PREPRINT

## The sedim ent of mixtures of charged colloids: segregation and inhom ogeneous electric elds

Jos Zwanikken and Rene van Roij

Institute for Theoretical Physics, U trecht University, Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE U trecht, The N etherlands

PACS.82.70.Dd { Colloids. PACS.05.20.Jj { Statistical mechanics of classical uids.

A bstract. { We theoretically study sedimentation-di usion equilibrium of dilute binary, temary, and polydisperse m ixtures of colloidal particles with di erent buoyant m asses and/or charges. We focus on the low-salt regime, where the entropy of the screening ions drives spontaneous charge separation and the form ation of an inhom ogeneous m acroscopic electric eld. The resulting electric force lifts the colloids against gravity, yielding highly nonbarom etric and even nonm onotonic colloidal density pro les. The most profound e ect is the phenom enon of segregation into layers of colloids with equal mass-per-charge, including the possibility that heavy colloidal species oat onto lighter ones.

Introduction. { Sedim entation in a suspension of colloidal particles is of profound fundam ental in portance, and has been studied in detail for a long time. For instance, in 1910 Perrin determ ined the Boltzm ann constant  $k_{\rm B}$  (and from this A vogadro's num ber) by comparing the measured equilibrium density pro le of a dilute suspension with the theoretically predicted barom etric law [1], and m ore recently the full hard-sphere equation of state was determ ined accurately from a single measurement of the sedimentation prole of a dense suspension of colloidal hard spheres [2,3]. In rather dilute suspensions of charged colloids, how ever, strong deviations from the barom etric distribution have recently been theoretically predicted [4{9], experimentally observed  $[10\{13]$ , and simulated [14], at least in the regime of extremely low salinity. The most striking phenom enon is that the distribution of colloids extends to much higher altitudes than is to be expected on the basis of their buoyant mass. The force that lifts the colloids against gravity is provided by an electric eld that is induced by spontaneous charge separation over macroscopic distances. The corresponding cost of electrostatic and gravitational energy is more than compensated by the gain of translational entropy of the salt ions, which are distributed much more hom ogeneously than when they would have had to follow the colloids to the bottom part of the suspension. The same entropy-induced lifting force was recently found to be responsible for a so-called B razil-nut e ect in binary m ixtures of charged colloidal particles under low -salt conditions: the heavy particles can reside at higher altitudes than the lighter ones [15]. In this Letter we extend the theoretical study of sedim entation of charged colloids to binary, temary, and polydisperse m ixtures, for which

c EDP Sciences

we calculate colloidal density pro les and the entropy-induced electric eld selfconsistently within a Poisson-Boltzm ann like density functional theory.

;n,

Theory. { We consider an n-component suspension of negatively charged colloidal spheres in a salt solution in the Earth's gravity eld. We label the colloidal species by i = 1;2;and denote the electric charge, diam eter, and buoyant m ass for species i by  $Z_{ie}$ , i, and m i, respectively, where e is the proton charge. We im agine the suspension to be in therm al and osm otic contact with a reservoir that contains m onovalent ions at a concentration 2  $_{\rm s}$  and a solvent with dielectric constant at temperature T. The ions are assumed to be massless point particles with charge e, and the solvent m ass density is taken into account by considering the buoyant instead of the actual colloidal masses according to Archimedes. The volume of the suspension is V = AH, with A the (m acroscopic) horizontal area and H the vertical height of the solvent meniscus above the bottom of the system . The gravitational acceleration g is in the negative vertical direction. We are interested in the equilibrium colloidal density pro les  $_{i}(x)$  as a function of the altitude x above the bottom at x = 0 and below the meniscus at x = H. The ion density professore denoted by (x). In order to calculate these professive em ploy the fram ework of density functional theory [16], where the equilibrium density pro les follow from the minimisation of a grand potential functional [f ig; ] with respect to all the pro les. Here we employ the mean-eld free energy functional that consists of entropic, gravitational, and electrostatic contributions,

$$\frac{1}{k_{\rm B} \, {\rm TA}} = \begin{cases} X & Z_{\rm H} \\ & dx & (x) \, \ln \frac{(x)}{s} & 1 + \int_{i=1}^{x^{\rm n} Z_{\rm H}} dx_{i}(x) \, \ln \frac{i(x)}{a_{i}} & 1 \\ & + \int_{i=1}^{x^{\rm n} Z_{\rm H}} dx \frac{x}{L_{i}}_{i}(x) & \frac{2}{2} \int_{0}^{B} dx_{i} \, dx^{0} \, x \, dx^{0} \, x \, x^{0} \, y \, (x) \, Q \, (x^{0}); \quad (1)$$

where we introduced the fugacity (or activity)  $a_i$  and the gravitational length  $L_i = k_B T = m_i g$ of species i, the B jerrum length  $_B = e^2 = k_B T$ , and the local charge density Q (x) =  $_+$  (x)

(x)  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i-i}(x)$ . The electrostatic term follows from an in-plane integration of the threedimensional C oulom b law, 2  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} dRR = \frac{P}{jx} \frac{x^{2}f + R^{2}}{x^{2}f + R^{2}} = 2 \frac{1}{jx} \frac{x}{x}j$  with R the in-plane distance, where we ignored an irrelevant integration constant. The functional (1) only couples the total charge density at di erent heights in a mean-eld fashion, i.e. electric double layers and m any other correlation e ect are not taken into account. In fact one easily checks that the therm odynam ics of the system reduces in the absence of gravity to an (n+2)-com ponent ideal-gas m ixture, since then Q (x) 0 because of translational invariance and charge neutrality. In the presence of gravity, how ever, interesting structures already appear at this relatively low level of sophistication. The Euler-Lagrange equations = (x) = 0 and = i(x) = 0 that m ust be satis ed by the the equilibrium density pro les can be cast in the form

where  $(x) = 2 B_{0}^{R_{H}} dx^{0}Q(x^{0}) \dot{x} \dot{x}^{0} \dot{y}$  is the dimensionless electrostatic potential gauged such that it is zero in the reservoir where Q(x) = 0. It turns out to be convenient to rewrite it in dimension as the Poisson-Boltzm ann equation

$$\frac{d^{2}(x)}{dx^{2}} = 4 _{B}Q(x) = 2 \sinh(x) + 4 _{B} Z_{i,i}(x): \qquad (4)$$

Here we used Eq.(2), and we de ned the reservoir screening constant  $^2 = 8_{B}$ . Together with the boundary conditions  $^{0}(0) = ^{0}(H) = 0$  (where a prime denotes a derivative w.r.t. x) the Eqs.(3) and (4) form a closed set of n + 1 equations that can in principle be solved to yield  $_{1}(x)$  and (x) for a given therm odynamic state determined by the reservoir characteristics

and  $_{\rm B}$ , and by the colloidal fugacities  $a_i$ , gravitational lengths  $L_i$ , and charges  $Z_i$ . In an experimental situation, however, the total packing fraction  $_i = (=6)N_i \frac{3}{i}=V$  of species i is usually xed instead of the fugacity  $a_i$ , with  $N_i$  the number of colloids of species i. This conversion can easily be accomplished here by regarding the fugacities  $a_i$  as normalization constants that take values such that  $_i = (1=H) \binom{N_i}{0} dx_i(x)$ , with  $_i(x) = (=6) \binom{N_i}{i} \frac{3}{i}$  the local packing fraction of species i. Note that the hard-core diameters  $_i$  do not appear at all in the Euler-Lagrange equations, since the functional (1) ignores the hard-core part of the (direct) correlations; we use for all colloidal species that  $_i = = 150$ nm only to be able to convert densities to physically reasonable packing fractions. Once the pro les (x) and  $_i(x)$  are known, then (x) follows from Eq.(2), and from this the total charge density Q (x). The magnitude of the electric eld is given by E (x) =  $k_B T \binom{0}{x} = 0$ , where the prime denotes a derivative w r.t. the height x.

Unfortunately one cannot solve Eqs.(3) and (4) analytically. However, its one-dimensional character allows for a rather straightforw and numerical solution on a grid  $fx_kg$  of heights, although some care must be taken in dealing with the widely dimensional scales H (say of the order of centimeters) and <sup>1</sup> (at most of the order of microns) in realistic cases. In all our calculations we use a non-equidistant grid that we adapt to the situation at hand, making sure that it is ne enough to exclude numerical artifacts. Typically we use 600 grid points for a system with a meniscus at H = 20cm . Our iterative scheme to solve Eqs.(3) and (4) on the grid takes typically a few seconds on a desktop PC for n = 1, and a minute for n = 21.

Before discussing our numerical results, we wish to point out that the present theory reduces, for n = 1, to the treatment of Ref. [8]. For instance, the three regimes for the colloidal density prole (barometric, linear, and exponential with a large decay length) follow within the assumption of local charge neutrality Q (x) = 0, i.e.  $\sinh(x) = Z_{1-1}(x)=2_{s}$  (x). In the linear regime, where  $1=Z_1 < y_1(x) < 1$ , the potential is then from Eq.(4) linear in x, with a slope  ${}^0(x) = 1=Z_1L_1$  that is proportional to the electric eld that lifts the colloids against gravity [8]. This one-component result already hints at an important complication for mixtures (n 2): since generally  $Z_iL_i \notin Z_jL_j$  a single electric eld strength cannot lift all the colloidal species simultaneously. On this basis one could therefore already expect segregation in mixtures, such that colloids with the same value  $Z_iL_i$  (i.e. the same charge-perm ass) are found at the same height. This is indeed what our numerical results will show below.

Segregation in binary and ternary mixtures. { We start our numerical investigation with a class of binary mixtures (n = 2) of equal-sized light (i = 1) and heavy (i = 2) colloidal particles of various charge ratios. We choose the system parameters identical to those of the M onte C arbo simulations of gure 3 of Ref. [15]: = 150nm, H = 1000,  $_{\rm B}$  = =128,  $L_1 = \frac{3}{2}L_2 = 10$ ,  $_1 = _2 = (=6)$  10<sup>4</sup>,  $Z_1 = 15$ , and  $Z_2$  varies between 15 and 45. The simulated system does not contain added salt but only counterions, which we can represent within our theory by the extremely low reservoir salt concentration  $_{\rm S} = 1$ nM, which we checked to be low enough to be in the zero-added-salt limit as regards the colloidal profes. In Fig.1(a) we show, for  $Z_2 = 45$ , the density profes of the two colloidal species, as well as that of the counterions (and the coions) in the inset. We observe profound colloidal segregation into two layers, with the heavy species oating on top of the lighter ones. The counterions are seen to be distributed throughout the whole volume, i.e. much more hom ogeneously than when all colloids would have been barom etrically distributed in a thin layer of thickness  $L_1$ 

just above the bottom (since in that case the net ion charge would have been located in that same thin layer). The resulting gain of ion entropy is the driving force for the formation of the electric eld that pushes the heavy (highly charged) colloids to high altitudes against gravity [8,14]. In Fig.1 (b) we show the mean height  $h_i$  of species i, de ned as

$$h_{i} = \frac{\bigcap_{0}^{R_{H}} dx \ x \ i}{\bigcap_{0}^{R_{H}} dx \ i} (x)};$$
(5)

as a function of  $Z_2$ . We replot the M onte C and simulation results of gure 3 of Ref. [15] (symbols), together with the predictions for  $h_i$  that follow from the present theory (continuous curves). Given that there is not a single t parameter involved, the agreement is remarkable, certainly when compared with the theoretical analysis on the basis exponentially decaying density proles as in Ref. [15]. Fig.1 shows that the heavy particles are on top of the lighter ones,  $h_2 > h_1$ , provided  $Z_2=Z_1$  ' 1:6, a phenomenon that was termed the "colloidal B razil nut e ect" in Ref. [15]. For barometric proles one would not that  $h_i = L_i$ , but we see in all cases that  $h_i = L_i$  due to the lift e ect of the induced electric eld. The good agreement between theory and simulation in Fig.1 (b) also indicates that hard-core e ects (which are taken into account in the simulations but not in the theory) are not so relevant, at least not in the parameter regime studied here.



Figure 1 { (a) Colloidal density proles and counterion distribution (inset) for a deionised binary mixture of equal-sized light (i = 1) and heavy (i = 2) colloidal spheres (diameter = 150nm), with meniscus height H = 1000, gravitational lengths  $L_1 = 10$  and  $L_2 = 20 = 3$ , average packing fractions  $_1 = _2 = (=6) - 10^{-4}$ , colloidal charges  $Z_1 = 15$  and  $Z_2 = 45$ , and B jerrum length  $_B = =128$ . (b) M ean height  $h_i$  of the two species for the same system as in (a) except now for a range of  $Z_2$ , showing a density inversion ( $h_2 > h_1$ , the heavy particles oating on top of light ones) for  $Z_2=Z_1 > 1:6$ . The curves are predictions of the present theory, the symbols are simulation data of R ef. [15].

The next system we study is a ternary system (n = 3) in a solvent characterised by  $_{\rm B} = 2.3$ nm (ethanol at room temperature) with a reservoir salt concentration  $_{\rm S} = 10$  M and meniscus height H = 20cm. The colloidal charges and gravitational lengths are  $Z_{\rm i} = (1000;250;125)$  and  $L_{\rm i} = (1;2;1)$ mm, respectively, and the system is equimolar with  $_{\rm i} = 0.005$  for all three species (recall that all species have the same diameter = 150nm). Fig. 2 show sthe density promets as predicted by the present theory in (a), as well as the electric end in (b). We not alm ost perfect segregation into three layers, such that the mean heights satisfy

 $h_3 < h_2 < h_1$ . Note that this ordering coincides with the ordering  $Z_3L_3 < Z_2L_2 < Z_1L_1$ , and not with the corresponding ordering of Li which one would expect on the basis of a barom etric distribution. In other words, this system segregates according to mass-per-charge instead of the more usual ordering according to mass: the colloids with the largest mass-per-charge are found at the bottom. In the present case this in plies that the lightest colloids (species 2) are found in a layer in between the equally heavy species 1 and 3.0 n the basis of the onecomponent theory of Ref. [8] one would expect a linearly decaying density pro le of species i in the layer where species i is dom inant, as well as a linear electrostatic potential. D enoting the derivative w r.t. x by a prime, the one-component theory predicts  $\frac{1}{2}(x) =$  $_{\rm s}$  <sup>3</sup>=3Z<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>L<sub>i</sub> and  $^{0}$ (x) = 1=Z<sub>i</sub>L<sub>i</sub> in the layer with species i, corresponding to an electric eld strength m<sub>i</sub>g=Z<sub>i</sub>e in this layer. These values for the density gradients and the electric eld are indicated in Fig 2 (a) and (b), respectively, and are in good agreement with the numerical results. The result for the electric eld can also be easily obtained analytically for a mixture provided one assumes that segregation takes place, such that i(x) takes a maximum at some height x in the layer of species i. From  $\binom{1}{2}(x) = 0$  one obtains from Eq.(3) that  $\binom{1}{2}(x) = 1 = \mathbb{Z}_{i}L_{i}$ , in agreem ent with the num erical results. The inset of Fig.2 (b) shows the ratio of the total charge density Q (x) and the ion charge density 2 sinh (x), which is such that  $\frac{1}{2}$  (x)=2 sinh (x)j 1 for all x except close to x = 0 and x = H where it is 1. This indicates that the system, with its inhom ogeneous electric eld, is yet essentially locally charge neutral (but not exactly, and not at all at the boundaries), suggesting that a description on the basis of hydrostatic equilibrium within a local density approximation should be rather accurate [17].



Figure 2 { Density proles (a) and the electric eld prole (b) of an equimolar ternary mixture of equally-sized colloids with charges  $Z_i = (1000;250;125)$  and gravitational lengths  $L_i = (1;2;1)mm$  with total packing fractions  $_i = 0.005$  in a 20cm ethanol suspension at room temperature, with m onovalent ionic strength  $_s = 10 \text{ M}$  in the reservoir. Almost complete segregation takes place into well-de ned layers of pure components, with a slope  $_i^0(x) = _s^{3}=3Z_i^2L_i$  and a constant electric eld m  $_ig=eZ_i$  in the layer with component i, as denoted by the dashed lines in (a) and (b), respectively. The inset in (b) shows a dimensionless measure for local charge neutrality (see main text).

Polydisperse M ixtures. { We now extend our study to polydisperse m ixtures, where one could expect segregation into m any layers on the basis of the results for two and three components. We m in ic the polydispersity by considering a system of n = 21 components, with  $Z_i$  distributed as a G aussian with average of 250 and a standard deviation of 50. This distribution is shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a), where the vertical axis ( $_i$ ) is proportional to

the relative frequency of species i in the sample. We consider two distributions for  $L_1$ : (A)  $(250=Z_i)^{3=2}$  mm . Case B m im ics the situation  $L_i = 2mm$  for all species, and (B)  $L_i = 2$ for spheres of di erent size but the sam e m ass density and surface charge density, such that  $Z_i$  is proportional to the surface area and  $L_i$  to the inverse volume of species i, i.e.  $L_i^2 Z_i^3$ is a constant independent if i. The density pro les, num erically obtained by solving the Eqs.(3) and (4) for H = 20cm,  $_{\rm B}$  = 2.3nm,  $_{\rm s}$  = 3 M, and  $_{\rm tot}$  =  $\sum_{i=1}^{21} i = 0.005$ , are shown in Fig.3 for all 21 components. Case A shows profound lifting and layering, where the ordering is again determ ined by m ass-per-charge as illustrated by the three dashed curves (for  $Z_i = 250, 279$ , and 299) showing that the colloids in the high-charge wing of the distribution reside at high altitudes. Fig.3 (b) shows the density pro les for case B, which does exhibit lifting, but hardly any layering, and no density inversion at all. This is completely consistent with the picture that the ordering is determined by  $Z_i L_i$ , which for case B is such that  $Z_{i}L_{i}=Z_{j}L_{j} =$  $\overline{Z_{j}}=\overline{Z_{i}}$ , i.e. the highly-charged particle are expected at the bottom while the relative spread in  $Z_iL_i$  is relatively small compared to case A, where  $Z_iL_i=Z_jL_j = Z_i=Z_j$ . The inset of (b) shows the total packing fraction profiles  $tot(x) = \int_{i=1}^{21} f(x) dx$  of both case A and B together with the one-component prole (n = 1) with  $Z_1 = 250$  and  $L_1 = 2mm$ at  $_1 = 0.005$ . Perhaps surprisingly there is hardly any distinction between the pure system and case B, whereas there is a small di erence with case A. These pro les show that the m ain distinction between these polydisperse systems and the underlying one-component one concerns the layering phenom enon (provided Z<sub>i</sub>L<sub>i</sub> varies su ciently for all the species), and not the total distribution of the colloids. Perhaps this fractionation e ect could be exploited experim entally to purify a polydisperse m ixture.



Figure 3 { Density professor for a 21-component colloidal suspension with a Gaussian charge distribution as illustrated in the inset of (a), at total packing fraction 0:005, reservoir salt concentration  $_{\rm s}$  = 3 M, and B jerrum length  $_{\rm B}$  = 2:3nm. The gravitational lengths are as in case A (see main text) in (a), and case B in (b). In (b) the solid curves are for Z<sub>i</sub> > 250, and the dashed ones for Z<sub>i</sub> 250. The inset of (b) shows the total packing fraction profe for case A and B, together with that of the underlying one-component system (see main text).

Conclusions and discussion. { We have studied sedimentation equilibrium of n-component systems of charged colloidal particles at low salinity within a Poisson-Boltzmann-like density functional. The Euler-Lagrange equations that describe the minimisation of the functional are solved numerically on a one dimensional grid of heights for a number of system parameters. For n = 1 the theory reduces to the one-component studies as presented in

Ref. [8,14], and for n = 2 we quantitatively reproduce the simulation results of Ref. [15], where density inversion was found such that the heavier colloids oat on top of the lighter ones. This e ect is caused by a self-consistent electric eld that lifts the higher charged (heavy) particles to higher altitudes than the lower charged (lighter) colloids. We show that the layering of the colloids according to mass-per-charge can persist for ternary (n = 3) as well as for polydisperse (here n = 21) mixtures. G iven the good account that the present theory gives for simulations [14] and experiments [11{13}] of one-component system s, and for the simulations of binary system s [15], it is tempting to argue that the theory is also (qualitatively) reliable for ternary or polydisperse system s, i.e. the predicted segregation and layering should be experimentally observable. One should bare in mind, how ever, that the present theory ignores the hard-core of the colloidal particles, and is therefore expected to break dow n at higher packing fractions. It also ignores e ects due to charge renorm alisation, which becomes relevant when  $Z_{i B} = i$  1. W ork on extending the theory in these directions is in progress [17,18].

All results presented in this paper were obtained with the zero-eld boundary conditions  $^{0}(0) = ^{0}(H) = 0$ . We checked explicitly, however, that other boundary conditions that respect global charge neutrality, such as  $^{0}(0) = ^{0}(H) = eE_{ext}=k_{B}T$  (describing a suspension in a hom ogeneous external electric eld  $E_{ext}$ ) or (0) = (H) and  $^{0}(0) = ^{0}(H)$  (describing a short-circuited bottom and meniscus) give indistinguishable density proles, except in two layers of thickness  $^{1}$  10 m in the vicinity of the bottom and the meniscus. This insensitivity to the boundary conditions is not surprising in the light of the fact that the whole phenomenology in these systems is driven by the entropy of the microscopic ions, i.e. a bulk contribution to the grand potential that should dominate any boundary (surface) contribution.

A cknow ledgem ents. { It is a pleasure to thank M aarten B iesheuvel for interesting discussions. This work is part of the research program m e of the "Stichting voor Fundam enteel O nderzoek der M aterie (FOM)", which is nancially supported by the "N ederlandse organisatie voor W etenschappelijk O nderzoek (NW O)".

## References

- [1] J.Perrin, (1913), Les Atom es (Paris: Alcan).
- [2] R.Piazza, T.Bellini, V.Degiorgio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 4267 (1993).
- [3] M A.Rutgers, J-Z Xue, W B.Russel, and PM. Chaikin, Phys. Rev. B 53, 5043 (1996).
- [4] T.Biben and J.P.Hansen, J.Phys.Cond.M att. 6, A 345 (1994).
- [5] J.P.Simonin, J.Phys.Chem. 99, 1577 (1995).
- [6] G.Tellez and T.Biben, Eur. Phys. J.E 2, 137 (2000).
- [7] H.Lowen, J.Phys.: Cond.M att. 10, L479 (1998).
- [8] R. van Roij, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 15, S3569 (2003).
- [9] M.Biesheuvel, J.Phys.Cond.Matt. 16, L499 (2004)
- [10] A P.Philipse and G H.Koenderink, Adv. in Colloid and Interface Science 100–102, 613 (2003).
- [11] M.Rasa and A.P.Philipse, Nature 429, 857 (2004).
- [12] C P.Royall, R. van Roij, and A. van Blaaderen, JPhys. Cond. Matt. 17, 2315 (2005).
- [13] M.Rasa, B.Eme, B.Zoetekouw, R.van Roij, and A.P.Philipse, J.Phys. Cond. M att. 17, 2293 (2005).
- [14] A P. Hynninen, R. van Roij and M. Dijkstra, Europhys. Lett. 65, 719 (2004).
- [15] A.Eszterm ann and H.Lowen, Europhys.Lett, 68, 120 (2004).
- [16] R.Evans, Adv. Phys. 28, 143 (1979).
- [17] A. Torres and R. van Roij, to be published.
- [18] M.Biesheuvel, private communications and preprint.