# Inhom ogeneous hard-sphere m ixtures: M an ifestations of structural crossover

C.Grodon and R.Roth Max-Planck-Institut fur Metallforschung, Heisenbergstra e 3, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany and Institut fur Theoretische und Angewandte Physik, Universitat Stuttgart, Pfa enwaldring 57, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

M. Dijkstra Debye Institute, Soft Condensed Matter Group, Utrecht University, Princetonplein 5, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands

R. Evans H.H. W ills Physics Laboratory, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TL, UK

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur M etallforschung, H eisenbergstra e 3, D -70569 Stuttgart, G erm any and Institut fur T heoretische und Angewandte P hysik, U niversitat Stuttgart, P fa enwaldring 57, D -70569 Stuttgart, G erm any

W e study various manifestations of structural crossover in the properties of a binary mixture of hard-spheres. For hom ogeneous m ixtures that are su ciently asymmetric, there is a crossover line in the phase diagram such that for therm odynam ic states that lie on one side, the decay of the three bulk pair correlation functions is oscillatory with a common wavelength approximately equal to the diam eter of the sm aller spheres, and for states on the other side, the com m on wavelength is approxim ately the diam eter of the bigger spheres. U sing density functional theory we show that structural crossover manifests itself in the intermediate range decay of i) the one-body density pro les of a hard-sphere m ixture adsorbed at planar walls, ii) the e ective (depletion) potential between two big hard-spheres immersed in the same binary mixture and iii) the solvation force, or excess pressure, of the same mixture con ned between two planar hard-walls. We determine exactly the structural crossover line for a one-dimensional binary mixture of hard-rods and present evidence, based on density functional theory calculations and M onte-C arlo simulations, for structural crossover in hom ogeneous binary mixtures of hard-disks in two dimensions. By considering a multicom ponent mixture of hard-spheres, with an appropriate bim odal distribution of diam eters, we argue that structural crossover should still occur in the presence of polydispersity and that our results could be relevant to colloidal m ixtures where correlation functions can be m easured using real-space techniques.

# I. IN TRODUCTION

In 1969 M ichael F isher and B en W idom [1] published an elegant paper in which they conjectured that there should be a line in the phase diagram of a simple one-component uid at which the character of the longest range decay of the total pair correlation function h (r) changes from monotonic to exponentially damped oscillatory. Their conjecture, which was based on exact results for certain one-dimensional models, pertains to uids which exhibit liquid-gas phase separation. A lthough the F isher{W idom (FW) line constitutes a sharp, well-de ned crossover line, in say the tem perature-density plane, it does not im ply any accompanying non-analyticity in the free energy of the bulk uid and therefore no phase transition. The signi cance of the FW line for the structure of wall- uid and liquid-gas interfaces and for the asymptotic decay of the solvation force in a conned uid was not appreciated until much later2[, 3]. Indeed the rst attempt at calculating the FW line using a realistic theory of liquids (for a square-wellm odel) was not reported until 1993 [2]. Subsequently there have been several investigations of FW crossover for various types of uids using a variety of liquid state theories and the rst computer simulation determ ination of the FW line (for a truncated Lennard-Jones uid) was reported in 2000 4]. In the present paper we follow the spirit of FW in that we seek qualitative changes in the asymptotic decay of correlation functions corresponding to di erent regions of the phase diagram. Unlike FW, we focus on binary mixtures and investigate changes in the wavelength of the oscillations in various structural quantities; we are not concerned with crossover from monotonic to oscillatory decay rather with crossover from asymptotic oscillatory decay with one particular wavelength to decay with a di erent wavelength.

In a recent paper [5], denoted I, we showed that for su ciently asymmetric binary mixtures of (additive) hardspheres there is a sharp structural crossover line in the  $(_{\rm b};_{\rm s})$  phase diagram at which the common wavelength of the longest range oscillations in the three bulk pair correlation functions  $h_{bb}(r)$ ,  $h_{ss}(r)$  and  $h_{bs}(r)$  changes discontinuously from approximately  $_{\rm b}$ , the diameter of the bigger species, to  $_{\rm s}$ , the diameter of the smaller species.  $_{\rm b}$  and  $_{\rm s}$  denote the packing fractions of big and small spheres. We also showed, using density functional theory (DFT) and M onte C arb simulation (M C), that structural crossover manifests itself at intermediate range, not just at longest range. It follows that crossover might be observed in real-space measurements of the pair correlation functions in colloidal mixtures.

Here we investigate what repercussions this new crossover line might have for the structure of inhom ogeneous hard-sphere mixtures. We also exam ine other properties of mixtures seeking further manifestations of structural crossover.

O ur paper is arranged as follows. In subsection IIA we summarise the main features of the general theory of the asymptotics of pair correlation functions in binary mixtures and outline the nature of structural crossover found in bulk uids in paper I. Subsection IIB presents DFT results for one-body density proles,  $_i(z)$ , i = s;b, of the mixture at two types of planar wall. These demonstrate clearly that structural crossover is just as easy to observe at wall-uid interfaces as in bulk. Indeed a single pole approximation for  $_i(z)$  is just as elective as the corresponding approximation [5] for the pair correlation functions  $h_{ij}(r)$ . In subsection IIIA we consider the elective depletion W (r) potential between two big hard spheres immersed in the binary mixture. Using a particle insertion method, based on W idom 's [6] potential distribution theorem, combined with DFT we show that the intermediate and long range behaviour of W (r) provides a clear signature of crossover, i.e. the wavelength of the oscillations in the depletion potential exhibits the same evariation with the therm odynamic state point ( $_{b}$ ;  $_{s}$ ) as is found in  $h_{ij}(r)$ . An equivalent conclusion is reached in subsection IIIB for the solvation force  $f_s(L)$  which arises when the binary hard-spherem ixture is conclusion the found in  $h_{ij}(r)$  and in the wall- uid density proles  $_i(z)$ . Throughout we focus on a mixture with size ratio  $q = _{s} = _{b} = 0.5$ . However, there is nothing special about that particular choice for q as we pointed out in paper I.

In section IV we extend our analysis to the case of polydisperse hard-sphere mixtures, bearing in mind that polydispersity is always relevant in colloidal system s. We calculate, using DFT, the density proles for a multicomponent mixture with a large, but nite, number of species adsorbed at a hard-wall. The size distributions are chosen to min ic a bim odal system with two maxim a that are very weakly overlapping. In spite of the maxim a being very broad we

nd a clear signature of crossover in the density prole of the species whose diam eter is equal to  $\frac{m}{b}$  as the position of the maximum in the size distribution of big spheres. We return to hom ogeneous binary mixtures in section V and investigate structural crossover in low dimensional uids. For one-dimensional hard-rod mixtures there are exact results for the pair direct correlation functions which allow us to determ ine exactly the relevant poles of the Fourier transforms of  $h_{ij}(r)$ . We indicate that found for the hard-sphere mixture with the same size ratio.

Two-dimensional hard-disk mixtures are of particular interest from an experimental point of view. Using video microscopy one can measure accurately correlation functions in colloidal suspensions that are conned by light elds to two dimensions. This technique might provide a means of testing the crossover scenario experimentally. We perform DFT calculations and M onte-C arb simulations to verify that structural crossover also occurs for hard-disk mixtures in dimension d = 2.

W e conclude, in section V I, with a discussion of our results and their possible relevance for other m ixtures and for experiment.

## II. A SYM PTOTIC DECAY OF CORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In this section we recall some of the predictions of the general theory of the asymptotics of correlation functions laid out in Refs. [2, 3]; a summary of the main results is given in Ref. [7] which adopts a density functional theory perspective from the outset. We consider a binary mixture of big (b) and small (s) particles with bulk (reservoir) densities  $_{i}$  and chemical potentials  $_{i}$ , i = s; b. Numerical results will be presented for hard-sphere mixtures.

#### A. Pair Correlations in Bulk M ixtures

The nature of structural crossover of the total correlation functions  $h_{ij}(r) = g_{ij}(r)$  1, where  $g_{ij}(r)$  is the radial distribution function, was studied in detail for hom ogeneous binary hard-sphere m ixtures in paper I. The analysis is based on calculating the leading-order poles of  $\hat{h}_{ij}(k)$ , the Fourier transform of  $h_{ij}(r)$ .

In the bulk m ixture  $h_{ij}$  (r) are related to the pair direct correlation functions  $c_{ij}^{(2)}$  (r) via the O mstein-Zemike (OZ) equations

$$h_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{12}) = c_{ij}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_{12}) + \sum_{\substack{k=s,b}}^{X} d^{3}r_{3}c_{ik}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_{13})h_{kj}(\mathbf{r}_{32}); \qquad (1)$$

where  $r_{ij} = jr_i \quad r_j j$ .

If we suppose that the direct correlation functions are known the 0Z equations can be solved easily in Fourier space.  $\hat{h}_{ij}(k)$  can be written as

$$\hat{h}_{ij}(k) = \frac{\hat{N}_{ij}(k)}{\hat{D}(k)};$$
(2)

where the denom inator comm on to all three equations is given by

$$\hat{D}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & {}_{s}\hat{C}_{ss}^{(2)}(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & {}_{b}\hat{C}_{bb}^{(2)}(k) \end{bmatrix} \quad {}_{s} & {}_{b}\hat{C}_{bs}^{(2)}(k)^{2} :$$
(3)

 $\hat{c}_{ij}^{(2)}(k)$  is the Fourier transform of  $c_{ij}^{(2)}(r)$ .

From the form al solution (2) one obtains an expression for the total correlation functions  $h_{ij}(r)$  in real space by performing the inverse Fourier transform via the residue theorem. We assume that the singularities of  $\hat{h}_{ij}(k)$  are (simple) poles [2]. In order to determ ine the asymptotic behaviour we must consider the leading order pole (LOP) contribution to  $rh_{ij}(r) = (2)^{1} \int_{n}^{n} R_n^{ij} \exp(ip_n r)$ , where  $p_n$  are the aforem entioned poles, given by the zeros of  $\hat{D}(k)$ .  $R_n^{ij}$  is the residue of  $k\hat{h}_{ij}(k)$  corresponding to the pole  $p_n$ . Poles are either pure in aginary,  $p = ia_0$ , or occur as a conjugate complex pair  $p = a_1 + ia_0$ . The pole, or pair of poles, with the smallest in aginary part  $a_0$  determines the slowest exponential decay and is term ed the LOP. This pole determines the ultimate, r ! 1, decay of  $h_{ij}(r)$ . Note that in the case of complex poles all three total correlation functions show a common oscillatory asymptotic decay

$$rh_{ij}(r) = A_{ij} \exp(a_0 r) \cos(a_1 r _{ij}); r! 1:$$
 (4)

The imaginary and real parts of the LOP determ ine the characteristic decay length  $a_0^{1}$  and the wavelength of oscillations 2 = $a_1$ , respectively. These length scales are common for all pairs ij, whereas the phases  $i_1$  and the am plitudes A ii are dependent on the indices ij. A sem phasized in Ithis obzervation is rem arkable when one considers a binary system where the sizes of the two species are quite di erent. Clearly at high concentrations of the small particles one would expect the wavelength to be determ ined by the size of the small particles whereas at low concentrations one would expect that the wavelength of oscillations is set by the size of the big particles. Explicit calculations, based on DFT, show that the wavelength of the longest ranged oscillations  $2 = a_1$  changes discontinuously at some sharp crossover line in the phase diagram of binary hard-sphere mixtures [5]. In gure1 the crossover line is plotted for a hard-sphere mixture with size ratio q = s = b = 0.5. s and b denote the hard-sphere diam eters and results are presented in terms of the packing fractions  $i = i \frac{3}{i} = 6$ , i = s; b. 1 denotes the pole with the longest wavelength b, whereas  $_2$  is that with the second longest wavelength  $2 = a_1$  $_{\rm b}$ =2. There are higher-order poles 3, 4, 2 =a1 etc. [5] but these are not germ ane to gure1. The genesis of the crossover line is given in gure 2 of paper I where the trajectories of poles are plotted. Note that close to a crossover point the two poles 1 and 2 have similar in aginary parts,  $a_0$  and  $a_0$ , and both contribute to the oscillatory decay of the total correlation functions at interm ediate values of r, provided that the corresponding am plitudes,  $A_{ij}$  and  $K_{ij}$ , are similar in size, i.e. near crossover we expect

$$rh_{ij}(r) \quad A_{ij}\exp\left(a_0r\right)\cos\left(a_1r \quad {}_{ij}\right) + A_{ij}\exp\left(a_0r\right)\cos\left(a_1r \quad {}^{\sim}_{ij}\right)$$
(5)

for large r. The rst contribution corresponds to  $_1$  with  $a_1 = _b$  and the second to  $_2$  with  $a_1 = (q_b)$ . Crossover occurs when  $a_0 = a_0$ .

In I we investigated the decay of h<sub>ij</sub> (r) at interm ediate range by perform ing DFT calculations of the density proles of both species in the presence of a xed (test) particle exerting an external potential on the other particles in the uid. Using both the Rosenfeld §] and W hite Bear version [9] of fundamental measure DFT we found that the



FIG. 1: C rossover line for a binary hard-sphere m ixture with size ratio q = 0.5. On each side of the line the asymptotic behaviour is dom inated by di erent leading order poles (LOP) labelled 1 and 2. For small values of the packing fraction s, 1 dom inates and the wavelength of the oscillations in  $h_{ij}(r)$  is b. On increasing s at xed b the wavelength decreases gradually until crossover occurs. Then 2 dom inates and the oscillations have a wavelength 0.5 b. G enerally at the crossover line the wavelength of the longest ranged oscillations changes discontinuously by a factor of about q. These results were obtained using the Percus-Y evick approximation for the direct correlation functions  $c_{ij}^{(2)}(k)$  see I. Note that the crossover line begins at the origin and we have truncated it at b = 0.5.

crossover behaviour predicted by the asym ptotic (pole) analysism an ifests itself at interm ediate separations as well as at longest range, r ! 1. This is illustrated in gures 6 and 7 of I. A way from the crossover line the result from the LOP approximation (4) provides an accurate to the DFT results except for small separations,  $r < 2_{b}$ , where m any poles begin to contribute. For state points close to the crossover line the two-pole approximation (5) provides as good

t to the DFT results as is achieved by equation 4) away from the line; the presence of two oscillatory wavelengths im plied by equation (5) is manifest in the num erical DFT data. This observation will be important later when we consider manifestations of structural crossover for inhom ogeneous uids.

We complete our sum mary of structural crossover in hom ogeneous binary hard-sphere mixtures by presenting, in gure2, results for the realpart,  $a_1$ , of the leading order poles calculated for a full range of size ratios q. As in I, the poles were determined by calculating the zeros of  $\hat{D}$  (k) in equation (3) using the Percus-Yevick results for the pair direct correlation functions. For the more symmetric mixtures, q > 0:7, the LOP is  $_1$  for all packing fractions so there is a continuous variation of  $a_1$  in this regime; there is no crossover. For more asymmetric mixtures there are discontinuities in  $a_1$  and separate branches appear in gure2. For example, for q = 0.5 there is a gap between the branches corresponding to  $_1$  and  $_2$ , and crossover corresponds to jumping between these branches as the packing fractions are varied. As q is reduced further additional branches appear and for q = 0.35 crossover can occur between  $_1$  and  $_2$  and between  $_2$  and  $_3$  or directly between  $_1$  and  $_3$ . Similarly for q = 0.2 crossover can occur between  $_1$  and  $_4$ ,  $_4$  and  $_5$  or directly between  $_1$  and  $_5$ .

The origin of the crossover lines shown in  $gure 5 \text{ of I can be understood from this 'master' plot of the poles. Note that for small size ratios some of the packing fractions considered in the plot correspond to state points that lie within the uid-solid coexistence region | see I.$ 

## B. Density Pro les at a Planar W all

Earlier treatments [2, 3, 7] of the asymptotics of correlation functions emphasised that, for uids with shortranged interatom ic potentials, the pole structure given by the zeros of  $\hat{D}(k)$  in equation (3) determines not only the decay of  $h_{ij}(r)$  in a bulk mixture but also the decay of the one body density proles at wall-uid and liquid-vapour interfaces. Given this observation we should expect to nd manifestations of structural crossover in the properties of inhom ogeneous mixtures and we seek these in the present subsection.

Perhaps the most direct way of analysing the asymptotic decay, z ! 1, of the density pro les, wi(z), of a binary



FIG. 2: Realpart  $a_1$  of the leading order poles calculated for xed size ratios q and various combinations of packing fractions: generally = s + b increases from left to right up to a maximum of 0.5. For the less symmetric mixtures with q < 0.65 separate branches 1, 2, etc. arise and there are no leading order poles with values of  $a_1$  lying in the gaps. Structural crossover corresponds to a 'jump' from a value of  $a_1$  associated with one branch of poles to a value associated with another | see text.

m ixture adsorbed at a planar wall is to start from the wall-particle OZ equations, i.e. we consider a ternary m ixture with species s; b plus a third species whose density  $_3$  ! 0. The third component, whose diameter is  $_3$ , can be considered as a wall that exerts an external potential on the m ixture of s and b particles. The resulting OZ equations can be expressed as

$$\hat{h}_{wi}(k) = \frac{N_{wi}(k)}{\hat{D}(k)};$$
(6)

i = s;b, where  $\hat{h}_{wi}(k)$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $h_{wi}(r)$ , the wall-particle total correlation function and the third species is now labelled w (wall). Note that the form of (6) is valid for any value of  $_3$  and the repercussions for the density proles hold for a spherical wall,  $_3 < 1$ , for which the wall-particle total correlation function  $h_{wi}(r)$  has spherical symmetry, as well as for a planar one,  $_3 ! 1$ , for which we have planar symmetry for the total correlation functions,  $h_{wi}(z)$ . z is then the distance from the planar wall and  $\hat{h}_{wi}(k)$  is replaced by  $\tilde{h}_{wi}(k)$ , the one-dimensional Fourier transform. The denom inator  $\hat{D}(k)$  is common to both species i = s and b particles and is identical to that appearing in equation (3): it depends upon the pair direct correlation functions of the bulk m ixture of s and b whose densities  $_s$  and  $_b$  are xed by the reservoir far from the wall. In the planar case the numerator  $N_{wi}(k)$  can be written in terms of the one-dimensional Fourier transforms of the wall-particle direct correlation functions  $c_{wi}(z)$  which depend, of course, upon the wall uid potentials  $V_w^i(z)$ . Provided the latter decay faster than correlation functions decay as exp( $a_0r)$ , where  $a_0$  is the in aginary part of the LOP, this requires  $V_w^i(z)$  to decay faster than exp( $a_0z$ ). In the calculations to be described below we shall consider only hard walls or walls whose attractive potential is of nite support.

The planar wall-particle density prote is given by  $w_i(z) = i h_{w_i}(z) + 1$ , i = s; b, and from equation (6) it follows that the asymptotic decay is described by

$$_{wi}(z) = B_{i}^{w} \exp(a_{0}z)\cos(a_{1}z = \frac{w}{i}); z ! 1$$
 (7)

where i denotes the bulk density of species i. A lthough the decay length  $a_0^{1}$  and wavelength  $2 = a_1$  are the same for both species, the am plitude  $B_i^w$  and phase i depend on the species label and on the choice of wall-uid potentials. C learly equation (7) is the analogue of equation (4). Note that the additional factor 1=r in the latter arises from taking a three-dimensional rather than a one-dimensional Fourier transform.

Equation (7) in plies that if structural crossover occurs in the correlation functions of a bulk m ixture one should observe equivalent behaviour in the one-body density pro les of the sam e m ixture, near the sam e crossover state point, adsorbed at a planar wall. We exam ine this prediction below.



FIG. 3: Logarithm of density profess  $_{wb}(z)$  of the big species b of a binary hard-sphere mixture, with size ratio q = 0.5, adsorbed at a planar hard wall. The bulk packing fraction  $_{b}$  is xed at 0.1. The solid lines refer to DFT calculations and the dashed lines result from leading order asymptotics | see text. For a low packing fraction of the small species  $_{s} = 0.1$  [curve (a)] the oscillations have a wavelength of about  $_{b}$ , the diam eter of the big spheres whereas for a high packing fraction  $_{s} = 0.15$  [curve (c)] the wavelength is about  $_{s}$ , the diam eter of the small spheres. In the vicinity of the crossover, curve (b) with  $_{s} = 0.125$ , interference e ects are clearly evident. In (a) and (c) a contribution from a single (dom inant) pole, equation (7), is used in the asymptotic expression whereas in curve (b) the contributions of the two poles are included, equation (9). The results in (b) and (c) are shifted vertically for clarity of display.

There are alternative ways of deriving equation (7) based on i) a DFT approach [7], or ii) analysis of the exact integral equations for the density pro les in planar geometry [0].

In our num erical calculations we consider the binary hard-sphere m ixture for which we reported the crossover line in gure1, namely with a size ratio q = 0.5. We determ ined the density profiles wi(z) using Rosenfeld's fundamental measures DFT [8], treating the planar wall as an external potential for the unid mixture. Two types of wall-unid potential were investigated. The rst corresponds to a planar hard-wall:

$$V_{w}^{i}(z) = \begin{array}{c} 1 ; & z < R_{i} \\ 0; & \text{otherw ise}; \end{array}$$

$$\tag{8}$$

for i = s;b. Here z denotes the centre of a hard sphere,  $R_b = b=2$  and  $R_s = s=2$ . In gure3 we show density profiles for the big spheres,  $w_b(z)$ , for a fixed bulk (reservoir) packing fraction b = 0.1 and three values of s, the bulk packing fraction of the smaller spheres. For s = 0.1, curve (a), the oscillations have a wavelength of approximately b whereas for s = 0.15, curve (c), the wavelength is approximately  $s = q_b$ . In curve (b), s = 0.125, the density profile exhibits interference e for extra and two wavelengths are clearly evident. This value of s lies close to the crossover value s = 0.126 for this choice of  $b \mid see$  gure1. Structural crossoverm anifests itself in a very direct fashion. The other striking feature of these results is that the oscillatory behaviour predicted by leading order asymptotics sets in at interm ediate distances, i.e. for  $z \geq 2.5 b$ . This is illustrated by plotting alongside the DFT results the prediction from equation (7), with  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  calculated from the zeros of  $\hat{D}(k)$  in equation (3) corresponding to the appropriate bulk state point (b; s). As in subsection IIA, the input to  $\hat{D}(k)$  are the pair direct correlation functions  $C_{ij}^{(2)}(k)$ obtained from Percus-Yevick theory. This is completely consistent with employing the Rosenfeld DFT since in bulk the latter generates, via functional differentiation, the Percus-Yevick  $C_{ij}^{(2)}(r)$  [5, 8].

The dashed lines in curves (a) and (c) of gure3 are results based on the single pole approximation, equation (7), with the amplitude  $B_b^W$  and phase  $b_b^W$  the to the DFT results at intermediate z. The agreement between the two sets of results for wb(z) is excellent, apart from the region very close to the wall where many poles contribute. For curve (b), the state point close to crossover, we utilize a two pole approximation, equivalent to equation (5):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & B_{i}^{W} \exp \left( a_{0} z \right) \cos \left( a_{1} z & {}^{W}_{i} \right) \\ & + B_{i}^{W} \exp \left( a_{0} z \right) \cos \left( a_{1} z & {}^{W}_{i} \right); & z ! 1 \end{array}$$

$$(9)$$

i = s;b. Once again  $a_0, a_0, a_1$  and  $a_1$  are obtained from the zeros of  $\hat{D}(k)$  at the given state point and now two amplitudes  $B_b^w$  and  $B_b^w$  and two phases  $b_b^w$  and  $b_b^w$  are tted to the DFT data at intermediate z. The two-pole t clearly provides an excellent description in the crossover regime. Overall the quality of the ts achieved by leading order asymptotics is no worse than is achieved by the corresponding ts to the test particle DFT results for  $h_j(r)$ obtained for size ratio q = 0.3 | see gure 6 of paper I. The implication of this observation is that the crossover features found in the DFT results should be clearly visible in computer simulations of hard wall- uid density profiles which, owing to statistical considerations, are limited to small and intermediate z.

The second type of planar wall that we consider is described by the wall-uid potentials

$$V_{paw}^{i}(z) = \begin{array}{c} \circ & 1 ; \\ + \frac{2}{2}(z R_{i}) & -\frac{2}{2}(z R_{i})^{2}; \\ 0; \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} z < R_{i} \\ R_{i} < z < + R_{i} \\ 0; \end{array} \qquad (10)$$

where =  $(k_B T)^1$  and > 0 is a dimensionless constant describing the depth of the attractive well, i.e.  $V_{PAW}^i$  (R<sub>i</sub>) = . The range of the potential is given by the length scale and the form is chosen so that the potential and its rst derivative are zero at  $z = + R_i$ . In our calculations we set = 1.25 b and = 1.

Including such an attractive component to the wall- uid potentials will modify the density pro les in the vicinity of the wallbut should not alter the fundam ental character of the long ranged decay; leading order asym ptotics should be just as accurate as in the case of the purely hard wall. Of course, the am plitude and phase in equation (7) will be altered. In gure4 we show the density pro les  $w_i(z)$ , i = s;b, of the binary hard-sphere mixture with q = 0.5calculated for the bulk state point b = 0.1, s = 0.1. Results for the hard-wall, described by equation (8), are com pared with those for the attractive wall, equation (10). It is energetically favourable to have a large num ber of particles in the attractive well. Since sm all spheres can pack better close to the wall than the larger ones it follows that the contact value, s (s=2), is considerably larger for small particles at the attractive wall than is the case for the same species at the hard-wall. Figure 4 dem onstrates that adding an attractive piece to the hard-wall potential has a pronounced e ect on the form of the density pro les of both species. From gure one cannot observe any com monality of structure between the pro les of big and small species or between pro les corresponding to the two choices of wall- uid potentials. Rather it is necessary to study the density pro les at intermediate and long range in order to observe such common features. In gure5 we plot the logarithm of the pro le of the big spheres for z up to 10 b, the limit of our num erical accuracy. As was the case for the hard-wall, the asymptotic behaviour appears to set in at interm ediate distances, z > 3 b. A way from crossover, e.g. in curve (a) with s = 0.075 and curve (d) with s = 0.15 the results for the two types of wall-uid potential are very close. For both types the wavelength of oscillations is close to  $_{\rm b}$  in (a) and close to  $_{\rm s}$  in (d). Clearly the asymptotic decay remains dictated by a single pole contribution when the wall exerts some nite ranged attraction on the uid. For values of s lying closer to crossover the situation is somewhat more complex. Curve (b) in gure5, for  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.1, shows that the attractive wall potential in uncess strongly the form of the density proses out to distances  $z = 7_b$  and the asymptotic behaviour, characterised by the wavelength b, does not set in until larger z. For s = 0.125, curve (c), there are clear di erences between the results for the two di erent wall potentials extending out to z 10 b. It would appear that for the attractive wall the interm ediate range behaviour of the pro le is already dom inated by the length scale s, although crossover does not occur until the slightly larger value  $_{s} = 0.126$ . C learly the amplitudes entering the two-pole approximation (9) depend sensitively on the choice of wall- uid potential. Presumably for the attractive wall the amplitude  $B_{b}^{w}$  corresponding to the pole with  $a_{1} = 2 = s$  is much larger than  $B_{b}^{w}$ , that for the pole with  $a_{1} = 2 = b$ whereas the imaginary part of the pole  $a_0$  is slightly less than  $a_0$ .

We conclude that crossover in the wavelength of oscillations for density proles is not restricted to purely repulsive walls but can also be observed when the wall exerts attractive forces on the uid.

Note that although we have focused on the prole of the big species, our results for the small species show equivalent features | as is in plied by equations (7) and (9). We have also investigated density proles for other size ratios q and we dismilar manifestations of crossover near the crossover point appropriate to the given value of q. O verall the behaviour is equivalent to that found for  $h_{bb}(r)$  in paper I.

### III. DEPLETION POTENTIALS AND SOLVATION FORCES

In this section we turn attention to the asymptotic decay of two, closely related, properties of liquids. These are i) the depletion or solvent mediated potential, W (r), which arises between two big particles in mersed in a solvent and ii) the solvation force,  $f_s$  (L), which is the excess pressure arising from con ming the solvent between two planar walls. We present evidence for structural crossover in W (r), at large particle separations r, and in  $f_s$  (L), at large wall separations L, when the solvent is the binary hard-sphere mixture described in earlier sections.



FIG.4:DFT results for the density profiles  $w_i(z)$  of the components i = b;s of a hard-sphere mixture adsorbed at the planar attractive wall, described by equation (10), compared with the profiles at the planar hard wall, equation (8), for the case b = 0.1, s = 0.1 and q = 0.5. The additional short-ranged attraction of the wall ensures that the small particles (s) exhibit a higher density at contact,  $w_s(s=2)$ , and for z close to the wall than they do at the hard wall. For the short distance scale shown here the density profiles clearly reject the in uence of the attraction.



FIG. 5: As in gure 4 (q = 0.5,  $_{\rm b}$  = 0.1) but now we plot the logarithm of the density pro le  $_{\rm wb}$  (z) of the big species b over a much larger range of z. (a)  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.075, (b)  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.1, (c)  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.125 (close to the crossover value) and (d)  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.15. The full lines refer to results for the attractive wall, equation (10), and the dashed lines to those for the hard-wall, equation (8). In (a) and (d) the pair of pro les are very similar for z > 3  $_{\rm b}$ , whereas in (b) the asymptotic behaviour for the attractive wall does not appear to set in until z > 7  $_{\rm b}$ . In (c) it is di cult to resolve two distinct wavelengths for the attractive wall. The curves are shifted vertically for clarity of display.

### A. Depletion or Solvent M ediated Potential

Consider two big particles of species 3 in m ersed in a solvent. Upon integrating out the degrees of freedom of the solvent atom s or m olecules one obtains an e ective two-body potential

$$_{33}^{e}$$
 (r)  $_{33}$  (r) + W (r); (11)

where  $_{33}$  (r) is the bare interaction between the solute particles and W (r) is the solvent m ediated potential arising from solute-solvent and solvent-solvent interactions. W (r) depends on the therm odynam ic state of the solvent. A well-known example is the case of two hard-spheres, m odelling colloids, immersed in a sea of ideal, non-interacting particles, m odelling 'ideal polymer'. The exclusion of the 'polymer' from the hard spheres gives rise to a depletion zone between the spheres and the resulting W (r) is the celebrated depletion potential introduced by A sakura and O osaw a [11, 12] and by Vrij [13]. For the ideal solvent W (r) is attractive and m onotonically increasing with r up to a certain nite separation beyond which it vanishes. W hen the solvent is a hard-sphere uid and the solute particles are again (big) hard-spheres, W (r) exhibits depletion driven attraction at sm all separations and oscillatory decay at interm ediate and long range. The oscillations arise from the packing of the sm all spheres that constitute the solvent and their wavelength is determined by the diam eter of the sm all species, e.g. Ref. [14].

Here we investigate the depletion potential when the solvent is the binary mixture of s and b hard-spheres. On intuitive grounds one might expect the oscillatory structure in W (r) to reject the form of correlations in the bulk binary mixture and, therefore, to show crossover behaviour. In order to make this explicit we generalise the argument given in Ref. [14] for a one-component solvent to a two-component solvent. For a ternary mixture of species s; b and 3 the Fourier transform of the total correlation function  $\hat{h}_{33}$  (k) is given by an expression equivalent to equation (2). In the limit where the density of species 3 vanishes,  $_3$  ! 0, the denominator should reduce to that in equation (3), as was the case for wall-particle correlations in equation (6), so the asymptotic decay of  $h_{33}$  (r) will be determined by the zeros of  $\hat{D}$  (k) at the appropriate state point. In the same limit we have

$$h_{33}(\mathbf{r}) + 1 = g_{33}(\mathbf{r}) = \exp \left[ \begin{array}{c} e \\ 33 \end{array} (\mathbf{r}) \right];$$
 (12)

It follows that for large r, where we can linearise the exponential,

W (r) 
$$h_{33}$$
 (r)  $\frac{A_{33}}{r} \exp(a_0 r) \cos(a_1 r_{33}); r! 1:$  (13)

Note that we assume the bare potential  $_{33}$  (r) is of shorter range than W (r). The same LOP that determ ines the decay of  $h_{ij}$  (r), i = s;b, in the bulk m ixture must determ ine the decay of the depletion potential. Of course, the amplitude  $A_{33}$  and phase  $_{33}$  depend upon the solute-solvent interactions.

In order to determ inew hether structural crossover can be observed in the depletion potential we perform ed calculations of W (r) using the particle insertion m ethod employed previously in DFT studies for one-component hard-sphere solvents [14]. We rst x a single sphere of diam eter<sub>3</sub> at the origin and m ake this an external potential for the uid m ixture of s and b hard-spheres. As discussed earlier, the density proles of both s and b particles, obtained using the Rosenfeld DFT, display structural crossover as r! 1. In a second step, a second particle of species 3 is inserted into the inhom ogeneous m ixture of s and b and input from the three component DFT is used to calculate W (r) [14]. The results shown in gure6 refer to the hard-sphere m ixture with q = 0.5 and  $_{\rm b}$  = 0.1 considered earlier. We set  $_3$  = 5  $_{\rm s}$ . At short distances from contact (h = 0 in gure6, where h = r  $_{\rm 3}$  is the separation between the surfaces of the two big spheres of species 3) the depletion potential is attractive whereas oscillatory structure develops for larger distances. Results are presented for the same three choices,  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:1,  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:125 and  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:15, employed in  $_{\rm mum}^2$ . From

gure3. From gure6 (a) we note that the width of the attractive depletion well seems to be determined by the size of the majority species in the mixture. Thus, for  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:1 the big spheres dominate and the width of the attractive well is about 0:4  $_{\rm b}$ . On the other hand for  $_{\rm s}$  = 0:15, where the smaller species dominates, the attractive well is deeper and narrow er and the depletion potential exhibits a pronounced potential maximum near h = 0:4  $_{\rm b}$ .

In gure6 (b) we show the same data plotted as  $h \neq W$  (h) j in order to expose the nature of the oscillatory decay. For  $_{s} = 0:1$  the wavelength is about  $_{b}$  whereas for  $_{s} = 0:15$  this is about  $_{s} = _{b}=2$ . Interference is clearly visible for  $_{s} = 0:125$ , very close to the crossover value. The sequence of curves is very similar to that displayed in gure3 for the density proles at a hard planar wall. We con meed that the crossoverm echanism is identical by plotting (as dashed lines) alongside the DFT results those obtained from the single pole approximation (13) in which  $a_{0}$  and  $a_{1}$  refer to the LOP and are equal to the values employed in gure3. For  $_{s} = 0:1$  and 0:15, i.e. curves (i) and (iii), the single pole approximation gives an excellent account of the DFT results for  $h > 3:5_{b}$ . C lose to the crossover point, curve (ii) with  $_{s} = 0:125$ , two LOPs are required, i.e. we employ the analogue of equation (5). As in gure3, we t amplitudes and phases at intermediate separations. By comparing gure6 (b) with gure3 we see that crossover is just as evident in the depletion potential as in the density pro-les at a planar wall. Note that numerical noise sets into our results for  $h > 8_{b}$ .



FIG.6: Depletion potential W (h) between two hard-spheres of diam eter  $_3 = 5_s$  in m ensed in a binary mixture of hard spheres with diam eters  $_s$  and  $_b = 2_s$ , i.e. q = 0.5.  $h = r_{3}$  is the separation between the surfaces of the two big spheres of species 3 at distance r. The packing fraction of species b is  $_b = 0.1$ . DFT results are shown in (a) for three di erent values of  $_s$ . Increasing  $_s$  reduces the width of the attractive well and increases its depth at contact h = 0. In (b) we plot the logarithm of j W (h) jover a larger range of separations. For  $_s = 0.1$  [curve (ii)] the oscillations have a wavelength of about  $_b$  whereas for  $_s = 0.15$  [curve (iii)] the wavelength is about  $_s$ . In curve (ii)  $_s = 0.125$ , very close to crossover, interference e ects are evident. The solid lines in (b) are the DFT results and the dashed lines result from leading order asymptotics | see text. The results (ii) and (iii) are shifted vertically for clarity of display. These results demonstrate the sam e crossover behaviour as the density pro les in gure 3.

#### B. Solvation Force

The solvation force is de ned [5, 16] for a planar slit of width L as

$$f_{s}(L) \qquad \frac{1}{A} \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta L} \qquad p; \qquad (14)$$

where is the grand potential of the uid mixture and p is the pressure of a hom ogeneous mixture with the (reservoir) chemical potentials f<sub>i</sub>g, i = s; b, and temperature T. We consider the limit where the area of the walls A ! 1.  $f_s(L)$  is then the excess pressure resulting from con ning the mixture in the slit; in the limit L ! 1,  $f_s(L)$  ! 0. Here we consider only uid - uid and wall-uid contributions to the solvation force, not the direct interaction between

the the walls [16]. In the asymptotic regime, L ! 1, one might expect the decay of  $f_s(L)$  to be determined by the properties of the bulk mixture described by the given f  $_i$ g, T. However, it is not immediately obvious that the therm odynamic quantity  $f_s(L)$  should decay in the same fashion as the bulk pair correlation functions  $h_{ij}(r)$ , i.e. with the same exponential decay length  $a_0^{-1}$  and wavelength  $2 = a_1$ .

There are several ways of establishing this result. The most intuitive argument is to consider the ternary mixture described in the previous subsection, with  $_3$  ! 0 and, in addition, to allow the diameter of species 3 to become macroscopically large. The elective potential between the macroscopic particles will still decay as in equation [13]. Attard and co-workers [17] placed this argument in the framework of the wall-particle 0 Z equations (see subsection IIB) and showed that the decay of the excess interaction free energy per unit area should be governed by the zeros of D<sup>o</sup> (k), provided that the interatom ic forces are sulficiently short-ranged. Such a result is also implicit in earlier integral equation studies by D. Henderson and co-workers, e.g. Ref. [18].

In the particular case of perfectly hard-walls, for which equation (14) reduces to the exact expression [19]

$$f_{s}(L) = \sum_{i=b;s}^{X} [i;L(0^{+}) i;1(0^{+})];$$
(15)

where  $_{i,L}(0^+)$  denotes the one-body density of species i at contact with one wall of the slit of width L and  $_{i,1}(0^+)$  is the same quantity for in nite wall separations, there are additional arguments [2] to support the contention that

$$f_{s}(L) \quad F \exp(a_{0}L)\cos(a_{1}L F); \quad L \stackrel{!}{:} 1$$
(16)

where F and F are the amplitude and phase, respectively. Both quantities depend on the wall- uid potentials.

In the following we study the binary-hard sphere mixture con ned by two planar hard walls described by the potential

$$V_{\text{slit}}^{i}(z) = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & ; & z & R_{i} \text{ and } L & R_{i} & z \\ 0 & ; & R_{i} < z < L & R_{i}; \end{array}$$
(17)

which is the generalisation of equation (8). In our calculations we use the external potential equation (17) as input into the Rosenfeld fundamental measures DFT. We obtain the contact values  $_{i,L}$  (0<sup>+</sup>) from the density profiles  $_{i,L}$  (z) resulting from minimising the grand potential functional [f  $_i$ (z)g]. Note that the profiles obtained from the DFT satisfy the contact value theorem, i.e. the solvation force obtained from equation (15) is consistent with that obtained from differentiating with the equilibrium grand potential resulting from minimising the functional. Considerable computational or the separation L. In order to investigate crossover behaviour we focused on the same mixture with q = 0.5 and reservoir packing fraction  $_{\rm b} = 0.1$  and varied  $_{\rm s}$  over a similar range to that considered in earlier sections.

In gure 7 we plot the logarithm of j  ${}_{b}^{3} f_{s}(L) j$  for three values of  ${}_{s}$ . For a small packing fraction, curve (a)  ${}_{s} = 0.07$ , the oscillations in  $f_{s}(L)$  have a wavelength of about  ${}_{b}$  for  $L > 3.5 {}_{b}$ . At a high packing fraction, curve (c)  ${}_{s} = 0.15$ , the wavelength of oscillations is given by the diameter of the small spheres  ${}_{s}$  for  $L > 2 {}_{b}$ . At intermediate values of  ${}_{s}$  we observe interference e ects in the oscillatory decay. In curve (b), where  ${}_{s} = 0.11$ , the oscillations at small L have a wavelength of about  ${}_{s}$  whereas at intermediate L interference e ects resulting from two distinct contributions are apparent. Finally for large L, the oscillations have a wavelength of about  ${}_{b}$ . C learly con nement results in the small spheres in posing their length scale on the oscillations in  $f_{s}(L)$  at small L and restricts interference e ects to larger L. Recall that the crossover value for this choice of  ${}_{b}$  is  ${}_{s} = 0.126$ . C om paring the results in gure 7 with the density pro les  ${}_{wb}(z)$  for a single planar hard-wall in gure 3 we nd close similarities. In particular, curves (b) and (c) in gure 7 provide compelling evidence that crossover has occurred in the form of oscillatory decay of  $f_{s}(L)$  for packing fractions between  ${}_{s} = 0.11$  and  ${}_{s} = 0.15$ . Note that there is some noise in the latter results for L > 9 b, the lim it of our num erical accuracy.

It is in portant to recognise that there is no jump in the solvation force, or its derivatives at the crossover line. Near crossover two LOPs contribute to  $f_s$  (L), in the manner of equations (5) and (9), and the real and im aginary parts of both poles vary sm oothly with state point.

### IV. POLYDISPERSE HARD-SPHERE MIXTURES

From an experim entalpoint of view it is in portant to keep in m ind that system s of colloidal particles with identical size (or shape) cannot be produced. It is not possible to produce a perfect binary m ixture; there will always be a distribution of size ratios in even the best bim odal situation. Here we exam ine the in uence of polydispersity on the structural crossover which was clearly m anifest for a m ixture of hard-spheres with a unique size ratio. The



FIG. 7: Logarithm of the solvation force  $f_s$  (L) calculated from DFT for a binary hard-sphere mixture, with size ratio q = 0.5and  $_b = 0.1$ , con ned between two planar hard walls. Upon increasing the packing fraction of the small particles from  $_s = 0.07$ [curve (a)] to  $_s = 0.15$  [curve (c)] we nd a crossover in the wavelength of oscillations. Interference e ects can be observed at interm ediate separations L for  $_s = 0.11$  [curve (b)]. Curves (b) and (c) have been shifted vertically for clarity of display.

Rosenfeld DFT can treat mixtures with an arbitrary number of di erent components (species). However, we restrict consideration to a nite number of di erent particle sizes and replace each of the particle types big, b, and sm all, s, by a 21-component hard-sphere mixture.

The size distributions are chosen to be binom ial and are shown in gure8. In the case we consider the ratio of giam eters  $m_{s}^{max} = m_{b}^{max}$ , corresponding to the positions of the two maxima, is 0.5. The sum of the packing fractions,  $_{i}$  by of the big particles is xed at 0.1 while that of the smaller particles takes values  $_{i}$  s; = 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2 in order to compare with the results from earlier sections. We treat rather broad distributions in order to capture e ects which might arise in experimental situations. The width of both distributions is 0.6 and there is a very small overlap see gure8. Figure 9 shows the density prosets  $w_{b}(z)$  of the species with diameter  $\int_{0}^{\infty} dx$  for polydisperse hard-sphere m ixtures adsorbed at a planar hard wall. The Rosenfeld grand-potential functional is m in im ised and the resulting Euler-Lagrange equations for the pro les of the multi-com ponent mixture are solved in posing the boundary conditions that the reservoir packing fractions are those given in gure 8. The results for  $_{wb}(z)$  are very similar to  $_{i}$  s; i = 0:1, the wavelength those in gure3 for the binary hard-sphere m ixture with size ratio q = 0.5. In curve (a), of the oscillatory decay is characterised by the big spheres whose reservoir packing fraction b;i is a maximum. The sm all particles determ ine the wavelength of the oscillations in curve (c),  $i_{\rm s}$ ;  $i_{\rm s}$ ; = 02. Curve (b), <sub>i s;i</sub> = 0:15, clearly displays interference e ects. If we take the presence of the latter to signal crossover then this is occurring for a larger (total) sm all sphere packing fraction than is the case for the binary mixture, where crossover occurs at  $_{\rm s}$  = 0.126. This observation is consistent with results from paper I where we found that for  $_{\rm b}$  = 0.1 the crossover value of s increases as q increases or decreases (see gure 5 of I), i.e. the m inim um value of the crossover packing fraction occurs near q = 0.5. In the polydisperse m ixture one is sampling component pairs with size ratios di erent from q = 0.5.

It is striking that for such broad distributions there is still a clear signature of crossover in the density pro le and we shall return to this point in the discussion.

# V. STRUCTURAL CROSSOVER IN ONE AND TW O-D IM ENSIONAL HOM OGENEOUS FLUIDS

All the results that we have presented so far refer to three-dimensional hard-sphere mixtures. Moreover these have been obtained by approximate methods, i.e. via DFT or integral-equation approaches. In this section we enquire whether structural crossover manifests itself in lower dimensional uid mixtures. There are two distinct reasons for considering such systems. In one-dimension the pair direct correlation functions  $c_{ij}^{(2)}(r)$  can be calculated exactly for a

hom ogeneous mixture of hard-rods of di erent lengths which in plies that the poles of  $\hat{h}_{ij}$  (k) can be determined exactly. The reason why two-dimensional systems are of interest is that real-space experimental techniques for colloidal uids



FIG. 8: D istributions of the components in a bulk polydisperse hard-sphere mixture plotted as the ratio of the hard-sphere diam eter to  $\frac{m}{b}^{ax}$ , the diam eter of the component with maximum packing fraction in the distribution of the bigger spheres. Each binom ial distribution consists of 21 components and the sum of packing fractions of the bigger components  $\frac{1}{b}$ ;  $\frac{1$ 

often restrict the determ ination of the pair correlation functions to two dimensions [20, 21, 22].

#### A. One-D im ensional M ixtures of H ard-R ods

O ne-dimensional hard-rod uids occupy a special position in the theory of liquids since many of their equilibrium properties can be calculated exactly for both hom ogeneous and inhom ogeneous cases. In particular the exact intrinsic free energy functional is known for both the one component uid 23 and mixtures of hard-rods [24]. For our present purposes it is su cient to note that Rosenfeld's fundamental measure DFT reproduces the exact functional when applied to the one-dimensional inhom ogeneous hard-rod system [8, 25]. The pair direct correlation functions are given by an expression equivalent to equation (11) in I but with diment weight functions and a dimensional). Fourier transforms  $e_{1}^{(2)}$  (k) are given in Ref. [25].

We used these results to obtain the poles of  $\tilde{h}_{ij}(k)$ . These are given by the zeros of an expression equivalent to that for  $\hat{D}(k)$  in equation (3) since the OZ equations can still be written in the form of equation (2). The pattern of poles is similar to that obtained for hard-sphere mixtures in the Percus-Yevick approximation [5]. In gure10 we plot the crossover line calculated for size ratio q = 0.5. The packing fractions are given by i = i, i = s; b, in one dimension; i is the length of the rod of species i. Crossover occurs from the pole 1 to the pole 2 in a similar fashion to that described in gures1 and 2 for hard-spheres. Moreover the shape of the crossover line is reminiscent of that in gure 1. Of course the line can extend to b = 1 since there is no freezing transition in one-dimension and the maximum packing fraction is unity.

These results demonstrate that crossover occurs in an exactly solvable model. In the vicinity of a crossover point we nd sim ilar features in the total pair correlation functions as those found in the three dimensional hard-sphere mixtures. Recall that for hard-rod mixtures it is possible to calculate wall- uid density pro les and the solvation force exactly (to arbitrary num erical precision) which allows one to ascertain precisely the manifestation of structural crossover in these quantities [26].

#### B. Two-D im ensional M ixtures of H ard-D isks

Theories of liquids are, generally speaking, more di cult to construct and implement in two dimensions than in one or three dimensions. This is certainly the case for the fundamental measure DFT that we have used throughout



FIG.9: Logarithm of density proles of component b in a polydisperse hard-sphere mixture, with the particle size distributions shown in gure 8, adsorbed at a planar hard-wall. The component b considered here refers to that with  $= \frac{m}{b} a_p^{m}$  the diam eter corresponding to the maximum packing fraction in the distribution of the bigger spheres. For all three curves  $_{i} b_{i} = 0.1$ . In curve (a) the sum of packing fractions of the smaller components  $_{i} s_{i} = 0.1$  and the wavelength of oscillations is about  $_{b}^{max} = p$  By contrast, in curve (c), where  $_{i} s_{i} = 0.2$ , the wavelength is about  $_{b}^{max} = 2$ . In the interm ediate case, curve (b), with  $_{i} s_{i} = 0.15$  we nd interference elects. Results should be compared with these in gure 3 for a binary mixture with q = 0.5.

this paper. W hereas in d = 1 the exact functional for a hard-rod m ixture [24, 25] is known and in d = 3 very accurate versions of FM T for hard-sphere m ixtures [8, 9, 27] are available, som e pre-requisites for FM T-like functionals are not fulled in d = 2 [25]. The starting point for FM T is the deconvolution of the M ayer-f function [8], that describes the interaction between two particles, into geom etrical weight functions. In even dimensions, how ever, this deconvolution can be achieved only with an in nite number of weight functions. Hence, in practice, the deconvolution of the M ayer-f function in d = 2 can be carried out only approximately [25]. For this reason the FM T results can be less accurate than in d = 3, although there have been few careful tests of the theory for m ixtures.

On the other hand, the study of correlation functions in d = 2 is very interesting from an experimental point of view. Recent in provements in techniques of video m icroscopy enable accurate measurement of pair correlation functions of colloidal suspensions to be performed in real space [20, 21, 22]. In these experiments, the colloids are restricted to move in a plane by the use of light elds, i.e. the system is electively two dimensional. Experiments with one-component colloidal suspensions have demonstrated that the pair correlation function can be measured very accurately at small and intermediate separations and, in some cases, even at fairly long range. An extension of such experiments to binary colloidal mixtures should be straightforward.

In order to study the crossover behaviour of correlation functions in d = 2 we perform ed DFT calculations and standard MC simulations in the canonical ensemble for a binary mixture of hard disks with a size ratio of q = 0.5. Within DFT we used the test-particle approach, xing a single big disk at the origin and calculating the density proles  $_{i}(r)$  of both components, i = s;b, in the external eld exerted by the xed disk. From the density proles, we determ ined the correlation functions  $h_{bi}(r) = _{i}(r) = _{i}$  1. This is the same procedure as in paper I, except now we employ Rosenfeld's d = 2 'interpolation' form of the free energy functional [25]. Throughout the calculation and simulation we kept the packing fraction of the big disks constant at  $_{b} = _{b} _{b} _{c}^{2} = 4 = 0.3$ . We increased the packing fraction of the big disks constant at  $_{b} = _{b} _{c}^{2} = 4 = 0.3$ . The resulting total correlation functions  $h_{bb}(r)$  obtained from DFT, (a), and MC simulations, (b) are shown in gure11. The overall agreement between these two sets of results is encouraging. We not for the big est value of  $_{s}$  that the wavelength of the decay of  $h_{bb}(r)$  is set by  $_{b}$ , the diam eter of the big disks, and for the highest value of  $_{s}$  that the wavelength is set by  $_{s}$ , the diam eter of the big disks. The DFT results for intermediate values of  $_{s}$  show evidence of interference and indicate clearly that crossover occurs near  $_{s} = 0.1975$ . The MC results show com plex interference e ects at the interm ediate values of  $_{s}$ . Although we did not determ ine the precise location of the crossover line in d = 2, as we did in d = 1 and d = 3, our results demonstrate that structural crossover occurs in d = 2.

The fact that crossover is rejected in the oscillatory behaviour for interm ediate separation and can be seen in M C



FIG. 10: Crossover line for a one-dimensional binary hard-rod mixture with size ratio q = 0.5. On each side of the line the asymptotic behaviour is dominated by dimensional behaviour poles 1 and 2. When 1 dominates the wavelength of oscillations in  $h_{ij}(r)$  is  $_{b}$  whereas when 2 dominates it is  $0.5 _{b}$ . These results were obtained using the exact result for  $e_{ij}^{(2)}(r)$  to determ ine the poles. The dashed line corresponds to total packing fraction  $= _{b} + _{s} = 1$ .

data, which contain some statistical noise, suggests that video m icroscopy in d = 2 should be well suited to study various aspects of the decay of correlation functions in colloidalm ixtures.

# VI. DISCUSSION

We have investigated the nature of structural crossover in various properties of binary hard-sphere mixtures. W hereas in paper I we focused only on the decay of pair correlation functions,  $h_{ij}(r)$ , in hom ogeneous (bulk) m ixtures, here we consider manifestations of crossover for the one-body density prossible (z), (z), of the mixture adsorbed at planar walls for the solvation force,  $f_s$  (L), and for the depletion potential, W (r), of the same mixture. In all of these quantities we discussion of a clear signature of structural crossover, i.e. the wavelength of the oscillatory decay changes from being approxim ately the diam eter of the big species to approxim ately that of the sm aller species at som e crossover point in the ( b, s) phase diagram . We argue that the precise crossover point must be the same as that obtained from analysing the leading order poles of  $\hat{h}_{i1}(k)$ , as described in I.M oreover, we show that leading order asymptotics, as described by a single pole approximation such as equations (7), (13) and (16) provides a remarkably good description of oscillatory structure at interm ediate range as well as at longest range provided one is not too close to the crossover point, where a two-pole approximation such as (9) is required. This conclusion, which is equivalent to that ascertained in I for h<sub>i1</sub> (r), is in portant for practical purposes. Experiments or computer simulations are necessarily restricted to sm all or interm ediate length scales one cannot probe the ultim ate decay of structural properties. For exam ple, if one were seeking evidence for structural crossover in measurements of the solvation force for an asymmetric binary mixture con ned between the two mica plates of the surface force apparatus 28] one would have to probe plate separations on the scale of a few particle diam eters as in gure7. Sim ilar remarks pertain to experimental m easurem ents [29] of the depletion potential between two very big colloidal particles immersed in a binary mixture of big and sm all (hard-sphere) colloids. Crossover is already apparent at interm ediate length scales see qure6.

It is important to enquire whether the results we obtain here for the idealised system of hard spheres are relevant to real uids, which could be atom icm ixtures orm ixtures of two types of colloidal particles. This issue was addressed in I for bulk m ixtures and the remarks are equally pertinent here. A ssum ing that dispersion forces (power-law decay) play a m inor role, which could be engineered by suitable refractive index m atching in colloidal uids, structural crossover should occur for m ixtures where the elective pair potentials are softer than hard-spheres, provided the elective diameters are su ciently dierent. Indeed the rst observation of such crossover was found@] in the binary G aussian core m ixture where the pair potentials are very soft. O f course, the presence of attractive interactions m ight force the regime where crossover elects can be observed to larger distances. This is the case for the binary m ixtures



FIG.11: Logarithm of the total correlation function  $h_{bb}(r)$  for a two-dimensional binary mixture of hard disks, with size ratio q = 0.5, obtained from DFT (a) and MC simulations (b).  $b = b = b^{-2} = 4$  is xed at 0.3 in all cases. For the packing fraction of the small disks s = 0.075, the oscillations have a wavelength of about b whereas for s = 0.30 the wavelength is about s = b=2. Interference e ects are visible for interm ediate values, especially for s = 0.1975 in the DFT results (a), providing clear indication of structural crossover. The results are shifted vertically for clarity of display.

adsorbed at planar walls that exhibit attractive wall- uid potentials see gures.

O ur results for a simplem odel of polydisperse hard spheres adsorbed at a planar hard wallwarrant further comment. We argue, in section IV, that for a size distribution with two broad, weakly overlapping maxima, structural crossover remains visible in the density prole of the species b corresponding to the maximum packing fraction of the bigger spheres | see gure 9. At rst sight this might appear surprising. Consider rst a distribution of big hard-sphere diameters with a single maximum at  $\frac{m}{b}^{ax}$ . Provided the number of diameters is nite, corresponding to a nite number of species , the OZ equations can still be written in the form of equation (2) but now generalised to components. Nevertheless,  $\hat{h}_{ij}(k)$ , with i; j = 1 :::, will have poles determined by the zeros of the appropriate component common denominator  $\hat{D}(k)$ . There will be a LOP and the quantities  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  will be uniquely determined. For a narrow distribution these quantities will be close to those for a one-component uid with diameter  $\frac{m}{b}^{ax}$ . When we consider the polydisperse 2 component mixture, corresponding to the bin odal situation of gure8, equation (2) remains valid and we should expect to not that the LOPs, determined by the zeros of the 2 denominator, are not very di erent from those in the binary mixture which corresponds to the size ratio  $s^{max} = b^{max}$ . A lthough might be large (we consider = 21 in section IV), provided it is nite and the ratio  $s^{max} = b^{max}$  remains su ciently small, we do expect to nd crossover. Of course, as described in section IV, the crossover need not occur at the same point in the phase diagram as in the binary mixture.

We have not attempted to incorporate genuine polydispersity, i.e. a continuous distribution of diameters corresponding to an in nite number of species, into our theoretical treatment. We have this for future study, noting that detailed DFT and M onte C arb studies [31, 32] have investigated the density prohes of polydisperse hard-spheres at a planar hard-wall. However, these studies employ a parent bulk distribution (top-hat or Schulz) that has a single maximum and are not concerned with the intermediate range oscillatory behaviour of the prohes. In experimental samples, typical degrees of size-polydispersity are around 5%, which is less than the degree of polydispersity considered here. Therefore we are condent that the structural crossover can be observed in experiments with colloidal mixtures.

Finally we return to our results for one-dimensional hard-rods (subsection VA). It is gratifying that structural crossover occurs in an exact statistical mechanical treatment and that the overall shape of the crossover line follows that calculated for the binary mixture of hard spheres. This attests to the generic nature of the crossover mechanism. In both one and three dimensional uids with q = 0.5 the line begins at the origin of the (b, s) plane. (Note that our results in gure 5 of I did not extend to very low packing fractions.) For a very low concentration of sm all particles crossover occurs rapidly on adding a sm all am ount of big particles. The form of the crossover line in the lim it b ! 1 for the hard-rod mixture in gure10 is som ewhat more curious. If the crossover line exists in this lim it it must, of course, term inate at the point b = 1, s = 0 since the total packing fraction cannot exceed unity. Nevertheless, it is striking that for a very large concentration of big rods, say b = 0.97, adding a very sm all am ount of sm all particles so that the total packing fraction is about 0.98, already leads to crossover, i.e. the wavelength of the longest range of oscillations in the correlation functions is then determ ined by the length of the sm all rods which are present in only very sm all concentrations. We should note, how ever, that as b ! 1 several poles begin to contribute to  $h_{ij}(r)$  at long-range; the in aginary parts of the higher-order poles become comparable with  $a_0$ , the in aginary part of the LOP [26].

M ichael Fisher and Ben W idom [1] pioneered investigations of how the character of the asymptotic decay of the pair correlation function should change as one moves from one region of the phase diagram to another. Their study is relevant to uids in which there are both repulsive and attractive contributions to the interparticle potential and the existence of the FW line is intim ately linked to the presence of liquid-gas, or in the case of mixtures, uid-uid phase separation. The structural crossover we describe here and in I arises in uid mixtures where the interparticle potentials are purely repulsive; what is required is that the two species are su ciently di erent in size. A sm entioned in the introduction, FW based their study on exactly solvable one-dimensional models. For reasons that will be abundantly clear to the reader, we are grateful that they did not consider mixtures!

R E. thanks S. D ietrich and his colleagues for their hospitality during his visits to the M P I in Stuttgart.

- [1] M E.Fisher and B.W idom.J.Chem.Phys., 50, 3756 (1969). The title of the paper is 'D ecay of Correlations in Linear System s'. Note that the name of the second author is given as B.W iodm. Indeed Science C itation Index assigns 106 citations to F isher ME, W iodm B and one cannot nd the paper by searching under W idom B.However, there is no doubt that Ben was the second author.
- [2] R. Evans, J.R. Henderson, D.C. Hoyle, A.O. Parry, and Z.A. Sabeur. Mol. Phys., 80, 755 (1993).
- [3] R. Evans, R. J.F. Leote de Carvalho, J.R. Henderson, and D.C. Hoyle. J. Chem. Phys., 100, 591 (1994). See also G.A. Martynov. Fundam ental Theory of Liquids: M ethod of D istribution Functions, A dam Hilger, Bristol (1992).
- [4] M .D ijkstra and R .E vans. J. Chem . Phys., 112, 1449 (2000).
- [5] C.Grodon, M.Dijkstra, R.Evans, and R.Roth.J.Chem. Phys., 121, 7869 (2004).
- [6] B.W idom.J.Chem.Phys., 39, 2808 (1963); J.Phys.Chem., 86, 869 (1982).

[7] R. Evans and R. J.F. Leote de Carvalho. In Chemical Applications of Density-Functional Theory, B.B. Laird, R.B. Ross, and T. Ziegler (Eds), Chap. 12, p. 166, ACS Symposium Series 629, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC (1996).
 [8] Y. Rosenfeld. Phys. Rev. Lett., 63, 980 (1989).

- [9] R.Roth, R.Evans, A.Lang, and G.Kahl.J.Phys: Condens. Matter, 14, 12063 (2002).
- [10] J.R. Henderson and Z.A. Sabeur. J. Chem. Phys., 97, 6750 (1992).
- [11] S.A sakura and F.O osawa.J.Chem.Phys., 22, 1255 (1954).
- [12] S.A sakura and F.O osawa.J.Pol. Sci., 33, 183 (1958).
- [13] A.Vrij.Pure Appl.Chem., 48, 471 (1976).
- [14] R.Roth, R.Evans, and S.Dietrich.Phys.Rev.E, 62, 5360 (2000).
- [15] R. Evans. J. Phys: Condens. M atter, 2, 8989 (1990).
- [16] R.Evans and U.M.B.Marconi.J.Chem.Phys., 86, 7138 (1987).

- [17] P.Attard, C.P.Ursenbach and G.N.Patey.Phys.Rev.A, 45, 7621 (1992). See also P.Attard, D.R.Berard, C.P.Ursenbach and G.N.Patey.Phys.Rev.A, 44, 8224 (1991).
- [18] D.Henderson and M.Lozada-Cassou.J.Coll.Sci., 114, 180 (1986); D.Henderson.ibid 121, 486 (1988).
- [19] J.R.Henderson.Mol.Phys., 59, 89 (1986).
- [20] M. Brunner, C. Bechinger, W. Strepp, V. Lobaskin and H. H. von Grunberg. Europhys. Lett., 58, 926 (2002).
- [21] R.Klein, H.H. von Grunberg, C.Bechinger, M.Brunner and V.Lobaskin.J.Phys.: Condens. Matter, 14, 7631 (2002).
- [22] M. Brunner, C. Bechinger, U. Herz and H. H. von Grunberg. Europhys. Lett., 63, 791 (2003).
- [23] J.K. Percus. J. Stat. Phys., 28, 67 (1982).
- [24] T K. Vanderlick, H. T. Davis and J.K. Percus. J. Chem. Phys., 91, 7136 (1989).
- [25] Y.Rosenfeld.Phys.Rev.A, 42, 5978 (1990).
- [26] Note that for the one-component hard-rod uid it was shown explicitly (L.M organ, Final Year Project, University of Bristol (1999), unpublished) that  $f_s$  (L) does decay as predicted by equation (16), where  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  are the imaginary and real part of the LOP obtained from the exact calculation.
- [27] Y.-X.Yu and J.W u.J.Chem.Phys., 117, 10156 (2002).
- [28] e.g. JN. Israelachvili. Interm olecular and Surface Forces, 2nd Edition, A cademic, London (1991).
- [29] e.g. C. Bechinger, D. Rudhardt, P. Leiderer, R. Roth and S. Dietrich. Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 3960 (1999); L. Helden, R. Roth, G. H. Koenderink, P. Leiderer and C. Bechinger. Phys. Rev. Lett., 90, 048301 (2003).
- [30] A J.Archer and R.Evans.Phys.Rev.E, 64, 041501 (2001).
- [31] I. Pagonabarraga, M E. Cates and G A. Ackland, Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 911 (2000).
- [32] M. Buzzacchi, I. Pagonabarraga and N. B. Wilding, J. Chem. Phys., 121, 11362 (2004).