

## Spin precession in a fractional quantum Hall state with spin-orbit coupling

Marco Califano<sup>1</sup>, Tapash Chakraborty<sup>2</sup> and Pekka Pietiläinen<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada R3T 2N2 and<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Sciences/Theoretical Physics,

P.O. Box 3000, FIN-90014 University of Oulu, Finland

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Experimental attempts to realize spin-devices based on concepts derived from single-particle theoretical approaches have not been very successful yet. This raises the fundamental question of whether inter-electron interactions can be neglected in planar electron-based spintronics devices. We report on our results of a many-body approach to the spin con guration in a quantum Hall state in the presence of Bychkov-Rashba type spin-orbit interaction. While some properties of this system are found to be ideally suited for exploitation in spin devices, others might seem to limit its applicability. The latter can however be optimized for device performance.

PACS numbers: 73.43.-f, 73.21.-b

Spintronics has become a fast developing eld in which the electron spin degree of freedom is exploited to create novel electronic devices [1]. Of paramount importance in this pursuit is the ability to manipulate spins in a controlled and reliable way. The spin-orbit (SO) interaction provides a bridge between spin and charge properties which, by coupling the electron momentum with its spin, makes it possible to control the spin dynamics using electric elds. After the initial proposal of a spin device based on this concept [2], the scientific literature has been saturated by theoretical studies on the effects of SO coupling in inversion-asymmetric two-dimensional systems. Most of these [3, 4], however, rely on a simplified single-particle picture, where electron-electron interaction is neglected. Although many different devices based upon this paradigm have been proposed theoretically the experimental realization of much of them has remained elusive. This raises a question about the wisdom of ignoring the influence of many-body effects on the spin con guration.

A crucial factor that has also contributed to such a delay in the realization of many theoretical schemes is the short spin lifetime in conventional two-dimensional semiconductors. A 2DEG in the Quantum Hall (QH) state with odd integer ( $\nu = 1$ ) or fractional ( $\nu = 1/3$ ) filling factor, however, is completely spin-polarized [5] and there is no spin scattering in such a system. The mobility is very high and therefore there is also very little scattering from impurities. This removes the problem of spin decoherence found in conventional 2DEG systems due to spin decay mechanisms such as the Dyakonov-Perel relaxation [6]. For the same reason (i.e., the spin polarization), these QH states might well be efficient spin injectors.

The special features of Quantum Hall (QH) systems with integer ( $\nu = 1$ ) filling factors have already found application in different spin devices: the use of edge states in the QH regime has been proposed as spin polarizer for spin read-out in single-spin memory devices [7], to achieve pure-state initialization of the qubit [8], to enhance Coulomb Blockade measurements [9], and more

generally as an efficient spin injector into semiconductors [10]. Furthermore, weak spin relaxation in QH states was exploited recently in the design of spin devices by Pala et al. [11]. All these applications exploit the absence (or weakness) of spin relaxation mechanisms in QH systems and the high spin-polarization of QH states. Despite increasing interest in QH systems for spintronics applications and the important role played in this context by the SO interaction in a 2DEG, no many-body study on the spin con guration in QH states in the presence of SO coupling exists to date.

In this letter we present a theoretical investigation into the effects of Bychkov-Rashba SO coupling in the presence of Coulomb interactions on the spin con guration in a fractional quantum Hall (FQH) state. Our approach is a generalization of the spin precession concepts developed in a recent paper by Koga and co-workers [4] to a fractional quantum Hall (FQH) system [5, 12]. According to that simple single-particle picture of Koga et al., assuming the electron wave vector  $\mathbf{k} \parallel \hat{z}$ , and taking the spin basis along the  $z$  axis perpendicular to the 2DEG plane, the two (Bychkov-Rashba) spin split states with energies  $E = \pm \frac{1}{2} \hbar^2 k^2 = 2m^* \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2}$ , can be written as

$$|k^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & +i \end{pmatrix} e^{ikx} \quad (1)$$

$$|k^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} e^{ikx} \quad (2)$$

One can then build a linear combination of these two states at a given energy (in this case the wave vectors are written as  $\mathbf{k} = (k \mp k_y; 0; 0)$ , respectively, for spin-down and -up states):

$$|k\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|k^+\rangle + |k^-\rangle) \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & +i \end{pmatrix} e^{i(k-k_y)x} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{pmatrix} e^{i(k+k_y)x} \\ = e^{ikx} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(k_y x) & \sin(k_y x) \\ \cos(k_y x) + \sin(k_y x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

whose spin orientation depends on the position along  $x$  and on the strength of the spin-orbit interaction ( $\alpha$ ). Therefore, as  $k_x$  propagates along  $x$  we expect the spin to precess.

We have performed a many-body analog to this simple single-particle analytical treatment of the effects of Bychkov-Rashba coupling in a  $\nu = 1/3$  FQH state, where the many-body Schrödinger equation was solved by means of the exact diagonalization scheme for four electrons per supercell [5]. The many-body wavefunctions were expanded in terms of a complete basis obtained as superposition of solutions of the single-particle Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}g_B B_z \sigma_z \quad (5)$$

that includes the Bychkov-Rashba term [13] and the Zeeman term. Here  $\mathbf{p}$  is the momentum operator,  $\sigma_z$  is the SO coupling strength and  $\sigma = (\sigma_x; \sigma_y; \sigma_z)$  are the Pauli spin matrices. Solutions of this Hamiltonian are also spinors but have a more complex form than Eq. (1) and Eq. (3), due to the presence of the external magnetic field (see [12] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{s;j}(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi l_B}} \exp(i(X_j + nL_x)\frac{Y}{l_B}) \\ &\quad \frac{(X_j + nL_x - x)^2}{2l_B^2} \\ &\quad \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for } s = \uparrow \\ &\quad \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{for } s = \downarrow \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding energies

$$E_s = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_c^2 + 2s\omega_c^2 \quad (6)$$

Here

$$D_s = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_c^2 + 2s\omega_c^2 \quad (7)$$

$A_s = 1 + D_s^2$ , and  $E_0 = 1/2(m\omega_c^2 - g_B B)$ ,  $H_n(x)$  is the Hermite polynomial of degree  $n$ ,  $l_B = (\hbar/m\omega_c)^{1/2}$  is the radius of the cyclotron orbit with frequency  $\omega_c = eB/m$  and center  $X_j = k_y l_B^2$ ,  $L_x L_y / l_B^2$  is the supercell area, and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

We then constructed a state as linear combination of two many-body wavefunctions, eigenstates of Coulomb




FIG. 1: Projection of  $\mathbf{h}_i$  on the  $xy$  plane, calculated for  $\alpha = 40$ . Only a portion of the supercell is shown, as the behavior is periodic in both directions (the motion is along the  $y$  direction).

interaction, relative to two degenerate excited states with different total momentum  $J$  (in analogy to Eq. (3); in our case however the two spins are not pointing in opposite directions due to the spin polarized nature of the FQH state [5, 14]), and calculated the expectation value of the spin components  $S_x = \langle h_x \rangle$ ,  $S_y = \langle h_y \rangle$  and  $S_z = \langle h_z \rangle$  along the three principal directions.

Our results (Fig. 1-3) show a more complex picture than that described by Eq. 4 for the resulting superposition of spin eigenstates, that, however, retains the main feature of a position-dependent spin orientation (i.e., the precession). As shown in Fig. 1 the spin rotation has a period of  $L_y/2$ . Our calculated spin precession length is therefore  $L_{sp} = 4l_B$ , which for  $B = 1$  T is of the order of 100 nm. While  $L_{sp}$  depends only on the applied magnetic field, we find that the value of the different angles  $\theta_i$  ( $i = x, y, z$ ), which the electron spin forms with the principal axes, depends also on the applied electric field through the SO coupling strength.

This property of the  $\nu = 1/3$  FQH state could be exploited in a spin device (e.g. in a spin transistor). The use of such a state in a spin device would, in fact, have two main advantages over that of conventional 2DEG systems: (i) no need for spin injection; (ii) no uncontrolled spin decoherence (scattering) effects. (i) Although efficient spin injection is fundamental for spintronic devices, it has been elusive so far [15]. The  $\nu = 1/3$  FQH state, being naturally spin-polarized would remove the need for spin injection altogether. In fact, in the FQH state complete spin polarization is achieved via electron correlations without any assistance from the Zeeman term [5, 14]. The initial phase of the spin (usually fixed at the interface with the injector) is zero (i.e., the spin is parallel to  $B$ ) at the channel edge. This can be understood from Fig. 1 by noticing that for  $y = L_y/4$  the spin in-plane component is zero and, unlike anywhere else in the supercell, is the same for all values of  $x$ . The position

at  $y=L_y/2$  is due to the periodic boundary conditions used in the calculations. (ii) As is the case for QH states with (odd) integer filling factors, there is no spin scattering through the  $\nu = 1/3$  state, resulting in a long spin lifetime.




FIG. 2: Variation across the supercell of the angles between  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i$  and the three principal axes, for  $\alpha = 40$ .

In InAs structures, together with low spin scattering rates in the FQH state (yet to be observed in conventional InAs 2DEGs), there is the added advantage of a high  $g$ -factor. The only source of spin "decoherence" in such a FQH system is therefore introduced only by the electric-field-driven Bychkov-Rashba field, that leads to a (position dependent) spin precession. It follows that if the (ferromagnetic) drain contact has a spin polarization  $P_D$ , an electron will be able to leave the channel (FQH state) only if its spin at the end of the channel  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i$  is

aligned with  $P_D$ . In our system we found that

$$\hbar\mathbf{S}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ S_x(x;y;j) & \\ S_y(x;y;j) & A \\ S_z(x;y;j) & \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are the coordinates in the 2DEG plane,  $j$  is related to  $k_y$  via  $k_y = 2\pi j/L_y$ ,  $L_y$  is the supercell size along  $y$  and  $A$  is the Bychkov-Rashba coupling strength (the variation of the angles that  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i$  forms with the different axes, as it precesses across the supercell, is shown in Fig. 2). By choosing, for example,  $P_D \parallel \hat{z}$  we found that the angle between  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i$  and  $P_D$  is

$$\theta_z = \arccos(S_z(x;y;j)) = \theta_z(j) \quad (9)$$

This angle is plotted in Fig. 3 for three values of  $\alpha$ .

A tunable device is obtained by varying the value of the Bychkov-Rashba coupling constant  $\alpha$ , via the applied electric field. As shown in Fig. 3, this will induce a variation in  $\theta_z$ : its value will change from 0 degrees for  $\alpha = 0$  (i.e.,  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i \parallel \hat{z}$ ), up to about 6 degrees for  $\alpha = 40$  along the 2DEG edge. This value might seem rather small for device applications, however it can be increased by optimizing the QW configuration. This can be done by taking advantage of the intrinsic anisotropies of the system.




FIG. 3: Angle between  $\hbar\mathbf{S}_i$  and the  $z$  axis as a function of the position along the  $y$  axis, for  $\alpha = 0$  (dotted line), 10 (dashed line), 40 nm m eV (solid line) and  $B = 1$  T.

The presence of the intrinsic bulk inversion asymmetry (BIA), caused by the underlying crystal structure can be used to enhance the effect of the Rashba field and increase the amplitude of the precession angle. The direction of the effective field due to BIA depends on the crystallographic orientation of the QW. In a (110)-oriented QW, the BIA effective field is along the external magnetic field and its direction is antiparallel to  $B$  for  $k_x = 0$  and large positive  $k_y$  (i.e.,  $k_y \parallel [110]$  direction). In this configuration, its effect is therefore to partially counterbalance the Zeeman term (i.e., equivalent to increasing the  $g$  factor to an effective value  $g > g_0$ ), making it easier for the spin to bend under the SO field, and resulting in an increase of the value of  $\theta_z$  as shown in Fig. 3.

Low carrier concentrations and high mobilities have been achieved in 2DEGs in [110]-grown InAs QWs very close to the surface [16]. Using spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy [17] on these systems it should be possible to image the spin configurations shown in Fig. 1–3.

In conclusion we presented a many-body approach to the effects of SO coupling on the spin configuration in the  $\nu = 1/3$  FQH state, including electron-electron in-

teractions. Possible device applications of such a system are suggested together with experimental techniques to image the calculated spin distribution.

The authors thank D. G. Rundler, V. A. Pavlov and P. A. Maksym for their valuable suggestions and comments. The work of T. C. has been supported by the Canada Research Chair Program and the Canadian Foundation for Innovation Grant. The work of M. C. has been supported by NSERC.

---

[z] Electronic mail: tapash@physics.umanitoba.ca

[1] Semiconductor Spintronics and Quantum Computation, edited by D. D. Awschalom, D. Loss, and N. Samarth (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002).

[2] S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 665 (1990).

[3] R. Winkler, Phys. Rev. B 69, 045317 (2004).

[4] T. Koga, J. Nitta, M. van Veenhuizen, Phys. Rev. B 70, 161302R (2004).

[5] T. Chakraborty and P. Pietiläinen, The Quantum Hall Effects (Second Edition, Springer 1995).

[6] M. I. Dyakonov and V. I. Perel, Sov. Phys. JETP 33, 1053 (1971).

[7] P. Recher et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1962 (2000).

[8] L. M. K. Vandersypen et al. quant-ph/0207059 (10 Jul. 2002).

[9] M. Ciorga et al., cond-mat/9912446 (19 Apr. 2000).

[10] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa and S.-C. Zhang, Science 301, 1348 (2003).

[11] M. G. Pala, M. G. Overmire, U. Zülicke and G. Iannaccone, Phys. Rev. B 71, 115306 (2005).

[12] M. Califano, T. Chakraborty and P. Pietiläinen, cond-mat/0411524 (unpublished).

[13] Y. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, J. Phys. C 17, 6039 (1984).

[14] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).

[15] S. A. Wolf et al. Science 294, 1488 (2001).

[16] M. Getzler et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 205305 (2001).

[17] J. W. Liebe, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 75, 4871 (2004).