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#### Abstract

W e evaluate num erically certain multiple integrals representing nearest and next-nearest neighbor correlation functions of the spin-1/2 X X Z Heisenberg in nite chain at nite tem peratures.
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[^0]1. INTRODUCTION

The determ ination of correlation functions is an im portant task both from a theoretical and from an experim ental point of view. In scattering experim ents correlation functions are $m$ easured, and detailed insight into the $m$ icroscopic stnucture of the sam ple can be obtained. Scattering experim ents $m$ ay thus well decide about the adequateness of a given (sim pli ed) theoreticalm odel, once its correlations functions are calculated. O $n$ the other hand, taken for granted that a theoretical m odel adequately describes a certain sam ple, the know ledge of its correlation functions is useful to estim ate the quality of the experim ental setup. T he principal problem $s$ on the theoretical side are threefold: T o deal $w$ ith $m$ any-body interactions betw een the particles, to account for nite tem peratures and to carry out the them odynam ic lim it of a m acroscopic sam ple size. W ith regard to these intricate di culties, techniques which allow for the exact calculation of correlation functions of at least som e typical interacting quantum system $s$ in the therm odynam ic lim it are highly w eloom e.

Progress into this direction has recently been achieved by one of the authors in collaboration
 X X Z H eisenberg chain w ith periodic boundary conditions in a m agnetic eld,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=J_{j=1}^{X^{L}} S_{j}^{\mathrm{x}} S_{j+1}^{\mathrm{x}}+S_{j}^{Y} S_{j+1}^{y}+\quad S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad h_{j=1}^{X^{L}} S_{j}^{z} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w as considered. Form ulae for the correlation functions w ere derived by com bining the quantum -
 certain results for $m$ atrix elem ents of the X X Z -chain
 term $s$ of an auxiliary function de ned in the complex plane 们. This auxiliary function is determ ined uniquely as a solution of a non-linear integralequation. G K S found that essentially the sam e auxiliary function can be used to calculate $m$ point correlation functions for arbitrary tem peratures $T$, again in the therm odynam ic lim it. As a result, all the correlation functions of spatial range $m$ are given in term $s$ of $m$-fold integrals over com binations of the auxiliary function taken at di erent integration variables.

In this work, the integralequations are solved num erically for all tem peratures at $h=0$ and
them ultiple integrals are calculated form $=2 ; 3$, where we restrict ourselves to $\quad 1$ form $=3$. In particular, we focus on $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+m}^{x} \quad 1 i_{T}$ and on the em ptiness form ation probability P (m). In order to estim ate the qually of our data we compare them $w$ th other exact results: rst of all the nearest-neighbor correlators can be obtained independently of the m ultiple integral representation by taking the derivative of the free energy w ith respect to . Furtherm ore, very recently $[\underline{\underline{1} 2 \overline{1}]}$ a high tem perature expansion of the em ptiness form ation probability $P$ (3) at $=1$ has been perform ed up to the order $(J=T)^{42}$, starting from the multiple integral representation. At $T=0$, closed expressions are available 1 are, moreover, the XX X - $\lim$ it (! 0) and the Ising $\lim$ it ( J ! 0 ; ! 1 ; J xed) where
 independent results provide useful tests for the accuracy of our num erics. T he $m$ ain error we observe is due to the discretization of the integrals, an error which, in principle, can be $m$ ade arbitrarily $s m$ all by increasing the num erical accuracy.

B esides the expected crossover behaviour from low to high tem peratures, we nd a surprising feature in $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+m}^{x} \quad 1 i_{T}$ for $>1$ : the antiferrom agnetic correlation is $m$ axim al at an interm $e^{-}$ diate tem perature $T_{0}$, and not, as naively expected, at $T=0 . W$ explain this behaviour from a com petition betw een quantum and them odynam ical uctuations.

This paper is organized as follows: in the next section we give som e details about the num erical procedure. R esults are presented separately in the third section. T he article ends $w$ th a sum $m$ ary and an outlook.

## 2. NUMERICALPROCEDURE

Let $A_{1:: m}$ be an operatorwhich acts on sites $1 ;::: ; m$ of the spin chain. In order to calculate $h A_{1:: m} i_{T}$, the operator $A_{1:: m}$ is expanded in term $s$ of the elem entary $m$ atrices e ,
such that

$$
A_{1:: m}=A \underset{1::: m_{m}}{1:: \mathrm{m}_{1}} \mathrm{e}_{1}^{1} \mathrm{e}_{2}{ }_{2}^{2}::: \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}}:
$$

Then it su ces to calculate the expectation value he ${ }_{1}^{1}{ }_{1}^{1}::: \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{T}}$ which de nes the density $m$ atrix of the chain segm ent consisting of sites $1 ;::: ; m$.

In $\operatorname{los}_{1}^{-1}$ ] the follow ing multiple integral representation for the density $m$ atrix was has been


$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \sinh { }^{+}{ }^{1}\left(!_{j+j}{ }_{j+1}\right) \sinh ^{m}{ }_{j}^{+}!_{j+j}{ }_{j+1} 5 \\
& 2^{\mathrm{j}=1} 3 \\
& 4^{m} Y^{j+j} \sinh { }^{j}{ }^{1}\left(!_{j+j+j}+\right) \sinh ^{m} \quad{ }_{j}!_{j+j+j} 5:  \tag{2}\\
& j=1
\end{align*}
$$

Here $(n)_{n=1}^{m}$ and $(n)_{n=1}^{m}$ denote sequences of up-and down-spins, where 1 "and 2 \#. The position of the jth up-spin (down-spin) in the sequence $(n)_{n=1}^{m}\left((n)_{n=1}^{m}\right)$ is ${ }_{j}^{+}(j)$ and the num ber of up- (down-) spins in the corresponding sequences is de ned as $j^{+} j$ ( $j, j$ ). The
 be purely real and positive ( $m$ assive case, $>1$ ), or im aginary with $=: i$, $=20$ ( $m$ assless case, $0 \quad 1$ ). The auxiliary functions a; a; $G$ which occur in the integrand in (2ָ)) are solutions of the follow ing integral equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln a() & =h \frac{J \sinh }{2} \wedge_{\overline{2}}(+=2) \quad{ }_{Z} \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{2 i} \wedge(\quad!) \ln (1+a(!))  \tag{3}\\
G(;) & =\wedge_{\overline{2}}(\quad=2)+\frac{d!}{c^{2 i}} \wedge(\quad!) \frac{G(!;)}{1+a(!)}  \tag{4}\\
\wedge() & =\frac{\sinh (2)}{\sinh (+) \sinh (\quad)} \\
\bar{a} & =1=a ;
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $:=1=\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{N}$ ote that the T - and h -dependence of the correlation functions enters through the auxiliary functions, whereas the $m$-dependence is encoded in the $m$-fold integral. Thus the
 integrals. In this work, the follow ing correlation functions w ill be calculated num erically at


F IG . 1: Integration contours $a$ ) in the $m$ assive $b$ ) in the $m$ assless case.
$\mathrm{h}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(2) & : h e_{j}{ }_{1}^{1} e_{j+1}{ }_{1}^{1} i_{T}=\frac{1}{4}+h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T} \\
h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} \dot{i}_{T} & =\frac{1}{2} h e_{j}{ }_{1}^{2} e_{j+1}{ }_{2}^{1} i_{T} \\
P(3) & =h e_{j}^{1} e_{j+1}^{1} e_{j+2}{ }_{1}^{1} i_{T}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2} h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}+h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+2}^{z} i_{T} \\
h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+2}^{x} \dot{i}_{T} & =\frac{1}{2} h e_{j 1}^{2} e_{j+1}{ }_{1}^{1}+e_{j+1}{ }_{2}^{2} e_{j+2}{ }_{2}^{1} i_{T}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $P(m)=h_{1}{ }_{1}^{1}::: e_{m}{ }_{1}^{1} i_{T}$ is referred to as the em ptiness form ation probability.
For the num erical treatm ent, it is convenient to reform ulate equations ( $(\underset{-1}{-1}),(\underset{\sim}{4})$ such that the integrations are done along straight lines parallelto the realor im aginary axes. This procedure is due to K lum per (cf. the book $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[2 \overline{2} \overline{2}]}\end{array}\right.$ and references therein). W e review the $m$ ain steps here in order to introduce a notation which will prove to be convenient for our purposes.

The integration contour $C$ is chosen according to $g$. (in'a) (in)) in the $m$ assive ( $m$ assless) case. In the $m$ assive ( $m$ assless) regim $\operatorname{e} \operatorname{ReC}==2$ ( $\mathrm{Im} C=2$ ), where is a
 functions ln a, G are given in the part of the com plex plane enclosed by C, once they have been calculated on C. H ow ever, for the calculation of correlators, ( ${\underset{V}{2}}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ), these functions are only needed on C . Since in the m assive case, all functions are periodic w th period i , integrals along $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 2}$ in opposite directions cancel each other. W e thus have to calculate the auxiliary functions along two straight lines C parallel to the im aginary (real) axis in the m assive (m assless) case.

Let us rst focus on the calculation of the auxiliary functions in the massless case, the $m$ assive case is treated analogously afterw ards. Subsequently, we com $m$ ent on the calculation of the multiple integrals with the help of the auxiliary functions.

### 2.1. M assless case

We rst concentrate on $0<\quad=2$ and deal $w$ th the isotropic case $=0$ at the end of this section. It is convenient to de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
b(x) & =a(x+i=2) ; \bar{b}(x): \bar{a}(x \quad i=2)  \tag{5a}\\
B & =1+b ; \bar{B}:=1+\bar{b}: \tag{5.b}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln (1+a(x+i=2))=\ln B(x) \quad \text { on } C_{+}  \tag{6}\\
& \ln (1+a(x \quad i=2))=\ln \bar{B}(x)+\ln b(x \quad i) \text { on } C \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

Taking account of the sim ple pole of $\ln b(x)$ at $x=\quad i=2$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln b(x)=h+\frac{J \sinh (i)}{2} \wedge_{i=2}(x)+\frac{1}{2 i} \hat{i}_{i}^{h} \ln B \hat{i}^{\wedge^{(+)}} \ln \bar{B} \hat{i}^{\ln b}(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the de nitions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\hat{i}_{i}^{(+)}(x):{\hat{Z_{1}^{i}}}_{1}^{(x+i} \quad i\right) \\
& \text { [f } \quad \mathrm{f}](\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy}: \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrations in ( $(\bar{i})$ ) are now convolutions along the real axis, such that one can express in b in term $S$ of $\ln B, \ln \bar{B}$ by solying an algebraic equation in Fourier space and transform ing back to direct space. The result is

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln b(x) & =\frac{}{2(\quad)} h \frac{J \sin }{2} d(x)+\quad \ln B \quad+\ln \bar{B}(x)  \tag{9a}\\
\ln \bar{b} & =\ln b \dot{j}!n \tag{9b}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(x)=\frac{\cosh -x}{}  \tag{10}\\
& (x)=Z_{1} \frac{\sinh \overline{2} \mathrm{ke}^{\mathrm{ikx}}}{2 \cosh \overline{2} \mathrm{k} \sinh \overline{2}_{\overline{2}} \mathrm{k}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2} \\
& +(x)=(x+i \quad i)
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the shift by in $(\overline{9} \bar{d})$ is necessary to ensure integrability. In a sim ilar fashion, eq. ( $(\overline{4})$ is treated. Therefore we introduce the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{b}(x):=G(x+i=2 ;) ; G_{\bar{b}}:=G(x \quad i=2 ;) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we suppressed the dependence on on the lefthand sides. It is now convenient to substitute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{G}{1+a}=\begin{array}{l}
\stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \frac{G_{\bar{b}}}{1+\bar{b}^{1}} \quad \text { on } C \\
\gtrless \\
\frac{G_{b}}{1+b^{1}}+G_{b} \text { on } C_{+}
\end{array}  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{G}{1+\bar{a}}=\begin{array}{l}
8 \\
\gtrless \frac{G_{\bar{b}}}{1+\bar{b}^{1}} \quad G_{\bar{b}} \text { on } C \\
\underset{i}{ } \frac{G_{b}}{1+\mathrm{b}^{1}} \quad \text { on } C_{+}:
\end{array} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}) & =\operatorname{id}(\mathrm{x} \quad)+\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}}{1+\mathrm{b}^{1}}+\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{b}}}}{1+\overline{\mathrm{b}}^{1}}(\mathrm{x})  \tag{14a}\\
\mathrm{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{b}}} & =\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}} \dot{\bar{h}}!\mathrm{h} \text { : } \tag{14b}
\end{align*}
$$

 in term $s$ of $G_{b}=\left(1+b^{1}\right), G_{\bar{b}}=\left(1+\bar{b}^{1}\right), G_{b}, G_{\bar{b}}$. This expression is rather lengthy: For an $m$-point-function, one obtains a sum of $3^{m} m$ any term $s$, each one an $m$-fold integral. H ere we w ould like to spare the reader the general expression, but ratherm ake the follow ing com $m$ ents:
 ing the Fast Fourier-T ransform algorithm. Errors then arise from the truncation and the discretization of the integrals.

In ! ( 12 ) derivatives of $G_{b ; b}$ w th respect to enter. These can be calculated straightforw ardly by taking the $n$-th derivative of ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{I} \bar{\prime}$ ) $)$ with respect to which results in linear integralequations for $@^{n} G_{b ; \bar{b}}$.

The form ulation in term softhe functions $G_{b ; \sigma}$ is particularly suited for low tem peratures. At $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{h}=0,1=\left(1+\mathrm{b}^{1}\right)=1=\left(1+\overline{\mathrm{b}}^{1}\right)=0$ such that $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is given by the inhom ogeneity
 containing only com.binations of $G_{b} ; G_{\bar{b}}$ ). Then in this term all functions are known. This
 (for a proof in the critical case and an extension to $h \in 0$ see also

The functions for the isotropic case $=0$ are obtained by rescaling $x!x, G_{b ; \bar{b}}!\quad G_{b ; \bar{b}}$. Then in (2ָ) algebraic functions occur instead of hyperbolic ones, and the integration kemel takes the form

$$
(x)=Z_{1} \frac{e^{\mathrm{ikx}}}{1+e^{\mathrm{kj}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}}{2}: ~ .: ~}
$$

### 2.2. M assive case

Let us go through the changes which have to be done in the $m$ assive case $w$ ith respect to


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x}) & =\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{ix}+=2) ; \overline{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x})=\overline{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{ix} \quad=2) \\
\mathrm{B} & =1+\mathrm{b} ; \overline{\mathrm{B}}:=1+\overline{\mathrm{b}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ e use the sam e sym bols b; $\bar{b}$ etc. as in the $m$ assless case. $N$ ote that here $=2 \quad x \quad=2$ and the $b$-functions are periodic on the real axis $w$ th period. A coounting for the pole of $\ln b(x)$ at $x=i=2$ we nd the follow ing equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\ln b(x)=h \frac{J \sinh }{2} \wedge=2(x)+\frac{1}{2} \wedge \ln B \wedge \ln b \wedge\right) \ln \bar{B}(x) \\
& \ln \bar{b}(x)=\ln b \dot{W}_{h}!h ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the kemel and the convolutions are now de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \wedge(x)=\frac{\sinh (2)}{\sin (x+i) \sin (x \quad i)} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
f & g
\end{array}\right](x)=\prod_{=2} f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y) g(y) d y:
\end{array}\right.} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Because of periodicity, eq. (19) is $m$ anipulated further in Fourier space after perform ing a discrete Fourier transform ation. U pon transform ing back one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln b(x) & =\frac{h}{2} \frac{J \sinh }{2} d(x)+\quad \ln B \quad \ln \bar{B}(x)  \tag{17}\\
d(x) & =\frac{2 K}{} d n \frac{2 K x}{} ; i-  \tag{18}\\
(x) & =x^{1} \frac{e^{i \ln x}}{\left(1+e^{2 j j}\right)} \\
(x) & =(x \quad i+i): \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The driving term (1-18) is written in term $s$ of the Jacobi elliptic function $d n(x ;)$ [2]in], with Fourier series expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
d n(x ;)=\overline{2 K ~(~) ~}_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{e^{i n x=K()}}{\cos n} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$


 one derives equations for $G_{b, \bar{b}}$, de ned by

$$
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{ix}+=2 ;) ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{ix}=2 ;) ;
$$

where, as in the $m$ assless case, the -dependence is not noted explicitly in $G_{b ; \bar{b}}$. The sym bolical


$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{x}) & =\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x} \quad)+\frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}}}{1+\mathrm{b}^{1}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{b}}}}{1+\overline{\mathrm{b}}^{1}}(\mathrm{x})  \tag{21}\\
\mathrm{G}_{\overline{\mathrm{b}}} & =\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{b}} \dot{\bar{h}}^{1}!\mathrm{h}: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3. C alculating the integrals

Let us consider eq. ( O ne observes that due to the product of hyperbolic functions in the denom inator in eq. (2, $\overline{2}_{1}$ ),
multiple poles m ay occur. W e explain in the follow ing how to treat these poles num erically and show that contributions due to these poles canceleach other if there is m ore than one pole. For de niteness we treat the $m$ assless case, how ever, the argum ents are directly transferable to the m assive case.

The product ${ }_{1 a<b m} \sinh \left(!_{a} \quad!_{b} \quad i\right) w i t h$

$$
!=\begin{align*}
& <x+i=2 \text { on } C_{+}  \tag{23}\\
& : x \quad i=2 \text { on } C
\end{align*}
$$

acquires a zero if there are $j ; k$ such that $!_{j}=x_{j}+i=2 \quad i,!_{k}=x_{k} \quad i=2+i$. This leads to a factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sinh \left(x_{j} x_{k} \quad i\right)}=P \frac{1}{\sinh \left(x_{j} \quad x_{k}\right)}+i \quad\left(x_{j} \quad x_{k}\right): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen taking the principal value num erically, one has to account for the regular part at $x_{j}=x_{k}$, de ned by $f_{\text {reg }}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=0$ :

$$
P^{Z_{1}} \frac{f\left(x_{j} ; x_{k}\right)}{\sinh \left(x_{j} x_{k}\right)} d x_{k}=Z_{1}^{Z_{j}}+Z_{x_{j}+}^{Z_{1}} \frac{f\left(x_{j} ; x_{k}\right)}{\sinh \left(x_{j} x_{k}\right)} d x_{k} \quad 2 \varrho_{x_{k}} f_{r e g}\left(x_{j} ; x_{k}\right) \dot{j}_{k}=x_{j}:(25)
$$

The last term $s$ in eqs. $(\underline{2} \overline{4}),(\underline{2} \overline{\underline{S}})$ are additional term $s$ caused by the pole.
Now consider the case of multiple poles. Set $!_{j}=x_{j}+i=2$ in the product Q
$1 \quad a<b m \sinh \left(!_{a} \quad!_{b} \quad i\right)$ and all other $!_{k}=x_{k} \quad i=2$. Then if $j=m \quad 1$, there is
 denom inator. It is not di cult to show that the additional contributions due to these two poles either vanish directly or canceleach other. O ne should be aw are of the fact that the -fiunction in (2 $2 \overline{4} \overline{4}$ ) yields no contribution if $f \quad f_{\text {reg }}$. This is the case for $T=0$, where all functions are real and the determ inant in $(\underset{2}{2})$ vanishes if any two argum ents of the auxiliary functions are equal.

W e now address the question of possible sim pli cations of the multiple integral representation $(\underset{-}{(2)})$. Obviously, in the case $m=2$ the double integration has to be equal to one single integration, since the nearest-neighbor correlators are obtained by taking the derivative of the free energy per lattice site f w ith respect to the anisotropy , and the free energy is given by
a single integral according to [17; 1 . E specially

$$
\begin{align*}
h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T} & =\frac{1}{4}+\frac{@ f}{J}  \tag{26a}\\
h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T} & =\frac{u}{2 J} \quad-h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} \quad 1=4 i_{T}  \tag{26.b}\\
h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T} & =h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=\frac{1}{12}+\frac{u}{3 J} ; \quad=1 ; \tag{26c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u=@(f)$ is the inner energy per lattice site and $f$ is given by

$$
f=e_{0} \quad \frac{T}{2} d \ln B \bar{B}(0):
$$




$$
\begin{array}{lll}
8 \\
\frac{J \sin }{2} & Z_{1} \frac{\sinh \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{2}}{2 \cosh \frac{k}{2} \sinh \frac{k}{2}} d k m \text { assless } \\
x_{n=1}^{2} & \frac{e^{\text {nj } j}}{\cosh n} & \text { massive. }
\end{array}
$$

 consider here the case of vanishing $m$ agnetic eld, $h=0$. From [2] $\overline{6}]$, using dilogarithm $s$, one nds for $T$ ! 0 in the $m$ assless case

$$
f=e_{0} \quad T^{2} \overline{3 J \sin }:
$$

Thus for $T!0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T=0}+(T=J)^{2} \frac{(1 \quad c o t)}{3 \sin ^{2}}  \tag{27a}\\
& h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T=0}+(T=J)^{2} \frac{\left(c o t+\cot ^{2}\right)}{6 \sin }  \tag{27b}\\
& h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}=0+\frac{T^{2}}{9 J^{2}} ; \quad=1: \tag{27c}
\end{align*}
$$


 $[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ ) that the sign of the $T^{2}$-ooe cient is positive, so that the antiferrom agnetic correlations rst decrease w ith increasing tem perature.

In the $m$ assive case, for nite , the leading tem perature-dependent order $m$ ay be obtained by a saddle-point integration, very sim ilar to ${ }_{2} \underline{2} 9.1$. T hen

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =e_{0} A^{1=2} T^{3=2} e^{B=T} \\
A & =\frac{k^{0}}{2 J k^{2} K \sinh } \\
B & =J \underline{k^{0} K} \sinh
\end{aligned}
$$

w th the m oduli $k^{1=2}:=\#_{2}(0 ; i=)=\#_{3}(0 ; i=)$ and $\left(k^{0}\right)^{1=2}:=\#_{4}(0 ; i=)=\#_{3}(0 ; i=)$. It is now not di cult to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T=0}+C T^{1=2} e^{B=T} \\
& h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T=0}+D T^{1=2} e^{B=T} \\
& \left.C=A^{1=2} \frac{k^{0} K}{} \quad \text { ooth }+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{2}(n} 1=2\right) \quad>0 \\
& D=\frac{1}{2 J} A^{1=2} B \quad J C \text { cosh }<0:
\end{aligned}
$$

At rst sight, the last inequality is surprising: The antiferrom agnetic correlations of ${ }^{2}{ }_{j}^{\times} S_{j+1}^{\times} i_{T}$ increase with increasing tem perature. Since $\lim \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{T}!} \mathrm{hS}_{j}^{\times} S_{j+1}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}_{T}=0$, we expect a minimum at nite tem perature. This will be con $m$ ed in the next section. Intuitively, this result can be understood as follow s: At T $=0$, the Ising-like anisotropy leads to a $N$ eel-like ground state, which enhances the alignm ent of the spins along the $z$-direction. A $n$ initial increase of the tem perature reduces $h S_{j}^{z} S_{j+1}^{z} i_{T}$, so that quantum uctuations of the spins are favored, and $h S_{j}^{\times} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}$ increases. At high enough tem peratures the spins becom e uncorrelated, so that both correlation functions decay to zero.

As far as the low -tem perature behavior of correlation functions in the $m$ assive regim $e$ is concemed, we would like to note that using the saddle-point integration technique, one nds that

$$
h e_{1}{ }_{1}^{1}::: e_{m}{ }_{m}^{m} i_{T}=h e_{1}{ }_{1}^{1}::: e_{m}{ }_{m}^{m} i_{T}=0+C_{m} T^{1=2} e^{B=T}+O T^{3=2} e^{B=T} ; T e^{2 B=T} ;
$$

for arbitrary $m$, $w$ ith som e coe cient $C_{m}$.
 provide a useful test for the accuracy of our num erical integration schem efor $\mathrm{m}=2$, as
explained in m ore detail in the follow ing section. For m $>2$, simpli cations of (2, ) have not been perform ed yet for any nite tem peratures except at $=0$ and ! 1 , see below. $H$ ow ever, for $T=0$, where the integrand is known explicitly, the m ultiple integrals have been simpli ed considerably in the isotropic regim e 14 m ultiple integrals have been reduced to single integrals form 4 畒立, us to estim ate the num erical accuracy at $T=0$. In the other extrem e , at $\mathrm{T}=1$, the spins are uncorrelated so that in this lim iting case we also have explicit results which can be checked. N ote, how ever, that it is rather non-trivial to reproduce these high-tem perature results from the general form ula (2ָ). This was done only very recently by $T$ suboi and Shiroishi in [ī̄], where a high-tem perature expansion of $P(m)$ has been perform ed to high order in $1=T$ for the isotropic case. This is one further result which will serve as a reference point for estim ating the accuracy of our num erics for $m=3$.

The cases $=0$ (free ferm ions) and ! 1 such that $J=: c$ nite (Ising lim it) allow for substantial sim pli cations. D etails w ill be explained in a separate publication only give the results. For $=0$, that is $==2$, the convolutions in ( 9 that all functions are know $n$ explicitly for all tem peratures. Then

$$
P(m)=\operatorname{det}_{m}^{Z} \frac{d p}{2} \frac{e^{i(a b) p}}{1+\exp (J \operatorname{cosp} \quad h)} \quad ;
$$

in agreem ent $w$ ith ${ }_{1}^{2} \overline{0} \overline{1} 1$. In the other extrem $e$, the Ising lim it, the driving term and integration kemels becom e constant $(\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x})!1,(\mathrm{x})!1=(2))$ such that the auxiliary functions do not


$$
\begin{align*}
P(m) & =\frac{1+h^{z} i_{T}}{2} \frac{1+h^{2} i_{T}}{2}+\frac{1 h^{z} i_{T}}{2} \frac{2}{2}^{m}{ }^{m}  \tag{28}\\
{ }_{1 ; 2} & =e^{c=4} \cosh \frac{h}{2} \sinh ^{2} \frac{h}{2}+e^{c} \\
h^{c}{ }^{c} i_{T} & =q \frac{\sinh \frac{h}{2}}{\sinh ^{2} \frac{h}{2}+e^{c}}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. RESULT S

W e rst present results of the next-neighbor correlation functions, com paring data from the double integration w ith those from the single integration. Results for next-nearest neighbors w ill be given subsequently. C orrelation functions for longer distances can be evaluated in the sam e w ay, how ever, the num erical cost increases exponentially w ith the num ber of integrations. That is why for the tim e being in this feasibility study we do not go beyond $m=3$.

### 3.1. N earest neighbors

Figs. ${ }_{1} \overline{1}_{2}, \underline{1}_{1}^{-1}$, show P (2), hS ${ }_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}$, as calculated from the double integral, for $P$ (2) together w th the relative error $w$ th respect to the data obtained from the derivative of the free energy according to $(\overline{2} \bar{\sigma} \bar{a} \bar{q}),(\underline{2} \overline{6} \bar{d})$. $T$ he single integration results can be considered as exact; the nu$m$ erical error is less than 8 digits for all tem peratures. W e checked that the relative error for $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}$ vanishes at low tem peratures as for $P$ (2); at high tem peratures, the absolute error is about $10{ }^{4}$.

In both cases, the error increases $w$ ith increasing tem perature, reaching $m$ axim al values at T J near the isotropic point. G enerally, the error is considerably larger at high than at low tem peratures. T his is due to two reasons:

At nite tem perature, the auxiliary functions are given num erically from the solutions of the integralequations, whereas at $T=0$, they are know $n$ explicitly. The num erical iteration schem e necessarily induces errors due to the truncation and discretization of the integrals. T he additionalcontribution from poles in eq. $\cdot$ '(2-1 $\overline{4})$ increases $w$ ith increasing tem perature and causes an additional error in the integrations.

W e have veri ed that the error is reduced by decreasing the distance betw een tw o successive integration points. W e conclude that the errors are m ainly due to the truncation and discretization of the integrals and can bem ade arbitrarily sm all at the expense of increasing com putation tim e.
$C$ onsidering the low tem perature behavior of $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}$, one nds an in itial decrease from



F IG . 2: a) Em ptiness form ation probability P (2) for nearest neighbors; the upper curve corresponds to the XX lim it $=i=2$. The inset shows the $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-ooe cient at low tem peratures in the m assless
 The curve labeled by $\backslash=5$ Ising" show s the relative di erence between the $=5-v a l u e s$ of a) and the Ising lim it eq. (2 $\left.\overline{\mathbf{G}} \overline{-}^{\prime}\right)$.


FIG. 3: C orrelation function $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x}$ i for nearest neighbors; the lower curve corresponds to = i0: $8=2$. The inset show s the $\mathrm{T}^{2}$-coe cient at low tem peratures in the m assless case. Straight lines indicate the exact values according to eq. (2]
in the previous section. From g. one observes that in the Ising lim the maxim um of $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+1}^{x} i_{T}=0$ is located at $T_{0}=(J) \quad 0: 168$.

## 3.2. $N$ ext-N earest neighbors

W e calculated next-nearest neighbor correlation functions for the isotropic and the $m$ assive case. In the $m$ assless case, the auxiliary functions in (2) are m ultiplied by a kemelwhich, depending on the integration variables, can increase exponentially, so that the auxiliary functions have to be determ ined extrem ely accurately over the whole integration range. This problem is still controllable for nearest neighbors, but becom es severe for $m>2$. In the isotropic case, how ever, one deals w ith algebraic functions, which are m uch better to handle num erically. At
anisotropy param eter $>1$ the integration range is nite, so that those problem s do not occur.
In g.'A', we show the em ptiness form ation probability $P$ (3). In the isotropic case, we com pare our data w ith the high-tem perature expansion of data which were obtained in [1-ī]. The relative error of our data shown in $g$. $\bar{\sim}$ in g. $\mathbf{i n}_{1}$, which is due to the fact that the data in ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}$ ) were calculated by using only half of the num ber of integration points com pared to the $m=2$ case. W e checked at single tem perature values that the error is of the sam e order as in the $m=2$ case when the calculations are done w ith the sam e num erical accuracy. H ow ever, the com putational costs w ould be unreasonably high. At low est tem peratures, a com parison w ith [1] $2 \quad 10^{4}$.

As a second example, $h S_{j}^{\times} S_{j+2}^{\times} i_{T}$ is depicted in g. ' $\bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$. At lowest tem peratures we again com pare our data w th the explicit results of hī, 'ī At highest tem peratures, $h S_{j}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{S}_{j+2}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{T}}=0$. The absolute error here is of the order $10{ }^{3}$. As in the $m=2$-case we observe an increase of the antiferrom agnetic correlation $w$ ith increasing $T$,
 this behaviour, where $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j m+1}^{x} i_{T}=h S_{j}^{x} S_{j m+1}^{x} i_{T}=0$ is depicted for $m=2 ; 3$ and $>1$.

## 4. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

W e dem onstrated that an accurate num erical evaluation of the form ula ( $\overline{\text { 人 }}$ ) is possible by considering the special cases $m=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith 0 and $\mathrm{m}=3 \mathrm{w}$ th $\quad$. The num erical error was estim ated precisely by com paring w ith altemative approaches. W e have found that this error is only due to the discretization and, for $=i$, the truncation of the integrals. For $m=3$ in the $m$ assless case we encountered problem s hard to deal w th num erically. A lso the com putational costs of an extension of the num erics to $m>3$ becom e very high if one wants to $m$ aintain the accuracy. Thus, the next step should be to address the question of in how far the multiple integrals can be sim pli ed. H ere we would like to $m$ ention tw o approaches.

In [1] $\overline{1}]$ ] an high-tem perature expansion (HTE) has been applied to calculate P (m). The excellent agreem ent of these data with those obtained by num erically evaluating the multiple integrals directly (cf. g. ${ }_{2}{ }_{2}^{\prime 2}$ ), even at tem peratures below the crossover region highlights the
a)

b)


FIG.4: a) Em ptiness form ation probabillty $P$ (3) for next-nearest neighbors. Show $n$ are results from the 3-fold integral, from QMC [1]i] and high-tem perature expansion (HTE) com bined w ith Pade approxim ation [1] $\overline{1}]$ ]. b) R elative error of the data from the 3-fold integral $w$ th respect to the QM C and the H TE-data.


FIG. 5: The correlation function $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+2}^{x} i_{T}$ for $=0 ; 1$. N ote that at low tem peratures for $>1$, the correlation increases, before it starts to decrease to zero.
applicability of the H TE m ethod. On the other hand, in the works tī integrals have been reduced to single integrals at $T=0$. The case $m=2$ show $s$ that simpli-
 im plicitly by integral equations. Further progress $m$ ay be expected from extending the recent results [ chain to nite T. This issue is the sub ject of current research.
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FIG. 6: The correlation function $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+m}^{x} \quad i_{T}$ divided by its zero-tem perature value $h S_{j}^{x} S_{j+m}^{x} \quad i_{T}=0$ for $m=2 ; 3$ in the $m$ assive regim e $>1$. The dotted horizontal line gives the $T=0$-value 1 . $T$ he tem perature is norm alized $w$ ith respect to $J$.
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proof is available for the general case $\left.{ }^{-3} \overline{3} \overline{4}\right]$.
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