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#### Abstract

$M$ otivated by recent experim ents involving the non-destructive im aging ofm agnetization of a spin$1^{87} \mathrm{R}$ b B ose gas ( H igb ie et al, cond -m at/0502517), we address the question of how the spontaneous $m$ agnetization of a ferrom agnetic BEC occurs in a spin-conserving system. D ue to com petition betw een the ferrom agnetic interaction and the total spin conservation, various spin structures such as staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains, and helical and concentric ring structures are form ed, depending on the geom etry of the trapping potential.


PACS num bers: $03.75 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 03.75 \mathrm{Kk}, 03.75 . \mathrm{b}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

BoseEinstein condensates (BECs) of atom ic gases w ith spin degrees of freedom have revealed a ridh variety of static and dynam ic properties. T he rst spinor BEC w as realized in an optical trap by the M IT group (1] in a spin $-1{ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a BEC which was found to have the antiferrom agnetic ground state [2]. T he sam e group subsequently dem onstrated the form ation of spin dom ains [3] and quantum tunneling across the spin dom ains [4]. Spin exchange dynam ics were recently observed in both spin1 [5] and spin-2 [6, 7] ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ condensates. T he them odynam ic properties of spinor B ose gas were investigated in $\mathrm{Ref}$. [8].

In the above experim ents, the spinor BECs were observed by destructive absonption im aging after the StemG erlach spin decom position parallelto them agnetic eld, and therefore the observed quantity $w$ as the population in each $m$ agnetic sublevel. R ecently, $H$ igbie et al. [9] perform ed nondestructive im aging sensitive to the direction of the $m$ agnetization, where the probe axis $w$ as taken to be perpendicular to the $m$ agnetic eld. The observed quantity was therefore the $m$ agnetization perpendicular to the $m$ agnetic eld, and the Larm or precession $w$ as observed. H igbie et al. [g] suggested the possibility of the spontaneousm agnetization of a spin-1 ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ b BEC due to ferrom agnetic interactions. M otivated by that study, in the present paper, the spinordynam ics in a ferrom agnetic BEC are investigated in order to elucidate how spontaneousm agnetization of the ferrom agnetic spin-1 B EC Occurs in an isolated system in which the totalspin angular m om entum is conserved.

W e consider a spin-1 ferrom agnetic BEC at absolute zero and assum e that all atom $s$ in the BEC are initially prepared in the $m=0 \mathrm{~m}$ agnetic sublevel. Here m denotes the projection of the spin on the quantization axis, which we choose to be the $z$ axis. Because of the ferro$m$ agnetic interaction, we expect that the $m$ agnetization grow s spontaneously. H ow ever, globalm agnetization is prohibited because the totalm agnetization is conserved and should rem ain zero. W e nd that the system de-
velops local m agnetic dom ains of various types, which depend on the geom etry of the trapping potential. W e w ill show that an elongated BEC in the $\mathrm{m}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ agnetic sublevel is dynam ically unstable against the form ation of m agnetic dom ains, in which the directions of the spin in adjacent dom ains are opposed. This staggered magnetic dom ain structure is also dynam ically unstable, and subsequently develops into a helicalstructure due to the ferrom agnetic interaction. In a tight pancake-shaped BEC , on the other hand, a concentric ring structure of the spin is created.

This paper is organized as follow s. Section II brie y reviews the form ulation of the spin-1 BEC. Section III presents the results of our num erical sim ulations of the tim e evolution of trapped spinor system s. Section IV, discusses the physical origins of the structure form ation of spinor BECs found in Sec.III, and Sec. $V$ provides concluding rem arks.

## II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

The s-w ave scattering between two spin-1 bosons is characterized by the total spin of the two colliding bosons, 0 or 2 , and we denote the corresponding scattering lengths by $a_{0}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$. The $m$ ean- eld interaction energy can be w ritten as $d r\left[c_{0} n^{2}+c_{1} F^{2}\right]=2$, where the coe cients are given by [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{0}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~h}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}} \frac{\mathrm{a}_{0}+2 \mathrm{a}_{2}}{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{4 h^{2}}{M} \frac{a_{2} \quad a_{0}}{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $M$ being the $m$ ass of the boson. The system is ferrom agnetic if $\mathrm{C}_{1}<0$, and antiferrom agnetic if $\mathrm{C}_{1}>0$. $T$ he density of particles is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \mathfrak{j} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the com ponents of the spin vector are given by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=$ $\left(F_{+}+F\right)=2, F_{Y}=\left(F_{+} \quad F\right)=(2 i)$ ，and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{z}=j_{1} \jmath^{2} \quad j \quad{ }_{1} \jmath^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}=F \quad P^{P} \overline{2}(10+0 \quad 1) \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is the $m$ ean－eld wave function for the $m$ ag－ netic sublevels $m=1 ; 0$ ，and 1 ．Since $c_{1}$ is negative for the spin $-1{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ BEC，its ground state at a zero $m$ agnetic eld is ferrom agnetic［10，11］．
In the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld，the energy of an alkaliatom is shifted，prim arily due to the electron $m$ ag－ netic $m$ om ent．$W$ e take this e ect into account up to the second order in the $m$ agnetic eld．The linear and quadratic Zeem an energies of the hyper ne spin $f=1$ state are given by $p={ }_{B} B=2$ and $q={ }_{B}^{2} B{ }^{2}=\left(4 h_{f}\right)$ ， respectively，where $B$ is the $B$ ohrm agneton and $h_{f}$ is the hyper ne splitting［12］．In the case of the $f=1{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ $B E C$ ，we have $p=\left(k_{B} B\right)^{\prime} \quad 34 \quad K=G$ and $q=\left(k_{B} B^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ $3: 5 \mathrm{nK}=\mathrm{G}^{2}$ ．The multicom ponent G ross P itaevskii（G P ） equations are thus given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ih } \frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 M} r^{2}+V \quad 0+c_{0} n \quad 0 \\
& +\frac{C_{1}}{2}\left(F_{+1}+F \quad 1\right) ;  \tag{6a}\\
& \text { ih } \frac{@ \quad 1}{@ t}=\frac{h^{2}}{2 M} r^{2}+V \quad p+q \quad 1+c_{0} n \quad 1 \\
& +\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad \frac{1}{P_{2}} \mathrm{~F} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad 1 \quad: \tag{6b}
\end{align*}
$$

In the follow ing section，we w ill num erically solve the $G P$ equations（6a）and（6b）in order to exam ine how the spontaneous $m$ agnetization occurs under the restriction of the conservation of the total $m$ agnetization．W e will take the scattering lengths of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}, \mathrm{a}_{0}=101: 8 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2}=100: 4 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ，from $R$ ef．［13］，where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the B ohr radius． Follow ing the experim ents by H igbie et al．［0］，we rst prepare the ground state g to be in the $\mathrm{m}=1$ state by using the im aginary－tim e propagation $m$ ethod［14］． The spin－polarized condensate is then transferred into the $m=0$ state as an initial state．In order to sim ulate experim ental im perfections in this population transfer， we assum e very m all populations in the $\mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{com}-$ ponent（ $\left.{ }_{1}(t=0)=0: 01 \mathrm{~g}\right)$ in the initial state．$T$ hus， the in itial state is a state of broken sym $m$ etry $w$ ith very sm all m agnetizations in the x and z directions，which trigger the spontaneousm agnetization．If $1_{1}(t=0)$ are exactly zero，the system develops no spontaneousm agne－ tization $w$ thin the fram ew ork of the $m$ ean eld approx－ im ation．The tim e evolution of the system is obtained by num erically solving the G P equations（6）by the nite di erence $m$ ethod w the the rank $N$ icholson schem $e$ ．

W e apply the m agnetic eld of 54 m G along the trap axis，i．e．，in the $z$ direction［0］，which induces the Larm or
precession of the spin in the $x-y$ plane at a frequency of $\mathrm{p}=(2 \mathrm{~h})$＇ 38 kHz ．Since this frequency is much larger than the characteristic frequencies of the system＇s dy－ nam ics，we set p $=0$ in the calculation to avoid the rapid oscillations．W e note that this procedure does not alter any of the dynam ics of the system other than elim inating the Larm or precession of the spin around the $z$ axis．

III．SP $\mathbb{N}$ D YNAM ICS IN TRAPPED SYSTEM S

A．Spin dynam ics in a cigar－shaped trap

W ew ill rst num erically sim ulate the experim entalsit－ uation of $R$ ef．［9］．A though the trap frequencies in the experim ent are $\left(!_{x} ;!_{y} ;!_{z}\right)=2(150 ; 400 ; 4) \mathrm{Hz}$ ，we as－ sum $e$ an axisym $m$ etric trap $w$ ith the radial frequency！？ taken to be equal to the geom etric $m$ ean ！？$=P \frac{l_{x}}{!_{\mathrm{x}}}$ in order to save the com putational task．The num ber of atom $s$ is assum ed to be $N=4 \quad 10^{6}$ ．

F igure 1 show s the tim evolution of the $\mathrm{m}=0$ pop－ ulation（ $F$ ig． 1 （a））and that of the colum $n$ density of each spin component（ $F$ ig． 1 （b））．The $m=0$ popula－ tion rst decreases due to the spin－exchange interaction $0+0!1+(1)$ ．It should be noted that the cen－ tral part of the condensate predom inantly converts to the $m=1$ state（ $F$ ig．$⿴ 囗 十$（b），！？$t=300$ ）because the spin－exchange interaction proceeds faster for higher den－ sity．This phenom enon was observed in Ref．［7］．The $m=1$ components then swing back to the $m=0$ state，and then the dynam ical（m odulational）instability sets in at！？$t^{\prime}$ 460．The tim e evolution of the $m=0$ population in F ig（a） m arks the onset of the dynam ical instability when the large－am plitude oscillation changes to a sm all－am plitude oscillation at ！？t 500．A fter ！？t 700，irregular spin dom ains appear，as shown in the bottom panelin Fig．（b），and each spin com ponent as a whole is alm ost equally populated．H ere，the dom ain structure is not static but changes in tim e in a com plex $m$ anner．$W$ e note that the total density $n$（the top im－ age in each set in $F$ ig．（b））rem ains alm ost unchanged， despite the dynam ic evolution of each spin com ponent． $T$ his is due to the fact that the spin－independent inter－ action energy，$c_{0} n=k_{B} \quad 100 \mathrm{nK}$ ，is $m$ uch larger than the spin－dependent interaction energy， $\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 1 \mathrm{nK}$ ．

The time evolutions and spatial dependences of the three com ponents of the spin vector are show $n$ in $F$ ig． 2 ， where the Larm or precession at 38 kHz is elim inated to avoid the rapid oscillations in the gure．The tim e evo－ lution of eash com ponents of the total spin per atom $F^{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{drF}=\mathrm{N}$ is shown in $F$ ig． 2 （a）．The ini－ tial state is taken to have a small $\mathrm{m}=1$ com ponent （ $1=0: 01 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}$ being the $\mathrm{m}=1$ ground－state w ave function），so that the initial values of the spin are given by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{tot}}=\mathrm{N}, 0: 014, \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{N}=0$ ，and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{tot}}=\mathrm{N}$ ， $10{ }^{4}$ ． The x component rst increases to 0：16 and then de－ creases．D ue to the quadratic Zeem an e ect，the $x$ and $y$ com ponents of the total spin are not conserved，but the


FIG.1: (a) Tim e erolution of the relative population of the $m=0$ component, $\quad d r j$ of $=N$, and (b) the colum $n$ density distribution of the totaldensity, $d y R_{R^{n}}^{1}=1 j \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{2}$, and those of the $m=1,0$, and 1 components, $d y j m$ from top to bottom. The trap frequencies are !? $=2 \quad 245 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $!z=24 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Themagnetic eld of 54 mG is applied in the $\frac{\mathrm{z} \text { direction. The in itial state is given by } 1=0,0=}{10^{4}}$, and $1=0: 01$ where is the $\mathrm{m}={ }^{1}$ ground state. The size of each strip is 60016 in units of $(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{m}!\text { ? })^{1=2}$, 0:69 m.
$z$ com ponent is conserved due to the axisym $m$ etry of the H am iltonian.

F igure 2 (b) show s each com ponent of the nom alized spin density $F=n$, which corresponds to the $m$ ean spin vector per atom. Only the x com ponent is shown for !?t 580 since the $y$ and $z$ com ponents are negligibly sm all. Here, we can clearly see that the staggered spin dom ain structure is form ed along the z direction at !? t' 500, due to the dynam ical instability. The form ation of spin dom ains $w$ ith staggered $m$ agnetization originates from the conservation of the totalm agnetization. Since $m$ agnetization of the entire condensate in the sam $e$ direction is prohibited due to spin conservation, spontaneous m agnetization can occur only locally at the cost of the kinetic energy of the dom ain walls. A $s m$ entioned above, the projected total spin on the $x-y$ plane is not,
(a)

(b)


FIG.2: (a) Time evolution of the three com ponents of the total sp in per atom, $\mathrm{F}^{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{drF}=\mathrm{N}$, and (b) the radial and axial distributions of the three com ponents of the $m$ ean spin vector, $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{n}$. T he conditions are the sam e as those given in Fig . 1 , and the data are taken on the rotating fram e of reference, in which the 38 kHz Larm or precession in the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ plane is elim inated for the sake of clarity. Each strip in (b) indicates the radial (ordinate) and axial (abscissa) distribution, where the bottom left comer is the origin ( $r=z=0$ ). From $t=440$ to 580 , only the $F_{x}=n$ distributions are show $n$ at every !? $t=10$, because the other com ponents are negligibly sm all. A fter ! $t=600, F_{x}=n, F_{y}=n$, and $F_{z}=n$ are shown from top to bottom. The size of each strip is $300 \quad 6$ in units of $(h=m!?)^{1=2}$.
strictly speaking, conserved. H ow ever, since the $m$ agnetic eld is very weak ( $B=54 \mathrm{mG}$ ), the nonconserving spin com ponents rem ain insigni cant (see Fig. $\mathrm{Z}^{(a)}$ ).
$T$ he dom ains are rst created around the center of the BEC with $\dot{k} j<60 \mathrm{~m}$, since the dynam ical instability proceeds faster in a higher-density region; afterw ard, the dom ains gradually extend over the entire condensate. In each dom ain, the length of the $m$ ean spin vector is of order of unity, and the ferrom agnetic state is thus form ed locally. At!? t' 600, the $y$ and $z$ com ponents start to grow, and the spin dom ains evolve in a com plex $m$ anner. $T$ he fact that the grow th occurs rst in the $x$ com ponent and then in the other com ponents is due to the initial condition, in which sm all magnetization is assum ed in


FIG.3: Them ean spin vectors $F=n$ at $r=0$ seen from the $Y$ direction, where the vertical ax is is the $z$ axis. T he conditions are the sam e as those given in Fig .1. T he length of the spin vector is proportionalto $F=\mathrm{n}$, and the color represents $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{n}$ according to the gauge shown at the top left comer. T he spin vector is displayed from $z=0$ to $z=54 \mathrm{~m}$ in (a)-(c), and from $z=35 \mathrm{~m}$ to $\mathrm{z}=89 \mathrm{~m}$ in (d). The Larm or precession in the $x-y$ plane is elim inated for clarity of presentation.
the $x$ direction.
The $z$ dependence of the $m$ ean spin vectors $F=n$ at $r=0$ is shown in $F$ ig. 3. The staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains grow from ! ? $t=440$ to 520 (see Fig. 3 (a) to (c)). The spin vectors in the dom ains in (c) slightly incline in the $z$ direction due to the $s m$ all initial value of $F_{z}$. Figure 3 (d) show s a snapshot at an early stage of the grow th of the $y$ com ponent. T he grow ing $y$ com ponent also has a staggered structure, which is shifted from that of the $x$ com ponent by one quarter of the $w$ avelength. As a consequence, the spin vectors rotate clockw ise or counterclockw ise around the $z$ axis, thereby form ing a helical structure, as shown in Fig.3 (d). Fragm ented helical structures having typically one or two cycles of a helix are also form ed in the course of the dynam ics after ! ? t 700, but the lifetim e of these structures is very short, i.e., < 10 s . In contrast, the helical struc-
ture observed in Ref. [G] is not spontaneously form ed, but \forced" by an extemalm agnetic eld.

T he transverse spin distribution, e.g., $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$, can be observed by the nondestructive im aging $m$ ethod in $R$ ef. [9]. $T$ he staggered dom ain structure in $F$ ig. 3 (c) should then look like com m ensurate soliton trains, since the optical thickness is high in the red area w ith a large $F_{x}$. The red and blue areas altemately blink because of the Lar$m$ or precession. The im age of the helicalstructure $m$ oves along the $z$ axis due to the Larm or precession as observed in Ref. [9].
B. Spin dynam ics in a pancake-shaped trap

N ext, we w ill exam ine the case of a pancake-shaped trap, in which the axial frequency $!_{z}$ is m uch larger than both the radial frequency ! ? and the characteristic frequency of the spin dynam ics. In this case, the spin dynam ics occur prim arily in the $x-y$ plane, in contrast to the case of the cigar-shaped trap. W e therefore assum e that the system can be reduced to two dim ensions (2D ) in the $x-y$ plane. $T$ hee ective strength of the interaction in the quasi-2D system is obtained by m ultiplying $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ by $\left(8 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{!} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{h}\right)^{1=2}$ [15].
$F$ igure 4 show $s$ the snapshots of the total density and the $x, y, z$ com ponents of the $m$ ean spin vector $F=n$, where ! ? $=!_{z}=20=2 \quad 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, the num ber of atom s is $210^{6}$, and the strength of the m agnetif eld is 54 m G . The initial state is taken to be $0=\frac{1(0: 01)^{2}}{} \mathrm{~g}$,
$1=0: 01 \mathrm{~g}$, and $1=0$, where g is the ground state of the $m=1$ state obtained by the im aginary-tim e $m$ ethod. W e then $m$ ultiply the initial $w$ qve function by a sm allanisotropic pertunbation $1+0: 001 \sum_{j=1}^{10} r^{j} e^{r^{2}+i j}$ in order to sim ulate experim entalim perfection in preparing the ground state, where $r=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1=2}$ and is the azim uthal angle. D espite this anisotropic perturbation, the concentric ring structure of the spin (Fig. 4, $!? t=26$ ) arises from the dynam ical instability, the origin ofw hich is the com petition betw een the ferrom agnetic interaction and the spin conservation. T he spatialdistribution of the directions of the spin vector at ! ? $t=26$ is ilhistrated at the bottom of Fig.4. The spin vector rotates around the $z$ axis at the Larm or precession frequency, and hence the spin texture displayed as the red and blue rings should be observed altemately in tim e by the im aging $m$ ethod in $R$ ef. [g]. T he axisym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken at ! ? t' 50 and the $y$ and $z$ com ponents of the spin vector also grow. A fter these events occur, the axisym $m$ etry is com pletely lost and com plicated spin dynam ics em erge as shown in the fth row (! ? $t=100$ ) of F ig.4. Throughout this tim e evolution, the total density rem ains alm ost unchanged, as in the case of the cigar-shaped geom etry.


FIG. 4: The total density and the three com ponents of the $m$ ean spin vector $F=n$ of the two-dim ensional system (from left to right panel), where the abscissa and ordinate refer to the $x$ and $y$ coordinates in real space. The size of each im age is 4848 in units of $(h=m!?)^{1=2}$. The color for the $m$ ean spin vector refers to the gauge. T he bottom panel illustrates the direction of the spin at ! ? $t=26$, where the color represents $F_{x}=n$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3. The Larm or precession in the $x-y$ plane is elim inated.

## IV. STRUCTURE FORMATION OF SPINOR CONDENSATES

A. Staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains

In order to gain a better understanding of the $m$ echanism of the form ation of the staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains shown in Sec. IIT, we perform a B ogoliubov analysis of our system . For sim plicity, we assum e a hom ogeneous system $w$ ith a density of $n_{0}$, and set the $w$ ave function as

w here the three com ponents refer respectively to the am plitudes of the $m=1,0$, and 1 com ponents. Substituting this into Eqs. (6a) and (6b) and keeping only the linear term sw ith respect to , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ih } \frac{@ 0}{@ t}= & \frac{h^{2}}{2 M} r^{2} 0+c_{0} n_{0}(0+0) ;  \tag{7a}\\
\text { ih } \frac{@ 1}{@ t}= & \frac{h^{2}}{2 M} r^{2} p+q \quad 1 \\
& +c_{1} n_{0}\left(1+1_{1}\right): \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

W e can solve these equations by expanding as

$$
(r ; t)=\begin{array}{llll}
X & u_{k} e^{i(k} r & r & k t)  \tag{8}\\
& v_{k} e^{i(k} r & r & k t)
\end{array}:
$$

Equation (7a) has the sam e form as the equation $w$ thout the $m$ agnetic eld, and the eigenenergy is given by 10, 16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{k}}+2 \mathrm{c}_{0} \mathrm{n}_{0}\right)} \text {; } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $"_{k} \quad h^{2} k^{2}=(2 M)$. The corresponding B ogoliubov eigenvectors $u_{k}^{0}$ and $v_{k}^{0}$ are both proportionalto $(0 ; 1 ; 0)$, which describe the density modulation in the $\mathrm{m}=0$ com ponent. It follow s from Eq. (9) that if $c_{0}<0$, the eigen frequency $!{ }_{k}^{0}$ becom es pure im aginary for long $w$ avelengths, and the system collapses.

Equation 7.b has tw o eigenenergies

$$
\begin{equation*}
h!_{k}=p+p \overline{\left("_{k}+q\right)\left("_{k}+q+2 c_{1} n_{0}\right)} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding B ogoliubov eigenvectors take the form $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} /(1 ; 0 ; 0) ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} /(0 ; 0 ; 1) \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{k}} /(0 ; 0 ; 1) ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} /(1 ; 0 ; 0): \tag{11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hese tw o m odes describe the spin waves that have spin angular $m$ om enta $h$, and the energies are shifted by the linear Zeem an energies $p$. T he quadratic Zeem an term only shifts the single-particle energy from $"_{k}$ to $"_{k}+$ q. From Eq. (10), we nd that the eigenfrequencies $!_{k}$ becom e pure im aginary if $q<0$ or $2 \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{0}+\mathrm{q}<0$. Since $C_{1}<0$ and $q>0$ for the case $w$ ith the spin $-1^{87}$ Rb BEC , the dynam ical instabilities in the spin wave occur in a high-density region. In such a case, the m odes becom e m ost unstable when the im aginary part of $!_{k}$ becom es $m$ axim al, i.e., at $w$ ave vectors that $m$ eet the follow ing requirem ent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{k}=\max \left(0 ; \quad c_{1} n_{0} \quad q\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he eigenvectors (11a) and (11b) havem = 1 com ponents, and the coherent excitation of these $m$ odes arises nonzero $m$ agnetization in the $x-y$ plane. Even in nites imal in itial populations in the $\mathrm{m}=1$ components
cause exponential grow th if the eigen frequency is com plex, leading to spontaneous m agnetization. H ow ever, if the quadratic Zeem an term is absent (i.e., $q=0$ ), the pro jected angularm om entum on the $x-y$ Rlane $\left[\left(F_{x}^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\left(F_{\mathrm{y}}^{\text {tot }}\right)^{2}\right]^{1=2}$, as wellas $F_{z}^{\text {tot }}$, where $F$ tot $\quad \mathrm{drF}$, m ust be conserved, since the linear Zeem an e ect $m$ erely rotates the spin and the other term $s$ in the $H$ am iltonian are rotation invariant. In fact, when $\mathrm{q}=0$, the im aginary part of Eq. (10) vanishes for $k=0$, which re ects the fact that the uniform m agnetization of the entire condensate is prohibited. Even in this case, localm agnetization is possible, as long as the total angular $m$ om entum is conserved, which leads to the structure form ation of the spin. $T$ hus, the structure form ation of the spin reconciles the spontaneous $m$ agnetization $w$ ith the spin conservation. This is a physical account of why the $k=0$ modes in Eq. (10) becom e dynam ically unstable.

From Eq. (12), we nd that the $m$ ost unstable wavelength in the situation shown in $F$ ig. 2 is given by (see also Refs. [17, 18, 19])

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{k}{2}=p \frac{2 \mathrm{~h}}{\left.2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{(c}_{1} n_{0}+q\right)}, 11 \mathrm{~m}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Fig. (b), we can see that the size of the staggered dom ains around $z \quad 0$ is roughly equal to 13 m , which is in reasonable agreem ent w ith Eq. (13). T he size increases with $\dot{k} j$ since the density decreases with $\dot{k} j$. $T$ he wavelength (13) is also consistent $w$ ith the interval betw een the concentric rings' 20 m shown in F ig. 4 .

We note that the spin dom ains observed in Ref. [3] $m$ ay also be regarded as a consequence of structure for$m$ ation due to spin conservation. In $R$ ef. [B], the spin-1 ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$ BEC is prepared at a $1: 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ixture of the $\mathrm{m}=0$ and $P_{\overline{3}}=1$ states; then the length of the initial spin vector is
$\overline{3}=2$. D ue to the antiferrom agnetic interaction, the spin vector tends to vanish, whereas the $z$ com ponent of the total spin $m$ ust be conserved. (T he $x$ and $y$ com ponents need not be conserved because of the presence of $m$ agnetic eld in the $z$ direction.) In the cigar-shaped trap of $R$ ef. [3], the system thus responds to form staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains. In fact, the $z$ com ponent of the total spin is conserved, whereas the average length of the spin vector decreases from $\overline{3}=2$ to $1=2$ by the dom ain form ation.

## B . H elical structu re

The spin vector of the helicalstructure in $F$ ig . 3 (d) can be w ritten as ( $e^{i z} ; \overline{2} ; e^{i z}$ ) $=2$, where $2=$ is a pitch of the helix. The torsion energy per atom is then given by $h^{2} \quad 2=(4 \mathrm{~m})$, and the ferrom agnetic-interaction energy is given by $c_{1} n=2$. In order to com pare the energy of the helical structure w th that of the staggered dom ain structure, we assum e that the spin vector $\rho_{f}$ the latter varies in space as $\left(\sin \frac{z}{2} ; \overline{2} \cos \frac{z}{2} ; \sin \frac{z}{2}\right)=\overline{2}$. T he kinetic and ferrom agnetic-interaction energies of this state
becom e $h^{2}{ }^{2}=(8 \mathrm{~m})$ and $c_{1} n=4$, respectively. Therefore, the helical structure is energetically $m$ ore favorable than the dom ain structure when $\dot{\mathcal{c}}_{1} \mathrm{nj}>\mathrm{h}^{2}{ }^{2}=(2 \mathrm{~m})$. In the present case, $\mathrm{h}^{2}{ }^{2}=\left(2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \quad 0: 2 \mathrm{nK}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 1$ $n K$, and hence the change from the dom ain structure to the helical structure is favored. Since the energy is conserved in the present situation, the excess energy associated w th this structure change is considered to be used to excite the helical state. T hus the helix in Fig. 3 (d) should be regarded as being in an excited state. This explains why the helical structure appears only transiently in the present situation. If the excess energy can be dissipated by som em eans, the helical structure is expected to have a longer lifetim e.

In solid state physics, there are tw o types ofm agnetic dom ain walls: the B loch wall and the N eel wall. At the $B$ loch wallspin ip occurs by tracing a helix, w hile at the $N$ eelwall the spin ip occurs in a plane [20]. H ow ever, the dom ain walls in $F$ ig. 3 (c) are categorized as neither type of wall, since the spin vector vanishes in the $m$ iddle of the wall. In the situation given in Fig 3, the form ation of the staggered $m$ agnetic dom ains in the $x$ direction is follow ed by the grow th of the $y$ com ponent, leading to the form ation of the helical structure. T he helical structure $m$ ay therefore be regarded as the form ation ofB loch walls. O $n$ the other hand, $N$ eelw alls $m$ ay also be form ed, depending on the initial conditions.
C. M agnetic eld dependence

The above calculations were carried out for the m agnetic eld of $B=54 \mathrm{mG}$, which gives $q=\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{1} \mathrm{nj} \quad 0: 01$, so the e ect of the $m$ agnetic eld was very small. To investigate the $m$ agnetic eld dependence of the structure form ation of the spin, we perform ed num erical sim ulations for various strengths of the $m$ agnetic eld. The spin dom ain structure for $B=0$ is qualitatively sim ilar to that for $B=54 \mathrm{~m}$ G. H ow ever, the initial, large-scale spin exchange shown in $F$ ig. 1 (b) $(!$ ? $t=300)$ is $a b-$ sent in the case of $B=0$, since the im aginary part of $h!{ }_{k}$ in Eq. (10) for long wavelengths,' ( $\left.q \dot{q}^{\prime}+2 \mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{n}\right)^{1=2}$, vanishes $w$ hen $q=0$. The im aginary part for long wavelengths increases $w$ ith the strength of the $m$ agnetic eld for $B<500 \mathrm{mG}$. In fact, when $B=200 \mathrm{mG}$, which gives $q=\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{1} \mathrm{nj} \quad 0: 1$, the initial spin exchange is enhanced com pared w th the case when $B=54 \mathrm{~m}$ G. For $B=200$ $\mathrm{m} G$, the size of the spin dom ain becom es larger, in agreem ent w th the fact that Eq. (13) gives , $16 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{W}$ hen $B=1 \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\dot{j}_{1}} \mathrm{nj}{ }^{\prime} 4\right)$, the eigenfrequencies $!_{k}$ are always real, and no spin dynam ics occur. This is because for large $B$, the $m=0$ state becom es the ground state in the subspace of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\text {tot }}=0$, due to the quadratic Zeem an e ect.
(a) $\omega_{\perp} \mathrm{t}=600$

(b) $\omega_{\perp} t=23$


FIG.5: The three com ponents of the $m$ ean spin vector $F_{x}=n$, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{n}$ (from top to bottom panel in (a) and from left to right panel in (b)) for the in itial state $1_{1}=\overline{1+0: 01} \mathrm{~g}$, $0=0$, and $1=\overline{1 \quad 0: 01} \mathrm{~g}$, where g is the $\mathrm{m}=1$ ground state. T he other conditions are the sam e as those in Fig. 2 for (a) and in Fig .4 for (b).

D . In itial cond ition s

W e have investigated the structure form ation of the spin for several other initial states. For exam ple, Fig.5 shows the structure form ation obtained for the initial state $1=P \overline{1+0: 01} \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0=0$, and $1=$ $\overline{10: 01} \mathrm{~g}$, where g is the $\mathrm{m}=1$ ground state. Spontaneous $m$ agnetization from this initial state also proceeds w th the form ation of the staggered dom ain structure for the cigar-shaped geom etry, and the concentric ring structure for the pancake-shaped geom etry. $T$ hem agnetization occurs in the $z$ direction, since the initialspin has a sm allz com ponent. Thus, we can conclude that the spatialspin structure form ed in the spontaneous $m$ agnetization is essentially determ ined by the geom etry of the system and does not depend on the initial state.
V. CONCLUSIONS

W e have studied the spontaneous localm agnetization of the ferrom agnetic spin-1 condensate that is initially
prepared in the $m=0$ state and subject to spin conservation. An initial in nitesim alm agnetization of one com ponent gives rise to the exponential grow th of that com ponent due to the dynam ical instabilities. A s a consequence, the staggered spin dom ain structure is form ed along the trap axis in the case of an elongated cigarshaped trap, where the local m ean spin vector undergoes the Larm or precession in the presence of a $m$ agnetic
eld. T he size of the dom ain structure agrees $w$ ith that obtained by B ogoliubov analysis (Eq. (13)). T he helical structures also appear transiently. In the case of a tight pancake-shaped trap, a concentric ring structure is form ed.

T he underlying physics of the structure form ation in the $m$ agnetization is the com petition betw een the ferrom agnetic spin correlation and the conservation of the totalm agnetization. T hem agnetization ofthe entire system in the sam e direction is prohibited. A s a consequence of the com petition, $m$ agnetization occurs only locally, resulting in spatial structures. In solid state physics, in contrast, the $m$ agnetization of an entire system is possible because nether the energy nor the angular mom entum is conserved, due to the interaction of the system w ith its environm ent.

In this paper, w e have considered only elongated cigarshaped geom etry and tight pancake-shaped geom etry. In other system s, such as the isotropic system and the rotating system w ith vortices, other intriguing spin structures or spin textures are expected to em erge in a spontaneous $m$ anner, because these system $s$ involve dynam ical instabilities of di erent sym m etries. W e plan to report the results of these cases in a future publication.
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