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#### Abstract

W e test the multiscaling issue of D LA clusters using a m odi ed algorithm. This algorithm elim inates killing the particles at the death circle. Instead, we retum them to the birth circle at a random relative angle taken from the evaluated distribution. In addition, we use a tw o-level hierarchical $m$ em ory $m$ odel that allow s using large steps in conjunction $w$ ith an 0 -lattice realization of the $m$ odel. O ur algorithm still seem $s$ to stay in the fram ew ork of the original D LA m odel. We present an accurate estim ate of the fractaldim ensions based on the data for a hundred clusters w th 50 m illion particles each. We nd that multiscaling cannot be ruled out. W e also nd that the fractal dim ension is a weak self-averaging quantity. In addition, the fractal dim ension, if calculated using the harm onic $m$ easure, is a nonm onotonic function of the chuster radius. $W$ e argue that the controversies in the data interpretation can be due to the weak self-averaging and the in uence of intrinsic noise.


## I. INTRODUCTION

T heD LA m odel [1] 1 plays the sam e role in the physics of structure grow th in tw o-dim ensions 1 [1] as the Ising model plays in the theory of phase transitions. It catches the $m$ ain features of the random grow th and is quite sim ple in de nition. But this m odelhow ever is still not solved analytically $m$ ore than tw enty years after its introduction $\underline{11}_{-1 / 1}^{-1}$. D irect sim ulations of the originalm odel and calculations using the conform alm apping technique [31] are the two $m$ ain $m$ ethods for investigating D LA structures.

It is com m only believed that D LA clusters are random
 dim ension is $D=1: 71$ 0:01. The analytic result [通] predicts $\mathrm{D}=17=10$ in agreem ent w th the num erical es tim ates. T he surface of a D LA cluster dem onstrates the
 supported analytically

Several groups claim D LA clusters have multiscaling

is scaled di erently from the deposition radius Rep and that a whole set of scaling exponents exists $w$ ithin the fram ew ork of multiscaling. R ecently, these claim $s$ were doubted in papers by Som fai, Ball, B ow ler, and Sander [13, 114].

T he o -lattice killing-free algorithm, our im plem entation of the DLA algorithm, allows generating a large num ber of huge clusters and calculating the fractal di$m$ ensions of the quantities $m$ entioned above. O ur nu$m$ erical results do not support the arqum ents presented


The m ultiscaling that was \suspected" in those papers w as attributed in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 3_{1} & 1,14]\end{array}\right]$ to the strong lattioe-size e ects they advocated, w ith the correction-to-scaling exponent $1=3$. W e do not nd any evidence forthat in ourdata. Instead, we prefer to attribute the spreading of the fractal dim ension values as estim ated from the di erent quantities to the weak-self-averaging of the fractal dim ension. Its relative uctuation decays w ith the num ber of particles approxim ately as $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}=\left(\mathrm{hD}{ }^{2} \mathrm{i} h D i^{2}\right)=\mathrm{hD} \mathrm{i}^{2}\right) / 1=\mathrm{N}$ $w$ ith 0:35 0:04 for the large chuster sizes, i.e, $w$ ith
the exponent about three tim es sm aller than would be expected for the usual averaging of the quantity. T hus one can expect large uctuations of the fractaldim ension as estim ated from the di erent quantities, with di erent $m$ ethods, and from di erent cluster sizes.
$T$ he altemative and $m$ ore naive view is to say that the last exponent is also evidence for the multiscaling properties of D LA cluster.

O ur algorithm is o -lattioe w ith m em ory organization sim ilar to one used in the $B$ all and $B$ rady algorithm [15 $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$. The $m$ ain di erences are: (i) we use only two layers in the $m$ em ory hierarchy, which seem $s$ optim al for reducing $m$ em ory usage, keeping the overalle ciency of sim ulations high; (ii) we use bit-m apping for the second layer ofm em ory to reduce the totalm em ory used by the sim ulation program ; (iii) we use large walk steps. T he new feature is the recursive algorithm for the free zone tracking.

T he essential feature of our algorithm is that we m od$i$ ed the rule for the particles that go far from the cluster. W e never kill any particle at the outer circle but retum them to the birth circle $\left[1 \sigma_{1}, 1 \eta_{1}\right]$ w ith an evaluated probability. This procedure elim inates the e ect of the potentialdistortion (and thus of the cluster harm on ic $m$ easure), keeping our algorithm in the sam e universality class.

In this paper we present all details of our algorithm . W e believe that som e details $m$ ay be im portant both for understanding the results and for com paring the results properly. W e brie $y$ discuss the essentials of our im ple$m$ entation of the o -lattioe killing-free algorithm in Section II, accom panied w ith som e technical details on the derivation of the retum-on-birth-circle probability given in A ppendix ${ }^{\prime}$ Al and details on the $m$ em ory $m$ odel in A ppendix BI . Various $m$ ethods for estim ating the fractal di$m$ ension are described in Section and com pared w ith those from other o -lattice estim ations. W e discuss uctuations of the fractal dim ension in Section 'IVI' and the m ultiscaling issue in Section $\mathbf{V}_{1} \mathbf{V}$. T he discussion in Section
II. KILLING FREEOFF-LATTICE ALGORITHM

A cluster grow s according to the follow ing rules: (1) $W$ e start $w$ ith the seed particle at the origin. (2) A new particle is bom at a random point on the circle of radius $R_{b}$. (3) A particle $m$ oves in a random direction; the step length is chosen as big as possible to accelerate sim ulations. (4) If a particle walks out of the circle of radius $R_{d}>R_{b}$, it is retumed to the birth radius $R_{b}$ at the angle ${ }^{\prime}$ taken from distribution ( $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \bar{\theta})$ and relative to the last particle coordinate. (5) If par̈ticle touches a cluster, it sticks. (6) T he cluster $m$ em ory is updated. Steps from (2) through (6) are repeated N tim es.

The di erence from the traditionalD LA algorithm is in Step 4. We rem ind the reader that in the original algorithm, a particle is killed when it crosses $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and the new one starts a walk from a random position on the circle $R_{b}$, i.e, at the position ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}} ;^{\prime}$ ran dom ). C learly, the rule being perfect in the lim it $R_{d}!1 \mathrm{will}$ in uence the grow th stability when $R_{d}$ is nite.

Instead, if particle crosses $R$ do a position w ith $r>$ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$, we use the probability $\left.\left[1 \mathrm{~L}_{1}, 1\right]_{1}\right]$ as determ ined by expression ( $\bar{A}-\overline{-1})$ in A ppendix A w ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}$ to obtain new particle position. T he particle then walks from the position ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}} ;^{\prime 0}+{ }^{\prime}$ ), assum ing ( $\mathrm{r} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ ) is the old particle position. D etails on obtaining expression (A) and on generating random num bers w th given probability are presented in [1] ] and in A ppendix A.

D uring the cluster grow th, the em pty space betw een cluster branches also grow s. A specialorganization of the $m$ em ory is im plem ented to avoid long walks in the em pty space. W e m odify the hierarchical $m$ em ory model [15 $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$, using only a two-layer hierarchy (see A ppendix $\bar{B} \bar{B}_{1}$ for details) and a bit-m apping technique for the second layer to reduce totalm em ory.

## III. FRACTALD IMENSION ESTIMATIONS

In this section we present the results on the estim ation for the D LA cluster fractal dim ension analyzing various cluster lengths: deposition radius $R_{\text {dep }}, m$ ean square radius $R_{2}$, gyration radius $R_{\text {gyr }}$, $e$ ective radius $R_{e}$ and ensemble penetration depth. The dependence of the length $R$ on the num ber of particles $N$ gives estim ation of the fractaldim ension through the relation $R / N^{1=D}$. There are two ways (see Table dence. The rst is to average over the ensem ble of clus-
 sum is over $K$ clusters and $r_{i}(\mathbb{N})$ is the position of the N -th particle in the $i$-th aggregate. T he second is to average over the harm onic $m$ easure, which is the perobability of sticking at the point $r$, for exam $p l e, R_{\text {dep }}=r d q$.

W e use data from $K=100$ clusters, each built up by the algorithm described in Section IIw ith 510 particles. A typical cluster [ 24$]$ is show $n$ in $F$ igure 11.1 . E stim ations of the fractal dim ension from the various cluster lengths


F IG . 1: (C olor online) TypicalD LA cluster w ith 510 particles grown using killing-free o -lattice algorithm . C olor denotes particle age.

|  | De nition 1 | D | De nition 2 | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {dep }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{hri}}{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{hr}{ }^{2} \mathrm{i}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 70942(46) \\ & 1: 71003(45) \end{aligned}$ | $h_{q}^{\text {r }}$ r ${ }_{\text {dqi }}$ | 1:70922 (97) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ |  |  | $h \quad r^{2}$ dqi | 1:7087 (11) |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {gy }}$ | $\mathrm{q} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}=1} h r^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | 1:71008 (96) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Re}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  | $\operatorname{hexp}\left({ }^{\mathrm{R}} \ln r d q\right) i$ | 1:70944 (87) |
|  | $\overline{\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{\text {dep }}{ }^{2}}$ | 1:74 (3) |  | 1:69 (7) |

TABLE I: Estim ates of the fractal dim ension $D$ extracted $w$ ith the $t N / R^{D}$ to the dependence of the various lengths $R$ ( deposition radius $R_{d e p}, m$ ean square radius $R_{2}$, gyration radius $R_{\text {gyr }}$, e ective radius $R_{e}$ and ensemble penetration depth ) on the number of particles N. The third ( fth) colum $n$ is the $t$ to the data calculated $w$ th the de nition given in the second (fourth) colum $n$.
using both ways of averaging are show $n$ in Table in. The errors given in parentheses as corrections to the last digit include both statistical errors and tting errors.

In averaging over the harm onic $m$ easure (the last colum $n$ in Table ${ }^{1}+\mathrm{w}$ ith the preceding de nition colum $n$ ), we rst estim ate $D$ from a single cluster [ $[25]$ and than average over the $K=100$ sam ples.

The estim ations from all lengths agree well w ith each other w ithin the error and w th the $m$ ost accepted value of the fractal dim ension $D=1: 711$ (see, e.g. [1] ${ }^{1}$ ]). T he error in $m$ easuring the penetration depth is $m$ uch higher because of its com plex structure.

It was proposed in $[131,1]$ depend on the num ber of particles w th the correction

|  | De nition 1 |  |  | De nition 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\hat{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{r}$ | $\hat{R}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{r}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {dep }}$ | $1.394(2)$ | $0: 59$ | $0: 28$ | $1.398(2)$ | $0: 006$ | $0: 030$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $1.414(2)$ | $0: 22$ | 0.27 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {gyr }}$ | $0.964(1)$ | $0: 22$ | $0: 01$ | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | $0.239(1)$ | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

TABLE II: C oe cients of correction to scaling ts (expr. $\left.{ }^{1} 1\right)_{1}^{1}$ w ith xed D $=1: 711$ and $=0: 33$. The de nitions of various length $R$ are given in $T$ able II.
term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{N})=\hat{\mathrm{R}}^{1=\mathrm{D}}\left(1+\hat{\mathrm{R}}^{\sim} \mathrm{N} \quad\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that exponent is the sam e ( $=0: 33$ ) for all quantities.

The $t$ of the data to expression ${ }_{1}^{(1)}(1)$ with the xed values ofD $=1: 711$ and $=0: 33$ is presented in $T$ ableifi. Table The di erence in the values off is about factor of two and probably because of the di erent units of the particle size used in simulations. W e $x$ to unity the particle radius and not the particle diam eter. $R$ esult of the $t$ is extrem ely sensitive to the value ofD used. For exam ple, if we $x$ fractal dim ension $D$ to the value 1:710 which one $m$ ay suggest from our $T$ able $i_{2}+$ the values of $\hat{R}$ and $R$ for the $t$ to $R_{g y r}$ changed from those in the third line of our Tablelin to $0: 958(1)$ and $0: 06(1)$. Thus, values of $\hat{R}$ di er by six standard deviations, and values off \{ by sixteen standard deviations.

If we $t$ our data for the di erent cluster realizations to the expression $[\underline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ w thout $x i n g D$ and , then we nd a large uctuation of around the zero value.
Ifw e suppose that authors of $R$ ef. [14 -1] xed diam eter to unty, wem ay conclude that our data for $\hat{R}$ from $T$ able $T$ coincide $w$ ith the corresponding data in $T a b l e$ ofR ef. [14] and not the data for R . W e can therefore conclude that the results of tting to expression ${ }_{1}^{\prime(1+1)}$ are inconclusive and that the values of the coe cient $R \bar{\sim}$ presented in $T$ able $\frac{\overline{T I}}{\underline{1}}$ are just random.

These large uctuations can be understood in the fram ew ork of the weak self-averaging of the fractal di$m$ ension, which we describe in the next section.

## IV. W EAK SELF-AVERAGING OF D

W e check how the uctuations of the $m$ easured fractal dim ension $D$ depend on the system size N. By analogy w ith them odynam ics, the relative uctuation $\left.F_{D}=h D^{2} i \quad h D i^{2}\right)=h D i^{2}$ of the quantity $D$ should decrease as the inverse system size. For fill self-averaging of the fractal dim ension, $F_{D} / 1=N / 1=R^{D}$ can be expected. In the case of a slow er decay $F_{D} / 1=N \quad w$ ith
$<1$, one can say that self-averaging of $D$ still occurs and that it is weak self-averaging.

W e extract the fractal dim ension from the analysis of the clusters in tw o ways. First, we calculate the num ber N of particles inside the circle of radius R for the given cluster. T he slope of this curve on a log-log plot gives D $i$ • $T$ he fractaldim ension $D_{i}$ as a function of $N$ is denoted as $D_{i}(\mathbb{N})$, where $i$ is the num ber of chuster, $i=1 ; 2 ;:: ; \mathrm{K}$, and $K=100 . T$ hen we average $D_{i}(\mathbb{N})$ over the ensem ble of $K$ clusters, $D(\mathbb{N})=\frac{1}{K}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{D}_{i}(\mathbb{N})$. The fractal dim ension $D(\mathbb{N})$ is plotted in $F$ igure $w$ ith a bold line as a function of the system size N . To better understand the behavior of D, we also present the results of averaging over sm aller ensem bles. W e divide the whole ensemble w ith 100 clusters into ve independent groups. A veraging over each group gives ve di erent curves for D (N), which exhibit strong uctuations. Errorbars are com puted as uctuations of $D_{i}(\mathbb{N})$ in the ensemble of $K=100$ clusters.

For su ciently large $N>10^{5}$, the values of $D(\mathbb{N})$ vary $m$ ainly in the range $1.695\{1.715$, which is about the usually accepted value of the fractaldim ension. T he drop o of the curveD ( $N$ ) at $N>21^{7} 0$ is due to the in uence of the cluster boundary: the m ost active zone of cluster grow th, which is underdeveloped in com parison $w$ ith the rest of the cluster, is now inside R .

Relative uctuations $F_{D}$ of that quantity are show $n$ in F igure $\mathbf{N}_{1}$. T he bold line represents 100 -cluster ensem ble averaging com pared $w$ ith ve lines com puted using ve 20-cluster groups. F huctuations decrease w ith the exponent $=0: 33$ 0:02. This is three tim es slow er decreasing than expected in the case of full self-averaging.

N ext, we analyze self-averaging of the fractal dim ension as extracted from the dependence of the deposition radius $R_{\text {dep }}(\mathbb{N})$ calculated by averaging over the har$m$ onic $m$ easure. This quantity averaged over the ensem ble of 100 clusters is plotted in $F$ igure $\overline{1 / 4}$ as a function of the system size $D_{\text {ham }}(\mathbb{N})$. It exhibits som e \oscillation" around the value 1.708 , which is very close to the accepted D LA fractal dim ension. A verages over sm aller ensem bles also dem onstrate this feature. It is not clear how this quantity w ill change as the system size increases further.

Relative uctuations $F_{D_{\text {harm }}}$ of $D_{\text {harm }}$ are show $n$ in Figure ${ }^{1} \underline{N}_{1}^{\prime}$. There are two regim es of the $F_{D_{\text {harm }}}$ decay. F irst, for the cluster sizes $\mathrm{N}<10^{6}$, it decays w ith
$=0: 71 \quad 0: 02, \mathrm{~m}$ uch faster than for the $F_{D}$ as esti$m$ ated $w$ ith the conventional counting $m$ ethod described above w ith 0:33. The next regim e, for the larger system sizes $N>10^{6}$, show s slow er decay $w$ th the exponent $=0: 38 \quad 0: 01$, close to those estim ated by the traditional counting $m$ ethod [26].

In practioe, the exponent value $0: 33 \mathrm{~m}$ eans that to obtain $m$ ore accurate estim ate of the fractal dim ension, one have to increase the num ber ofparticles in the cluster by three orders ofm agnitude.


F IG . 2: (C olor online) Fractaldim ension D (N) as a function of the num ber $N$ of particles inside the radius $R$. Solid curve w ith error bars represents D (x) averaged over 100 clusters. A nother curves are the averages over ve di erent 20 -chusters ensem bles.


FIG. 3: (C olor online) D ecay of the relative uctuations of the fractaldim ension shown in $F$ igure sam e as in $F$ igure ${ }_{2} 1$. T he solid line in the inset is the linear $t$ to the open circles.

## V. M U LT ISCALING

It w as long discussed that D LA clusters are not sim ple
 dim ension depends continuously on the nom alized distance from the cluster origin $\left.[1]_{1}^{1}\right]$. It w as suggested in []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ that the density of particles at a distance r from the origin obeys the equation $g\left(r ; R_{\text {gyr }}\right)=C(x) R_{g y r}^{D(x)} d$, where $x=r=R_{g y r}, w$ ith a nontrivial (non constant) m ultiscaling exponent $D(x)$ (lines w ith open sym bols in $F$ igure ${ }^{-6} \mathbf{\sigma}_{1}$ ).

Q uite recently, Som faiet al. [13, 1


F IG . 4: (C olor online) Fractaldim ension $D_{\text {harm }}(\mathbb{N})$ as a function of the num ber of particles in the cluster used to estim ate $R_{\text {dep }} w$ ith averaging over the harm onicm easure. T he sym bols are the sam e as in Figure $2_{2}^{\prime}$.


FIG.5: (C olor online) D ecay of the relative uctuations of the fractal dim ension $D_{\text {harm }}\left(N_{n}\right)$ show $n$ in $F$ igure ${ }^{4}$. T he sym bols are the sam e as in Figure 2. Inset: the linear $t$ to the data w ith $N$ up to 510 and the linear $t$ to the data $w$ ith larger N.
m ultiscaling picture is w rong and is m isled by nite-size transients. They argued for a strong dependence of the radius estim ators ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {dep }} ; \mathrm{R}_{2}$, etc., see Table III) on the system size Expr. (12.), where the leading subdom inant exponent is estim ated as $0: 33$.
 sion $D(x)$ can be found using the probability $P(r ; N)$ for the $N$-th particle to be deposited w ithin a shell of $w$ idth $d r$ at a distance $r$ from the seed. The sim plest and $m$ ost obvious form of the probability is $P(r ; N)=$ $\frac{1}{(\mathbb{N})} f\left(\frac{r R_{\text {dep }}(\mathbb{N})}{(N)}\right)$, where $f(y)$ is $G$ aussian. P ractically,
the Gaussian distribution can be obtained by averaging over a large num ber of clusters. For the single-cluster realization this function has som eparticular form re ecting the details of the cluster grow th as show $n$ in $F$ igure 'll, in which each localm axim um is associated w ith an actively grow ing branch.

In [14] Som faiet al com puted $D(x)$ from the $G$ aussian probability $P(r ; N)$, and $D(x) w$ ith corrections to scaling coincides wellw ith the num erical results of Am itrano et al. [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. Som faiet all state that $D(x)$ tends to a constant value as N ! 1 . In other words, Som faiet al. advocate that multiscaling is transient and is an artifact of the nite size of the D LA clusters.
In contrast, our num erical results dem onstrate that (1) there is no evidence for the nite-size correctionsw ith the exponent $=0: 33$, (2) D ( $x$ ) seem s not tend to a constant, and (3) it is not correct to use the G aussian probability $\mathrm{P}(r ; \mathrm{N})$ to com pute $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x})$ for the D LA m odel.

Gaussian distribution does not re ect details of the D LA cluster because it is the outcom e of the the averaging over a large num ber of chusters. A fter such averaging all details of the random nature of the grow th of a particular cluster are washed out, and the G aussian distribution is just the result of the central lim it theorem. There are some indications by $H$ astings $\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \\ ]\end{array}\right]$, who com puted DLA fractaldim ension from eld theory, that the $G$ aussian distribution by itself is insu cient for describing D LA clusters, and som e noise m ust be added to obtain a m odel corresponding to the D LA model. To som e extent, the local m axim a in F igure $\overline{1} \overline{1}$, are due to that noise, in contrast to the distribution averaged over the cluster ensem ble, which is sm ooth.

A ccordingly, $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}$ ) calculated from the averaged distribution would be constant in the lim it of large N . W e can therefore say that multiscaling com es from the uctuations (natural noise) of the D LA cluster grow th process.

The fractal dim ension $D(x)$ for di erent chuster sizes are show $n$ in $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{1} 6$, togetherw ith the results from $\left[1 \overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right]$. $T$ here is a notable $m$ axim um in $D(x)$ at around $x=1: 4$, and its size does not change signi cantly w th the num ber of particles in a cluster. W e note that the position of the $m$ axim um can be found from the ratio of $\left(R_{\text {dep }}\right)$ to $\mathrm{R}_{\text {gyr }}$. In our sim ulations, it equals 1:19, which coincides wellw ith the data in $F$ igure 'G.

Them axim um seem sto occurbecause grow th ism ostly com pleted in the region $r<R_{\text {dep }}$, and the fractaldi$m$ ension $D(x)$ decrease to the left of $x=\left(R_{\text {dep }} \quad\right)=R_{\text {gyr }}$ in the actively grow ing region $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x})$ because of the lack of particles there. For sm all $x$, i.e., for $r \ll R_{g y r}$, no new particles are being added, and $D(x)$ is the sam $e$ for di erent cluster sizes.
$W$ e note that the prediction of Som faiet al for $D(x)$ w th a system size $\mathrm{N}=10^{7}$ is quite sm aller than the one com puted by us and presented in $F$ igure ', 1 . W e also estim ate lim it of the three curves plotted in $F$ ig. ${ }^{i} \overline{6}$, taking lim it of $N$ ! 1 at the $x e d$ value of $x$, and plot result w ith the solid circles in Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'G. Thus, our data does not dem onstrate tendency of $D(\bar{x})$ to a constant value, and


F IG . 6: (C olor online) M ultiscaling fractaldim ension D (x) for di erent chuster sizes: solid triangles, $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$; solid squares, $N=10^{7}$; stars, $N=5$ 10; solid circles, lim it of our data for N ! 1 ; open sym bols are from [11]; open squares, $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ square lattice; open circles, $\mathrm{N}=\overline{10^{5}} \circ$-lattice.
rather support our observation that the dynam ic grow th of the cluster is dom inated by the active zone, and max ax im um in $D(x)$ re ects this nature of DLA. At the same tim e we have to note that accuracy of the data presented here is not enough for the naldecision, and future investigation $w$ ith the higher accuracy have to be still done.

## V I. SUM M ARY

W e have im plem ented modi cations to the D LA algorithm that help us to grow large D LA clusters and test som e recent claim s about its properties. In our experim ents, aggregates do not exhibit corrections to scaling law s. N evertheless, the results show multiscaling properties. This $m$ eans that there should be another way for such a clusters to appear. We tried to analyze the processes responsible for m ultiscaling. W e w ill address th is question in future research.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e appreciate Lev B arash for the carefiulreading of the m anuscript, V.V.Lebedev for the valuable com m ents, and SE.K orshunov for the constructive critics. Partial support of $R$ ussian Foundation for $B$ asic $R$ esearch is acknow ledged.


F IG . 7: P robability P (r) for a particle to stick at the distance $r$, com puted for a single D LA cluster.

APPENDIX A: PROBABILITY TOBEALIVEON THEBIRTHCIRCLE

The $m$ ain result of this A ppendix is expression ( $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-})$. The sam e expression was obtained earlier $[2]$ in $R$ efs. 16 , [1] I_ $]$. W e found our result is still worth publishing, since we derive it from a di erent point of view .

W e consider a particle at som e position ( $\mathrm{r} ;=0$ ) outside circle of radius $R_{b}, r>R_{b}$. The particle $m$ oves random ly , and its size and step is $m$ uch sm aller than $r$ and $R_{b}$. T he question isw hat is the probability for a particle to intersect the circle $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}$ at the angle ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{0}$. C learly, for the particles walking from in nity, i.e., $r \gg R_{b}$, that distribution is uniform in [ ; ]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\prime) d^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} d^{\prime}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conform alm ap

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}} \frac{\mathrm{zr}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{zR}_{\mathrm{b}}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ aps in nity to ( $r ; 0$ ) and the unit circle to the circle of radius $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}$. Transform ation (A_) changes probability ( $\bar{A} \overline{-1} 1)$ ) to the m odulus of derivative of the conform al map ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d w}=\frac{R_{b}^{2} r^{2}}{R_{b}(r \quad w)^{2}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 sulting probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left({ }^{0}\right)=\frac{\text { const }}{x^{2} \quad 2 x \cos ^{\prime 0}+1} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a function of the ratio $x=r=R_{b}>1$. This is a probability for the particlebeginning itswalk at the point


F IG . 8: Sketch ofprobability P ( ${ }^{(0)}$ ) of the walk intersection of the circle $R_{b} w$ ith the initial position of the particle at $(r ; 0)$.
$(r ; 0)$ outside $R_{b}$ to intersect circle $R_{b}$ at the angle ${ }^{\prime 0}$ (see Figure $1_{-1}^{-1}$ ).

The constant in $\left(\begin{array}{c}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}-\right.$ for the particle to $m$ ove to in nity. It can be identi ed using the analogy betw een the D LA m odeland Laplacian grow th asw aspointed in [18] ] for the dielectric breakdow $n$ m odel, which is a generalization of the D LA m odel.
$T$ he probability that the particle sticks som ew here to the cluster is proportional to the electrical eld at that point. $W$ e consider three circles of radii $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}, R_{1}<R_{2}<R_{3}$. The extemal circles $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$ are set under the potential $=0$, and particles stick one of them. The circle $R_{2}$ (place of a birth for particles) is set w ith $=1$. The solution of the Laplace equation $r^{2}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th the above boundary conditions gives the distribution of the potential and thus of the electrical eld E. The probability for the particle to stick on the circle $R_{1}$ would be $P_{1}=$ const $\quad j r j=R_{1} E j d^{2} r$ and sim ilarly for $P_{3}$. The ratio of these probabilities is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{\log \frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}}{\log \frac{\mathrm{R}_{3}}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The probability $P_{3}$ vanishes as $R_{3}!1: P_{3}!0$. $T$ his $m$ eans that all particles starting on the birth radius should irreversibly collide with a chuster and the constant in $(\bar{A} \bar{A} \overline{4})$ is easily found by norm alizing the probability, const $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x^{2} & 1)=2 . \text { The nalexpression for the }\end{array}\right.$ probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(r^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{2} \quad 1}{x^{2} \quad 2 \cos ^{\prime 0}+1} \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

provides correct values for both the lim its x! 1 and x ! 1. The same expression for probability w as described in [1] [1], but it was obtained other way. T ransfor$m$ ation

$$
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{u})=2 \arctan \frac{\mathrm{x} \quad 1}{\mathrm{x}+1} \tan (\mathrm{u}=2)
$$

$m$ aps uniform ly distributed in interval [ $1 ; 1$ ] random num ber $u$ to random num ber w ith distribution (A).

## APPENDIX B:MEMORYORGANIZATION

Step 3 of the algorithm described in Section II essentially contains two routines. We must choose, rst, the direction of the random walk and, second, the walk length. The direction of the walk is chosen uniform ly in $[0 ; 2$ ]. Because the $m$ otion is uniform in direction, we can increase the step, but only if particle is far aw ay from the cluster (in our sim ulations we choose that distance such that particle ism ore than ve units aw ay from the cluster, otherw ise, its step is one unit of length). T he length for the big step is chosen $w$ ith the condition that the walk should not intersect any particles of the cluster. $T$ herefore, the distance to the cluster $d_{p c}$ is evaluated, and the step length is taken as that distance.

The reason for im plem enting variable step length is as follow s . M ost of the tim $e$ the particle is $m$ oving far aw ay from the cluster, and choosing a step length of the order of the particle distance from the cluster accelerates sim ulations.

To realize all the proposed im provem ents e ciently we $m$ ust organize $m$ em ory in a special $m$ anner. $W$ hen the particle moves, we m ust know whether it collides with a cluster, and to check this, we m ust iterate over all particles. Such an approach is rather unreasonable and we $m$ ust therefore restrict the num ber of particles to test. $T$ his is easily done by dividing the space into square cells, each about tw enty units of length. E ach cell saves infor$m$ ation about particles that stuck in the region covered by it. W e therefore need only check cells that are in the region of one step.
$T$ his $m$ odel also im proves the process of seeking the size of free space for the big step. To nd the distance from current position to the cluster precisely is a di cult task, but it su ces in m ost cases to know it w ith an accuracy estim ated from below, the size of one cell. Figure show show this is done. C ells are plotted in the picture as squares $w$ ith bold lines. T hey are $m$ arked $w$ ith num bers show ing their distance from the particle location. For sim plicity, cells $w$ ith the sam e num ber are thought to be at the sam e distance from the particle. O ccupied œlls are shaded. In this exam ple the particle is allow ed to jump w th the step length $L$ 2R, where $L$ is the cell size, and $R$ the particle radius. $T$ he distance $L$ is the radius of the inscribed circle w ith a center som ew here in cell 0 (in the w orst case, the center lies on the border of cell 0 ). This length should be reduced because cells save only particle centers and there could be a pro jection of a particle into another cell $w$ th a size of R. To sim plify the algorithm we seek the step length only using the free/occupied cells picture. The rst step is to check w hether there are other particles in the cellwe are now in, then we should check clls $m$ arked $w$ th 1 , then $m$ arked $w$ ith 2 (not show $n$ in picture), and so on untilwe nd an occupied cell.


FIG. 9: D eterm ination of the step length.

D uring DLA grow th, the intervals betw een branches increase notably, and the tim e to traverse all cells while seeking an occupied one also increases. To reduce the in uence ofsuch a process, B alland B rady [ 1.5 ] developed a hierarchical m em ory m odel, where one cell is divided into sm aller subcells and so on. This approach seem $s$ rather $m$ em ory consum ptive: in grow ing a large cluster, it would becom e a bottleneck of an algorithm .
$T$ he desired e ect can be achieved in another way. Because the cell size is much m ore bigger than the particle size, the distribution of free cells changes slow ly, and process of seeking the $m$ axim um free space could be started not from the particle position but from the free line achieved in the previous search from this origin. To im plem ent this we m ust save the value of free space around each cell. Ifthis inform ation is unknow $n$, i.e., it is the rst tim e to seek the $m$ axim um step length from the current cell, we should traverse all cells from the beginning; otherw ise we start from the line previously saved and $m$ ove to the center.

The cell size is chosen as follow s. It should not be very sm all: a sm all size results in high $m$ em ory consum ption and a rapid change of the distribution of free cells. On the other hand, its size restricts the precision of the length we nd for the big step, i.e., there is a region of cell size near the cluster where particles can m ove only $w$ th a sm all steps. To avoid th is last constraint, we use secondlayer in form ation. Like B all and B rady, we divide the cells into sm aller ones. To m inim ize $m$ em ory consum $p-$ tion, we realize them as an 32 bit integer, where each bit show sw hether the corresponding subcell is occupied. E ach big cell can therefore be divided into not m ore than 25 subcells.

A s m entioned above, the second-layer inform ation is only used when the particlem oves near the cluster, w here the accuracy given by the rst layer is insu cient. F igure ', ${ }_{9}^{\prime}$, show s large cells (bold borders) and sm all cells.

U sing the rst layer we can nd that only the space inside the dashed circle is free. T he second layer gives a $m$ ore precise result: the particle can jum $p$ up to the bold circle.
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