C uprate interband m odel and doping dependence of the coherence length

N Kristo el, ^{a,b,1} T Ord, ^b P Rubin^a

^aInstitute of Physics, University of Tartu, Riia 142, 51014 Tartu, Estonia

^b Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Tartu, Tahe 4, 51010 Tartu, Estonia

Abstract

The free energy expansion of a two-band pair-transfer superconductor is developed. A critical in temperature and a noncritical coherence length appear. The e ective in-plane $_{ab}$, H_{c2}^{c} $_{ab}^{2}$ and the therm odynam ic critical magnetic eld (H_{c0}) on the whole hole doping (p) scale are calculated for a "typical" cuprate. A doping-prepared bare spectrum with norm all state gaps quenched by doping has been used. The coherence length $_{ab}$ falls with p rst rapidly. A moderate enhancement starts when the "cold" defect band overlap with the valence band is reached. At overdoping $_{ab}$ rises markedly. The H_{c2}^{c} curve shows a maximum before T_{cmax} . The bell-like H_{c0} -curve follows closely T_c , superconducting gaps, and super uid density. The theoretical $_{ab}$ (p) nonmonotonic curve on the whole doping scale agrees with a recent experimental nding. PACS: 74.20,-z; 74.72,-h

Keywords: Cuprates; Coherence length; Two-bandmodel

1 Introduction

Two-band superconductivity with the interband pairing channel [1,2] is known for a long time. It is seen ingly the most elective mechanism which is able to serve high transition temperatures (T_c) in a simple way. The interest for this mechanism and its applications has recently essentially grown in connection with the now recognized two-gap superconductivity, e.g. [3-5], of magnesium diboride. Various two-band approaches with diverse electron spectra have been applied also for cuprates (e.g. introducting reviews [6,7]). Wide experimental data on cuprate energetic characteristics (T_c , superconducting-, normal state- and pseudogaps)

¹ Corresponding author: Tel: + 372 742 8164; fax: + 372 738 3033; E-m ailaddress: kolja@.tartu.æ

and their interrelations have been ordered quite recently. D at acharacterizing the coherence properties are far from being complete. C uprate superconductivity mechanism itself remains debatable.

In papers [8–11] a simple, partly postulative model which uses only very general know ledge on cuprates has been proposed. The electron spectrum of a doped cuprate incorporates in this model the valence band and hole-created defect states ("hot" and "cold" subbands [11]) with bare norm all state gaps between them. These gaps become equenched on progressive doping. O verlap dynam ics of bands appears as a novel source of critical doping concentrations. The leading pairing interaction is supposed to be the pair-transfer between the itinerant and defect subsystem states. The nature of the minimal quasiparticle excitation energy changes on doping. Thism odel is able to describe the observed behaviour of cuprate energetic characteristics on the doping scale. The pseudogap (s) are of "extrinsic" occurrence and transform as precursors on the doping scale (not the energetic scale) to superconducting gaps. P seudogaps survive as norm all state gaps. These latter do not manifest in the superconducting density [12] because of interband nature of the pairing. Super uid density follows the trends shown by T_c and superconducting gaps with expressed maximum near the optim all doping. The strength of the pairing and the phase coherence develop and vanish simultaneously as recent experimental notings [13–18] stress.

Experimental data on cuprate characteristics rejecting the coherence properties (coherence lengths and critical magnetic elds) are given usually for dispersive dopings and temperatures (e.g. [6,19]). The behaviour for extended dopings have been obtained in few cases, and a common trend has not been formulated. For YBa₂Cu₃O_y as a general trend the decrease of the in-plane coherence length $_{ab}(0)$ with increased doping has been found until the T_c maximum is reached [20]. It manifests also in H $_{c2}^{c}$ enhancement with y. For La_{2 x} Sr_xCuO₄ the c-axis coherence length amplitude diminishes slow ly with x and falls then to a remarkably smaller nearly constant value on overdoping [21]. On the contrary, for the Bibased systems the opposite behaviour has been found in [22,23]. The pair coherence length rises here with doping in a wide region. The corresponding H $_{c2}$ falls o . The authors of [22,23] stress the pseudogap to represent the amplitude of the pairing strength. This is not the case in our model [8-12]. The antiferrom agnetic coherence length representing the average distance between the doped holes falls o with enhanced doping as p¹⁼² [24].

However, in the recent investigation [25] based on the vortex core size measurements, seem – ingly for the rst time, the doping dependence of the cuprate coherence length on the whole doping scale has been obtained from the low temperature data.

In the present communication we apply the model of [11,12] to investigate the in-plane coherence length am plitude at zero tem perature $_{ab}(0) = _{0}$ and the corresponding H $_{c2}^{c}$, and also the therm odynam ic critical eld H $_{c}(0)$, on the full doping scale for a "typical" cuprate. Q ualitative agreem ent with the valley-pro le type curve recently found in [25] is obtained.

W e start with the derivation of the long-wavelength expansion of the free energy for a twoband superconductor with leading pair-transfer interaction. 2 The free energy

We describe the superconducting system under consideration by the Hamilton operators separation as

$$H = H_1 + H_2; \quad H_1 = H_0 + H; \quad H_2 = H + H_i$$
(1)

with

$$H_{0} = \sum_{ks}^{X} \sim (k) a_{ks}^{\dagger} a_{ks} ; \qquad (2)$$

$$H_{i} = 2W \sum_{\substack{x^{\circ} \times x^{\circ} \\ x^{\circ} \times x^{\circ}}}^{x^{\circ} \times x^{\circ}} a_{k^{*}}^{*} a_{k^{*} q^{*}}^{*} a_{i^{\circ} \times x^{\circ}}^{*} a_{i^{\circ} \times$$

$$H = 2W \int_{Kq}^{X} (a_{R}^{\dagger}a_{K}^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} + hc:) : \qquad (4)$$

Here ~ = , is the chemical potential, = 1;2 is the electron subsystem index, s is the spin index, W is the interband pair-transfer interaction constant, q stands for the total momentum of an electron pair. Correspondingly the superconducting order parameters q can express spatially inhom ogeneous uctuations.

.... ..

The mean-eld free energy of H reads

$$F = k_{\rm B} T + \ln Z (H_1) + h H_2 i_{\rm H_1};$$
 (5)

$$Z (H_1) = Spexp \quad \frac{H_1}{k_B T}$$
;

and $h:::i_{H_1}$ m eans the average in respect of H_1 . Near the phase transition temperature (5) will be expanded as

$$F = F_0 + F_2 + F_4 ; (6)$$

where $F_0 = k_B T \ln Z (H_0)$, $F_2 ^2$, $F_4 ^4$. For the long-wave uctuations of , F_4 can be taken as in the case of q = 0 [26]. Application of the unitary transformation $U = \exp(iS)$ with

$$S = 2W i \int_{kq}^{2} \frac{a_{k''}^{*} a^{+}_{*} a^{+}_{*}}{\sim (k) + \sim (k + q)} q + hc.5$$
(7)

enables to calculate the term s in (5) of the necessary order. One ends with the expression

$$F_{2} = \int_{M} \int_{q}^{X} \int_{q}^{X} (T) j_{q} f^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{W}{2} I_{q} (T) I_{2q} (T) I_{q 2q} + I_{q 2q} ; \qquad (8)$$

where $w = \operatorname{sgn}(W)$ and

$${}_{q}(T) = 2 \mathfrak{M} \hspace{0.1cm} j^{X} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{h}{\sim} (\mathfrak{K}) + \sim (\mathfrak{K} \hspace{0.1cm} q)^{i_{1}}$$

$${}_{2} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{\mathfrak{K}}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{4}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{\mathfrak{K}}{2k_{\mathrm{B}} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathrm{T}} + \hspace{0.1cm} \underset{k}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{\mathfrak{K}}{2k_{\mathrm{B}} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathrm{T}} \hspace{0.1cm} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \hspace{0} \overset{3}{\operatorname{th}} \overset{3}{\operatorname{$$

3 Expansions and equilibrium equations

The quantity (9) will be expressed near $T = T_c$ (= $(T - T_c)T_c^{-1}$) and q = 0 as

$$q(T) = 0(c) \qquad \begin{array}{c} X \\ B_{ij}q_iq_j; \\ i;j \end{array}$$
(10)

where ($_{\rm c}$ = $k_{\rm B}\,T_{\rm c})$

$$= \frac{j_{\rm N}}{c} j_{\rm K}^{\rm X} - ch^2 \frac{\sim (k)}{2} c \qquad (11)$$

and B is given by a complicated formula for which an approximate expression will be given later. The linear terms in (10) are absent in connection with the approximation

$$(k) = E_{0} \qquad \frac{X}{i} \frac{h^{2}k_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}}$$
(12)

for the "band" energies with $m_i > 0$.

The superconducting phase transition tem perature T_c is determined by the equation [7]

$$_{1 2} = 4$$
 (13)

with $_0$ ($_c$) = .

The integration in the momentum space is supposed to be performed from $_0$ to $_c$ for an electron band and from $_c$ to $_0$ for a hole band. For constant densities of states ()

having in m ind CuO_2 planes and supposing that j j> c, one obtains in the case where lies inside of the integration lim its

$$= 2\mathbf{j}\mathbf{W} \mathbf{j} \ln \mathbf{j}_0 \quad \mathbf{j}_c \quad \mathbf{j} \frac{2}{c}^2 \mathbf{j} \qquad (14)$$

$${}^{X}_{i;j} {}^{B}_{ij} q_{i} q_{j} = {}^{X}_{i} {}^{\frac{h^{2} q_{i}^{2}}{4m_{i}}} ;$$
 (16)

$$= \frac{7 (3) j N j j E_{0} j}{(c_{0})^{2}}$$
(17)

with 1:78 and (3) 12. Note that j E_0 j determines the Fermi energy in the corresponding band. In the case if lies out of the integration limits

$$= 2j_{W} j \ln \frac{c}{0} ; = = 0:$$
 (18)

A nalogous formula can be found also for coincidence with one of the integration limits.

A fler the Fourier transform ation to the coordinate space the approxim at eexpression for the second order contribution to the free energy (8) reads

, where the vector potential A takes care for the gauge invariance. This F_2 expression contains squared gradient term s, how ever, with opposite signs in comparison with the one band case. P roducts of gradient term s of di erent bands appear in (19).

For the fourth order term analogously to [27]

$$F_{4} = \frac{j_{V}j^{Z}}{V} dr \left(\frac{3}{2}X + j(r)\right)^{4} + \frac{w}{2} \left[1 + 2j_{1}(r)\right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + 2j_{1}(r)\right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + 2j_{2}(r)\right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2$$

with

$$= \frac{14 (3) \text{ jv }}{(c_{c})^{2}}; \qquad (21)$$

and = 0 for out of the band.

4 Fluctuative ordering

The expression (19) for F_2 can be diagonalized by an orthogonal transform ation

$$l_{q} = r_{q} \cos'_{q} + s_{q} \sin'_{q}$$

$$l_{q} = r_{q} \sin'_{q} + s_{q} \cos'_{q}; \qquad (22)$$

$$\tan \prime_{q} = \frac{W_{2}}{2} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} P & \frac{2}{1 & 2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2 & 1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} P & \frac{2}{1 & 2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{2}{2 & 1} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} &$$

to the new variables

$$_{s;r}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{5}{\frac{1}{2V} \frac{j(1+2)}{2V}} X_{s;r}(\mathbf{q}) e^{i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}} :$$
(24)

T hen

$$F_2 = dr a_s j_s(r) j + (a_o a_r) j_r(r) j$$

$$+ \frac{X}{i} \frac{h^{2}}{4M_{si}} r_{i} \frac{2ie}{hc} A_{i} s(r)^{2}$$

$$\frac{X}{i} \frac{h^{2}}{4M_{ri}} r_{i} \frac{2ie}{hc} A_{i} r(r)^{2} + \frac{(rotA^{2})^{2}}{8} : \qquad (25)$$

Here

$$a_{0} = \frac{(1+2)^{2}}{u}; \quad u = \frac{1}{2}(1+2)(1+2); \quad (26)$$

$$a_{s} = \frac{1 \ 2 \ + \ 2 \ 1}{u}$$
; $a_{r} = \frac{(2 \ 1 \ + \ 2) \ 2 \ + \ (2 \ 2 \ + \ 1) \ 1}{u}$; (27)

$$M_{si}^{1} = \frac{1 \ 2m_{2i}^{1} + 2 \ 1m_{1i}^{1}}{u};$$

$$M_{ri}^{1} = \frac{(2 \ 1 + 2) \ 2m_{2i}^{1} + (2 \ 2 + 1) \ 1m_{1i}^{1}}{u}:$$
(28)

The indices s and r correspond here to "soft" and "rigid". The coe cient a $_{\rm s}$ changes its sign when tem perature passes $T_{\rm c}$. On the contrary, the coe cient before j $_{\rm r}$ f rem ains positive. The "soft" variable $_{\rm s}$ plays the role of a driver for the phase transition. Indeed, proceeding from the system for the order parameters m inim izing the free energy

$$2w_{1}^{-} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & X & \frac{2}{4m_{2i}} (ihr_{i} & \frac{2e}{c}A_{i})^{2} \\ & 2 & 2j_{2}j_{2}j_{2} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2w_{2}^{-} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X & \frac{1}{4m_{1i}} (ihr_{i} & \frac{2e}{c}A_{i})^{2} \\ & 1 & 1j_{1}j_{2}j_{1} = 0; \end{bmatrix} (29)$$

for the hom ogeneous case (A = 0 and T < $T_{\rm c}$), one $\,$ nds

$$j_{1,2}^2 = 2_{,1} ;$$
 (30)

$$_{2} = \frac{1 \quad 2 + \quad 2 \quad 1}{\frac{2}{1} \quad 2 + \quad \frac{2}{2} \quad 1}$$
 (31)

The superconducting gaps $_{1,2} = 2W$ $_{1,2}$ vanish simultaneously at T_c. A coording to (24)

$$\overline{j}_{s} \hat{j} = \frac{j \sqrt{j}}{V} \frac{j}{u} ; \qquad (32)$$

 $j_{r}^{2} = 0;$

and for $T > T_c$, $s = r_r = 0$. The superconducting gaps are determ ined only by the "soft" order parameter.

M in in izing (25) for A = 0 in the norm alphase shows that uctuations of $_{\rm s}$ and $_{\rm r}$ satisfy the equations

$$\sum_{s,r,i}^{2} r_{i}^{2} s_{r}^{r} = s_{r}^{2} ; \qquad (33)$$

where the corresponding coherence lengths are given by

$$_{si}^{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{4M_{si}a_{s}}$$
; (34)

$${}^{2}_{ri} = \frac{h^{2}}{4M_{ri}(a_{0} - a_{r})}$$
; (35)

A sthe result, the uctuations of band order parameters are governed by two special coherence scales. The $_{\rm s}$ acts as an 0 mstein-Zemicke type critical coherence length known for one-band system s and diverging at T ! T_c. The other, $_{\rm r}$, behaves noncritically (is rigid) and as an imaginary quantity characterizes a periodic spatial coherence wave. In the limit ! 0, $_{\rm s}$! 1, however $_{\rm r}$ remains nite. Analogously a two-band superconductor with interband pairing possesses a critical and a noncritical relaxation channel [27]. The relaxation times connected with these channels show correspondingly a critical and noncritical dependence on tem perature.

The discussion of the nature of the spatial periodic uctuating structure characterized by the rigid coherence length $_{\rm r}$ with weak dependence on temperature remains out of the scope of the present paper. However, it will be tempting to attribute $_{\rm r}$ to the recently observed pair density wave in the Bi-com pound [28]. Then seem ingly $_{\rm r}$ characterizes the average distance between the pairs (without phase coherence in the norm al state). As a function of doping $_{\rm r}$ falls o with leaving the underdoped region and remains nearly constant in the basic region of actual T_c -s. Then the pair distribution density becomes more hom ogeneous, cf. [29]. Note that a spatial modulation of gap sizes has also been observed [30].

5 Cuprate superconductivity coherence and critical magnetic elds

In general the cuprate superconductivity two-component scenario supposed in [8-11] supports on the separation of the strongly correlated doped CuO_2 planes in nanoscale structural components. A new superconductivity playground is built up with radical reorganization of the electron spectrum until the creation of states corresponding to the doped-hole bearing

subsystem. This spectrum is doping-variable in band structure and densities. A new pairing channel with interband pair transfer opens between the (mainly) it inerant and defect components.

In what follows the cuprate critical coherence length $s = 0^{1-2}$ will be calculated using the model of [11]. For the valence band $_{c} = D$, $_{0} = 0$ and for the defect system subbands $_{\rm c}$ = d_1 $c_{\textbf{r}}$ $_0$ = d_1 and $_{\rm c}$ = d_2 $c_{\textbf{r}}$ $_0$ = d_2 (d_1 > d_2, >). The measure of the doped hole concentration c is scaled to the transition temperature maximum at p = 0.16 by p = 0.28c. The bottom s of the defect subbands (attributed to $(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})$ and (; 0) -type regions of the momentum space) evolve down in energy with doping. The overlap with the itinerant band is reached correspondingly at $c = d_2 = and c = d_1 = .$ There are the following dierent arrangements of the bands and $Atc < c_1 = d_2$ cremains connected with the "cold" -band. In this very underdoped region the charge carriers concentrate st. For $c > c_{2} = (d_{2} c)(1 + 2(1 c) D^{1})^{1}$ is shifted into the valence band. The overlap band with the wide band leads to the form ation of two Ferm i surface of the narrow sheets with a tendency to appearance of a " at band" component with lowering . For the e ective doping near c_0 , de ned by d_1 $c_0 = _2$, the role of the "hot" region is enhanced. The $_3 = [d_2 + d_1 \quad 2 \quad c][+ + (1 \quad c)2 \quad D \quad 1]^1$ intersects all three overlapping bands and T_c becomes maximized. For the extended overdoping $c > c_1$, $_3 = d_2$ c_1 , the chem ical potential falls out of the defect -band. In the doping process the mixing of the band components stimulates the Fermi-liquid behaviour of the carriers. The decrease of T_c at overdoping is connected with the deterioration of the interband pairing conditions. This leads to the drop of super uid density on the background of extending hole concentrations.

One nds in this model ($_{s}^{2} = _{0}^{2} _{1}^{1}$)

$${}_{0}^{2} = \frac{h^{2}}{4} \frac{(+) m^{1} + (m^{1} + m^{1})}{(+) + (+)};$$
(36)

which must be interpreted as the in-plane coherence length ($_{\rm ab})$.

The elective m asses of the bands are connected with the constant densities of states m $_{i}^{1} = \frac{V}{2 h^{2} i}$, = 1=2, = 1=2, = (1 c)=D; V is the plaquette area (a²) in the CuO₂ plane.

The calculated $_0$ over the whole hole doping region of a "typical" cuprate together with the T_c -curve is given in Fig.1. The same plausible parameter set as in [11] has been taken for the illustration. The order of $_0$ of some tens of A in the actual region agrees with the values given in the literature for cuprates [6]. This points to some self-consistency of the theoretical scheme concerning the earlier results for energetic characteristics [8–12].

The general trend of $_0$ (p) found starts with the steep decrease until the critical point c is reached. Then a moderate enhancement until the second critical point c_0 with further quick increase follows. The behaviour of $_0$ as projected on the T_c bell-like curve wings seems to be a natural result. At extreme dopings $_0$ diverges.

The theoretical valley-pro le like $_0$ (p) curve agrees in its behaviour well with the recent experimental result [25] on the whole doping scale. One can state also some agreement with the dimension $_{ab}$ (p) behaviour in dimension fragmental regions given in [20-23], however these data cannot be joined continuously with our curve.

Theoretical doping dependence of the c-axis second critical eld (T = 0) H_{c2} = $(2 \quad {}^{2}_{0})^{1}$ is given in Fig.2. This curve shows an intensive maximum built up from c until T_c maximum is reached.

In this respect there is also the agreement with the paper [25], however the H $_{\rm c2}$ maximum in [25] lies nearly at the doping level which corresponds to the maximum of the collective pinning energy. Our theoretical H $_{\rm c2}$ peak corresponds to the elective enhancement of the contribution of the cold subsystem with the inside shifted chemical potential. This defect subsystem possesses the smaller superconducting gap and supports larger $_{\rm ab}$. Note that in the present model $_1 < _2$ if $_1 > _2$.

The therm odynam ic critical eld

$$H_{c}(0) = [4 [2(0) + (+) ^{2}(0)]]^{1-2}$$
(37)

characterizes the condensation energy and is shown vs doping in Fig.3. This is also a curve with a maximum (as observed [31]) which repeats the behaviour of T_c , of the superconducting density n_s [11,12] and the superconducting gaps. This means that in the present model the strength of the pairing and the phase coherence develop and vanish simultaneously. Such behaviour of cuprates is stressed as the result of recent experimental investigations [13–18]. The parallel course of the condensation energy and of the superconducting gap has been followed in [32]. It must be mentioned that the present model delivers a sublinear U emuratype limited segment in the T_c vs n_s plot at underdoping [12] with a followed back-turn along the branch characterizing the overdoped side.

In conclusion, the present simple model, which describes qualitatively correctly the behaviour of the cuprate energetic characteristics, serves the same result for coherence properties in agreement with the recent experimental data on the whole doping scale. The qualitative agreement between the recent experimental data and the present theoretical approach on the nature of the cuprate coherence length doping dependence seems to be of some importance, at least for the reliability of the model.

This work was supported by the Estonian Science Foundation grant No 6540.

References

```
[1] H.Suhl, B.T.Matthias, L.R.Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3 (1959) 552.
```

- [2] VA.Moskalenko, Fiz.Met.Metalloved.8 (1959) 503.
- [3] A.Y.Liu, I.I.Mazin, J.Kortus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 087005.

- [4] N.Kristo el, T.Ord, K.Rago, Europhys. Lett. 61 (2003) 109.
- [5] I.I.Mazin, V.P.Antropov, Physica C 385, 49 (2003).
- [6] N.Plakida, High-Tem perature Superconductivity, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [7] N.Kristo el, P.Konsin, T.Ord, Rivista Nuovo Cim. 17 (1994) 1.
- [8] N.Kristo el, P.Rubin, Physica C 356 (2001) 171.
- [9] N.Kristo el, P.Rubin, Eur. Phys. J.B 30 (2002) 495.
- [10] N.Kristo el, P.Rubin, Solid State Commun. 122 (2002) 265.
- [11] N.Kristo el, P.Rubin, Physica C 402, 257 (2004).
- [12] N.Kristo el, P.Rubin, J.Supercond. (in press).
- [13] C.Bemhard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1614.
- [14] D.L.Feng et al, Science 289 (2000) 277.
- [15] J.L. Tallon et al, Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003) 180501 (R).
- [16] T. Schneider, Physica B 326 (2003) 289.
- [17] M.T.Trunin, Yu.Nefyodov, A.F. Shevchuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 067006.
- [18] R.H.Heetal, Phys.Rev.B 69 (2004) 220502(R).
- [19] H. Ihara, Physica C 364-365 (2001) 289.
- [20] Y.Ando, K. Segava, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 167005.
- [21] S.R. Curras et al., Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003) 094501.
- [22] Y.W ang et al, Science 299 (2003) 86.
- [23] N.P.Ong, Y.W ang, Physica C 408-410 (2004) 11.
- [24] M.A.Kastner et al., Rev.M od. Phys. 70 (1998) 897.
- [25] H.H.W en et al, Europhys. Lett. 64 (2003) 790.
- [26] P.Konsin, T.Ord, Physica C 191 (1992) 469.
- [27] T.Ord, N.Kristo el, Physica C 331 (2000) 13.
- [28] H.-D. Dong Shen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 187002.
- [29] A.Fang et al, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 214514.
- [30] K M . Lang et al., Nature 415 (2002) 412.
- [31] J.W. Loram et al, Physica C 282-287 (1997) 1405.
- [32] T.Shibauchi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5763.

Figure captions.

Fig. 1 Cuprate transition temperature (dashed line) and the ab-plane coherence length vs hole doping.

Fig. 2 The c-axis second critical magnetic eld vs. doping.

Fig.3 The therm odynamic critical magnetic eld on the doping scale (eV $^{1=2}$).



p





р