

Activated escape of periodically modulated systems

M. I. Dykman and D. Ryvkin

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University

(dated: March 23, 2024)

The rate of noise-induced escape from a metastable state of a periodically modulated overdamped system is found for an arbitrary modulation amplitude A . The instantaneous escape rate displays peaks that vary with the modulation from Gaussian to strongly asymmetric. The prefactor in the period-averaged escape rate depends on A nonmonotonically. Near the bifurcation amplitude A_c it scales as $1/(A_c - A)$. We identify three scaling regimes, with $\alpha = 1/4$, 1 , and $1/2$.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 77.80.Fm, 05.70.Ln, 02.50.-r

Thermally activated escape from a metastable state is often investigated in systems driven by time-dependent fields. Recent examples are activated transitions in modulated nanomagnets [1, 2, 3] and Josephson junctions [4, 5, 6]. Modulation changes the activation barrier. This enables efficient control of the escape rate and accurate measurement of the system parameters [7, 8].

Most frequently used types of modulation are slow ramping of a control parameter, when the system remains quasistationary, and periodic modulation. In the latter case the system is away from thermal equilibrium, which complicates the theoretical formulation of the escape problem [9].

In the present paper we extend to periodically modulated systems the analysis of the escape rate done by Kramers for systems in thermal equilibrium [10]. Our approach gives the full time-dependent escape rate $W(t)$ as well as the period-averaged rate $\bar{W} = \exp(-R/D)$, where R is the activation energy of escape and D is the noise intensity, $D = k_B T$ for thermal noise.

For comparatively small modulation amplitude A escape of an overdamped Brownian particle was studied in Ref. 11. The range of intermediate A and intermediate modulation frequencies ω_F was analyzed in Refs. 12, 13. Here we find $W(t)$ for an arbitrary A and an arbitrary interrelation between ω_F and the relaxation time of the system τ_r . We show that the prefactor depends on A strongly and nonmonotonically. It displays scaling behavior near the bifurcational modulation amplitude A_c for which the metastable state disappears.

In the spirit of Kramers' approach, we relate the instantaneous escape rate $W(t)$ to the current well behind the boundary $q_b(t)$ of the basin of attraction to the initially occupied metastable state (q is the system coordinate). This is the current usually studied in experiments. Because of the oscillations of $q_b(t)$, it has a different functional form from the current at $q_b(t)$ calculated in Refs. 12, 13.

We find $W(t)$ by matching the probability distribution $\varrho(q;t)$ near $q_b(t)$ and inside the basin of attraction. This can be done in a broad parameter range without a complete calculation of $\varrho(q;t)$ near $q_b(t)$, using singular features of the dynamics of large fluctuations.

For a periodically modulated overdamped Brownian particle, the distribution $\varrho(q;t)$ is given by the Fokker-

Planck equation (FPE)

$$\partial_t \varrho = \partial_q [K(q;t) \varrho] + D \partial_q^2 \varrho : \quad (1)$$

Here, $K(q;t)$ is the periodic force driving the particle, $K(q;t) = K(q;t + T_F)$, $\partial_q U(q;t)$, where $T_F = 2\pi/\omega_F$ is the modulation period and $U(q;t)$ is the metastable potential. The equation of motion of the particle in the absence of noise is $\dot{q} = K(q;t)$. The metastable state $q_a(t)$, from the vicinity of which the system escapes due to noise, and the basin boundary $q_b(t)$ are the stable and unstable periodic solutions of this equation, respectively.

We will assume that the noise intensity D is small. Then in a broad time range $\tau_r \ll t \ll 1/\bar{W}$ the distribution $\varrho(q;t)$ is nearly periodic in the basin of attraction to $q_a(t)$. The current away from this basin, and thus the escape rate $W(t)$, are also periodic.

The distribution is maximal at $q_a(t)$ and falls off exponentially away from it. In the presence of periodic driving it acquires singular features as $D \rightarrow 0$ [14], some of which have counterparts in wave fields [15], with D playing the role of the wavelength. The singularities accumulate near $q_b(t)$. In order to find $W(t)$ one has to understand how they are smeared by diffusion.

In the absence of noise the motion of the system close to the periodic states $q_i(t)$ ($i = a, b$) is described by the equation $\dot{q} = K$ with K linearized in $q - q_i(t)$. The evolution of $q(t) - q_i(t)$ is given by the factors

$$Z_i(t; t^0) = \exp \int_{t^0}^t d\tau \lambda_i(\tau) \quad (i = a, b); \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda_i(t) = \partial_q K(q;t)|_{q_i(t)}$. Over the period T_F the distance $q(t) - q_i(t)$ decreases (for $i = a$) or increases (for $i = b$) by the Floquet multiplier $M_i = \lambda_i(t + T_F; t) \exp(\lambda_i T_F)$, where λ_i is the period-average value of $\lambda_i(t)$, with $\lambda_a < 0$; $\lambda_b > 0$.

For weak noise the expansions of K can be used to find $\varrho(q;t)$ near $q_{a,b}(t)$. Near the metastable state q_a , the distribution is Gaussian [16], $\varrho(q;t) \sim \exp[-(q - q_a(t))^2 / 2D \lambda_a(t)g]$. The reduced time-periodic variance is given by the equation

$$\lambda_a^2(t) = 2 M_a^{-2} \exp \left(- \int_0^{T_F} dt_1 \lambda_a^2(t + t_1; t) \right) \quad (3)$$

with $i = a$ (in the absence of modulation $\lambda_a^2 = 1/g_a$).

The general form of the periodic distribution near the unstable state $q_b(t)$ (the boundary-layer distribution) can be found from Eq. (1) using the Laplace transform, similar to the weak-driving limit [11]. With K linear in $q - q_b$, the equation for the Laplace transform of $j(q;t)$ is of the first order, giving

$$\begin{aligned} j(q;t) &= \int_0^{Z-1} dp e^{pQ=D} \sim (p;t); Q = q - q_b(t); \\ \sim (p;t) &= ED^{-1/2} \exp[-s(\cdot) + p^2/2] (t) = D^{-1/2} : (4) \end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (4), E is a constant, $s(\cdot)$ is an arbitrary zero-mean periodic function, $s(\cdot + 2) = s(\cdot)$, and $(p;t)$,

$$(p;t) = -F \ln[p - b(t;t^0) = b_b] : (5)$$

Here, $F = 1/F = b^{-2} = \ln M_b$ is the reduced field frequency, $b = (2D = b)^{1/2}$ is the typical diffusion length, and t^0 determines the initial value of \cdot ; from Eq. (5), $(p;t + F) = (p;t) + 2$. In Eq. (4) we assumed that the basin of attraction to q_b lies for $q < q_b(t)$, and $\int_0^{Z-1} q \min_t [q_b(t) - q(t)]$.

The form (4) is advantageous as it immediately gives the current $j(q;t)$ from the occupied region $(-1; q]$. Well behind the basin boundary, where $Q = q - q_b(t) \gg b$, diffusion can be disregarded, the current becomes convective and gives the instantaneous escape rate. With $q = q_b + bQ$, we have $j(q;t) \sim b(t) (q;t)Q$, at a given Q . Disregarding the term $/p^2=D$ in \sim for $Q \gg b$, we obtain from Eq. (4)

$$j(q;t) = b(t) ED^{-1/2} \int_0^{Z-1} dx e^{-x} \exp[-s(d)=D] : (6)$$

Here, $d = -F \ln[x - b(t_d;t^0)]$, and $t_d = t_d(Q;t)$ is given by the equation $b(t_d;t) = b = 2Q$. In the whole harmonic range j depends on the observation point Q only in terms of the delay time t_d , which shows how long it took the system to roll down to the point Q , at $t_d = Q = 1 = b(t_d)Q$. We note that $b(t)$ can be negative for a part of the period, leading to reversals of the instantaneous current.

The escape rate \overline{W} is given by the period-averaged $j(q;t)$ and is independent of q . From Eq. (6)

$$\overline{W} = \frac{b}{2} ED^{-1/2} \int_0^{Z-1} d \exp[-s(\cdot)=D] : (7)$$

Eqs. (6) and (7) provide a complete solution of the Kramers problem of escape of a modulated system and reduce it to finding the function s . They are similar in form to the expressions for the instantaneous and average escape rates for comparatively weak modulation, $\beta j R$, where s was obtained explicitly [11].

Unless the modulation is very weak or has a high frequency, for small noise intensity $\max s \gg \ln s_j D$. In this case the major contribution to the integrals in Eqs. (6), (7) comes from the range where s is close to its minimum s_m reached for some $\cdot = \cdot_m$. Then the escape

rate $j(q;t)$ sharply peaks as function of time once per period when $d(t) = \cdot_m$. This means that escape events are strongly synchronized. As we show, both $j(q;t)$ and \overline{W} are determined not by the global shape of $s(\cdot)$, but only by the curvature of $s(\cdot)$ near \cdot_m .

To find $j(q;t)$ we match Eq. (4) to the distribution $(q;t)$ close to $q_b(t)$ but well inside the attraction basin, $Q \ll 1$. For small D this distribution can be found, for example, by solving the FPE (1) in the eikonal approximation, $(q;t) = \exp[-S(q;t)=D]$. To zeroth order in D , the equation for $S = S_0$ has the form of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $\partial_t S_0 = -H$ for an auxiliary nondissipative system with Hamiltonian [17]

$$H(q;p;t) = p^2 + pK(q;t); p = \partial_q S_0 : (8)$$

The Hamiltonian trajectories of interest for escape $q(t); p(t)$ start in the vicinity of the metastable state. The initial conditions follow from the Gaussian form of $(q;t)$ near $q_b(t)$, with $S_0 = [q - q_b(t)]^2/2a(t)$.

To logarithmic accuracy, the escape rate is determined by the probability to reach the basin boundary $q_b(t)$, i.e., by the action $S_0(q_b(t);t)$ [9]. The Hamiltonian trajectory $q_{opt}(t); p_{opt}(t)$, which minimizes $S_0(q_b(t);t)$, approaches $q_b(t)$ asymptotically as $t \rightarrow 1$. This is a heteroclinic trajectory of the auxiliary system, it is periodically repeated in time with period F ; $q_{opt}(t)$ is the most probable escape path (MPEP) of the original system.

Close to $q_b(t)$, the Hamiltonian equations for $q(t); p(t)$ can be linearized and solved. On the MPEP

$$\begin{aligned} p_{opt}(t) &= -Q_{opt}(t) = -\frac{2}{b}(t) = -\frac{1}{b}(t;t^0)p_{opt}(t^0); \\ S_0(q_{opt}(t);t) &= R - Q_{opt}^2(t)/2 = -\frac{2}{b}(t); \end{aligned} (9)$$

where $Q_{opt}(t) = q_{opt}(t) - q_b(t)$. The quantity $R = S_0(q_{opt}(t);t_{t-1})$ is the activation energy of escape.

The surface $S_0(q;t)$ is flat for small $Q - Q_{opt}$ due to nonintegrability of the dynamics with Hamiltonian (8) [14]. It touches the surface $S_b(q;t) = R - Q^2/2 = -\frac{2}{b}(t)$ on the MPEP, $Q = Q_{opt}(t)$. Away from the MPEP $S_0(q;t) > S_b(q;t)$, and therefore the function $b(q;t) = (q;t) \exp[S_b(q;t)=D]$ is maximal on the MPEP.

We match on the MPEP b found in the eikonal approximation to the maximum of b found from Eq. (4) near the basin boundary. For $\beta_m j D$ and $Q \ll 1$, the integral over p in Eq. (4) can be evaluated by the steepest descent method. The integrand is maximal if $p = -Q = \frac{2}{b}(t)$ and s is minimal for this p , i.e., $(p;t) = \cdot_m$ and $s = s_m$. These conditions can be met on the whole MPEP at once, because $(p_{opt}(t);t) = \text{const}$. Then from Eq. (4)

$$\begin{aligned} (q;t) &= E_b(t) \exp[-S_b(q;t)=D]; \\ E_b(t) &= ED^{-1/2} \frac{2}{b}(t) + \frac{2}{F} S_m^0 p_{opt}^2(t)^{-1/2}; (10) \end{aligned}$$

where $E = E(2D)^{1/2} \exp[(R - s_m)=D]$, and $s_m^0 [d^2 s/d^2]_{\cdot_m}$. From Eqs. (9), (10), not only the exponents, but also their slopes coincide along the MPEP for

the boundary-layer and eikonal-approximation distributions.

The function $E_b(t)$ should match on the MPEP the prefactor of the eikonal-approximation distribution $\exp(-S=D)$, which is given by the term S_1/D in S . On the MPEP, $z = \exp(2S_1=D)$ obeys the equation [12, 18]

$$d^2 z = dt^2 - 2D(z q_b K) = dt + 2zp q_K^2 = 0; \quad (11)$$

where $q = q_{\text{opt}}(t)$; $p = p_{\text{opt}}(t)$. The initial condition to this equation follows from $j(q;t) = z^{1/2} \exp(-S_0=D)$ being Gaussian near $q_b(t)$, which gives $z(t) \sim D^{-2} \dot{a}^2(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0$. Close to $q_b(t)$, from Eq. (11) $z(t) = D [Z_1 \dot{a}^2(t) + Z_2 p_{\text{opt}}^2(t)]$, where $Z_{1,2}$ are constants [12]; the term $/Z_1$ was disregarded in the analysis [12]. Remarkably, $z^{1/2}(t)$ is of the same functional form near $q_b(t)$ as $E_b(t)$. Thus the prefactors in $j(q;t)$ as given by the eikonal and the boundary-layer approximations also match each other.

Explicit expressions for the escape rate in the regime of strong synchronization can be obtained for comparatively weak or slow modulation, where $s_m^0 \ll j_m j$ but

$$\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 \ll R; \quad (12)$$

The results for $D \ll j_m j \ll R$ should coincide with the results of Ref. 11, which were obtained in a different way. We have verified this by finding s_m^0 from Eq. (11) by perturbation theory in the modulation amplitude A .

Condition (12) can be met for large A , where $s_m^0 \ll R$, provided the modulation frequency is small, $\omega_F \ll \tau_F^{-1}$ (adiabatic modulation). Here, the MPEP is given by the equation $q_{\text{opt}} = K q_{\text{opt}}; \tau_m$, with τ_m found from the condition of the minimum of the adiabatic barrier height $U(t) = U(q_b(t); t) - U(q_b(t); t)$. The activation energy $R = U_m - U(\tau_m)$.

The value of s_m^0 can be obtained from $z(t)$ or by matching the adiabatic intrawell distribution $\exp[-U(q;t)=D]$ and the boundary layer distribution (4) in the region $Q \ll j_b$ and $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 \ll b(\tau_m) Q^2$ for $j_b \ll j_F$. Both approaches give $\frac{2}{F} \frac{2}{b} s_m^0 = U_m$, where b and U_m are calculated for $t = \tau_m$.

The form of $j(q;t)$ depends on the parameter $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 = D$. When it is small, the term $/p_{\text{opt}}^2$ in $E_b(t)$ [Eq. (10)] and $z(t)$ is also small away from the diffusion region around q_b . Then $z = 2D \dot{a}^2(\tau_m)$. The pulses of $j(q;t)$ are Gaussian,

$$j(q;t) = \frac{j_a b j^{1/2}}{2} e^{-R=D} \sum_k e^{-(t-t_k)^2 / U_m = 2D} \quad (13)$$

$[a_{ab} b(\tau_m)]$. They are centered at $t_k = \tau_m + k \tau_F$, with $k = 0; 1; \dots$ [we disregard the delay $b^{-1} \ln(Q=b)$ in t_k]. Eq. (13) corresponds to the fully adiabatic picture, where the escape rate is given by the instantaneous barrier height $U(t)$.

The current has a different form for $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 = D \gg 1$. Because $p_{\text{opt}}^2(t) / \dot{a}^2(t; \tau_m^0)$ exponentially increases in time near q_b , the term $/p_{\text{opt}}^2$ in E_b and z becomes dominating

before the MPEP reaches the diffusion region $Q \ll j_b$. Then Eqs. (6), (10) give

$$j(q;t) = \frac{b(t) E D^{1/2}}{F s_m^0} e^{-R=D} \sum_{k=1}^X e^{-x_k^2} x_k e^{-x_k}; \quad (14)$$

$$x_k = x_0 \exp(2k/F); \quad x_0 = p_{\text{opt}}(t) Q = D;$$

Note that here $p_{\text{opt}}(t)$ can be smaller than $j_b = \frac{2}{b}(\tau_m)$.

Eq. (14) describes the escape rate in the whole region $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 \ll D$; it does not require the adiabatic approximation. Its form is totally different from that of the diffusion current $D q_b$ on the basin boundary $Q = 0$ as given by Eqs. (4), (10). The ratio $E = \frac{p}{F s_m^0} = \frac{p}{F Z_2^{1/2}}$ can be obtained by solving Eq. (11).

For $F \gg 1$ the current (14) is a series of distinct strongly asymmetric peaks, with $x_k \sim \exp[-(t - k \tau_F - \tau_m) b(\tau_m)]$ near the maximum. The transition between the pulse shapes (13) and (14) occurs for $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 = D \gg 1$. It is described by Eq. (6) with $E = (2)^{1/2} D^{1/2} j_a = b j^{1/2} \exp[(R - \tau_m) = D]$. We note that, for $F \gg 1$, the shape of current pulses in the whole range (12) is the same as for weak modulation [19], but the parameters depend on A differently.

With increasing F the peaks of j (14) are smeared out and the escape synchronization is weakened. For $F \gg 1$ it disappears (s_m^0 rapidly decreases with $1/F$ for large F).

The escape current (14) is completely different from the current on the basin boundary [12]. The regime $\frac{2}{F} s_m^0 = D \gg 1$, where the current has the form (13), cannot be studied in the approximation [12] at all.

In the range $s_m^0 \ll j_m j \ll D$, the period-averaged escape rate (7) is

$$\bar{W} = \exp(-R=D); \quad \bar{W} = b E D^{1/2} = 2 \frac{p}{F s_m^0}; \quad (15)$$

The prefactor can be expressed in terms of Z_2 , formally giving the result [12] even where the theory [12] does not apply.

The asymptotic technique developed in this paper allows obtaining the prefactor in several limiting cases. For comparatively weak modulation, $D \ll j_m j \ll R$, Eqs. (11), (15) give the same result as in Ref. [11]. Since the theory [11] covers the whole range $j_m j \ll R$, a transition from the Kramers limit of no modulation to the case of arbitrarily strong modulation is now fully described.

In the whole range where the adiabatic approximation applies, $F \gg 1$, we obtain

$$\bar{W} = (2)^{3/2} j_a b j^{1/2} D^{1/2} \omega_F (U_m)^{-1/2} \quad (16)$$

where a_{ab} are calculated for $t = \tau_m$. Interestingly, (16) is independent of the modulation frequency.

Eq. (16) is simplified for the modulation amplitude close to the bifurcational value A_c where the metastable and unstable states merge. For small $A = A_c - A$ and $\omega_F \ll j_b \tau_m$ the adiabatic barrier is $U(t) / [A + a_c \dot{a}^2(t - \tau_m)^2]^{3/2}$ (here $a_c = A_c$), and $j_{a,b} j / (A)^{1/2}$, cf. Refs. 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25. Then, from Eq. (16), the prefactor in the adiabatic limit scales as $\bar{W} / (A)^{1/4}$.




FIG. 1: The prefactor in the average escape rate \bar{W} (15). The results refer to a Brownian particle with $K(q;t) = q^2 / (4 + A \cos(\omega_F t))$, $\omega_F = 0.1$, and describe escape in the regime of strong synchronization, where $\gamma / D^{1/2} \gg 1$. The solid line for small A shows the scaling $\gamma / A^{1/2}$ [11]. The solid line for small $A_c - A$ ($A_c = 0.29$) shows the scaling (17). The dashed line shows the result of the numerical solution of Eq. (11). The squares and crosses show the results of Monte Carlo simulations for $R/D = 5$ and $R/D = 6$, respectively.

The slowing down of the system motion makes the adiabatic approximation inapplicable in the region $A = A_c < \gamma / D^{1/2}$. In contrast to the adiabatic scaling $R / (A)^{3/2}$, the activation energy scales here as $R / (A)^2$ [24]. Using the results [24], we obtain from Eq. (11)

$$= \gamma_0 D^{1/2} (A)^{-1/2} \omega_F^{5/4}; \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma_0 = (64^{-1/4} \omega_F)^{-1/4} \beta_t^2 K_q^2 K_j^{3/8} = \beta_A K_j$. Here all derivatives are evaluated for $q;t$, and the amplitude $A = A_c^{ad} - A_c$ where the minimum and maximum over q of

the potential $U(q;t)$ merge (once per period).

From Eq. (17), the prefactor $\gamma / (A)^{1/2}$ sharply increases as the modulation amplitude approaches A_c . This is qualitatively different from the decrease of γ in the adiabatic approximation. The scaling $\gamma / (A)^{1/2}$ agrees with the numerical solution of Eqs. (11), (15) for a model system shown in Fig. 1. The calculations in a broad range of A are also confirmed by Monte Carlo simulations.

For high frequencies, $\omega_F \gg 1$, modulation does not lead to exponentially strong escape synchronization. The prefactor in the escape rate is $\gamma = j_{ab} j^{3/2} = 2$, it is independent of the noise intensity D . Near the bifurcation point it scales as in stationary systems, where $\gamma / (A)^{3/2}$ and $R / (A)^{3/2}$ [20, 21]. Very close to the bifurcation point modulation is necessarily fast, because $j_{ab} j \rightarrow 0$ for $A \rightarrow A_c$. Therefore the prefactor always goes to zero for $A \rightarrow A_c$. However, for small ω_F the corresponding region of A is exponentially narrow [24].

In conclusion, we have obtained a general solution of the problem of noise-induced escape in periodically modulated overdamped systems. With increasing modulation frequency, the pulses of escape current change from Gaussian to strongly asymmetric; for large ω_F current modulation is smeared out. The prefactor in the period-averaged escape rate is a strongly nonmonotonic function of the modulation amplitude A for low frequencies. It first drops with increasing A to $\gamma / (D \omega_F)^{1/2}$ [11], then varies with A smoothly [12, 13], and then sharply increases, $\gamma / D^{1/2} = (A_c - A)$ near the bifurcation amplitude A_c . We found three scaling regimes near A_c , where $\gamma / (A_c - A)$ with $\gamma = 1/4$, 1 , or $1/2$. The widths of the corresponding scaling ranges strongly depend on the modulation frequency.

This research was supported in part by the NSF DMR-0305746.

-
- [1] W. Wemsdorfer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1791 (1997); W. T. Cooley et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5655 (1998).
 - [2] R. H. Koch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5419 (2000).
 - [3] E. B. Myers et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 196801 (2002).
 - [4] Y. Yu and S. Han, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 127003 (2003).
 - [5] A. Wallra et al., Nature 425, 155 (2003).
 - [6] I. Siddiqui et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 207002 (2004).
 - [7] M. H. Devoret et al., Phys. Rev. B 36, 58 (1987).
 - [8] J. S. Aklridge and A. N. Cleland, cond-mat/0406528 (2004).
 - [9] For a recent review see S. M. Soskin, R. M. Annella, and P. V. E. McClintock, Phys. Rep. 373, 247 (2003).
 - [10] H. Kramers, Physica (Utrecht) 7, 240 (1940).
 - [11] V. N. Smelyanskiy, M. J. Dykman, and B. G. Oeding, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3193 (1999).
 - [12] J. Lehmann, P. Reimann, and P. Hanggi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1639 (2000); Phys. Rev. E 62, 6282 (2000).
 - [13] R. S. Maier and D. L. Stein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3942 (2001).
 - [14] R. Graham and T. Tel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 9 (1984); J. Stat. Phys. 35, 729 (1984); Phys. Rev. A 31, 1109 (1985).
 - [15] M. V. Berry, Adv. Phys., 35, 1 (1976).
 - [16] D. Ludwig, SIAM Rev. 17, 605 (1975).
 - [17] M. J. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell, Random Perturbations in Dynamical Systems, 2nd ed. (Springer Verlag, NY 1998).
 - [18] D. Ryter and P. Jordan, Phys. Lett. 104A, 193 (1984).
 - [19] V. N. Smelyanskiy et al., J. Chem. Phys. 110, 11488 (1999).
 - [20] J. Kurkijarvi, Phys. Rev. B 6, 832 (1972).
 - [21] M. J. Dykman and M. A. Krivogla, Physica 104A, 480 (1980).
 - [22] R. H. Victora, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 457 (1989).
 - [23] O. A. Tretiakov, T. Gramerspacher, and K. A. Matveev, Phys. Rev. B 67, 073303 (2003); O. A. Tretiakov and K. A. Matveev, cond-mat/0411075.
 - [24] M. J. Dykman, B. G. Oeding, and D. Ryvkin, Phys. Rev.

Lett. 92, 080602 (2004).

[25] M. Bier, Phys. Rev. E 71, (2005).