Dated: December 17, 2021)

We experimentally study the diraction of a Bose-E instein condensate from a magnetic lattice, realized by a set of 372 parallel gold conductors which are micro fabricated on a silicon substrate. The conductors generate a periodic potential for the atom s with a lattice constant of 4 m. A fter exposing the condensate to the lattice for several milliseconds we observe diraction up to 5th order by standard time of ight imaging techniques. The experimental data can be quantitatively interpreted with a simple phase imprinting model. The demonstrated diraction grating o ers promising perspectives for the construction of an integrated atom interferom eter.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Lm , 03.75 D g, 39.20.+ q

W ith magnetic elds of miniaturized current conductors, ensembles of ultra cold atom s can be trapped and manipulated on a spatial scale of microm eters and below [1]. Typically, the conductors are micro fabricated on a chip and form wave guide type potentials in which the atom s are trapped close to the surface of the substrate. Since also Bose-E instein condensates can been loaded into such micro traps [2, 3], the possibility of matter wave interference is intensely discussed, with the future perspective of integrated atom optics for sensitive interferom etric detection of forces with a high spatial resolution.

Up to now, in purely magnetic micro traps no experin ents have been carried out which are sensitive to the phase coherence of the condensate wave function. As a step in this direction standard optical lattice potentials have been combined with miniaturized magnetic traps [4], however, in magnetic micro chips alone no interference e ects have yet been observed. This is because the design and construction of a suitable magnetic structure on a chip is not obvious. Pronounced di raction from a periodic potential, for instance, is expected only for sm all lattice constants on the scale of m icro m eters and below . This requires to bring the condensate very close to the current conductors that generate the potential. At close distances to a m etallic surface strong losses of atom s have been observed due to therm alm agnetic eld uctuations [5, 6, 7]. A lso geom etric in perfections of the current conductors introduce perturbations of the trapping potential which are strongest in the direct vicinity of the conductor [8].

In this work we present an experiment that avoids these di culties and allows for the rst observation of atom ic matter wave interference in a magnetic micro trap. With a magnetic lattice we imprint a periodic phase pattern onto the macroscopic wave function of the condensate and subsequently observe its temporal evolution. D i raction peaks up to 5^{th} order can be observed. Sim – ilar phase imprinting methods are used in experiments for generating vortices and solitons in B ose E instein con-



FIG.1: Sketch of the experimental situation. A) Current scheme of the magnetic lattice. The currents in neighbouring conductors are equal and oppositely poled. B) The condensate approaches the lattice during a vertical oscillation (y-direction) in an elongated harm onic trap. A fter phase im printing the condensate is released from the trapping potential.

densates [9, 10]. In the context of atom optics phase im printing is comparable to atom di raction from matter gratings in the R am an N ath regim e [11], with the additional aspect that, for a condensate, the atom ic interaction energy may play a role. W hile therm al atom s have previously been scattered from m agneticm icro structures [12] we here present di raction of coherent atom ic matter w aves.

The experimental situation is sketched in Figure 1. A Bose-Einstein condensate consisting of 12 $10^{5 87}$ Rb atom s in the F=2, m_F=2 hyper ne state is trapped in an elongated magnetic trap close to the surface of a chip that carries a magnetic lattice [13]. It consists of a set of 372 parallel conductors perpendicular to the long axes of the trap, each 1 m wide and separated by 1 m gaps [14]. The current of I = 02m A in each conductor is oppositely oriented for neighboring wires. This results in a periodic potential for the atom s with a sinusoidalm odulation along the axial direction (long axis of the trap) and an exponential decay in the vertical direction (perpendicular to the chip surface) [15, 16]. The condensate approaches the lattice during a controlled vertical oscillation inside the trap (period T = 13.2 m s) and interacts with the lattice only for a short time at the turning point of the oscillation. During this time the lattice potential imprints a phase onto the macroscopic condensate wave function. A fler being rejected from the lattice, the condensate is released for ballistic expansion by turning of all magnetic elds. The axial gradient of the imprinted phase results in an axial velocity distribution that can be monitored by absorption imaging after some time of

ight. The strength of phase in printing is controlled by varying the amplitude of the vertical oscillation. By using this kind of pulsed interaction, surface induced losses and decoherence e ects [5, 6, 7] are minimized because of short interaction time.

The lattice constant a = 4 m is one order of magnitude larger than in typical experiments with optical lattices. Thus, the energy due to the repulsive atom ic interaction signi cantly exceeds the e ective "recoil energy" of the lattice E_r = $\frac{1}{2}$ m v₁² with m being the mass of the atom s and v₁ = 2 ~=m a = 1:14m m =s the reciprocal lattice velocity. Thus, the atom ic interaction can not be neglected, a priori. The experimental observation, how ever, show s that the interaction com es into play primarily during the ballistic expansion, after phase in printing.

The experim ental setup is similar as in our previous experiments [17]. Typically, 3 10⁸ Rubidium atoms from a magnetooptical trap are transferred into a standard m agnetic trap and precooled by forced evaporation to a tem perature of 5 K. Next, the atom s are adiabatically shifted into a micro trap transport system that allows to generate a harm onic trapping potential at an arbitrary position within a volume of $1.5 \times 0.3 \times 20$ mm³ (x, y, z direction) [13]. The transport system consists of an assem bly of 100 m wide gold conductors electroplated on both sides of a 250 m thick substrate (\carrier chip"). Since the atom s are held at a large distance from the surface of this \carrier chip", fragm entation e ects [18] can be avoided. The magnetic trap used for the present experiment is characterized by the axial and the radial oscillations frequencies of 2 16H z and 2 76H z, respectively. The micro chip with the lattice conductors (Fig. 1) is mounted onto the surface of the carrier chip. The magnetic eld of the lattice adds to the eld of the wave guide trapping potential resulting in a total potential as shown in Figure 2. It features a parabolic wave quide potential oriented along the z-direction and a periodic structure with a lattice constant of 4 m in the vicinity of the conductors. The periodic structure reveals a steep potential increase near every second conductor. In between, the potential is low ered by the eld of the nearby conductors, leading to saddle points and lim iting the trap depth. A tom s that pass the saddle points fall into steep quadrupole traps which emerge close to the conductor. Such atom s are partially lost due to M a prana spin ips or surface induced losses.



FIG. 2: Shape of the lattice potential (to scale). C lose to the surface (sm all values of y) the harm onic trapping potential is distorted by the periodic magnetic eld of the lattice. The lower gure shows vertical cuts of the potential. Initially the condensate is generated in a harm onic trap at a distance of 30 m from the surface (a). Then the minimum is suddenly shifted closer to the surface by a controlled displacement d. In curve b) d am ounts to a value of 15 m. The dashed line indicates the potential energy of the condensate after the displacement.

Initially a condensate with $1.2 \quad 10^5$ atom s is generated inside a trap, which is placed at a distance of 30 m from the lattice conductors. The trap minimum is then suddenly shifted towards the lattice by a variable displacement d (Fig. 2) [19]. After shifting the potential towards the surface, the condensate starts a vertical oscillation. It is partially re ected from the lattice potential and swings back to the starting position. 12m s after the initial displacement, all magnetic elds are suddenly switched o and the condensate is released for ballistic expansion. The di raction of the condensate is detected after 20m stime of ight by standard absorption im aging, with a spatial resolution of about 5 m. For a quantitative analysis of the di raction process, in ages have been taken for 24 di erent displacem ents d ranging from 0 to 15:2 m. Each image is integrated along the vertical direction resulting in an axial line density pro le. Figure 3 shows typical results for three di erent displacem ents d of 13 m, 14 m and 14:6 m. For displacements up to 11 m more than 90% of the atoms are relected. For larger displacem ents the re ectivity rapidly decreases to

a value of about 10% at d = 15 m. This is consistent with the onset of losses beyond the saddle point.

A complete theoretical description of the diraction process would require a three dim ensional num erical sim ulation of the G ross-P itaevskii-equation including the initial oscillation, the ballistic expansion, and the losses at the lattice. This rather involved program is beyond the scope of the present work. However, it is possible to quantitatively describe the essential features of the density pro les with a simplied analysis (solid lines in Fig. 3). It follows the lines of previous theoretical work on di raction of single atom s form a periodic optical potential generated by an evanescent light wave [11]. Since the condensate is exposed to the lattice potential only for a short time (< 1m s), the lattice primarily changes the local phase of the condensate wave function. This is equivalent to di raction in the lim it of a thin lattice (Ram an Nath regim e). We thus assume that the details of phase im printing can be sum marized by a phase function $(z;d) = S(d) \cos kz$ which contains the potential modulation with a lattice vector k = 2 = a and a dim ensionless phase in print parameter S (d) that describes the strength of phase im printing. The condensate wave function directly after phase in printing then reads:

$$(x;y;z) = \int_{n}^{p} \overline{n(x;y;z)} e^{iS(d)\cos kz}$$

with n (x;y;z) being the density distribution of the con-

00µm

d=13 µm

d=14 µm



ditional gaussian density distribution (dashed line) is used to

take account the fraction of therm al atom s.

densate. The relative number of atom s in the di erent di raction orders is now obtained by expanding the wave function as a sum of momentum eigenfunctions of the axial motion [11]. By exploiting the properties of the Bessel-functions of the rst kind J_n one nds:

$$(x;y;z) = p \frac{p}{n(x;y;z)} (i)^{n} J_{n} (S (d)) e^{inkz}$$

O by iously, (x;y;z) consists of a discrete superposition of m om entum eigenfunctions with wave vectors $k_n = nk$. The probability for an atom to be diracted in the nth order is thus proportional to $i\mathcal{Y}_n$ (S (d))².

As the images were taken after 20m s of ballistic expansion the measured data reveal the momentum distribution of the di racted condensate. Therefore the axial density pro les can be compared to a model function which is composed of 11 inverted parabolas: one for the 0th order and a sym m etric pair for each higher order (up to 5th order). The parabolic shape which is expected for a single condensate after free ballistic expansion [20] is here taken as the model function $_0$ (z) for the shape of each individual di raction peak. The positions of the parabolas are equally spaced by the expected separation of adjacent di raction orders. This separation is given by v_1 with = 20m s being the time of ballistic expansion. Following the above argument the relative amplitude of the di erent di raction orders is proportional to $j J_n$ (S) j'. The model function for the condensate line density can therefore be written as:

$$\sum_{\text{cond}}^{\text{X}^5} (z) = A \qquad 0 (z \text{ nv}_1) jJ_n (S)^2$$

$$n = 5$$

Besides the overall amplitude A and an overall o set in the density, the only free adjustable parameter is the phase imprint parameter S. Taking into account that the condensate is always accompanied by therm alatoms, them odel function is extended by an additional gaussian function $_{\rm th}(z)$ representing the density distribution of the therm alatom s. The width of the gaussian was kept constant for all pro le analysis and estim ated from the d = 0 displacement in ages where no phase imprinting appears. The number of atom s in the therm al part was set to be a constant fraction of the total observed atom number.

The experimental data is analyzed by thing the density proles with the model function. Fig. 3 shows the resulting t (solid line) for three diment displacements. The contributions of the diment dimention orders and the thermal component are plotted as solid and dashed lines, respectively. The overall envelope of the density prole is well described by the model. Additional structure (e.g. the narrow double peak for d = 13 m) m ay arise due to interference of the diment dimention orders, which was not taken into account in the analysis. For each absorption in age the typelds a value for the



FIG. 4: For each displacement d the t of the density proles (Fig. 3) yield the corresponding imprint parameter S. Signi cant phase imprinting starts at a displacement of about 12 m and raises steadily with increasing displacement.

in print parameter S which is shown as a function of the displacement d in Figure 4. D i raction becomes apparent for d > 12 m and the imprint parameter increases steadily with d.

The interaction tim e t_{int} with the lattice can be calculated from the imprint parameter $S = U t_{int} =$, with U being the amplitude of the potential modulation at the lattice. An upper limit for t_{int} can be estimated by taking U = $(U_{m ax} U_{sad})=2$ where $U_{m ax} = 1=2 \text{ m} !^2 d^2$ is the potential energy after the displacement and U_{sad} the potential energy at the saddle point. For them axim um displacement of $d = 152 \text{ m} (U_{m ax} U_{sad})=2 \sim 12 10^4 \text{ s}^1$, such that the observed maximum value of S = 5 is reached within 0.4 m s. This corresponds to about 3% of the total vertical oscillation period of 13.2 m s. The atom s in the rst di raction order cover during this time an axial distance of $v_1 t_{int} = 0.46 \text{ m}$. This is signi cantly sm aller than the lattice constant of 4 m which is consistent with the R am an N ath approximation.

The experiment shows that direction from a magnetic lattice is possible with tolerable losses. This opens up novelperspectives for integrated matter wave interferom – eters. By allowing the condensate to interact twice with the lattice during two full vertical oscillations, a tem poral interferom eter may be realized. It would be sensitive to any phase change acquired by the condensate during the time between the two interactions with the lattice. A force applied along the axial direction could be detected in the change of the interference pattern. This would allow for a sensitive interferom etric force detector integrated on a chip.

In sum m ary we have dem onstrated di raction of B ose-E instein condensates from a periodic potential generated by m iniaturized current conductors on a m icro chip. T his realization of m atter wave interference in a pure m agnetic m icro trap could open the door for future integrated atom ic m ater wave optics on a m icro chip.

W e thank C.Vale for experim ental contributions and

P.Schlagheck for valuable discussions. We acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Landesstiftung Baden W urttemberg and the European Union.

URL:http://www.pit.physik.uni-tuebingen.de/zimmermann/; Electronic address: aguenth@pit.physik.uni-tuebingen.de

- R.Folman, P.Kruger, J.Schmiedmayer, J.Denschlag, and C.Henkel, Adv.At.Mol.Opt.Phys. 48, 263 (2002).
- [2] H.Ott, J.Fortagh, G.Schlotterbeck, A.Grossmann, and C.Zimmermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 230401 (2001).
- [3] W . Hansel, P. Hom melho, T. Hansch, and J. Reichel, Nature 413, 498 (2001).
- [4] Y.W ang, D.Z.Anderson, V.M.Bright, E.A.Cornell, Q.D iot, T.K ishim oto, M.Prentiss, R.A.Saravanan, S.R.Segal, and S.Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 090405 (2005).
- [5] C. Henkel and M. W ilkens, Europhys. Lett. 47, 414 (1999).
- [6] M.P.A.Jones, C.J.Vale, D.Sahagun, B.V.Hall, C.C. Eberlein, B.E.Sauer, K.Furusawa, D.Richardson, and E.A.Hinds, J.Phys. B 37, L15 (2004).
- [7] Y. Lin, I. Teper, C. Chin, and V. Vuletic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 050404 (2004).
- [8] J. Esteve, C. Aussibal, T. Schumm, C. Figl, D. Mailly, I.Bouchoule, C. I.W estbrook, and A. Aspect, Phys. Rev. A 70, 043629 (2004).
- [9] M.R.Matthews, B.P.Anderson, P.C.Haljan, D.S. Hall, C.E.W iem an, and E.A.Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2498 (1999).
- [10] S.Burger, K.Bongs, S.Dettmer, W.Ertmer, and K.Sengstock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5198 (1999).
- [11] C. Henkel, J.-Y. Courtois, and A. Aspect, J. Phys. II France 4, 1955 (1994), and references therein.
- [12] P.Rosenbusch, B.V.Hall, I.G.Hughes, C.V.Saba, and E.A.Hinds, Appl. Phys. B 70, 709 (2000).
- [13] A.Gunther, M.Kemmler, S.Kraft, C.J.Vale, C.Zimmermann, and J.Fortagh, Phys. Rev. A 71, 063619 (2005).
- [14] The current scheme is realized by high quality 300nm thick gold conductors on a 250 m thick silicon substrate. The conductors are fabricated using gold evaporation, electron-beam lithography, and dry-etching. They can carry a maximum current density of 5 $10^6 \text{A}/\text{cm}^2$.
- [15] G.I.Opat, S.J.W ark, and A.Cimmino, Appl Phys.B 54, 396 (1992).
- [16] D. Lau, R. M cLean, A. Sidorov, D. G ough, J. K operski, W. Row lands, B. Sexton, G. O pat, and P. Hannaford, J. Opt. B 1, 371 (1999).
- [17] J. Fortagh, H. Ott, S. Kraft, A. Gunther, and C. Zimmerm ann, Appl. Phys. B 76, 157 (2003).
- [18] S.Kraft, A.Gunther, H.Ott, D.W haram, C.Zimmermann, and J.Fortagh, J.Phys.B 35, L469 (2002).
- [19] The spatial calibration is based on the num erical simulation of the magnetic eld for the well de ned conductor geom etry. It is cross checked by observing the loss of a condensate touching the chip surface.
- [20] Y.Castin and R.Dum, Phys.Rev.Lett.77, 5315 (1996).