## R am an spectra of BN -nanotubes: A b-initio and bond-polarizability m odel calculations

Ludger W irtz,<sup>1</sup>, M ichele Lazzeri,<sup>2</sup> Francesco M auri,<sup>2</sup> and Angel Rubio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Material Physics, University of the Basque Country,

Centro Mixto CSIC-UPV, and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC),

Po. Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Institut de M ineralogie et de Physique des M ilieux C ondenses, 4 P lace Jussieu, 75252 P aris œdex 05, France

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We present ab-initio calculations of the non-resonant Ram an spectra of zigzag and am chair BN nanotubes. In comparison, we implement a generalized bond-polarizability model where the parameters are extracted from rst-principles calculations of the polarizability tensor of a BN sheet. For light-polarization along the tube-axis, the agreement between model and ab-initio spectra is almost perfect. For perpendicular polarization, depolarization e ects have to be included in the model in order to reproduce the ab-initio Ram an intensities.

PACS num bers:

Besides its success in the characterization of a large range of m aterials<sup>1</sup>, R am an spectroscopy has also developed into an invaluable tool for the characterization of nanotubes. Since the rst characterization of (disordered) carbon nanotube (CNT) samples<sup>2</sup>, the technique has been re ned, including, e.g., polarized R am an studies of aligned nanotubes<sup>3</sup> and isolated tubes<sup>4</sup>. On the theoretical side, non-resonant R am an intensities of CNTs have been calculated within the bondpolarizability m odel<sup>5,6</sup>. The empirical param eters of this m odel are adapted to t experimental R am an intensities of fullerenes and hydrocarbons. How ever, the transferability of the param eters and the quantitative perform ance in nanotubes, in particular distinguishing between m etallic and sem iconducting tubes, is still not clear.

In this communication, we report on the Raman spectra of boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs)<sup>7,8</sup>. Recently, synthesis of BNNTs in gram quantities has been reported<sup>9</sup>. Their characterization through Ram an and infrared spectroscopy is expected to play an important role. However, due to di culties with the sam ple puri cation no experim ental data on contam ination-free sam ples has been reported. Ab-initio 10 and empirical 11,12 phonon calculations have determ ined the position of the peaks in the spectra. However, due to missing bondpolarizability parameters for BN, the Raman intensities have been so far addressed using the model bondpolarizability parameters of carbon<sup>12</sup>. Only the intensities of high-frequency modes was presented as it was arqued that the intensity of low frequency modes are very sensitive to the bond-polarizability values<sup>12</sup>. Here, we derive the polarizability parameters for BN sp<sup>2</sup> bonds from a single hexagonal BN sheet by calculating the polarizability-tensor and its variation under deform ation. We compare the resulting spectra for BNNTs with full ab-initio calculations. We derive conclusions about the general applicability of the bond polarizability m odel for sem iconducting CNTs.

In non resonant rst-order R am an spectra, peaks appear at the frequencies ! of the optical phonon with nullwave vector with intensities I which in the P laczek

approxim ation<sup>1</sup> are

$$I / je_i A e_s \int \frac{1}{!} (n + 1):$$
 (1)

Here  $e_i (e_s)$  is the polarization of the incident (scattered) light and  $n = [exp(~! = k_B T) 1]^{-1}$  with T being the tem perature. The R am an tensor A is

$$A_{ij} = B_{ij}^{k} \frac{W_{k}}{P M}; \qquad (2)$$

where  $w_k$  is the kth Cartesian component of atom of the thorthonormal vibrational eigenvector and M is the atom ic mass.

$$B_{ij}^{k} = \frac{\theta^{3}E}{\theta E_{i}\theta E_{j}\theta u_{k}} = \frac{\theta_{ij}}{\theta u_{k}}; \qquad (3)$$

where E is the total energy of the unit cell, E is a uniform electric eld and  $u_k$  are atom ic displacements. This is equivalent to the change of the electronic polarizability of a unit cell,  $_{ij} = _{ij}$  (where is the unit cell volume and  $_{ij}$  the electric susceptibility), upon the displacement  $u_k$ . The phonon frequencies and eigenvectors  $^{10}$  are determined by density functional perturbation theory  $^{13}$  as in plemented in Ref.  $^{14}$ . For the determination of the derivative tensor B  $_{ij}^k$  we proceed in two ways: i) we calculate it from rst principles using the approach of Ref. 15, ii.) we develop a generalized bond-polarizability model.

The basic assumption of the bond polarizability  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  is that the total polarizability can be  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  is that the total polarizability can be  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  is that the total polarizability can be  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  is that the total polarizability can be  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  is that the total polarizability can be  $m \text{ odel}^{1,16,17}$  as a signed a longitudinal polarizability, 1, and a polarization perpendicular to the bond, p. Thus, the polarizability contribution  $\lim_{ij} of a \text{ particular bond } b$  is

$$\hat{R}_{jj} = \frac{1}{3} (2_{p} + 1_{jj} + (1_{p})_{kj} \hat{R}_{j} \frac{1}{3}_{ij}; (4)$$

where  $\hat{R}$  is a unit vector along the bond. The second assumption is that the bond polarizabilities only depend on the bond length R. This allows the calculation

	r (A)	1 (A <sup>3</sup> )	р (А <sup>3</sup> )	<sup>0</sup> (A <sup>2</sup> )	<sup>0</sup> <sub>p</sub> (A <sup>2</sup> )
BN-sheet	1.44	3,31	pi:0.28	1.03	<sup>0</sup> <sub>pi</sub> : 6.60
			po:0.44		<sup>0</sup> <sub>po</sub> :0.77
c-BN	1.56	1.58	0.42	4.22	0.90
diam ond	1.53	1.69	0.71	7.43	0.37

TABLE I: Parameters of the bond polarizability model extracted from ab-initio calculations (see text).



FIG.1:Unit cell (marked by dashed line) of BN-sheet for the calculation of the bond polarizability parameters: a) equilibrium geometry, b) geometry with one bond elongated.

of the derivative with respect to atom ic displacement, ( ${}^{b}{}_{ij}=0$ u<sub>k</sub>, in terms of four parameters  ${}_{1}(R)$ ,  ${}_{p}(R)$ ,  ${}^{0}{}_{1}(R)$ , and  ${}^{0}{}_{p}(R)$  (see, e.g. Ref. 17). The use of only one perpendicular parameter  ${}_{p}$  im plicitly assumes cylindrical symmetry of the bonds. That can be justified in a sp<sup>3</sup> bonding environment. However, in the highly anisotropic environment in a sheet of sp<sup>2</sup> bonded carbon or BN and the corresponding nanotubes this assumption seems hardly justified. In our model we therefore de ne a generalized polarizability with an in-plane ( ${}_{pi}$ ) and out-of-plane value ( ${}_{po}$ ) of  ${}_{p}$ .

W ith the larger set of parameters, the polarizability tensor takes on the more general form

$${}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{ij}} = {}_{\mathrm{l}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{\mathrm{j}} + {}_{\mathrm{pi}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathrm{j}} + {}_{\mathrm{po}} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathrm{j}}; \qquad (5)$$

where  $\hat{S}$  is a unit-vector pointing perpendicular to the bond in plane, and  $\hat{T}$  pointing perpendicular to the bond out of plane. (In the case of  $_{pi} = _{po}$ , Eq. (5) simplifies to Eq. (4) due to the relation  $\hat{S}_{i}\hat{S}_{j} + \hat{T}_{i}\hat{T}_{j} = _{ij} \hat{K}_{i}\hat{K}_{j}$ .) For the derivative tensor (of a single bond), we obtain

$$\frac{(0)_{ij}}{(0)_{k}} = \frac{0}{1} \hat{K}_{i} \hat{K}_{j} \hat{K}_{k} + \frac{1}{((0)_{k} \hat{K}_{i}) \hat{K}_{j} + \hat{K}_{i} ((0)_{k} \hat{K}_{j}))} \\ + \frac{0}{1} \hat{S}_{i} \hat{S}_{j} \hat{K}_{k} + \frac{1}{1} ((0)_{k} \hat{S}_{i}) \hat{S}_{j} + \hat{S}_{i} ((0)_{k} \hat{K}_{j})) \\ + \frac{0}{10} \hat{T}_{i} \hat{T}_{j} \hat{K}_{k} + \frac{1}{10} ((0)_{k} \hat{T}_{i}) \hat{T}_{j} + \hat{T}_{i} ((0)_{k} \hat{T}_{j})): (6)$$

The total derivative tensor  $B_{ij}^k$  is then just the sum over all  $\binom{b}{ij} = @u_k$  of all bonds of the system. The orientation of the plane at the position of a particular atom is thereby dened by the three nearest neighbor atom s.

In order to determ ine the six param eters of our model, we perform ab-initio calculations of the polarizability tensor  $_{ij}$  of a unit-cell of a single BN-sheet<sup>18,19</sup> (see Fig. 1 a). The geometry of the system leads to the relations  $_{xx} = _{yy} = (3=2)(_1 + _{pi})$  and  $_{zz} = 3 _{po}$ (with the z-axis perpendicular to the sheet). D isplacing atom 2 in y-direction yields the relation (e  $_{xx}=@u_{2y}=$ 



FIG.2: Perpendicular ( $_{2}$ ) and longitudinal ( $_{k}$ ) polarizabilities per unit length of di erent BN -nanotubes: ab-initio and our generalized bond polarizability model. The in uence of depolarization can be seen for  $_{2}$ .

 $(3=4)(_{1}^{0}+_{pi}^{0})+(3=2)(_{1}+_{pi})=R.Finally, by chang$ ing the geometry of the unit-cell such that one bond is elongated while the other two bond-lengths and all the bond angles are kept constant (see Fig. 1 b), we extract the derivatives of the bond polarizabilities:  ${}^{0}_{1} = {}^{0}_{xx}$ ,  $p_{pi}^{0} = p_{yy}^{0}$ , and  $p_{po}^{0} = p_{zz}^{0}$ . The resulting parameters are displayed in Tab. I and com pared to the param eters we calculated for cubic BN and diam ond. The longitudinalbond polarizability  $_1$  is considerably larger than  $_p$ which can be intuitively explained as a consequence of the \enhanced m obility" of the electrons along the bond. For the sheet, the perpendicular polarizabilities clearly display di erent values in the in-plane and out-of-plane directions. W ithout the added exibility of di erent param eters, the bond-polarizability model would lead to inconsistencies in the description of it and its derivatives. In the sheet, 1 is about twice as large as in cubic BN (c-BN) due to the additional contribution of the electrons to the longitudinal polarizability. Com parison of c-BN with the isoelectronic diam ond shows a slightly higher polarizability of the C-C bond.

rst application of the generalized bond-As a polarizability model, we present in Fig. 2 the polariz-(per unit length) of di erent BNNT  $\hat{s}^0$ . For the ability polarizability along the tube axis (z-direction), the model (Eq. (5)) agrees alm ost perfectly with our ab-initio calculations. The polarizability is proportional to the num ber ofbonds in the unit-cellwhich is proportional to the tube radius. For the perpendicular direction, the model calculations overestim ate the ab-initio values considerably. This discrepancy demonstrates the importance of depolarization e ects in the perpendicular direction: due to the inhom ogeneity of the charge distribution in this direction, an external eld induces local elds which counteract the external eld and thereby reduce the overall polarizability. The size of this e ect can be estimated from a simple model. Im agine a dielectric hollow cylinder of radius R (measured at the mid-point between inner and outer wall) and thickness d. The dielectric constant in tangential direction,  $_{k} = (d + 4 _{k})=d$ , is di erent from the dielectric constant in radial direction,  $_{?} = d=(d \ 4 _{?})$ . Here,  $_{k}$  and  $_{?}$  are the polarizabilities per unit area of the BN-sheet which are extracted from the bulk calculation<sup>19</sup>. The polarizability per unit length of the cylinder due to an external hom ogeneous electric eld perpendicular to the tube axis is<sup>21</sup>

$$(\mathbf{R}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{2} + \frac{d}{k^{2}} + \frac{d$$

with = (R d=2)=(R + d=2) and =  $\begin{bmatrix} F & \\ R & R & R \end{bmatrix}$ . In the limit R=d! 1, the polarizability in Eq. (7) displays a linear dependence on the radius: (R)! 0(R), where 0(R) = ( $_{\rm k}$  +  $_{\rm 2}$ )R. This corresponds to the polarizability without depolarization e ects and coincides with the undam ped model curve for  $_{\rm 2}$  (dotted line in Fig.2).

The depolarization e ects are introduced into our model by multiplying the undam ped model curve for the perpendicular polarizability with the \damping" factor

 $(R) = (R) = _0(R)$ . This factor depends on the cylinder thickness d. The value d = 3A, which corresponds approximately to the fullwidth of the charge-density of a BN-sheet, leads to an almost perfect agreement between model and ab-initio calculations.<sup>22</sup>

To compute Raman intensities we make the further assumption:

$$B_{ij}^{k} = \frac{\varrho(i_{j} i_{j})}{\varrho u_{k}} , \quad i_{j} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho u_{k}}; \qquad (8)$$

where  $l_{ij}=l_{u_k}$  is constructed according to Eq.6. We assume here that to storder the atom ic displacement does not change the depolarization. For i = j = 3, i.e., for incoming and scattered light polarized along the tube axis,  $i_{ij} = 1$ , otherwise  $i_{ij} = (R)$ .

In Fig. 3 we present the ab-initio and model R am an spectra for the (9,0), (13,0), and (16,0) zigzag BN nanotubes and a (10,10) arm chair tube. The latter two have diam eters (12.8 A and 13.8 A) in the range of experim entally produced BN tubes<sup>8,9</sup>. The spectra are averaged over the polarization of the incom ing light and scattered light. We rst discuss the spectra of the zigzag tubes. The peaks below 700 cm  $^1$  are due to low frequency phonon m odes w hich are derived from the acoustic m odes of the sheet and whose frequencies scale inversely proportional to the tube diam eter (except for the  $E_2$  (R) m ode which scales with the inverse square of the diam eter) $^{10}$ . The E<sub>2</sub> (R) mode gets quite intense with increasing tube diam eter, but its frequency is so low that it will be hard to distinguish it from the strong Raleigh-scattering peak in experiments. The E1(L) peak has almost vanishing intensity in the ab-initio spectrum and is overestim ated in the model. The radial breathing mode (RBM) yields a clear peak which should be easily detectable in R am an



FIG. 3: Raman spectrum for di erent BN tubes: Comparison of ab-initio calculations (positive axis) with the bondpolarization m odel (inverted axis). Sym m etry assignm ent follows R ef. 23. The letters R, T, L denote the character of the corresponding phonon oscillation: radial, transverse, or longitudinal (see R ef. 10).

m easurements of BNNTs just as in the case of CNTs. Both ab-initio and model calculations yield a similar intensity for this peak. The high-frequency modes above 700 cm<sup>-1</sup> are derived from the optical modes of the sheet and change weakly with diameter. The A<sub>1</sub> (R) mode at 810 cm<sup>-1</sup> gives a small contribution which might be detectable. The intensity decreases, how ever, with increasing diameter. The model only yields a vanishingly small intensity for this peak. At 1370 cm<sup>-1</sup> a clear signal is given by the A<sub>1</sub> (T) mode which has very similar intensity both in model and ab-initio calculations. The small side peak at slightly low er frequency is due to the E<sub>2</sub> (L) mode. The E<sub>1</sub> (T) peak at 1480 cm<sup>-1</sup> is gaining intensity with increasing tube radius. The overall R am an spectrum for a (10,10) am chair tube exhibit similar trends.

In Fig.4 we show for the (16,0) tube the dependence of the intensities on the light polarizations. If both  $e_i$  and  $e_f$  point along the tube axis (Fig.4 a), only the A<sub>1</sub> m odes are visible and described well by the m odel (except the 810 cm<sup>-1</sup> m ode). This coincides with the nding that for the polarizability along the tube axis, depolarization does not play a role<sup>24</sup>. The E m odes are only visible if at least one of  $e_i$  and  $e_f$  has a component perpendicular to the tube axis (Fig. 4 b and c). The bond polarizability m odel reproduces these peaks, but tends to overestim ate the E m odes. The inclusion of depolarization, the m odel overestim ates the R am an intensities for perpendicular polarization by about a factor of 15. O ther



FIG. 4: Raman spectrum of a BN (16,0) tube for di erent light polarizations  $e_i$  !  $e_f$ . Tube oriented along (001).

discrepancies are due to the assumption in Eq. (8).

In conclusion, we implemented the bond polarizability model for BN nanotubes with parameters taken from ab-initio calculations and under inclusion of depolarization e ects. Going beyond previous models for

New address: IEMN (CNRS-UMR 8520), B.P. 60069, 59652 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

- <sup>1</sup> Light Scattering in Solids II, edited by M. Cardona and G. Guntherodth (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
- <sup>2</sup> A.M.Rao et al, Science 275, 187 (1997).
- <sup>3</sup> A.M.Rao et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 1820 (2000).
- <sup>4</sup> G.S.Duesberg et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85, 5436 (2000).
- <sup>5</sup> R.Saito et al, Phys.Rev.B 57, 4145 (1998).
- <sup>6</sup> R.Saito, G.D resselhaus, and M.S.D resselhaus, Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes (Imperial College Press, London, 1998).
- <sup>7</sup> A. Rubio, J. L. Corkill, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 49, R 5081 (1994); X. B lase, A. Rubio, S. G. Louie, and M. L. Cohen, Europhys. Lett 28, 335 (1994).
- <sup>8</sup> N.G.Chopra et al., Science 269, 966 (1995).
- <sup>9</sup> R.S.Lee et al, Phys.Rev.B 64, 121405(R) (2001).
- <sup>10</sup> L. W irtz, A. Rubio, R. A renal de la Concha, and A. Loiseau, Phys. Rev. B 68, 045425 (2003); L. W irtz and A. Rubio, IEEE Trans. Nanotech. 2, 341 (2003).
- <sup>11</sup> D. Sanchez-Portal and E. Hernandez, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235415 (2002).
- <sup>12</sup> V.N.Popov, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085408 (2003).
- <sup>13</sup> S.Baroni, S.de G ironcoli, A.D alCorso, and P.G iannozzi, Rev.M od.Phys. 73, 515 (2001).
- <sup>14</sup> X.Gonze et al, Com p.M at. Sci. 25, 478 (2002).
- <sup>15</sup> M. Lazzeri and F. Mauri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 036401 (2003).
- <sup>16</sup> M .V .W olkenstein, C .R .A cad.Sci.URSS 30, 791 (1941).
- <sup>17</sup> P.Um ari, A.Pasquarello, and A.DalCorso, Phys.Rev.B 63,094305 (2001).

graphitic systems, our calculations yield di erent param eters for the in-plane and out-of-plane perpendicular polarizabilities. Good agreem ent between model and ab-initio calculations of the non-resonant Ram an spectra of BN nanotubes is obtained for light polarization along the tube axis. For perpendicular polarization, the inclusion of depolarization e ects leads to a reasonable agreement between model and ab-initio spectra. The model is implemented for single-wall BN tubes but can be extended to multi-wall tubes if the strength of the depolarization e ects is modeled accordingly. A similar bond-polarizability model can also be developed for the non-resonant R am an spectra of sem iconducting carbon NTs. However, due to the metallic behavior, a bond-polarizability model is not applicable to the graphene sheet. Consequently, the modeling of the polarizability of sem iconducting tubes is very sensitive to the band-structure<sup>25</sup>, in particular to the bandgap which depends on the radius and chirality of the tubes. This work was supported by EU Network of Excellence NANOQUANTA (NMP4-CT-2004-500198) and Spanish-MCyT.Calculations were performed at IDRIS and CEPBA supercomputer centers.

- <sup>18</sup> W e use a periodic supercell with an intersheet distance of 12 a.u.W e use Troullier-M artins pseudopotentials. The two dimensional Brillouin zone is sampled by a 12 12 M onckhorst-Pack grid. Local density approximation is used.
- <sup>19</sup> The polarizability perpendicular to the sheet is calculated through the 1-dimensional C lausius-M ossotti relation  $_{?} = _{zz} = \frac{-zz}{4} \frac{zz}{zz}$  while the parallel polarizability obeys the relation  $_{xx} = \frac{-z}{4} (_{xx} 1)$ . is the unit-cellvolume. We checked numerically that the thus obtained  $_{zz}$  and  $_{xx}$  are independent of the inter-sheet distance. The polarizability per unit area, , is = = A, where A is the unit-cell area in the x-y-plane.
- <sup>20</sup> The perpendicular tube polarizability is extracted from the bulk dielectric tensor through the 2-dim ensional C lausius-M ossotti relation  $_{?} = \frac{2}{4} - \frac{2}{2+1}$ . We checked num erically that the calculated  $_{?}$  is approximately independent of the inter-tube distance.
- <sup>21</sup> L. Henrard and Ph. Lambin, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 29, 5127 (1996).
- <sup>22</sup> The dependence on the empirical param eter d is weak: For the (16,0) tube, changing d from 2.2 A to 4 A gives rise to a change of 2 % in the perpendicular polarizability.
- <sup>23</sup> O.E.Alon, Phys. Rev. B 64, 153408 (2001).
- <sup>24</sup> A.G.Marinopoulos, L.W irtz, A.Marini, V.O levano, A. Rubio, and L.Reining, Appl. Phys. A 78, 1157 (2004);
- <sup>25</sup> L.X.Benedict, S.G.Louie, and M.L.Cohen, Phys. Rev. B 52, 8541 (1995).